



CoastalPlain\_EIS, BLM\_AK &lt;blm\_ak\_coastalplain\_eis@blm.gov&gt;

---

**[EXTERNAL] I strongly oppose efforts to develop an oil and gas program in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge**1 message

---

**Carla Cicchi** <cjc2sea@everyactioncustom.com>  
Reply-To: cjc2sea@att.net  
To: blm\_ak\_coastalplain\_EIS@blm.gov

Mon, Apr 30, 2018 at 9:09 AM

Dear Bureau of Land Management,

I strongly oppose efforts to develop an oil and gas program in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. We do not want our precious lands, waters, air, wildlife or communities destroyed by anyone and certainly not by big oil/gas/fracking.

The Department of the Interior must closely examine the science and fully evaluate the impacts at every stage of energy development on the Refuge's wildlife, water, subsistence, and other values for which it was created.

The Trump administration has indicated an intent to expedite the review process and hold lease sales by next year. This rushed timeline will not allow for the full analysis required under the law and which is necessary to meet the Department's obligations to responsibly steward one of the crown jewels of the nation's National Wildlife Refuge System. Trump's agenda has no respect or regard for our lands, etc. Do not let him ruin areas that belong to all Americans and not to a President or Big Oil/Gas/Fracking.

Energy development raises a suite of environmental issues that forecasts permanent harm to the Arctic Refuge's fragile environment. Scars on the tundra from heavy seismic equipment will remain visible decades later. Nesting birds will be vulnerable to numerous, inevitable industrial oil spills and increased predation. The Porcupine Caribou Herd will face potential population-level impacts from roads and pipelines displacing the herd from calving grounds on the narrow coastal plain. The long-term conservation of a holistic Arctic ecosystem in the U.S. will be significantly jeopardized by embarking on this oil and gas program in the Refuge.

These and other impacts must be evaluated for their effects that will extend far beyond the footprint of any physical development. The Refuge should remain a place in America's Arctic for birds and wildlife seeking refuge from the pressures of climate change and development.

The Department must carefully collect the science and consider these issues, which clearly indicate why an oil and gas program does not belong in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

Furthermore, we have clean sustainable energies now and will create more, so we do not want or need the existing filthy fuels such as gas/oil/fracking.

Sincerely,  
Carla Cicchi  
Placerville, CA 95667  
[cjc2sea@att.net](mailto:cjc2sea@att.net)