

Attn: Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
222 West 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513

**115 Unique Comments**  
**Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS**



January 22, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Alicia Zeringue  
PO Box 230  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(970) 963-3977

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
John Rehl  
36 Southbridge Ct  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(303) 908-1111

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
J G Augustson  
272 Varsity Ln  
State College, PA 16803  
(814) 777-2507



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Natasha Leger  
P.O. Box 1538  
Paonia, CO 81428  
(970) 399-9700



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Roy Ferguson  
2828 S Heather Gardens Way Apt B  
Aurora, CO 80014  
(303) 752-1785

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Mark E. Fox  
1000 County Rd. 113  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(970) 987-2233

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Judie Blanchard  
905 Melissa Ln  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(970) 963-5779



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Sue E. Dean  
849 Widgeon Dr  
Longmont, CO 80503  
(303) 555-1212



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Patrick Sagal  
229 Cottonwood Ln  
Aspen, CO 81611  
(970) 379-7297



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Fred Malo  
410B Garfield Ave  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(970) 379-6311



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Chris Moore  
2126 S Josephine St  
Denver , CO 80210  
(303) 744-2813



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Lawrence Crowley  
441 Pheasant Run  
Louisville, CO 80027  
(303) 666-0640



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Chris Worcester  
2106 Thomas Jefferson Dr.  
Reno, NV 89509  
(530) 582-4503



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Martha Izzo  
Kinney Creek  
Evergreen, CO 80439  
(720) 271-5731



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Batchelder  
6650 E Sopris Creek Rd  
Co 81654, CO 81654  
(970) 927-6521



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Janet Morrissey  
1616 Ingram Rd.  
Baltimore, MD 21239  
(443) 835-4307



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Rosalinda Shearwood  
PO Box 114  
9081 Brush Creek Rd.  
Eagle, CO 81631  
(970) 471-4439



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
BETSY MARSTON  
High Country News, Writer  
PO Box 279  
Paonia, CO 81428  
(970) 527-3166

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Rose Chilcoat  
2914 Junction St  
Durango, CO 81301  
(970) 799-3679



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Bill Dvorak  
17921 Hwy. 285  
Nathrop, CO 81236  
(719) 221-3212



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Laurie Michaels  
Box 158  
Woody Creek, CO 81656  
(970) 948-8148

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Francisco Reina  
501 Jackson St  
4619 Upham St.  
Golden, CO 80403  
(303) 834-0866



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Kendall Henry  
2022 Midland Ave.  
Glenwood Springs, CO 81601  
(970) 618-6438



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Rob Norville  
525 Midland Ave., #6  
New Castle, CO 81647  
(970) 390-8218

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

I am truly dismayed that the sales tax vote last year included the opening up of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge to oil drilling. To put into perspective – this puts America's fragile wilderness on the chopping block for pennies on the dollar. As recent Alaskan transplants to Colorado, my husband and I fully recognize that those who have never visited Alaska, let alone the Arctic Refuge, might not understand how critically important this piece of intact wilderness is to the nation.

Wilderness is at a premium and this nation cannot afford to bite into our last remaining and most vulnerable region. My husband and I moved to Colorado from Alaska six years ago after a collective 50 years living there. Our work and recreation took us across the state, including the Arctic Refuge and the North Slope's oil fields located not far from the Refuge. We were on the gravel bar on the Aichilik River in 2005 with 65 reporters and legislators during the last rush to open the Refuge. They came for the story—the conflict of environment as represented by the migrating caribou vs versus human need for oil but missed the true story: The tremendous wildness of the place, its stillness and its grace in the face of human assault. And because they timed it wrong, the media missed both the story and the caribou that year. The Arctic Refuge persisted and was left in relative peace until now.

I've been to the North Slope's 'oil patch' – Prudhoe Bay. Carved out of the northern coastal plains, the big mechanical straws provided Alaska great wealth and the nation its oil. With productivity declining as Prudhoe Bay plays out, the Alaska Legislature coupled with our federal government are looking to keep the state's oil money flowing regardless of the price the nation would pay. We don't know how much black gold lies under the Arctic Refuge, but we do know that the refuge's coastal plain has value far beyond whatever oil might lie beneath it. It is the calving ground of the Porcupine Caribou Herd and provides vital denning habitat for endangered polar bears. We will pay a disastrous price if we choose to develop our last true wilderness. There is not a worse thought for me then to think of terminating any part of this priceless, breathtaking landscape and converting it an industrialized oil field with rigs, roads, pipelines, and spills.

The battle over the Arctic Refuge has been fierce and complicated since its inception in the 1960s with a strong demarcation line separating the value of intact wilderness and this nation's hunger for oil. And now, on the cusp of expanding renewable energy sources and extremely low oil prices due to an oversupply, the thought of trading our last wilderness in exchange for a few dollars seems horribly out of balance.

I challenge you to open your eyes and heart to the concept that this nation cannot afford to sell off one of the last of our true wilderness to oil companies. I challenge you to look beyond greed and avarice forward to an energy and conservation policy that does not demolish the Arctic Refuge for oil we don't need.

Sincerely,  
Mary hertert  
929 Crown Ct  
Fruita, CO 81521  
(970) 778-5985



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

I can't believe that educated people still do not know how to use a dictionary. What word am I referring to? The word "refuge." According to the American Heritage Dictionary, the word means, "a. A place providing protection or shelter: a colony that was a refuge for religious nonconformists.

b. An undeveloped area for the preservation of animals and plants." If you don't believe me, here is the link to the Website:

<https://www.ahdictionary.com/word/search.html?q=refuge&submit.x=63&submit.y=16>

I am an American citizen. I vote. I also happen to know the meaning of the word "refuge," which (oddly enough) happens to be in the name of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. And in case you didn't know it, the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. This means that this area is a unique and delicate coastal plain that provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. And it's a refuge. A place of protection. However, not if people who don't care about the word "refuge" or the uniqueness of the area get their way.

It's equally important to note that this refuge, this place of protection, is also home to an indigenous culture: the Gwich'in Nation. The Gwich'in has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. These people probably find our way of life as distasteful to them as people who don't respect different cultures do to their way of life.

What is even more shocking is that despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development. This is a FINITE resource. It's time to start thinking of the future: future generations, future children, THE FUTURE. This is something that clearly the current administration is clueless about since they don't care about anything more than lining their pockets with money from outdated resources, preferring instead to cause extinction to a way of life, a culture.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible.

I understand that the BLM has a multi-use doctrine that really makes it difficult to work with. I get that. But before you start caving to these crazies who don't understand the future, before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, take the time to revisit the environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Take a look at what will happen to this area and the preservation for future generations. The BLM issues permits to hike on The Wave in Vermillion Cliffs because it wants to preserve the land for future generations. Why can it not preserve the REFUGE, which bears the actual name REFUGE as well as it preserves The Wave?

The BLM should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction. If we don't preserve what we have now, surely it will be taken away faster, leading to all sorts of problems in the future. Actions have consequences.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Has anyone bothered to look at Oklahoma and what fracking has done to that state? It is now more seismically active than California. Let's not destroy another area of land because of seismic blasts. Let's be prudent and examine the long-term consequences before we all go willy-nilly and destroying what little pristine area is left.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places. Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Please. Let's start thinking of long-term consequences and the future: future generations, future cultures--future. Nothing good can come of destroying a land for a finite resource. This will leave lasting repercussions for generations to come. What do you want YOUR legacy to be? I hope it's a good one for ALL citizens--not just a select few who have no vision for the future and no care for the present.

Sincerely,



Jill Dahman  
1028 Atlanta St  
Florence, AL 35630  
(555) 555-5555



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Althea and Randy Brimm  
585 Graceland Dr  
Carbondale , CO 81623  
(970) 948-8311



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Patrick Kiernan  
728 Euclid Ave  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(970) 274-9051

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Erik Schreiner  
423 Chesterfield Rd  
Raleigh, NC 27608  
(984) 789-1089



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Susie Kincade  
PO Box 1276  
Eagle, CO 81631  
(970) 328-5472



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

They call the place a "refuge" for a reason. That means stay out.

The overarching fact that should say no to drilling in the Refuge is the desperate need to stop burning fossil fuel. There is more than enough fossil fuel in existing reserves to completely destroy any chance of surviving global warming.

Why should energy companies have the ability to destroy most of the life on the planet; just for them to make a profit? Isn't that insane?

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter.



Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places. Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Patrick Hunter  
1131 County Rd. 106  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
379-0274

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Catherine Morgan  
1101 Colorado Ave.  
Glenwood Springs, CO 81601  
oooooooooooo



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Marj Perry  
4239 Hwy. 133  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(970) 963-2019



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Murlin Goeken  
1700 S. Filbert Ct.  
Denver, CO 80222  
(303) 759-4585

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Cameron Maier  
909 Logan St., Apt. 11E  
Denver, CO 80203  
(719) 694-4223



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Peter Looram  
280 Glen Eagles Rd  
Aspen, CO 81611  
(970) 920-1749



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Danielle Logue  
3415 Circleville St  
North Port, FL 34286  
(908) 309-7205

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Donna Bonetti  
1170 Monroe Dr Apt B  
Boulder, CO 80303  
(720) 565-9291



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Glenn Randall  
2945 Colby Dr.  
Boulder, CO 80305  
(303) 499-3009



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Anita Boeher  
18284 W. 58th Place, Apt. 24  
Golden, CO 80403  
(303) 278-9994

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Stephen Turner  
Po box 2301  
Edwards, CO 81632  
(970) 376-7980



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Gayle Embrey  
416 River Bank Ln  
Glenwood Springs, CO 81601  
(970) 948-5703



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Lindsay Gurley  
1319 County Road 127  
Glenwood Springs, CO 81601  
(303) 956-6087

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Susan Powers  
78 Pine St  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(970) 309-4469



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Terri Slivka  
1555 Blake St Unit 1001  
Denver  
Denver, CO 80202  
(303) 758-4719

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
John Cornely  
3 Cliffrose  
Littleton, CO 80127  
(303) 933-9861

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Rose Dippel  
2433 Houcks Mill Rd.  
Monkton, MD 21111  
(410) 688-8845



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Eric Raszkowski  
37648 Bone Mesa Rd  
Paonia, CO 81428  
(970) 456-8776



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Susannah Mitchell  
386 1/2 Ridge View Dr  
Grand Junction, CO 81507  
(970) 778-6103

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Onna Konicek  
151 Summit Drive  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(386) 235-9056



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Susan Jacobson  
648 S Pennsylvania St  
648 s pennsylvania st.  
Denver, CO 80209  
(720) 841-2914



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Dorsey Moore  
artist  
47 N Cedar St.  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(970) 510-5499

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Carolyn Munro  
1175 fourmile canyon dr  
Boulder, CO 80302  
(503) 816-2127



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Larime  
429 Spring Ridge Dr.  
Glenwood Springs, CO 81601  
(970) 384-2835



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Maragon  
455 Steele  
Denver, CO 80206  
(303) 304-2750

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Laurie Loeb  
318 Garfield Ave  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(970) 963-2798



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Mary Dominick  
PO Box 5082  
Aspen, CO 81611  
(970) 925-7892



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Kay Hannah  
11589 Crawford Rd

Paonia, CO 81428  
(970) 527-3381

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Fredric Griffin  
P.O. Box 8683  
Aspen, CO 81612  
(970) 456-9898



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Marnie Gaede  
772 Caldera Curv  
South Fork, CO 81154  
(719) 658-1111



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Dr Jo Jones  
100 Village Ln  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(970) 424-1368

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Pamela Johnston  
2015 Coweeta Church Rd.  
Otto, NC 28763  
(828) 524-0076



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Susan Marone  
7 Halford Dr  
Rockwall, TX 75032  
(214) 725-7983



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Mary Keithler  
11322 E. Ida Act.  
Englewood, CO 80111  
(303) 777-1111

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Devyn Arbogast  
544 Summit Drive  
Dillon, CO 80435  
(386) 679-9301



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Julia Gillett  
950 E 32nd St  
Apt 210  
Durango, CO 81301  
(970) 318-0074



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Katey M. Buster  
no snailmail: P.O. Box 2747  
Grand Junction, CO 81502  
(970) 343-9001

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Greg and Sue Mozian  
170 River Oaks Lane  
170 River Oaks Lane  
Basalt, CO 81621  
(970) 927-3928



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Mary Dominick  
PO Box 5082  
Aspen, CO 81611  
(970) 925-7892



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Allen Decker  
308 Toke Lane  
Creede, CO 81130  
(719) 658-0999

January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Sandra York  
208 Minnesota Ave  
Paonia, CO 81428  
(970) 901-2944



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Carla Behrens  
904 Little Leaf Ct  
Longmont, CO 80503  
(720) 494-1463



January 23, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Karen Schreiber  
73 Rocky Road  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(720) 495-8000

January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Robert Burnett  
PO Box 170  
Crested Butte, CO 81224  
(805) 324-4382



January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Kreuser  
3818 S Miller Ct  
Denver, CO 80235  
(720) 999-1705



January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
diana keyser  
2720 Latigo Loop  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(970) 927-0352

January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Vernon Batty  
1160 Majestic Dr  
Pagosa Springs, CO 81147  
(719) 433-2767



January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Mary Smith  
29932 J Rd  
Hotchkiss, CO 81419  
589-2713



January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Cara Turner  
PO Box 2301  
Edwards, CO 81632  
(970) 214-8011

January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Mark and Brigitte Hilberman  
48 Etasa  
Redstone, CO 81623  
(970) 704-1238



January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Ted O'Brien  
806 W HALLAM ST  
ASPEN, CO 81611  
(970) 429-6130



January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Karl Ford  
4421 Quitman St  
Denver, CO 80212  
(303) 526-1380

January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Dave Krupa  
3714 Delaware Ave  
3714 Delaware  
Flint, MI 48506  
(810) 743-4037



January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Albert Honican  
1104 3rd ave ne, 1104 3rd ave.ne  
Buffalo, MN 55313  
(763) 355-9106

January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Rick Herrington  
689 Melissa Ln  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(970) 963-3350

January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Douglas L Wheat  
420 W. Ridge Dr.  
Woodland Park, CO 80863  
(719) 337-8872





January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Catherine Carson  
POB 774841  
Steamboat Springs, CO 80477  
(970) 870-2896



January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Betsy Bowie  
1260 County Road 112  
Carbondale, CO  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(970) 963-0109

January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Sloan and Beth Shoemaker  
1071 Prince Creek Rd.  
P.O. Box 1442  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(970) 963-4214

January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Cecily Anne  
63200 Percheron Ct.  
Montrose, CO 81403  
(970) 240-4630



January 24, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Brendan McCarthy  
2828 Gypsum Creek Rd  
Gypsum, CO 81637  
(970) 476-6726

January 25, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
David Lien  
3615 Cranswood Way  
Colorado Springs, CO 80918  
(703) 303-6596

January 25, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
David Burns  
1023 W Alder St  
Louisville, CO 80027  
(303) 665-8543



January 26, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Roger Shugart  
1052 Sun King Dr  
Glenwood Springs , CO 81601  
(970) 319-2886

January 26, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Camelia Mitu  
Bucharest  
Bucharest, OT 04444  
(012) 345-6789

January 26, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Aron Ralston  
Business Owner  
928 Mapleton Ave  
Boulder, CO 80304  
(970) 319-9030



January 26, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
TOM OKEN  
PO Box 8068  
463 Meadow Rd  
Aspen, CO 81612  
(970) 923-5274

January 27, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Ann Marie Gambino  
1219 2nd St  
Paonia, CO 81428  
(970) 276-5409

January 27, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places. Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a

conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
ANNA KONICEK  
2019 S PENINSULA DR  
DAYTONA BEACH, FL 32118  
(386) 235-9056



January 28, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Lucas Sherman

Sincerely,  
Lucas Sherman  
312 Parkview Dr  
Steamboat Springs, CO 80487  
(320) 224-7636

January 28, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Kent  
40465 Haven Place  
Steamboat Springs, CO 80487  
(970) 846-7718

January 28, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Rhonda Erickson  
1876 Riverside Ct  
Steamboat Springs, CO 80487  
(970) 846-2509



January 28, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Ken Williams  
20 Pioneer Pt  
Old: 332 Linden Circle (2-26-15 RM)  
Carbondale, CO 81623  
(505) 259-0189



January 28, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Ken Jones  
189 Orchard Dr  
Glenwood Springs, CO 81601  
(970) 928-0467

January 28, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Ken Jones  
189 Orchard Dr  
Glenwood Springs, CO 81601  
(970) 928-0467



January 29, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

I am upset that after years of bipartisan support for protecting and conserving the Arctic Refuge, this administration is ignoring the research, concerns, and will of the American people in favor of short-time development (and damage) by the oil and gas industry.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places. Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Arianthe Stettner  
1367 Anglers Drive  
Steamboat Springs, CO 80487  
(970) 879-1986

January 29, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Michael Fragola  
340 4th st  
Steamboat Springs , CO 80477  
(970) 846-2488



January 29, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Bob Kirchner  
40450 Haven Pl  
Steamboat Springs, CO 80487  
(970) 846-1920



January 29, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Elaine Dermody  
615 Parkview  
Steamboat Springs, CO 80477  
(970) 846-8852

January 29, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
David Haradin  
1251 Manitou ave  
Steamboat Springs , CO 80487  
(970) 846-3116



January 29, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
David Haradin  
1251 Manitou ave  
Steamboat Springs , CO 80487  
(970) 846-3116

January 31, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Kelson  
29904 Lee Rd.  
Evergreen, CO 80439  
(720) 219-7165

January 31, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

Although I don't generally use organizations' form letters, I urge the you and the BLM to take the information and concerns below with the utmost sincerity. ANWAR has been protected for a very long time.... that protection should remain in place, as it is a large, unique environmental system and habitat, essentially in its natural state. Many flora and fauna, including polar bears, caribou, many migratory birds, and more depend upon for the vast expanse of its undisturbed environment. Please do not open it up for oil and gas development, especially when the yield of gas and and oil is expected to be in the long run, minimal. Please see the info below and thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Barry and Donna Kaplan

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places. Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Barry Kaplan  
PO Box 770878  
Steamboat Springs, CO 80477  
(570) 498-5591



February 2, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.

Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Merri Gorrell  
29765 Elk View Dr.  
Steamboat Springs, CO 80487  
(970) 692-1315



February 4, 2019

Nicole Hayes  
BLM Project Manager  
Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS  
222 West 7th Avenue, Stop #13  
Anchorage, AK 99513 -7504

RE: Oil and gas development does not belong in the Arctic Refuge

Dear Nicole Hayes,

The coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is one of the largest intact ecosystems in the world, nestled between the Arctic Ocean to the north and the glacial peaks of the Brooks Range to the south. The unique and delicate coastal plain provides the most important onshore denning habitat for polar bears in the United States and is home to an astounding array of wildlife, including the Porcupine caribou herd, wolves, muskoxen, Arctic fox, grizzly bear, snowy owls, more than 200 migratory bird species and countless other species. Further, the Gwich'in Nation has depended on the refuge and the Porcupine caribou herd for millennia. The tribe's very survival hinges on an undisturbed coastal plain and healthy caribou population. Yet, despite the tremendously important ecological and cultural significance of this wild place, Congress and the Trump administration are pushing the facts aside and rushing forward to open the Refuge to oil and gas development.

It is appalling that this administration and the previous Congress have prioritized oil and gas drilling over conservation and protection of the Refuge, despite overwhelming historical and bipartisan support from the public to preserve it. The BLM's draft environmental impact statement clearly prioritizes development and cuts corners at every turn in order to open up drilling there as quickly as possible. Before the BLM barrels forward with a lease sale this year, it needs to revisit its environmental review and take a long, hard look at the impacts to wildlife, habitat, climate and indigenous cultures. Importantly, it should consider that the Arctic is also ground zero for climate change. Its delicate ecological system contributes to the health of our climate worldwide and is already under enormous strain created by global warming, pollution and unchecked energy extraction.

In addition to opening up this sensitive area to oil and gas drilling, the BLM is also considering allowing seismic testing across the entire coastal plain beginning this winter. Seismic blasts will leave scars that will last for decades and have led to thermokarst - the thawing of the thick permafrost underlying the tundra - in other Arctic areas. This thawing can create new ponds and generally much wetter surface conditions, leading to new vegetation types and significantly changing the environment.

Bottom line - the Arctic Refuge is too important and wild to open up to oil and gas development and industrialization. Doing so would cause irreparable damage to the Refuge and harm important ecosystems and wildlife. Americans want a balanced approach to energy development, not a sell-off of one of our wildest and pristine places.



Please say "no" to development in the Refuge and continue to manage it with a conservation focus, just as it has been for decades.

Sincerely,  
Laurie Smith  
P.O. Box 526  
Snowmass, CO 81654  
(970) 922-0133