		1
1	COASTAL PLAIN OIL AND GAS LEASING PROGRAM	
2	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	
3	PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING	
4		
5	Taken June 12, 2018	
6	Commencing at 4:51 p.m.	
7	Pages 1 - 106, inclusive	
8		
9	Taken at	
10	Kaveolook School Kaktovik, Alaska	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	Reported by:	
21	Mary A. Vavrik, RMR	
22		
23		
24		
25		

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

MS. KAREN MOURITSEN: Hello, everyone. We are going to get started. Thank you so much for coming to this meeting about the oil and gas leasing program for the coastal plain. And today we want to hear from you all. We want to hear your comments about this project. We are in the scoping portion of the project, which means we want to hear your comments. So first if we could -- I'd like to have an invocation. And Ida is going to do an invocation for us.

(Invocation offered by Ida Angasan.)

MS. KAREN MOURITSEN: Thank you, Ida. So
I want to introduce people first. I'm Karen Mouritsen
with BLM, and I met a lot of you when I came here in
February. Joe Balash is our Assistant Secretary. And he
was here in February, also. Greg Siekaniec from the Fish
& Wildlife Service, Regional Director. Joanna Fox, Fish &
Wildlife Service. Nicole Hayes is with the BLM. She's
our project manager. In just a minute she's going to run
through a short presentation before we take comments from
you all. Chad Ricklefs is helping us. Amy Lewis --

MR. CHAD RICKLEFS: She will be back.

MS. KAREN MOURITSEN: She will be back.

And Paul is here assisting. And let's see. Mary Vavrik is our court reporter, and Mary is going to record all the

- comments you are saying. She would like you to say your name first. And we don't have a microphone, so would you like people to kind of come -- come up here and speak so that she can hear you clearly and get your name and get
- 5 everything down that you are saying.
- Let's see. Do we have anyone else to introduce?

 Lieutenant Governor here and Mr. Cotten, our --
- 8 COMMISSIONER SAM COTTEN: Commissioner of 9 Fish & Game.
- MS. KAREN MOURITSEN: Commissioner of Fish & Game.
- MR. MARK WIGGIN: And Mark Wiggin, Deputy
- MS. KAREN MOURITSEN: Okay. Good.
- Welcome.

Commissioner, DNR.

13

- So Joe, would you like to say a few words before
 Nicole does the presentation?
- 18 MR. JOE BALASH: So my name is Joe Balash.
- 19 I work now as the Assistant Secretary for Land and
- 20 Minerals Management in Washington, D.C. at the Department
- of the Interior, but before I had that job, I lived up
- 22 here in Alaska for 30 years. One of the jobs that I had
- 23 up here was as the Commissioner for the Department of
- 24 Natural Resources, so I have spent a lot of time working
- 25 on natural resource issues, planning documents, lease

sales, those sorts of things.

And the purpose for tonight's meeting is to talk about the leasing program for the coastal plain, and that's going to be done in the context of an EIS. And that's where we stand now. Nicole is going to walk through some of the big important pieces of that EIS and what that planning document and process is going to look like.

But, you know, one thing that I'm sure you are all quite familiar with is a lot of the controversy, a lot of the emotion that surrounds this issue has been building for many, many years. But you know, Congress has given us at BLM a job to do, which is to hold a lease sale. And so what we are trying to do is gather information from the communities that are most affected.

When we kicked off this process, we had originally scheduled Kaktovik for the first meeting because Kaktovik is the community that is most directly impacted, closest to the activity that may happen, and we wanted to listen closely to the community here. Due to a death in the community, however, we rescheduled to tonight.

And on Friday we are going to have another meeting in Washington, D.C. It will be the final scoping meeting.

And there are a lot of voices out there across the country and around the world that have an opinion about this. And

it's our job to listen to everything that everybody says.

But I want you to know that we are here to listen most closely to what you have to say because you are closest and most directly impacted.

We have spent time in Arctic Village and Venetie, as well. They have concerns that we will be taking into account. But considering proximity and the actual location of your community, it's going to be critical that we understand the kind of things that matter most to the times of year that you hunt, that you go into the refuge and conduct other subsistence activities so that as we put together the conditions, the stipulations on leases, that we are planning for and accommodating the things that matter most to the residents here in Kaktovik.

And so hopefully this is just going to be one of many conversations we have along the way. This is just the scoping stage. There will be a draft EIS prepared. We will come back to engage further with the community as there is more substance to talk about. And I hope all of you feel very comfortable telling us exactly what you think about this and what you think we need to be concerned about and watching out for.

So thank you for hosting us here in Kaktovik.

MS. NICOLE HAYES: Thanks, Joe. So as he said, I'm Nicole Hayes. I'm the project manager for the

coastal plain oil and gas leasing program EIS. I'm very sorry we are late. We got a little delayed with the plane. So I appreciate your patience.

I'm going to go through the presentation fairly quickly because the whole purpose of being here is for you to come up and, like Joe said, share your comments about the oil and gas leasing program. So I will talk about what that process is and why we are here, what the requirements are of both the Bureau of Land Management and Fish & Wildlife Service.

I'll discuss what the NEPA process is. NEPA is the National Environmental Policy Act. I'll walk through that because the Environmental Impact Statement we are doing is the NEPA process. I'll talk about subsistence and ANILCA Section 810 because here in Alaska that's a critical component of the evaluations that we do when we go through the NEPA process.

And most importantly, I'm going to talk about how to participate. Again, we want to hear from you. There is many ways for you to provide input, and coming up and having an opportunity to speak and have it recorded by Mary is a great opportunity to share your thoughts, concerns and recommendations.

So on December 22, 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 was enacted. With this, the Secretary of the

Interior, acting through the Bureau of Land Management, was given the responsibility to implement an oil and gas leasing program within the 1002 area identified here -- it's called the coastal plain -- within the Tax Act to implement this oil and gas leasing program within the 1.6 million acres of the 19.3 million-acre Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

Part of the requirements of the Tax Act is that a lease sale be held within the first four years of enactment of the Act, and then a subsequent lease sale be held within seven years. Each of the lease sales that are required to be held are to contain 400,000 acres of the areas with the highest potential for hydrocarbons. So oil and gas potential. Those are some of the main requirements of the Tax Act.

There are some other provisions which require that the department allow for up to 2,000 acres of surface development. What we are analyzing and what we are evaluating in our EIS is for a lease sale and any additional activity -- and I'll touch on it a little bit later -- would require a separate process, a separate NEPA analysis.

So agency responsibilities for administering the coastal plain oil and gas leasing program, the Bureau of Land Management administers all federal mineral estate,

including the oil and gas under the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. We are responsible for developing a leasing program, we conduct lease sales, and we approve at a later date, again which requires a separate NEPA analysis, applications for a permit to drill, potentially.

The Fish & Wildlife Service is responsible for managing and administering the surface of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, and they are a cooperating agency. We are working closely with them because obviously they know the resource, and we need that information to help inform us and help make good decisions when we are developing this Environmental Impact Statement.

This slide shows the BLM oil and gas leasing and development process. You will note that the star and the highlight is for that first bullet, which is the leasing, the EIS sales and lease issuance. That is what we are going to be analyzing in our Environmental Impact Statement.

The other steps, the geophysical, exploration, applications for a permit to drill, operations and production, inspection and enforcement, and reclamation will be discussed because we have to have a reasonably foreseeable development scenario to analyze in our environmental document. However, the only thing that

would be authorized as a result of the EIS is a lease sale. So that first phase is what we are focused on. If there are applications in the future for these other steps, then it would require a separate process, which may be similar to what we are doing right now. Yeah. Any on-the-ground disturbance, any activities that would result in some sort of development would require a separate NEPA analysis.

So you will hear me say NEPA a lot because the National Environmental Policy Act is really long to say, but that is what requires us to evaluate impacts to resources and look at ways to offset or avoid, minimize those impacts in our analysis.

So what initiated this process was the enactment of the Tax Act on December 22nd. We officially kicked it off with the Notice of Intent that was published on April 20th. The Notice of Intent says that BLM intends to develop an Environmental Impact Statement for the oil and gas leasing program. The scoping period is for 60 days. This is a really critical part of the process because this is when we are gathering input from all stakeholders.

So the scoping period currently closes on June 19th.

So today we are again interested in hearing your comments.

After the scoping period closes, we develop the scoping report, and in that scoping report are all the issues that

were identified through the scoping process. So the concerns that we need to analyze in the Environmental Impact Statement are what's discussed in the scoping report. And that's what we focus on in the EIS, the areas to avoid, the conditions that we should be considering, all the high priority resource areas. Those types of things are the things that we are gathering through this scoping process.

After we have that scoping report and we know the important topics that we need to analyze, we will develop the draft EIS, the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. After that, that's another really important part of the process because we take that draft EIS and we put it out to the public for comment. We also do public comment meetings to go over what's in the draft EIS and take comments and receive input from the public again.

Based off of that public input, we revise that draft EIS, and then we publish a final one. And after the publication of a final EIS, we write a Record of Decision and then would hold a lease sale.

As I mentioned, a really critical part of our analysis that's separate from NEPA, but we do it concurrently, is evaluation under Section 810 of ANILCA.

ANILCA is conducted concurrently, and it requires federal agencies to consider impacts of our actions which would be

the lease sale and evaluation of subsistence uses. So at the draft EIS phase, we do an initial 810 evaluation and finding, which is attached to the draft EIS. If the finding in that initial 810 analysis is may significantly restrict subsistence uses, subsistence hearings are held.

As I mentioned, we have public comment meetings on the draft EIS. We would wrap that up, so it may be the same day one meeting after the next, but then we would hold a separate subsistence hearing to get impacts on those impacts identified in that initial subsistence finding.

Once we receive all of that input, again we make any edits or changes to that final determination, make up -- or to that initial determination, we make a final determination, and that is appended to the final EIS.

Again, a very critical part.

So BLM has been given the responsibility to implement the requirements of the Tax Act. The requirements require that we hold lease sales within this coastal plain area. So the things that we really want to know are: What are the areas that we should avoid? What are the areas in which we should have timing restrictions on to hold a lessee to not be able to do anything during that time of year? What are the really important resources? Do you have recommended stipulations? All of that type of

information is really important for our decisionmaking and will help form the development of the alternatives. Also, lease tract size, where to offer -- where to offer leases for sale. Those are really important. So if you have those specific types of comments, we are very interested in hearing them.

Cooperating agencies, to date we have seven of them. We have other invitations that have been sent out, and we just haven't received acceptance letters. But what cooperating agencies are, they are agencies that have specialized expertise or jurisdiction by law for specific resources, and they help develop and inform the Environmental Impact Statement. So they bring their expertise to the table and help ensure that the accurate and most -- best available information is in there. To date we have Fish & Wildlife Service, of course.

MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: Are we not cooperating? Kaktovik is not listed there.

MS. NICOLE HAYES: We have sent an invitation. We haven't received a response back. So we are having a government-to-government consultation meeting with Native Village of Kaktovik tomorrow, and that is something that we could definitely discuss.

MR. GLEN SOLOMON: So I went over to one of your testimonies like in Fairbanks and Anchorage, and

15

- all of this is new to me right here. All the pictures
 that I took of your guys' schedule and everything, and you
 guys don't have Fish & Wildlife in there. So you guys
 just added these ones in there?
- 5 MS. NICOLE HAYES: So Fish & Wildlife Service was actually our first cooperating agency that we 6 7 had. The ones that have been added were the Native 8 Village of Venetie Tribal Government, the Venetie Village 9 Council and Arctic Village Council and the U.S. 10 Environmental Protection Agency, I think are new The State of Alaska was probably a couple of 11 acceptances. 12
 - weeks ago. So we have been updating the slides as we get responses back, trying to make sure we are sharing the most current information. I expect that this list may expand, but as of today this is who has accepted or asked to be a cooperating agency. So --

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- MR. GLEN SOLOMON: Because the last BLM hearings that I went to, you guys didn't have Fish & Wildlife with you guys.
- MS. NICOLE HAYES: We didn't have Fish & Wildlife Service with us?
- MR. GLEN SOLOMON: The one in Fairbanks
 and Anchorage, I think.
- MS. NICOLE HAYES: Yep. We have had them.

 They have been with us. We are relying heavily on Fish &

Wildlife Service, so they are coming with us.

So this is the tentative schedule that we have. As I mentioned, the Notice of Intent kicked off the Environmental Impact Statement process. It started April 20th, and it goes through June 19th. So please, if you want to provide written comments, please provide it by that date.

Over the summer we are going to be developing alternatives, evaluating environmental consequences, and this fall publishing a Draft Environmental Impact Statement. Again, this is also another opportunity for public comment of everyone, of all interested stakeholders. And then we would revise that in the winter/fall or the winter time frame and then publish a final EIS in the spring, signing a Record of Decision in the spring or summer of next year.

I should also mention throughout the process, we are conducting government-to-government consultations with those tribes and ANCSA corporations that are most directly affected by this project. The government-to-government consultations, they go on throughout the process. And a tribe or the council of a tribe can request consultation or provide input at any time. So we do have two main periods in which we are gathering comments for the public. There are other opportunities specifically for

government-to-government relationships in which to provide input into the process.

MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: If we wanted to request a lesser air traffic time when the herds are migrating through, we do that through our local tribal entity to coordinate higher up?

MS. NICOLE HAYES: You can do that. You can write it in a scoping comment. You can -- you can do it multiple ways but, yes, I mean, that is definitely one way, but providing it in a scoping comment is a great way, also.

We have -- as Joe mentioned, seven scoping meetings scheduled, and this is the sixth of seven. We will be in Washington, D.C. on Friday to conduct a scoping meeting, and that will conclude the scoping meetings, with the scoping period wrapping up June 19th.

There are many ways to submit comments. Today coming up and getting your voice heard on the record, regardless of what your thoughts, issues, concerns are, is a really great way to provide input. Mary is going to get every word you say down on the record, and that will be part of the scoping report.

Another way is to go to this link. It's in the handout. If you didn't grab a handout -- and submit comments directly online. You can email it to that email

address up there or mail it to the mailing address right
here. And again, comments are accepted through June 19th.

So this is the end of my presentation. It's really short because we want to hear from you. So I hope people want to come up and comment.

So the floor is open. It's really informal. We just ask that if you want to come up and share comments, concerns, issues, that you just come up to the front so Mary can make sure to get everything you say on the record.

MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: Your Environmental Impact Statement, when is that research information gathered?

MS. NICOLE HAYES: So the Environmental Impact Statement is based off of the best available information.

MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: Right, the best available. Is that from the '80s? Is that from the '90s, 2000s?

MS. NICOLE HAYES: I guess it will depend on the resources. So we are just in scoping, and we are gathering information right now.

Please come up and --

MR. ROBERT THOMPSON: I right now I have a question. Is Environmental Impact Statement and the

- baseline study, is there going to be another -- there
 should be another environmental statement. What you had
 before was about 30 years ago, and climate change has
 changed a lot of things. So will there be a totally new
 Environmental Impact Statement or are they going to try to
- MS. NICOLE HAYES: This is a completely new Environmental Impact Statement.

resurrect the 30-year-old one?

6

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

22

- MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: We can request and demand a new Environmental Impact Statement to be gathered?
- MS. NICOLE HAYES: We are creating a new
 Environmental Impact Statement. But again, we are really
 here because we need to know what to analyze. We want to
 hear your comments and concerns.
 - MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: Right. We don't want outdated research information used for these new operations or developments. We want new research information.
- MS. IDA ANGASAN: I'm going to come up.

 21 Somebody has to start, so it might as well be me.
 - MS. NICOLE HAYES: Thank you, Ida.
- MS. IDA ANGASAN: Don't be scared. I know you guys will say something afterwards. I want you guys to come up here and say something. I'm going to go with

impact A right now. You know, things have been addressed. They haven't been addressed. And we need to tell them what we want and what we need at this time. We are going to be impacted with the oil and gas over there, and we -- we need to hear from you guys. Don't just stand there and don't just sit there and not say something because if you don't say anything, I'm not going to listen to you. You

have to come up here and say something.

So what I'm talking about is our schools. We have a great school here and everything. Our roads need -- our roads need to be fixed. Our community, we have potholes in our island and everything else that we have here. And we need housing. We really need housing for our communities. Also we need a new search and rescue building. Our Native Village offices that we have in that old Fish & Wildlife building is so old it's falling apart. It was given to us by Fish & Game -- thank you very much -- at one time. So we need a new Native Village of Kaktovik meeting -- or building.

And there are houses in this place that are moved from our -- from the old village to up here, and they are all broken down and whatnot now. And then the houses that were built long ago, they need renovations. And lots of people that are elders and those that are living in them, they need to be repaired. I know because my father lives

in one.

And then what else is there? We need elder care. We need recreation for all. Our children need to have better recreation than what they have. That -- the area that they have right now is -- I see part of it is new, but we need a new place, a new safe place for our children to have their recreational areas outside when they are out there. And we need a new playground. So we could have a new playground with a baseball field or the playground with all new things and whatnot. We need a new church building. I know that.

And then we need to have three- and four-bedroom houses, not just the little tiny box that we have down there that are built up there which the wind could blow away, I'm sure, and so -- which is dangerous for families when they have to climb up the stairs. That's a long 13 steps to go up those stairs because I counted them. I have been up there before, so --

And what else is there? But we also need to listen and hear. But you guys have to listen to us. And we want to -- and you -- and the village of -- you guys have to tell them what we need. I'm just part of it. You guys are younger than I am, so all of you need to say something. So I don't know what else to say.

So thank you. If I hear something else -- if I -- if

- 1 I think of something else, I'll say it.
- 2 MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: One comment on top of
- 3 yours, Ida, I would like to see our old orange
- 4 streetlights back. These new streetlights we have are not
- 5 good visibility to see polar bears walking through town,
- 6 and that's regular. We need our old lights back. I don't
- 7 know if that comes from the oil money, from the borough.
- 8 That's one -- one on top of Ida's.
- 9 MS. IDA ANGASAN: I forgot one other
- 10 thing. We need more airlines. Our airlines -- and then
- 11 when the airlines do get filled up and everything, our
- 12 stores are hurting. That -- that impacts our way of
- 13 living in our community because we end up with no milk, no
- 14 cereal, you know. Stuff -- vegetables, fresh vegetables.
- When you order fresh vegetables, they are so rotten when
- 16 they come in, it's pathetic. So anything else you guys
- 17 want to say? Go for it.
- 18 MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: More airlines.
- 19 Agree.
- 20 MS. IDA ANGASAN: And we also need to get
- 21 an impact on -- alcohol and drugs will be flowing in. We
- 22 know that. So if there is a -- I know the North Slope
- 23 Borough needs help with the police department and whatnot,
- 24 but we need help. It will flow in, the drugs, alcohol.
- 25 It's always here. But we need better improvements on how

to keep it out of here.

MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: We would like to see a terminal at our airport.

MS. IDA ANGASAN: I think I have a big one where we are talking about the gas line from Point Thomson to here, but that's not only the biggest one. The biggest one is we need better information about the rifles and hunting stuff that the hunters have when they are going hunting in between -- how far can you hunt from certain areas of the oil and gas place? That needs to be set. And our clinic needs improvements. I know there are some areas in it that needs help. Our fire department is new, just about, but it also needs help.

And the improvements in the water plant. Lately our generators have been going out. I don't know if it's a new part of the generation or not or the generator or not, but it's -- we need many new things. Senior center. And I don't know.

There is ways to get funding for these, and I know the oil and gas people will listen. They can hear us. And you guys need to say something about this. Please. Thank you.

MR. ROBERT THOMPSON: My name is Robert
Thompson. I've lived here for more than 30 years. I have
a concern if this were to happen, where will we be able to

do our subsistence hunting? We are guaranteed those rights under ANILCA. Nobody has told me so far if we will be able to hunt in this proposed oil field. That has got to be decided because that is what we are guaranteed by another law.

I'd like to know about what they are going to do with Veteran's allotments. Senator Murkowski has a bill to allow Veterans that's hurt in Vietnam to get allotments within this area. I'd like to know if that's included with this because this is a new thing after her bill -- it hasn't been passed yet, but it's pending.

I believe we should have Environmental Impact
Statement to include human health. In other places they
haven't did that. When they have a mishap and something
happens, the oil companies will say, we don't know what -what those people's health were. They have an increase in
respiratory problems in Nuiqsut. The oil companies will
say, well, they smoke too many cigarettes. We shouldn't
have to have that happen here. Before this starts they
should determine what our health concerns are and a
baseline of everybody here. Their health should be
inventoried so when later on you get a spill or emissions,
they can't come back and say, well, you smoke too much.

There is communities in this world where people don't live to be old because of the pollution. There is a place

in Canada, they don't have any elders, there is so much pollution. That could happen here. What's happened in Prudhoe, you go into one small area, they say this is environmentally good. It's okay. The next year it's another one. Pretty soon you have 1,000 square miles with industrial activity.

I don't know that there is a baseline here to determine air quality, but that is important before you start. Shell Oil already got called on that with emissions for their drill fleet. So this is very important. I don't think anybody can argue with it. And I think the people that are proposing it because they are interested in the money, should support this also because we all need to be concerned about health. And if it gets adversely affected, it's got to be able to -- we can prove it, not that we are smoking too much.

And I'd like to see some something related to cumulative impacts. There again, we have had these industrial activities. I've seen black smoke come through here from the Prudhoe Bay area. Nobody is monitoring these permits. So we have got to be very sure that there are people to monitor every permit that's put out. And we should have people here trained to know that. I mean, this -- this is going on. We can't just have them doing it their way. That's been going on a lot.

Previously there was offshore wells drilled. Nobody here knew about it. And once we know, then we can do something, but we definitely have to have somebody here knowledgeable to monitor what is going on.

Okay. Another concern I have is that the private holdings, which are considerable, the holdings of Arctic Slope Regional Corporation are held to the same standard as everyone else. They can't be having their own environmental issues. It's got to be consistent with the larger plan. That's a concern because they might say it's private land, we can do it our way, but they still have to have -- allow monitoring and some oversight on this because they are going to be part of this, and the industrial pollution that could come up there has got to be consistent with what's acceptable for human health.

I'd like to see a timeline on this. It seems like this is just moving along to get this done before Trump gets out of office so we can approve all of this. I don't know. It just seems to me it should be a longer timeline for study or comments.

And the fact that the baseline study was done 30 years ago, that should be given serious consideration because now we don't have any more musk ox. The polar bear are in peril. There should be concern about these animals. The polar bear, by some studies, could be

extinct in my lifetime, and there may be two musk ox left.

So these things have got to be addressed. And with more than -- every endangered or threatened species should have a serious review. You have got 19 endangered or threatened species. Every one has to be addressed, not -- I asked about -- before about the ivory gull. Oh, we will have a biologist make a report on it. That's not sufficient because they don't know what's causing the decline. So if there is a decline in some of these animals, they have got to determine why and how to address it to mitigate it.

That's what the Environmental Protection Agency is about and the Clean Air Act and the Clean Water Act. The Trump Administration is trying to do away with these. These are what can determine how this is compatible with us. If we do away with all these protections, we don't have anything. I mean, that's what the goal is, it looks like.

So these should be all out in the open. It shouldn't be do away with that Act so we can have our way. That is what the oil industry -- they are not honorable people. They are not people. They are just a for-profit corporation, the same way with Arctic Slope Regional Corporation. They don't represent the people. They represent a corporation that is in business to make money.

They have joint ventures at this moment with Chevron,

Texaco and British Petroleum.

So they should not be considered a voice of the people here in Kaktovik. They surely have a right to speak, but it should be very clear who they are speaking for. I don't want that corporation to speak for me. I'm not part of it. But they have a tendency to do that. So that should be very clear in any of these activities who is presenting it and for what, because when you've got for-profit corporations, their concerns are different than a lot of the rest of us. They are in the business of making money, and some of these environmental issues just get in the way.

So that's what I got to say now. And I've probably got a little bit more, but I'll come back. Thank you.

MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: Thank you, Robert.

MR. EDWARD REXFORD, SR.: Okay. My name is Edward Rexford, Sr. I'm the president of our tribal government here. Also the land manager for our local corporation. And I'm glad you folks made it in after the -- our funeral, and I'm glad you accommodated our community.

Our concerns, our tribe and our people here have been put in a hard spot after PLO-82, which was a military withdrawal of the Arctic, and that impacted our people

where they had to prove independent use to be eligible for a Native allotment. And the date they used for our people was 1934. Remember, PLO-82 was in 1943. So our people here has been used and exploited not by, you know, our own government. It's been the Air Force with the three forced relocations with no apology to this day.

Also medical experiments has been done on our people, the iodine radiation experiments. And the other villages got compensated for being guinea pigs in that experiment, but Kaktovik was left out. It seems like our community is always left out for justice and human rights activities that happen in our area.

Unfortunately, we are here today to try to speak up for our community and not let outside folks talk -- talk about us and how we should be living. It's been happening far too long. Our own government has faulted our people, has abused our people.

So now we finally have permission to make money with our own corporation lands. Imagine that. They -- after land claims, all the corporations in Alaska. Now,

Kaktovik, they left us out because the land exchange they have. Now we can finally develop our own corporation land and make money out of our own property. Now that the U.S. is saying we can finally do this, now we have the other side, the environmentalists, saying we can't do this.

What's wrong with this picture? Human rights violations.

Genocide.

I know I get riled up when this kind of meeting happens, but a lot of folks don't know our history and what our people have to go through, the sacrifices we had to make for this country. Now we are finally able to make money from our own corporation property. I think we have lots of stuff that our community needs here.

There is a guide that's in this place that the city did in collaborating with the tribe in the past to have a say at what happens and what kind of development happens here.

And a little history. Our tribe, before land claims, we had 23 million acres of land past the Canadian border to the Continental Divide up to Prudhoe Bay. After land claims happened, what happened? They gave us 92,000 acres. Less than 000.1 percent. I don't think that's justice. That's the greatest rip-off our government has done to our people. 23 million acres. All the minerals, all the gold in the mountains, all the oil. So they just give us 92,000 acres.

Are we going to be used as pawns in the future, or are we going to stand up for our people? That's what it's coming to. We are put in a hard spot. Our tribe, our corporation, our city, we all have to work together to

help our people.

And access to our Native allotment in-holdings, we have in-holdings past the 1002, past the wilderness into the refuge. We will have to have access to our in-holdings through the oil fields. And that's a priority that needs to be worked on.

And the other one is the village health assessment. I'm glad Robert brought that up. That's going to have to be done so there won't be no excuses in the future. And we have to be involved in the process, the decisionmaking, where the buffer zones are for our hunting areas, our traditional land use areas. They are all recorded. And I will be providing that for the record at a later date.

And also Fish & Wildlife Service, two people came in the past to try to create the National Wildlife Refuge here. They adopted a city council and they told the people here that it's to protect the caribou. But they didn't tell our people, the city council, that if it's a wildlife refuge, our rights would be impacted. Now the wildlife refuge is putting restrictions on who can go, how we can go to our property, by what means.

And also the closed state of allotments, I mentioned that. There's over 25 people here that were denied their Native allotments.

And also our community is still waiting to hear about

- 1 the impact aid that our community has been promised.
- 2 Hopefully we can see that coming in the future and
- 3 hopefully -- otherwise our community will be run over. We
- 4 are going to need impact aid for the community. And those
- 5 are very important.
- 6 So I think we need to enter into a
- 7 government-to-government or a -- to manage the wildlife
- 8 refuge. Other tribes can do it. We are the only tribe in
- 9 the wildlife refuge here. We need to be part of the
- 10 management system that are fighting our people. We need
- 11 co-management for an equal voice.
- And I'll be providing the list of names of the close
- allotments that apply, the history of the access that was
- 14 promised to our people to the allotments with Fish &
- Wildlife and the city's negotiations in the past. All
- 16 that can be provided. And the traditional land use areas
- 17 we use to subsist all the way into the mountains, that
- 18 also will be provided at a later date.
- 19 Thank you.
- MS. IDA ANGASAN: Thank you, Eddie.
- MS. ADRIENNE TITUS: Hi, everyone.
- 22 (Speaking in Inupiaq.) Before I get started, I just want
- 23 to look around the room and acknowledge each and every one
- of you that are of this land, that are of this place. I
- acknowledge the trauma that you carry, the hurt that we

have seen, our ancestors that are inside us. I'm Inupiaq.

I was raised on the land. You are my people.

This is really hard for me to get up here today because I know that you guys want reparation. I've seen all of you before in Fairbanks. I know that you want to carry your people in a good way.

So who am I to get up and ask questions about drilling or development, to challenge technology and corporate efforts, to stand here and condemn oil companies and Alaska Native corporations for desecrating the land? But who am I not to ask these questions? My grandchildren depend on my voice and my legacy, my grandparents' legacy. To not question the rules and the regulations put into place that determine how clean the water and air are, but in reality they are there to determine how dirty we can make it before it's unsafe or unhealthy for consumption for us all.

Alaska is 24th in the world when it comes to oil reserves. We have thousands of gallons discovered in places that have already seen destruction, but restraint is what we lack. Another day, another dollar. When did we all become owners of the land? It has always owned us. We have always been indebted to the place that has kept our people since time immemorial.

Who am I to question fake technology? What part of

the shaking the earth and drilling into the core, setting seismic waves through the waters that scare the sacred beings that give themselves to us, the beings that have sustained our people of the north since time immemorial? What part of seismic testing, digging and drilling makes it safe or okay, not just for animals, but for us, for our land.

Money is the end goal, not health or security for the people of the north. Who is to determine our wealth? Poverty has only recently been introduced to the Native communities. For thousands of years people have subsisted from the land and oceans and rivers of Alaska.

It was a hard life, but it had none of the frustrations and stigmas of poverty. For the people, we were never poor. Living from the land sustained life. The sharing created a bond between people that helped ensure survival. Life was hard then, but people found life satisfying. Today it's getting easier, but it's no longer satisfying. Since when does one way of life have to die so another can live?

In closing, I reiterate the words of Mary Ann Wharton [ph]. I can't stress enough. The cost of development is the land. No money can buy it back, can repair it to the way it was before.

I ask that BLM conduct a human impact study, and the

correlation with not just the land, but also the animals that have been used for thousands of years to sustain our relationship with our land. I ask that the EIS be completed in an entirety with the consideration of climate change and how it has progressed twice as fast than the rest of the world. The stories that carry our grandparents' legacies must not stop here with oil derricks and pipelines.

I ask that you remember the struggle your people have endured to get you this far and the fight that you have in you to make reparations for the wrong that has been done and end the cycle of colonization and assimilation that has individualized our once intergenerational homes and communities. Our children and their children depend on us.

I ask that you continue in the way of your ancestors and defend all that is sacred, the sacred things that have sustained indigenous people since time immemorial: The land, the water, the animals. Please remember your sacred duty to take care of yourselves because those people that live inside of you must live on for tens of thousands of years. Long after we join our ancestors, our descendants will. We want to be remembered as good ancestors. Our people have worked together to make our communities thrive for thousands of years. I encourage each and every one of

you to take those thoughts with you as I leave this meeting today. Keep in mind that oil dispersement chemicals don't work in Arctic waters, that the closest rescue center is over 1,000 nautical miles away, and that even when a murder occurs in our villages, or when there are things that we need immediate response for, it takes days on end for one person. Think about what's going to happen to this land if there is an oil spill and the response that's going to come along with it.

I feel this place. I got off the plane yesterday, and I could feel the air and the land and people, the ancestors that live here. I hope that you keep that all in mind as well as you continue on with this, whatever decisions that you make.

Quyana.

MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: Thank you for that message. May I ask where you are from?

MS. ADRIENNE TITUS: Unalakleet. My grandparents are Alma and Roland Ivanoff and Jenny and Charlie Blatchford.

MR. ROBERT THOMPSON: Okay. I'd like to bring up one more point. On this issue presented that we have to do this oil development in the 1002 because we have such a strong need for it, I know of 90 billion barrels of oil on the North Slope separate from the

refuge. They have only extracted 17 billion in 40 years.

So there is plenty of oil. There is foothills. Headlines

say 17 billion barrels. There's 12 billion more in

Prudhoe beach. It goes on. They haven't even started on

the petroleum reserve, which I'd rather they didn't

extract that, but it's available, separate from the Arctic

Refuge.

So what is driving this is some corporations that see the need for making more money. But I think some of the things that a lot of us care for is, you know, to keep it as is.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I think as part of this environmental assessment, Frank Murkowski said, do not be misinformed. Let's honor that and determine if there is, in fact, possibly 90 billion barrels that can be extracted without going into the refuge. That is one of the facts that should be out there because everybody is acting like this is the last oil we have got. We will be riding bicycles if we don't develop. We can use this to help Shishmaref. All kinds of things are attributed to this little bit of oil here, but there is plenty of oil in other places. In the state of Alaska, I believe the foothills, I think that belongs to the State. So it would probably be in their better interest to exploit -- I don't say develop. I say exploit. It's more of a correct term.

But those are the things that should be looked at to see if this is actually necessary to extract this.

Because we should know that the next step is offshore. If they get a foothold onshore, Shell Oil has leases offshore here towards the Canadian border. When they asked Frank Murkowski why they weren't -- and the State of Alaska has got land, that they could not develop because the Arctic Refuge is not developed. If they develop the refuge, it's going to be a pipeline to accommodate the offshore interests. That's a fact. Frank Murkowski again said, when he was asked why didn't the state leases sell, when we get ANWR they will.

So the people who value whaling, give that very serious thought why we should allow this because it is a stepping stone to offshore.

I don't think they really care about 10,000 Native people on the North Slope. There is people in this world that would run right over us, and if they can make money offshore, that is what will happen. So those of us that value whaling, we ought to take that into consideration.

And if this oil is told to go ahead, could they put in a stipulation that they will not go offshore? That would probably appease some people. Not me. I don't want to see any of it. We got along for 10-, 12,000 years without this oil, and I'm sure we can keep on without it.

- So I hope this is considered by the Environmental Impact
 Statement.
- MR. DENNIS STACEY: Is there a sign-up list for the remarks?
 - MS. NICOLE HAYES: Nope. It's just whoever wants to come up. There has been some great testimony already.

MS. IDA ANGASAN: I'm going to say something again. Ida Angasan, NVK. Thank you, this lady from Unalakleet, for what you said. I appreciate that.

I'm looking at bullet No. 7, for instance, require that those who buy leases in 1002 are required to attend cultural and environmental seminar by Kaktovikmiut. How about it says "mandatory"? We hear mandatory many times from all the other organizations. I think instead of saying "require," we should say "mandatory." They have to come here to listen to us first. Those that are buying the leases in the 1002 area, they have to be mandated.

And I also have one more. No. 10, community trails, the campsites, subsistence use sites by the community of Kaktovik should be identified and protected in the EIS. And should -- where it says "should," it should be "mandated." Should be mandated. "Should" should be taken out of there and say "will not be included in the lease sales." Protected in the EIS and mandate not to be

included in the lease sales. Those are Native allotments many of us have.

And that's -- that's what I have to say right now. I just want to say mandate. Those are not required.

Mandate them. Everybody else says it, you know. We need to start looking out for ourselves. This is Kaktovikmiut.

We live here. But we also have to be responsible for our being in Kaktovik, our whaling, our hunting, our fishing.

We have to be responsible for everything that we have and own in Kaktovik ourselves. Be responsible for yourself, your parents, your children, your grandchildren. Be good to one another.

Quyanaq.

MR. DENNIS STACEY: Good evening. My name's Dennis Stacey. I'm currently working and living here in Kaktovik. I'm a long-term resident of Alaska.

Been a 40-year resident. I've worked in resource development across Alaska in many places in mining and oil and gas, and frankly I think that we can have a win/win situation with the development of the 1002 area. KIC and ASRC are major stakeholders within the 1002 area. And I think that they are generally in favor of development.

In Petroleum News last week I saw that ASRC and KIC have committed to a 3-D seismic survey of the area over a couple of winters. So that tells me they are interested.

But there is also a provision of Alaska Native Claims

Settlement Act, 7(i) provision, that mandates sharing

revenues from natural resource development with other

Native corporations. NANA has done this with Red Dog.

Sealaska did it with their timber. And I think other

Native corporations have done it, also.

But oil development has brought prosperity to Alaska and to a lot of the communities, such as Barrow and Nuiqsut where I've worked. And I think it can be beneficial nearby here, too.

There was a lot of concern that the development of the North Slope oil fields would harm the caribou, and I believe caribou have thrived alongside the oil development. It has not destroyed the caribou.

A couple of winters ago I worked on the Point Thomson project and saw lots of caribou there, and we also saw a lot of wolves that spring in 2016. But there have been a variety of habitat enhancement projects that I think can happen here if the stipulations within the refuge permit it.

For example, with the ASRC mine site, a million acres of overburden was stripped a couple winters ago and put back into the pit to reclaim it. But that kind of a hill, in my experience, has been real beneficial for caribou in summertime to get up on those hills to get out of the bugs

and get some wind. And I think that a variety of habitat enhancements like that could be very possible to do; fisheries enhancements from gravel pits and also waterfowl enhancements, too. And perhaps off-site mitigation outside the refuge and compensation for harm to wetlands there.

But my understanding is that the direct impact to the land would be 2,000 acres or less. And with directional drilling, a lot of development can take place from very isolated pads. And the oil fields I've worked in, such as Alpine, have a real high environmental threshold for maintaining the land. And the stipulations in 1002 area should be every bit as strict, if not more so, than the NPR-A.

Anyway, I think it can be a win/win. And what we are here for tonight, as I understand it, is we are taking comments on what should be concerned or considered in your EIS. And so these are some of the things that I've thought of. And I have some written comments, but I don't have them ready to submit tonight. So I'll be sending those in.

Anyway, thank you for coming to Kaktovik, and appreciate that.

MS. NICOLE HAYES: Thank you.

MR. ROBERT THOMPSON: I'd like to comment

on the gentleman's statement. He talked about 7(i). The corporation, when they acquired it, excluded 7(i). So you are wrong about 7(i) being -- just getting shared wealth with the rest of the state, Natives. And also concerning the environment, I've seen changes made to requirements. Like for ice roads, the climate is getting warmer, so they changed the standard. There's industrial people that work in the oil field lobbying and got it changed. It's going to change some more, and they will change it again.

Now they are talking about snow roads. I don't know what they are and I don't know if they have been proven to work anywhere. But they want to be able to get access to this, and they don't really care if they have to do something. They are talking about -- is there enough water for ice roads? They can't use ocean water. So that is something that's got to be addressed. In the Environmental Impact Statement, can they do what they propose? I brought this up at a previous meeting. They said, oh, we will just build snow fences. We'll melt the snow and make ice roads. That's never been done. But they will tell us that just to get their foot in the door and get what they want.

And we want to be very careful because they are in the business of making money, not doing what's right for all of us. So these environmental rules and regulations and things, procedures, have got to be watched because industry, they'll do as little as they can to get by.

I've seen presentations by how this oil industry operates in other countries. South America, they have no concerns. There will be flaring going on in the middle of villages with kids playing around it. Pipes will be laying on the ground. We have it a little better than that. People fault the environmental community, but it's because people are watching them.

When I first came here, the seismic was going on offshore. We didn't know what it was. We would hear sonic booms out there. They are going to do it their way as much as they can, so people here better be aware and be able to be knowledgeable in how to -- how to watch them and what to watch and be there to do it. If they are 40 miles out there somewhere, we don't see it. So there better be some people here to get training to watch industrial activity because --

Okay. All the permits that they do on the North Slope are done with -- with permits. They get permits for that. But they don't have people to monitor their permits. So every permit that they have here should have somebody from -- the State or wherever allows the permit to happen, they should have somebody there watching to

make sure they do it. Like flaring is not supposed to be going on. They do it as much as they feel like because there is nobody there. When Hickel was governor, people started to try and enforce these regulations. They just fired the people.

so we have got to be careful that whatever environmental regulations and rules, that there is a way people here could know that they are being followed because before they do something, they have got to have those permits. But they disregard them in many cases. Every time I've gone by Badami, there is flaring going on. When I went through Badami, I stopped there, and they asked me, do you have firearms or alcohol. I said, I have a firearm. He said, well, I have to take it.

So when you talk about a benign landholder, and when they assert their right with security people and emphasize this is our area, we got control of it, well, that gets a little -- I get tired of that. I live here. I shouldn't have security people telling me what to do. I've heard people in this village say we can sneak around; they won't catch us. We shouldn't have to sneak around. We should have the right to go wherever we always did and that should be entered into this Environmental Impact Statement because that seriously affects us if we can't.

And I also have concerns related to why BLM is

handling this rather than Fish & Wildlife. I've dealt with Fish & Wildlife, and I don't know how that's going to change. Maybe you can address how this will change now that we have a new person -- new agency administrating this land that we live on. So that's got to be -- just so we know. If I want to get a permit to operate in a refuge and BLM is now handling it, what's going to change, you know? There's a lot of things we need to know.

Thank you.

MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: Can I ask you to reintroduce yourself, your name and who you are with?

MR. DENNIS STACEY: Yes. My name is Dennis Stacey. I'm here representing myself. I'm currently working for UIC Science here on the remediation project at the hangar, but they have no input in what I'm saying here. My employer is elsewhere on the North Slope within AFC and Nanuq and Houston Contracting.

But the gentleman brought up the snow roads, and I can speak to that from firsthand experience. Ice roads were built to the end of ConocoPhillips' drilling areas last winter, and then there was a snow road built all the way to Barrow to provide overland access beyond there. But the villagers in Nuiqsut have used the ice roads going into Nuiqsut and the Colville River ice bridge for many years now. So they have overland access through the

winter to get heavy supplies and things into the village for building and other things.

ConocoPhillips also brought in natural gas for the village. The village of Barrow enjoys natural gas from the Barrow gas field. Most villages don't have that. Fuel is very expensive. I know it's extraordinarily expensive around here. You are at the end of a very long logistic chain.

But I think it would be possible to have snow roads coming in here, too, in the winter from the ice roads that would be brought into the 1002 area, but I have no input on that. But I don't see why it wouldn't be possible. But the North Slope Borough is the administrator for a lot of these permits, and they go out and inspect the reclamation at the end of the season. They inspect the ice roads and gravel pits. ASRC sold a lot of gravel to ConocoPhillips for their project, for example.

Anyway, I think it's a beneficial thing. There is local employment for people in the village there. But these are things for you to have input on.

I'm a visitor here, although I am working here and living here at the present time. But I'm also in Alaska, and Alaska would benefit from development within ANWR. I think that's a true thing. So the question is, can we do the development in a way that doesn't hurt the

environment, is good for the local communities and Alaska in general, and provides more energy security for the United States, also.

So anyway, these are reasonable questions, and people can have differences of opinion about them. But it's worth keeping an open mind on these things, but certainly have reasonable stipulations to protect your interests here, too. You are the local stakeholders. You are the local village. So your input here -- BLM is here because they administer the mineral estate for the federal government. The Fish & Wildlife Service does not do that. So that's the reason BLM is here taking these comments because they are the leasing agency.

MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: Have they selected any potential sites where they want to develop at? What I'm getting at is I'm concerned about our coastal access for hunting caribou in a boat. There are safe, sheltered places where we can park, and there's places we can't park because of the ocean waves.

MS. NICOLE HAYES: So that's the kind of input we are looking for. Those are the specific type of comments and concerns that would be really helpful for us to know about. So we haven't made any decisions.

MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: Okay. Right offhand the one I'm thinking about is our POWD area to our

1 Kanniniivik area. That's our coastal hunting from the 2 boast. It's a safe shelter where we can land. Campsite.

MS. NICOLE HAYES: So we have some maps outside the room. When we are done, it would be helpful if you could mark that on the map.

MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: Eddie has got his maps here.

Another one to think about is our akpik place, where going to pick our akpiks.

MS. IDA ANGASAN: Berries.

MS. NICOLE HAYES: Please, if there is other people that want to come up and speak, I mean, we are hear to listen. So we are all ears. And we have appreciated everybody that shared information with us tonight. It is extremely helpful.

MR. GLEN SOLOMON: Hello. I'm Glen, Glen Solomon, resident of Kaktovik, whaling captain, and also ASRC representative for our village, for my people. We have been fighting over this for over 40 years to develop on our own land. We have been surrounded by federal and State land, and all we could do is just look at our land; no development, no nothing. And here everybody get a chance to develop on their land like the Gwich'in people. They leased out 180,000 of their acres for oil and gas lease on the Eagle Plains, and caribou migrate through

there, through the Eagle Plains and also through the -- what is the Dempster Highway that they have.

And we just want to have a chance at the table where we could develop our resources to make profit, to make profit off our land like everybody else. Like they got trees and everything like that. What we got? We just got the minerals that's in the ground, and that will build infrastructure for our people, that create jobs for our people, and also dividends for our people.

So it will really help our people out a lot because, you know, what we use for whaling, we use gas and oil. What we use to go hunt caribou, we use gas and oil. So we have this right to develop on our own land like everybody else has the right on their land. We have the right to develop on our land, also.

Thank you.

MR. CHARLES LAMPE: My name's Charles
Lampe. I'm also a whaling captain. I grew up with Glen.
I grew up with everybody here. I'd like to thank the BLM
for coming and listening to the voice of Kaktovik because
a lot of times the voice of Kaktovik, the people here from
Kaktovik, gets overshadowed and overlooked by louder
voices, from environmental groups, from people that
haven't stepped foot, spent one day here in Kaktovik, that
know what the people need, that know what our lives are,

that what we go through every year, every day, daily life. Live one winter here and you will see exactly how hard our life is. You have no idea.

More people walk into a MacDonald's in Anchorage in one week than will ever set foot up here in ANWR, but the environmentalists want to praise it as this great place that should never be touched. We touch it. We go up there. We are the ones who hunt. We are the ones who live. Our family, our ancestors lived up there. They taught us respect for the land. They taught us respect for everybody else, our family people, that come in, the whales that we catch, the fish that we eat.

Roland, you go out, you hunt. Glen, you go out, you hunt, you feed our entire community. And we are blessed with that. But we have people that are not from here, from different states, from different countries, from Washington, D.C., from governments down here in Alaska that tell us what we can and cannot do on our land. That isn't right. It's been like that for far too long.

I love our land. I love our community. I love the way of our life, but I talk to people like Isaac, like Ida's father. He -- he tells people about how hard it used to be, about how, you know, he had to get his own wood. How hard it is just to even get water, keep yourself warm in the wintertime. He says he wouldn't want

to go back to that. You know, that way of life was hard.

What we have now, we have to develop. That's the way we were taught. We use what we have to make our lives better. And we love our land. There is nobody that can tell you different.

And you know, the biggest thing that I want to get in this EIS is the impact of our community, of our people. You know, since the Air Force has been here, I mean, for the last, what, 70 years or so, I mean, we have seen an uprise in cancer. And you know, I mean, they dumped drums and stuff. We have no idea what they are. And on our beaches, on our shores, they displaced our village numerous times, no -- no apologies, no, you know, I'm sorry, no reparations. But we live with that. We have thrived through that.

I have seen -- you know, my kids, I have a three-year-old and a 13-year-old. And I moved out for a few years, and I moved back here after my daughter was born because I want this to be the place where she grows up because I know she's safe here. Her family is here. Her grandpa is here. All of us, you know, we live together. We watch out for each other.

People talk about the bears, put more emphasis that the bears are more -- more important than we are, the people, you know, and that isn't true. I mean, the bears

didn't start really coming around -- I remember when I was a kid, we would play out all the time, and we didn't have a care in the world about a bear, but now, you know, we have polar bear patrol looking out for bears and, you know, I mean, it's like kind of a nuisance for us, but --

You know, we have people coming in that -- in the summertime that -- people and families used to be able to go out and if they needed to make money and they had a boat, they were able to take people out and show them the bears, make a little money for their family, but because of regulations there is, I think, five people now, if I'm correct -- five or six people now that have licenses to take people out to view bears that -- you know, I mean, they have a monopoly on it, and there is nothing that anybody else can do. You know, that provided money for a lot of families here that weren't able to work or get jobs from the borough or KIC.

And I mean, I know it's hard sometimes to get by.

And that's why we help each other. That's why we hunt.

That's why we fish. You know, if anybody needs help, I

mean, we are a community. We are a family. We help each other.

I have been a KIC board member. Unfortunately our past president, Phillip Tikluk, Jr., passed away. And I was on the board before him, and I got -- I got voted out,

which was fine with me. And the board brought me back, and it was an honor to come back in his position.

And I know how much he fought for this. I mean, I went in meetings with him. I was part of the AIO group, the Arctic Inupiaq Offshore group, and part of a voice with Phillip. And he was so adamant about us being able to develop our land, to use our land, to use what, you know, the government gave us that was already ours, but after they gave it to us, they were like, well, you can't do anything with it because it's a refuge now, so you can't drill on your land. You can't, you know, make a pipeline. You can't do anything with your land. You can't even go on your land with a four-wheeler in the summertime because it's protected, because it's a wildlife refuge.

You know, that seeing that and hearing that -- we see, like, Nuiqsut, we see other places like Barrow and, you know, anywhere else down in the Lower 48, they don't tell you you can't do this to your land. They don't -- they might with some things, but I mean, like, with us, we have never had the opportunity to develop what is ours. We have had so much taken away from us that finally we have hope that we can do something. We can make something of our land.

I've worked in the oil field before. I've seen

- 1 Prudhoe. I've seen how clustered and everything it is.
- 2 I've worked in Alpine. I know how it's spaced out.
- 3 Directional drilling is way less of a footprint. It's the
- 4 safety and the environmental aspect of it is a lot more
- 5 strenuous than it has been or ever was in the past.
- And there is going to be an impact no matter what,
- 7 but we can make sure that us as a community, the people of
- 8 Kaktovik -- not anyone else, not people from Fairbanks,
- 9 not people from Anchorage, not people from other
- 10 villages should be able to come in and tell us, the people
- of Kaktovik, what we can or can't do with our land. They
- 12 have no say in it. They shouldn't, you know; but they do.
- 13 Because of the media, because of other publications,
- 14 because of environmental groups, because of government
- 15 issues, our voice is -- is drowned out. And I'm just glad
- 16 that you guys actually came up and gave people the
- opportunity to speak. And we have people here from other
- 18 places that don't live here that came up here just to
- 19 speak against this.
- 20 And you know, I respect that, but you don't live
- 21 here. You know, this isn't where you live your whole
- 22 life. This isn't where you spend your winters. This
- 23 isn't where you raise your kids. This isn't -- you are
- 24 going to get on a plane, you are going to leave, and then
- 25 what? You can go to a store. You can buy a loaf of

bread. You can buy a gallon of milk.

Our store went without eggs, milk, bread; essentials, you know, things for weeks, you know, on end because we have one airline that can't fly it up. Or during the wintertime we get storms and they can't get planes in here. We don't have a road system. We don't have a barge system. Even in the wintertime, I've advocated for an ice road just so we can get supplies here during the wintertime when a plane can't come in or when stuff isn't able to be transported here and make it a little bit easier.

But it's kind of nerve-wracking coming up here. I mean, I love my hometown. I love my people. I love my family. I love all -- you know, it's just finally being able to have the opportunity to develop land that is ours and making sure that we have a say in it, you know, not everybody else. It isn't everybody else. It should be us. We have a say. We should work side by side with the oil companies, with the government to make sure that our land is protected, to make sure that in every way possible our environment is protected, our animals are protected.

And not only that, but the way of life that we live, hunting. We shouldn't be forced not -- I mean, there is going to be certain spots -- like with a pipeline going in, there's going to be certain spots where we can't hunt.

With drill pads, there are going to be certain restrictions about firearms around it. And that's fine, just as long as we are able to go where we need to go to hunt.

I mean, my sister worked in Deadhorse for a long time, and she was able to trap foxes and things there to make hats and everything. And she spent more than half her life there working. And you know, I mean, people in Nuiqsut, they still hunt, they still get caribou, they still get wolverines, they get wolves.

With the right -- with the right -- what's the word I'm looking for -- with the right arrangement and the right opportunities to work hand in hand with the oil companies and the government and, you know, BLM and the Fish & Wildlife Service, to make sure that our voice is heard, that Kaktovik's voice is heard.

Barter Island, this is where we live. This is our hometown. This is going to affect us. It's not going to affect -- it might be sad that ANWR is open. It might be sad that there is an oil rig there. But if you live someplace else, you get on a plane or you see it on TV, it doesn't affect you. It might affect your emotions, but it doesn't affect you. It affects us. It affects our children. It affects me. It affects my future. It affects their future.

And after everything is said and done, all the oil is gone, you know, we will be there to make sure that everything is cleaned up. We will be there to make sure that everything is tried to put back the way it was because no matter what anybody says, we love our land. We respect our land. And we don't want to see it hurt, but we need to come together to make sure that we are heard.

And thank you again for letting us -- but like I said, the main thing is we need a health study, a baseline, to make sure what kind of impacts we have, you know.

And I guess that's it. So thank you again for coming and listening to us.

MR. JOE BALASH: So why don't we take a five-minute break and stretch our legs a little bit, maybe get some fresh air. And we will come back and see who else might want to say something.

(A break was taken.)

MS. NICOLE HAYES: Okay. We're going to go ahead and get started again. I just want to remind people, this is your opportunity to share with us. Everybody has shared some really great comments. We want to continue to hear them. Please feel free to come up and speak. Don't be shy. So the floor is open again. So if you want to come up and talk, we are here to listen.

MR. JOE BALASH: So one of the things that was part of the legislation that authorized the leasing program was a limitation on the number of surface acres that can be disturbed for drilling pads, for pipelines and potentially roads. And I've had conversations with Mayor Brower in Utqiagvik about the ASTAR program and the desire on the part of folks to start looking at year-round gravel roads to communities in the borough.

And so a question I would have and appreciate some feedback on is whether a road in the 1002 area to support the development is something that the people in the community would welcome or oppose. And maybe you haven't thought about that, but I think that's something that would be well worth understanding on the front end here.

I did note the comment about, you know, there is no road access and there is not really barge access. Well, you know, in order to conduct exploration, somebody is going to have to move some pretty big and heavy equipment into the area. And there is going to need to be some way to land that. And so, you know, if there are better places than others for something like that, those are the kinds of things that your local knowledge would be very helpful to us.

And one thing to consider is, you know, if somebody puts in a barge landing, you know, it doesn't mean it's a

one-time only location for them to use and them only. If it's something that the community would like to see made available for use here, where would you want it? Those are the kind of things that we can start to build into the program so that after a company gets their lease, if they want to bring equipment in, where they bring it, how they bring it, what time of year. You know, those are all the kinds of stipulations and conditions that we can build into the program.

And your -- you are the people who know best, you know, where that should be and where that should not be. So you know, if you have thoughts on that, we would love to hear it.

MR. DENNIS STACEY: How many acres are authorized for disturbance?

MR. JOE BALASH: So the federal law limits the surface disturbance to 2,000 acres. And, you know, the language used in the Tax Act is not exhaustive. So there will probably need to be some interpretations about, okay, how do you count those acres, which specific footprints are going to count against that acreage. To the extent that there may or may not be surface disturbance on the KIC/ASRC lands, you know, does that count against the 2,000 or not, you know.

So those are the kinds of things that we will be

fleshing out here along the way. I think there is certainly a reasonable interpretation that any surface disturbance on the ASRC portion of land doesn't count against the 2,000-acre limitation on federal land.

I'm sure that some other lawyer is going to interpret that differently and test us but, you know, those are the kinds of things that -- you know, we can't tell KIC and ASRC where to put a barge landing, but if there are parts of the federal acreage that you know would be a bad place for a barge landing, then it would be good for us to know that.

MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: One comment on your barge landing. I'd like to see a more appropriate barge landing here in Kaktovik. Last year during our whaling time we got a barge landed where we pull up our whale.

MR. JOE BALASH: Okay. And that's close here, close by here?

MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: Yeah, to service our village.

MR. JOE BALASH: Okay.

MR. CHARLES LAMPE: Where we pull up our whales when we do our fall whale hunt, we get three whales a year, and we usually use that part of the beach to pull up the whale to divide amongst the community. And unfortunately, that's the spot where the barge comes in to

deliver fuel and vehicles and equipment and whatever is needed. That's usually where they dock.

And from what it sounds like, the remediation project that they have going on right now with the hangar, they are asking if they can use that portion of the beach to dock and unload all the contaminated gravel and steel and everything. And we are fighting it, but I don't know exactly how much of a say we have on whether or not it's going to be able to be off limits for them.

So a barge landing would be nice. I mean, we can do a road to the other end to the island where it's pretty much open ocean. There is a spot there that a barge landing would be nice or, you know, some place other than where we pull up our whales.

Also with the ice road deal, the infrastructure for the beginning -- I worked on Alpine when it was first in construction, so I've worked on, like, the runway and the first pad that was built there. It's mainly done in the wintertime, so ice roads can be built so heavy trucks can haul gravel from mine sites. The majority of pretty much all of the construction for gravel pads and stuff is done during the wintertime. And we have never really had an ice road here. We have Rolligons that come that deliver supplies every once in a while, but not very often.

But an ice road, I think the North Slope Borough may

have in the works right now to start doing ice roads every winter to each of the villages, which would be really nice. We would be able to get more groceries and vehicles and fuel or whatever we need a lot easier and better access to be able to leave, you know, during winter. You know, during the summertime it wouldn't matter, but during the winter it would really help, so --

MR. JOE BALASH: So the mention of the fall whaling activity sparks something for me, which is, you know, typically the equipment for exploration gets moved in seasonally, right? So if you imagine the barge traffic that may be increased for that, what kind of activity or limitations on activity need to be considered so that there is not interference with your fall whaling?

MR. CHARLES LAMPE: We kind of have something in place right now with Bowhead Transportation and Carlisle.

MR. GLEN SOLOMON: What he's really talking about is we work with the oil companies to determine on when we do do our fall whaling hunt so everything goes at a halt. And they had to write a contract on that and sign it and everything. But Bowhead Transportation don't want to sign that contract or anything like that. So whenever they come and go as they please while we are doing our hunting, we would like to

see that put to a stop because once the oil industry says, okay, we will put it at a stop, how long you need and everything, and once we get done with our whaling hunts, they get back into production or whatever they are doing and everything like that, seismic and everything like that. It's just everything is put to a halt.

But we would sure love to see Bowhead Transportation do that, also, because, you know, it was kind of disturbing last year where we would be out there seven, eight miles out and seeing a barge out there and calling them on the radio and saying you guys are not supposed to be out here but, you know, they never signed that contract or anything. And I'd sure love to see that.

And also I would really love to see a road system out of here because, you know, it would bring more infrastructure to our community and also it would lower the cost of plane tickets, the cost of freight, groceries coming into our village because, you know, you go to the store here and buy a gallon of milk for, like, \$20 and, you know, like if you are down there Anchorage, you buy a gallon of milk for, like, \$5. Try buy a loaf of bread for \$5 where you buy them for, like, a quarter, 75 cents to a dollar, but it's pretty spendy because the high price of Ravn, it really takes a toll on our village because, you know, they are the only airlines that come into our

village.

We brought it up at AFN so many years and nothing has ever been done with it. And you know, like you said, having other airlines come through here, but Ravn has monopolized the whole North Slope where they set the price and everything. It's pretty harsh because we ask for lower prices on airline tickets, and what they do, they higher up the prices and everything like that and also the freight. It's like 2.50, \$3 for a pound, and that's pretty rough.

Thanks.

MS. LILLIAN LAMPE: My name is Lillian

Lampe, and I'm here working in the community, and I travel
the villages. I'm originally from Nuiqsut, and I've
experienced that, the environmental impact to our
community. The benefits are great. It really helps the
community. I just -- I know BLM doesn't do -- Fish &
Wildlife to do studies for you. And I would suggest that
the Native village in your community get resources to hire
a company that will -- what do you call that? Yeah, that
will help do your studies. And they do it every year.
And they are real good because they studied our fish, and
then they give those statements back to us with BLM, ASRC.
And there is a lot of good things about it.

But the thing I'm concerned about is the water

resource. If they are going to build ice roads, I don't know how much -- you know, the lakes you have around the communities, if they are going to build ice roads, make sure they study those because they have fish. And they are the healthiest fish in there other than the river and the ocean because nothing probably gets into those lakes. But they are really good fish. That's what we found out in our years. And they just need to do more studies on how deep the lake is. And there is fish in there. And I just wanted to bring that up.

The impacts that we have are great. And the mitigation, the funds, you know, whatever your community wants. I just want to say that make sure you get all mitigated for what your community needs because we have those mitigations, as well. We got natural gas. We have -- we don't have cheaper prices in the store because our corporation got a business with AC store, and they are expensive. But it really helps to get things into your community, especially if there is -- if you wanted to build your own homes because that's what we struggle for.

Those are our impacts. Homes for the -- you know, for your grandkids. I have grandkids. They need a home. They are not going to live in my 20-, 30-year-old home. It's not going to last.

But those kind of statements are very crucial, and it

will help you. And the benefits are good. Our hunting, it's deterred [sic], but you know what? We still can hunt. We have those rights. We still can hunt the food that we eat, but they need to follow through when -- when we ask for something to protect our food, our land, our resources, they have to follow those. And I hope that, you know, those things will be in place before.

And then the -- the base for -- like if they are going to drill somewhere and they -- they won't have an airport. If something emergent happens, Barter Island has got to be the base because you have an airport. It will help. I just wanted to bring that up. I think I got a lot more. But I care about my communities. I work for my communities in all the eight villages on the Slope. And I come to meetings like this. And I went to Point Lay meeting, too, as well. And I'm here today, so I just want to voice out.

Thank you.

MR. CHARLES LAMPE: As far as the airstrip and the airline about flying workers in or the infrastructure for that, I know there has been concern from a few residents about not having Kaktovik as a central hub because we don't want the influx of people coming in just to go to work and having to go through Kaktovik all the time, that people have to come in and out

of Kaktovik. We would rather have it the way it was kind of set up at Alpine where they have their own air strip there or like a central hub like Kuparuk or Deadhorse where they can fly in there without affecting Kaktovik so much and use that as more of a drop-off place for the workers and have them either helicoptered out or flown out with a smaller flight to the different fields.

But it would be nice to get more airlines flying up here, like Glen and a few other people said. Ravn has a monopoly on our little village. We spend \$700 round trip per person to fly to Fairbanks to take our kids on vacation or shop or, you know, just to get out of the village for a while.

But during the summer months we have a lot of tourists that come in, and they take up the flights every summer from, I want to say, end of July, beginning of August till the bears leave or till it freezes over, till November.

And we have a lot of people with health issues, you know, people that have to go out for dental or medical issues that -- I know it's hard for them to get a flight out.

But that isn't the worst thing. The worst thing is if you get a flight out and your stay is made longer because you have more appointments that weren't scheduled

or something happens, either you get weathered out, you can't get a flight back home, so you are stuck in Fairbanks or Anchorage during the peak season of tourism where the prices for a hotel or car rental double or triple where low-income families here aren't able to pay for that, but because of the one airline with flights booked daily, not able to get back home, we have seen a lot of people get stuck in Anchorage or Fairbanks on --for medical reasons and just have no place to live or no food to eat and rely on communities. We pull together as a community and make sure that that person has a place to stay or food to eat or relatives in town that will help them out.

But we need more -- more emphasis on getting more airlines or different ways of people to either travel back and forth or get goods that we need just for daily living here. You know, it's -- like I said, it's hard living up here, and it costs a lot. Yeah, we make a lot of money. We make high wages, but those wages are offset because of how expensive things are.

Natural gas would be great. I mean, that would help so many people in this community with heating alone, even just to get a natural gas pipeline or a natural gas well drilled here to help offset the cost of fuel because we have our fuel barged in. What is it, \$7 a gallon for

diesel for gas, and for residential -- I mean, it costs an arm and a leg just to stay warm during the winter. And for us to be able to get a natural gas pipeline or natural gas to help heat the homes would benefit our community so much. Even if that's all that came out of this, I mean, that would be worth it.

But you know, it's -- it's going to be hard the next 10, 15 -- it's not going to happen right away. It's not going to be developed in a year or two or five or whatever. It's not going to happen right away. We are going to be affected slowly. And we as a community need to make sure that we are taken care of. Not only us, but our future generations are taken care of and that our voice is heard and that it isn't overshadowed by outside entities or other groups that think they know what's best for us here when they don't even really come and experience what we live through every -- every day, every year, every week.

You know, it's -- it's disheartening hearing comments on TV and radio from people who will never, ever step foot here. But, you know, I've traveled to Washington to advocate for the opening of ANWR so we can develop our land, and just having people there that haven't even stepped foot in Alaska have a say in whether you can develop your land or use your resources, they have more of

a say than we do, and we live here.

You know, that's the hardest thing. You know, people telling you no, telling you you can't do what you want to do with your resources to better your family and your community, that's the hardest thing. They gave us this land. They took the land away, then they gave us a little bit back and told you you can't do anything with it. You know, it took an act of Congress just for us to be able to have these talks, you know, and that's amazing. I never thought that was going to happen, you know, not in my lifetime, but it has.

I'm not a Trump supporter or a Hillary supporter.

I'm just for us. I mean, this is to benefit us as a people, as a community. And you know, it's going to -it's going to benefit not only us. It's going to benefit the North Slope Borough by the tax revenue that they get.

It's going to benefit Alaska from the Trans-Alaska

Pipeline. It's going to keep oil flowing. It's going to keep TAPS going.

I'd rather have it onshore than offshore. I'm against offshore. I'm a whaler. I know, you know, how difficult it would be for, you know, an oil spill to be cleaned up. I'd rather have it onshore where we would be able to at least contain it and deal with it.

But you know, having -- I remember growing up. I'm

42 years old. I remember growing up and watching my dad go house to house with a water truck filling everybody's water tank so they have water to bathe, to cook, you know, to clean their house. We remember walking -- having to use the bathroom in a bucket and picking that bucket up and walking it outside. It was one of the daily, weekly chores that we have to remove our own human waste into a 55-gallon drum so it could be dumped out in the sewage lagoon. We remember those days. I'm not that old. But our kids, I don't want them to have to experience that. They can experience that when they go camping, but as far as having flushing toilets and running water, I don't want to deal with that. We get that because of the tax revenue from the North Slope Borough. And this would add to that.

This building that we are sitting in with the new gym, flush toilets, a lot of the jobs that we work is a benefit from oil discovery and oil production. And, you know, just having that become a possibility on our own land is something I'm looking forward to hopefully seeing and for wishing in the near future.

So thank you.

MS. ALLISON WARDEN: I'm a -- I don't live here. I live in Anchorage. I was born and raised in Fairbanks. I'm one of those Inupiaqs that probably couldn't survive a winter up here, and I definitely

couldn't afford to live here. I would if I could, but it
definitely takes a certain kind of toughness that -- I
could do it, but --

MR. CHARLES LAMPE: You got family here.

5 You could do it.

MS. ALLISON WARDEN: For a while, I was involved with the environmental organizations, Sierra Club. People saw me coming around. I thought it was good because I got to see how they operate and how they talk about our people when the people aren't in the room. Got to go to Arctic Village. I -- being that deep into those organizations and those big NGOs really woke me up in terms of how our people are viewed by these big, huge, multimillion-dollar corporations. I think it would be a lot easier if Inupiaqs weren't here for a lot of different -- because we are left out of the conversation or even just left out of the whole narrative a lot of times.

I have so many stories. And I -- of where I was just, like, are you serious? No mention of the Inupiaq at all in certain narratives. At all. You know, at big, huge lobbying sessions to try to -- and I just saw how the money works and how the environmental organizations utilize their big, huge bases of people who want to protect wilderness and have this romanticized idea of what

wilderness is, like C.C. says who has never been here and how they sell this idea of this place that's pristine and all these things.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And it was -- it was really hard. You know, I let it -- I was like, I don't work with the Sierra Club I don't even -- I don't know if anyone is here. anymore. But I know someone is here from them, but I don't even want to talk to them. And the Wilderness League, they are like the worst, you know. And another eye-awakening moment was when I was in a meeting at the White House or something, and I was just there -- they were like, hey, do you want to go to this thing? I was like, I'll go listen in and see what they are saying. And the Gwich'in were lobbying for their area not to be wilderness and for our area to be wilderness. And so that was one of those moments where I was, like, whew, you know. It's things like that.

But I'm glad I went through it because I can talk to you guys about it. I can talk to the people here about what I've seen. It was really hard. I think there is grassroots organizations that are indigenous. So I mean, that doesn't -- what I've said is that the power is in the people who live here. I don't live here. And I made that clear all the way. I don't live in Kaktovik. My voice doesn't -- what matters is the people who live there. The

power is in the tribes. The power is in the people who live here.

And I'm -- it makes me happy to hear that the people who live here are standing up for what they need and what they want and how they want to do it. I think it's a unique opportunity for the people here who live here to come together. I have a list from my uncle and say this is what we -- this is how it's going to happen and this is what we demand, you know. And I see -- you know, it's -- I had a really hard time today because I was, like -- my uncle gave me this list, and I was, like, I agree with everything on here.

And I could just talk to any of you about my experiences working with the big NGOs and how they use the polar bear's emblem of this place, how the -- there is just a lot of money. There is a lot a lot of money behind these big, huge organizations, and there is no voice from the Inupiaq.

MR. CHARLES LAMPE: They have all the money, and none of it comes here.

MS. ALLISON WARDEN: None of it comes here. And I did try to tell them -- I was like, if you want to change people's minds here, then make all the houses green and live off totally solar. I said, get a natural gas pipeline here. Do this concrete action. Get

it so -- you know, natural gas so people don't have to pay diesel. So I was a challenging person for them to work with because I would call home and talk to people and ask what was really going on and what people wanted. It's hard because I'm one of those people that will get my feelings hurt, you know, not seeing the place the way it was or is now.

But I believe in my cousin and the leadership that's in place right now. Yeah, I was -- I just had my own -- you know, I decided to put all my energy towards art. So I have been doing art exhibitions in museums and things like that. I travel all over the world doing my art because I -- there was no place for me within that fight because I don't live here.

So you know, that's just some things I wanted to say. You know, my uncle at one point when I came here with the Sierra Club gave me this great book called In This Place, a Guide for Those Who Would Work in the Country of Kaktovikmiut. And I thought that was really important to read because it comes from the people and the land here. Yeah.

I think it's important for you guys to have the conversations, the direct -- I think -- I don't know. For some reason, like with the environmental organizations, they never came here. They weren't coming here to talk to

the people for -- and when I had that film festival here, that was the first time in 20-plus years that they even tried to engage with the community directly, except for -- but they were doing all this lobbying and gathering of signatures and all this other stuff to protect the refuge, but without actually talking to the people here.

So that was really -- you know, you could only see so much of that, you know, until you are, like -- you know, it's really hard. It doesn't -- it's not right.

And so I think the more that you guys have direct conversations and the more people in the village that get involved and actually work on what it's going to look like and -- I mean, this -- and also retributions [sic] for things that went wrong. There is Native allotments that were never -- that aren't -- aren't rectified. People don't -- my mom is one of them. She doesn't have her Native allotment. There is -- you know, there are so many impacts that happen and we haven't gotten reimbursed for any of them. The experiments that were done on us, on our people when they were kids.

Nobody signed up to be a refuge. And that's what I had been saying when I was in the environmental belly. I was, like, nobody ever signed the paper to be a refuge. So it was something that kind of landed on us, and then it restricted a lot of the ways that people use their land

traditionally. And it's frustrating, I know, for the hunters not to be able to go on four-wheelers like their cousins do in Barrow. It's crazy that you have to get some kind of permit to go in the ocean, a fancy Coast Guard permit. All the regulations around here.

But the power is in the people, and it's always been in the people and the people who live here. I live in Anchorage. I have land here. I would love to build on it. I would love to live here. I take care of my mom now in Anchorage. So she's there.

There is something else I wanted to say. But I think my cousin will say a lot. I just wanted to say to the people here in Kaktovik, I've seen the whole everything of these big, huge environmental organizations. I was way up deep in it, and I was -- my whole -- I was just so disheartened. And when I needed them the most, you know, they just cut me off without any warning and weren't there for me when I needed them. And just like now they are doing the things that in a way that they -- there is no learning that happens because I tried to talk to them and teach them how to even come into the community in the proper way, and there is still no learning, even now. There is no learning. And I'm talking about the environmental groups. It's just not --

warning, no proper protocol, nothing. And the president of -- or the west chair of Sierra Club, Dan -- you guys might have seen him here before. He brought them here, and he knew that he was supposed to -- he knew all the things he was supposed to do, but he did it anyway. And the trips they have taken so many people up the river, the Sierra Club, the famous people. They don't even come into the village or spend any money. I mean, I've seen it all, you know, and I'm glad I saw it.

You know, it's -- it's a big business. It's a big business, both sides. And yeah, and it's selling the idea of this wilderness. And I just -- it's not wilderness. It's your home. It's the home of my ancestors. It's -- I just -- it's been pretty --

Anyway, I just wanted to say that the power is in the people here, and it's up to them. All the power is in what they have to say. They know the specifics of what needs to be done. I think the whole community, you know, needs to get involved with like -- okay, because they -- you know like the baseline health study, I think that's a great idea and baseline for all the animals. I really can't say because I don't -- I don't know. But I agree with what my uncle is saying. Yeah.

So that's my story. I still make art. I do make art. I'm going to make an art show around, you know,

things. I used to make art around the -- around -- I had a show where I had both sides, both voices of for and against opening the refuge, and it was a show. Both sides were represented in different characters, but I stopped making art around it. I was done. I was just like done with all of that. So I made a ceremonial house, Inupiaq ceremonial house instead. I am going to make a show around -- but I'll be back in September with some artists to look at polar bears. They are artists from Iceland. And they are going to make art. So that's the first time I'm taking a group to look at the bears. It's hard -- it's hard to come here and -- my mom and I are here. She is here six weeks and I'm here until I pack up her whole house and move her back down to Anchorage with me.

So anyway, I'm willing to talk to anyone personally about what I saw and how it felt to be in those spaces and how much the Inupiaq voice was not even on the radar, especially with the big, huge campaigns and all different kinds of ways.

I was in Paris and they wanted to do a video of me for the climate change meetings, and they were, like, why do you support protecting the refuge. And I was, like -- I looked at them. I was, like, I don't support protecting the refuge. So I think they always get surprised. I was, like, I don't believe in the refuge. The refuge happened

and landed. It's not something people would have agreed to if they knew what was going on. I don't think any of our ancestors would have agreed to the designation of land and how everything happened. So that was wrong from the get-go.

And you know, you have got to understand the impacts that have been here haven't been here that long. You know, the Boston whalers didn't come here. We were protected pretty much from the outside until, you know --

I just want to share a story about my great grandfather Akootchook who I'm named after. My mom always told me that he would only let officers into the village, and he would taste everything before he would let people -- he would taste everything before the other people could eat them. So that's just something to think about is that he was -- when the military first came, not just any soldier could come into the village. It was only officers or higher. So he protected the village as much as he could from just all the officers just anybody running free, and he did the taste test.

So we have the ability to get retribution [sic], I think especially for the experiments that were done and for the -- everything. There are so many things that just have been done that are so wrong. I think this is a moment to talk about those things and bring them out and

make sure that they are all handled and the money is going to that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I hate to see how it might look, but I appreciated what C.C. said about the people that will still be here to deal with it as it goes. So it's hard. Yeah. I don't know. I just -- I just wanted to share that.

I want to share another story because I was in Gwich'in territory and I was in Arctic Village, and they said, you know, every time you guys have a medevac late at night, we see that plane come over. We see it because they -- and they say, we pray for you guys whenever we see that medevac plane. And that really touched my heart. there is real people who live there. I just think they should have come up and -- I don't appreciate that there has been no effort from their part to come here and meet the people and come to the land. I tried when I was with the Sierra Club to get a charter of Kaktovik people there and Gwich'in people here, but there was no funding for that from the environmental organizations. There is no funding for all my ideas that I thought might be good, and they were healthy. Yeah. It's hard. So I just walked away from those. But I'm still in --

You know, anyway, I have been talking a long time. Thank you.

MR. CHARLES LAMPE: Is this the only

1 meeting that BLM is going to have up here in Kaktovik?

MR. JOE BALASH: This is the only scoping meeting, but we will be back, I'm sure, to have government-to-government meetings with and consultation with KIC and ASRC. So eventually there will be a draft Environmental Impact Statement prepared, and when that is complete and ready for publication, it will go out for public comment, and we will come back and have a public meeting on the draft EIS. It will contain all the different alternatives, different ways of doing the leasing. And so yes, we will come back for that.

And you know, as far as the dialogue on how to -- how to prepare that EIS, you know, that is something that I think it's really important for people to understand that the tribe is able to engage us and talk to us, regardless of what the comment deadlines are. So you know, the tribe can talk to us at any point along the way. And you are not just another stakeholder. You have a special relationship with the federal government that we have to honor.

And, you know, I am personally committed to engaging on this project. That's why I'm here today. And I will be back again in the future. I'm able to come up to Alaska roughly once a quarter to do these kinds of meetings in communities and with the various governments.

And so if we need to talk more frequently than that, it may have to be by phone or video conference but, you know, we are here to be available and accessible to make sure we are getting the right input and understanding from the community.

MR. CHARLES LAMPE: Make sure before you guys come up the next time for better advanced -- more information for the community about when you will be coming up and a different time for your meetings. I know you guys planned it for the afternoon, which is not a good time because a lot of the community works, and we don't get off till 5:00, a lot of the majority of the community. So it would be better to have the meetings at a later time, say 6:00. 6:30.

MR. JOE BALASH: Okay. Ida says no.

MS. IDA ANGASAN: Would you define
scoping, please. Define scoping.

MR. JOE BALASH: So scoping is one of the phases for us under the National Environmental Policy Act. When we are considering a federal action, we have to take into account the impacts associated with that action, and we have to look at what are the likely impacts under a -- if somebody comes to us with an application for a project, let's say, or if we as the government are initiating a new program like this one, we have to analyze the impacts and

we have to look at different ways of accomplishing the purpose of the action.

And so in this case what we are looking at is how to conduct the lease sales that Congress has told us we need to conduct. So we will look at the various descriptions and conditions, but this phase we are in right now is where we are identifying all of the issues, all of the elements that we will study in the EIS document so that when this draft comes out sometime maybe later this year, we will have looked at all of the things that people raise in these meetings or in these comments.

And it's important -- you know, you don't have to say in front of everybody here what it is you think we need to look at. You can write it down on one of the comment cards. You can send us an email. All of that gets compiled together, and we, after the comment period closes, prepare what's called a scoping report.

MS. IDA ANGASAN: Thank you.

MR. GLEN SOLOMON: I have one more, too, to add onto that is infrastructure because, you know, we have all these -- our old artifacts and everything that are -- that are somewhere else, and we would love to have a museum here so we could bring all our artifacts here. And you know, that would make a job for somebody to take care of the museum and also somebody there to tell them

our tradition, our knowledge of the past and everything like that, how Kaktovik became Kaktovik. I thought I'd put that out to you.

Thanks.

MR. MATTHEW REXFORD: Hello. My name is Matthew Rexford, and I'm the president of Kaktovik Inupiag Corporation. I'd like to first of all thank you folks for coming to Kaktovik to hear what the people here have to say. There is a lot of good input that was shared today. And I just wanted to reiterate also that I'm lifelong resident of Kaktovik. All the gravel roads you see, the runway, the lights, the power, the electricity, the running water, this school, our clinic, public safety officers, the buildings, North Slope Borough, all of this is the benefits of development in our region on the North Slope. And we see those benefits and live with those.

And I like what has been brought up previously, that the Bureau of Land Management should read In This Place. It's a City of Kaktovik document that they worked on in the '90s all about the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge and history on what the people and leaders in those days have produced and recorded. It's a guide for those who would work in the country of the Kaktovikmiut. Kaktovikmiut are the people, the traditional people, the tribal people who have lived here

and have always lived here.

And I would also say that you should include recommendations that those who would participate in any lease sale for the coastal plain, that they review those documents, as well, because that's what pretty much -- essentially what those documents are for, for those who would like to work in the country of Kaktovikmiut, any organization, any business.

And to ensure that the Kaktovikmiut are an integral part of this process, their voices should be heard, as you heard them, above those who do not live on or near the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

And I like the idea of a village health assessment.

And that should be a part of this process, as well, to provide a baseline measure of the health of the community and to assure that we are not negatively impacted.

And I would like to say that BLM should ensure that any adverse effects to the wildlife and subsistence resources are thoroughly studied and protected.

Indigenous knowledge from the community members should be incorporated into any environmental and/or wildlife studies conducted in the coastal plain, and the Kaktovikmiut should be consulted on how these studies are conducted.

We see some scientific studies with poor planning.

1 Once the polar bears was listed as a threatened species.

2 That mandated the United States government to

3 scientifically study the polar bear. And that scientific

4 study was highly invasive. They used a helicopter to dive

5 bomb every single polar bear in the state of Alaska that

they encountered on that study. Dart gunned them, every

7 single one. Tranquilized them, did not assure that the

8 bear was not -- no longer had the tranquilizing effects

before they left them. So yes, the people should have a

say in how the research is conducted.

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I would recommend to the Fish & Wildlife Service to enter into a comanagement arrangement with the community of Kaktovik for that very reason.

There should be a thorough review of closed and pending Native allotments, especially those closed with the withdrawal of the military Public Land Order 82 from Barter Island.

The community needs to be provided access, especially all-terrain vehicle, in the summer and fall months across land on the coastal plain and into the wilderness areas of the refuge for hunting, fishing, camping and subsistence use sites. Support should be provided to the community, especially the Native Village of Kaktovik, to assist in their capacity to effectively participate in this process and to provide a tribal impact liaison.

Impact aid has not been addressed. It was brought up, and Kaktovik needs to know that they will receive assistance for their community.

The community desires to have access to natural gas, for reasons previously stated. And the -- another issue is the roads. That is another tough issue that our community has spoken on and about. And I see the benefits of having a road to Kaktovik, along with a bridge, perhaps.

During the polar bear tour seasons, folks can be -won't have an available flight for some -- some folks
longer than three weeks before they could come back into
the community, and they left for medical purposes. And
that's a hardship on our people. It's a life, health and
safety concern and issue.

Thank you for being here. Thanks.

MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: Way to speak strong, Matthew.

MR. CHARLES LAMPE: How much more weight is given to the voice of the people who are actually from Kaktovik and live in Kaktovik? How much more of an effect or how much -- is our voice more heard, or rather than listening to environmental groups or people that don't live here, how much more do you value our opinions, or is there more of a value of our opinions in your ears and

- eyes? And what you will bring back to Washington and to
 the people that you guys answer to and work for? How much
 will our words really matter to you is what I want to
 know.
- 5 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: They work 6 for the government.
- 7 MR. CHARLES LAMPE: I'm not speaking to 8 you. I was speaking to them.
- 9 MS. IDA ANGASAN: We want to hear what 10 they have to say.

- MR. JOE BALASH: So everything about this is going to be reviewed and probably challenged in the courts. And so I will tell you that we have to consider the input and comments of everybody who comments in this process.
- 16 MR. CHARLES LAMPE: I understand that.
 - MR. JOE BALASH: But, you know, the Deputy Secretary and myself came here in February to let the community know that this process was going to begin, that we were going to be coming back for scoping. And the reason we did that is because we know the impacts that are going to be felt and the consequences of this program are going to be felt most directly by the people who live here and nearby. So we are paying very close attention to what the people here have to say. And we want to take every

bit of that into account as we develop this document and this program.

So of course we are going to have to weigh the comments of everybody, but -- but when it comes to identifying those things that matter most that are specific to the locations here and the outlying areas, you know, it's your knowledge that is -- is going to instruct us the best. That's probably the safest way for me to say that.

MR. CHARLES LAMPE: Okay.

MS. IDA ANGASAN: Thank you. I'm going to stand up again. My name is Ida Angasan. I'm with NVK. My father, my dad is Isaac Kupaak Akootchook. He retired from the DEW line, ITT. And he's 96 years old, alert and well and everything. He doesn't go much of anywhere except to KIC and ASRC meetings, you know. Those are his biggest events, you know, and I think that's very -- he likes that.

And I've known my dad to say change is coming.

Change is happening. When we don't like the change, we have to think about it, think of our mind and what we think about it and how are we going to process it. And he says oil companies have improved. Oil can be drilled onshore. He emphasized onshore, but not offshore. How are you going to clean the icebergs? How are you going to

clean the gas and the oil from the icebergs out there?

Has anybody ever in their life has oil companies gone out
to the ocean and cleaned icebergs? Anyone?

hazard.

My dad and my uncles, my cousins, they built that DEW line up there back in the '50s and '60s. You know who your dad is. You guys know who your parents are, your grandparents. They built that DEW line. They built their own houses out of scraps, plywood scraps that they found in the dump that the DEW line people dumped into the end of the old runway down there. It's full of scraps, metal and iron. And everything is rusted. And that's still a -- what do you call that? Help. It's a --

MR. CHARLES LAMPE: Environmental

MS. IDA ANGASAN: It's an environmental -it's a hazard. It is. How would you like to live with
metal and with all that stuff down there? But that's how
they built their own places. We would wake up in the
morning to go to school. It was cold. Has any of you
ever wake up to a cold house to go to school? Have you
walked to the school in the winter in the blizzards and
anything in your life? That's the way we lived. And then
my dad says we chopped wood. We pack water. You see that
water lake we have? We lived down there. We used to -they used to pack water from there to our houses, you

know. They had to scrape for five-gallon buckets and stuff like that, make their own yokes with whatever string or rope you can find, you know, and make a yoke.

They built their own houses. They built their own beds. They built everything of their own. Our parents never went to school. My parents didn't. But they learned to live with the change.

And when they -- when the DEW line dumped things into the dump down there, our parents would go down there and see -- find and see what -- if they could find something that is useful to us, maybe a bowl or maybe plates or maybe silverware or fork that was thrown away by the DEW line people up there. To this day, I can say this: My dad -- my mom and dad found a big set of soup bowls, but they are thin ones. We call them soup bowls. They are like plates, but they have these little things on them, and they are glass. They don't shatter like today's glass. Those were made long ago, and they -- my dad -- my dad still has those. Those are from the dump that was -- that they went and got, you know, so --

Today what do we do? My dad says -- he says, come over here. So I go with him to the kitchen sink, and he says, look. He turns the faucet, and it comes on; whereas, before we have to pack water from up there so we could wash dishes with, wash our bodies with, wash our

houses with and everything else. You know, we used -- sparing water.

And I'm going to say this. Even though there is a tub of water for there, we started with the babies first and then went one, two, three, four, five -- there were six of us, and we all used the same water so we could bathe once a week. Once a week. What did they use for diapers? Scraping the diapers with whatever we had. And it's -- and that's how we used it.

And my dad says, go to the bathroom. What does he do? He said, look. Press a button. What does it do? All the -- you just flow it -- you know, the water picks it up, and it goes onto the sewer water. That is the easiest thing he said he's ever done in his life. Whereas, before you get these honey buckets, buckets that you use, you put the plastic in there and you had to go to the -- make that 52-gallon drum and open it up and put the waste -- the human waste in there. So -- anyways --

And our clothing. The women used the needle and thread. Many of the women, and even men, you know, how did they make our clothes? They -- they would sew fur and calico and stuff, and order -- long ago they found calfskin. They used that to make designs for their -- to -- maybe the designs for their clothing, and then they used caribou. They would sew the caribous together so you

could have the clothing for your body and you stay warm. The fur, the wolverine and the fur and the wolf and any kind of fur you have, like this, you know, you put the hood over you, it keeps you warm from the blizzards and the wind. So it's -- everything is sewn by hand.

How many children do you have? Six. Six children to sew for. Everything is sewed by hand by the women. Long ago they used candle lights with seal oil, and the women are sewing inside the igloo. Means the ice -- you know, the snow, igloo.

Thank you. I'm not finishing it. I just have to say that.

MR. CHARLES LAMPE: I heard him say almost at every KIC meeting that he's had to live, learn, adapt, but make sure that he takes care of his family and community all the time. And progress is always moving forward and never going back. And in the past was hard. They were hard people. And the things that they have gone through, the way of life that they lived is just almost unimaginable. Now just think of how easy we have it.

And they still installed our core values of family, land, animals, taking care of each other, taking care of the land and making sure that our family and the land is always taken care of. And you know, I'm truly grateful for that. So -- I'm looking forward to seeing Isaac at

1 the meeting.

MS. NICOLE HAYES: So I think we have until 9:00 p.m., so we probably have another half an hour if people want to come up and share anything they haven't shared yet.

MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: Who are you coordinating with here to notify the community of the meeting? Who are you talking to to tell them that you are coming for the meeting?

MS. NICOLE HAYES: So we have been -- we sent out mailings to everyone we could find a P.O. box to. We sent fliers out and notified online. We have had press releases. I've contacted KIC and NVK and the city council. I actually originally coordinated the meeting with the city council and the community hall, but when this got rescheduled because of the death in the community, I think there is a council meeting tonight at 7:00, which is why we scheduled the meeting earlier to try not to conflict with that.

So if there are other ways we should be reaching out to the community, please pass it on and we will make sure to do that next time.

MR. CHARLES LAMPE: See, a lot of us didn't receive the notification of the meeting or the place or time.

```
1
                    MS. NICOLE HAYES: You didn't get card
    mailings?
2
3
                    MR. CHARLES LAMPE:
                                         No.
                    MS. NICOLE HAYES: Did anybody get card
 4
5
    mailings?
                    UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: We haven't
6
7
    had mail for two weeks.
8
                    MR. CHARLES LAMPE: That's a problem with
9
    having only one airline coming in. They carry what they
10
    want to carry, even if it is U.S. mail.
11
                    MS. NICOLE HAYES: They probably wouldn't
12
    have had the updated date on it. That's good to know.
13
                    MR. JOE BALASH: Is there a way to let the
    community know that people see or hear? Is there a radio
14
15
    program or --
16
                    MR. CHARLES LAMPE:
                                         KBRW you can call.
17
    lot of people listen to it. There's a village liaison
18
    that can get a hold of people either at the Native village
    office or the Kaktovik Inupiag Corporation office.
19
    can call the city and have somebody put out fliers.
20
                    That's how a lot of people probably found
21
    word of mouth.
22
    out. Facebook.
```

MR. JOE BALASH: Is there a community

23

24

25

Facebook page?

- 1 Kaktovik announcement page.
- MS. NICOLE HAYES: That's good to know.
- 3 BLM has a Facebook page, too, or however that works.
- 4 MR. CHARLES LAMPE: Send a friend request to
- 5 Kaktovik announcements.

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 6 MS. NICOLE HAYES: Okay.
- 7 MR. CHARLES LAMPE: Like I said, a later 8 time for the meetings so everybody who has something to 9 say will be present and available for the meeting. Having it at 3:00 in the afternoon -- have it later. Like a lot 10 of people get off work at 5:00 and they want to go home 11 12 and eat. We came here at 4:00, so a lot of us have been 13 here the whole time and haven't gone home to eat. So like 6:00, 6:30 you know, that would be -- that would be a 14 15 little bit better.
 - MR. JOE BALASH: Okay.
- MR. CHARLES LAMPE: But again, I want to say thank you for coming and listening to the concerns of people from Kaktovik. Thank you.
 - MR. JOE BALASH: If it might be easier, we can maybe all stand up and mingle outside and you can ask us questions individually, if you like. You know, we have got Fish & Wildlife Service, two people from the Fish & Wildlife Service, at least two people from BLM, myself from the headquarters in Washington. Our contractors are

```
all here. We have the Commissioner of Fish & Game, the
1
    Deputy Commissioner of DNR and the Lieutenant Governor
2
    from the state. They are cooperators on this, and we are
3
    relying on their expertise to help inform some of our
 4
5
    analysis of decisionmaking. So you know, there is --
    there is a lot of people here who you can talk to, and
6
7
    maybe that would be a little easier if -- if you just want
8
    to just talk. Mingle, yeah.
9
                    MR. DENNIS STACEY:
                                         I have a question,
10
    Joe. How many acres are in ANWR itself in the refuge?
11
                    MR. JOE BALASH: As a whole, I think it's
12
    19 --
13
                    MR. GREG SIEKANIEC: 19.3 million,
    thereabouts.
14
15
                    MR. DENNIS STACEY: 19.3 million acres?
16
                    MR. GREG SIEKANIEC: Is that right,
    Joanna?
17
18
                    MS. JOANNA FOX:
                                      That's right.
19
                    MR. DENNIS STACEY: So we are talking
    about developing 2,000 acres?
20
                    MR. JOE BALASH: Well, the leasing that's
21
    authorized is within the 1002 area, which is 1.6 million,
22
23
    and then the -- so that's counting all of the subsurface
24
    acreage. And the law limits our surface disturbance to no
25
    more than 2,000 acres.
```

1 MR. DENNIS STACEY: Right. Okay

that are going to be constructed, as well?

MS. ADRIENNE TITUS: I have a question.

Does that 2,000 acres also include the infrastructure that's going to be built, the roads to and from the pads

MR. JOE BALASH: So the statutory language refers to the pads and pipelines. So yes, those will -those will count. The -- the statute, though, the
language is not exhaustive. And so, you know, that's
something that we will have to look at very closely and
make sure that, you know, we are counting things
appropriately along the way. And that's something we are
going to have to speak to in the EIS is how we will count
acreage and how a lessee would be able to get approval for
their surface disturbance. That's something that is going

MR. CHARLES LAMPE: The 2,000 acres, is that the final cap on how much will actually be leased out, or will it be -- or does it have the possibility of expanding in the future?

to have to be contemplated up front so that lessees know

what it is they are buying when they buy a lease.

MR. JOE BALASH: So the 2,000 acres doesn't limit how much gets leased. It limits how much surface disturbance there can be.

MR. CHARLES LAMPE: That's a final cap

1 or --

MR. JOE BALASH: That's a cap that will be in place. The agencies can't change that cap. Congress can.

5 MR. CHARLES LAMPE: Okay.

MR. JOE BALASH: But if you are waiting for Congress to do something, you might want to prepare for a long wait.

9 MR. CHARLES LAMPE: Yeah. We have waited.

10 MR. GLEN SOLOMON: Who is the Fish &

11 Wildlife commissioner? This guy right over here?

12 MR. JOE BALASH: So the State Commissioner

MR. JOE BALASH: So the State Commissioner is over here.

MR. GLEN SOLOMON: You are the State

Commissioner, huh? So you know Beth and Jason? They work

out of Fairbanks. Beth Lenart, she works for the area of

fish and wildlife biologists, Alaska Department of Fish &

Game. But anyway, she wrote me this letter. It was last

year. It's about the 50 radio-collared caribou that they

were working on on the Porcupine herd, and they were

saying there were some that was with the Central herd, but

most of them were still with the Porcupine herd. And the

studies that they were doing, they were flying below 500

feet, diverting the caribou more inland and calving

amongst the hills and also close towards -- close towards

the mountains. And she gave me all of the information and everything. And so here is her letter:

Working out of Kaktovik right now to collect data on where the Porcupine herd is calving and what portion of adult cows are giving birth. This data was been collected on this herd since the late 1880s and is important for the international management of this herd. I have attached a memo that has all this calving data since 1987 along with population size estimates. Over the same time period this year and next, we will be collecting more detailed information on calving and movement that we hope will allow us to estimate pregnancy rates and calving distributions using only the movement data provided by the GPS collars on the cows.

So I was going to ask, so has it been successful on this situation on where they are migrating, where are they calving at?

COMMISSIONER SAM COTTEN: Well, yes. Is sounds like you also have some advice for us on how to maybe not do things as far as diverting animals. But yes we have got some ongoing work to determine where the calving takes place. It's -- this year we -- we have assumed that the peak calving period was probably June 6th, a little later than normal, around June 2. We were trying -- I was just looking at some maps out here. The

Calving area was probably between the Kavik River and Yukon Territory. There is another system over there. So there is a broad range, a broad area where the calving took place.

The four-year-old cows were exceeding their normal rate as far as delivering calves. The three-year-olds, you don't get as many of those, so the data was not as good as with the four-year-olds, but that was a little lower than normal. But overall, calving success was good this year. And I think somebody else had mentioned earlier that the population of the herd is up probably --certainly in excess of 200,000 animals. So I'd be glad to share all that data with you and, again, take to heart your advice about how to advise our people to maybe consider some different practices as far as flying low and perhaps diverting the animals. So appreciate that.

And if you would like more information, we certainly have it.

MR. GLEN SOLOMON: Awesome. Thank you.

They have my email address, so you can e-mail it to me if
you want.

COMMISSIONER SAM COTTEN: I'll see you at the break and figure out how to do that.

MR. ROLAND WARRIOR: I'd like to hear what you find out from that. That's conflicting from what I

1 remember hearing the last two or three years.

COMMISSIONER SAM COTTEN: I can share that with everybody here. If you want to check with me, I'll figure out how to email it to you immediately.

MR. GLEN SOLOMON: Thank you.

MR. JOE BALASH: Why don't we go ahead and sort of end the formal part and the recording of the meeting here, and we can continue to mingle and visit a little bit before we have to exit the building. But I do want to -- sir.

MR. BRUCE INGLANGASAK: What I'd like to know is -- my concern is about who are these people that come up with this bright idea of burying PCBs on our beaches? Our DEW line is washing out. This island is washing out. And we got God knows how many tons of PCBs buried about 10, 20 feet above sea level and about 100 feet from the beach. And it's getting -- it's washing out pretty quick. Who are these guys that come up with this bright idea of burying the PCBs in the worst place possible?

MS. NICOLE HAYES: Do you have a contact with the Air Force? Have you --

MR. BRUCE INGLANGASAK: No.

MS. NICOLE HAYES: Because they should have a project -- I mean, I can talk to you on break and I could get contact information for the people that should

1 be responsible.

MR. BRUCE INGLANGASAK: Because I worked for a shipping company in Canada for about ten years, and we have hauled -- I can't remember how many DEW line sites we hauled out from Canada. But we took all their garbage out. Why is it different here and they bury their PCBs here? And that's my biggest concern. PCBs are pretty serious.

Thanks.

MR. JOE BALASH: I just wanted to say thank you again and look forward to continuing to meet and talk not only tonight, but later on in the year we will be back again.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: And one more thing for you hunters or people who go out. There's maps out there if you want to designate the places you hunt, duck hunt or anything, please make sure you note your trails routes on the map.

(Proceedings adjourned at 8:12 p.m.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 I, MARY A. VAVRIK, RMR, Notary Public in and for 2 the State of Alaska do hereby certify: 3 That the foregoing proceedings were taken before 4 5 me at the time and place herein set forth; that the proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later 6 7 transcribed under my direction by computer transcription; 8 that the foregoing is a true record of the proceedings 9 taken at that time; and that I am not a party to nor have I any interest in the outcome of the action herein 10 11 contained. 12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my hand and affixed my seal this ____ day of June 2018. 13 14 15 MARY A. VAVRIK, 16 Registered Merit Reporter Notary Public for Alaska 17 18 My Commission Expires: November 5, 2020 19 20 21 22 23

24

25