		1
1	COASTAL PLAIN OIL AND GAS LEASING PROGRAM	
2	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	
3	PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING	
4		
5	Taken May 29, 2018	
6	Commencing at 4:30 p.m.	
7	Pages 1 - 168, inclusive	
8		
9	Taken at	
10	Carlson Center 2010 2nd Avenue	
11	Fairbanks, Alaska	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	Reported by: Mary A. Vavrik, RMR	
22		
23		
24		
25		

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

MR. DAVID BATTS: All right. Let's take our seats, and we are going to get started. It's 4:30. So wonderful. On behalf of the Department of Interior and the Bureau of Land Management, welcome to the public scoping meeting on the Coastal Plains Oil and Gas Leasing Program Environmental Impact Statement. You will also hear us call it EIS. That's an acronym. We're doing government work, so we have to have some acronyms here.

I really appreciate everybody's time for being here with us tonight. We know your time is valuable. We know it's beautiful weather out. You probably have many other things that you'd rather be doing than sitting in this room today, but we appreciate your participation in the scoping process with us.

Before we get started, just a few announcements and logistical issues. Most importantly, if you need any help or if you have any questions, please find staff with name tags. We'll do whatever we can to get you pointed in the right direction and information you need.

Restrooms are located right outside the main doors that you came in. Men's are to the left of the door.

Women's are to the right. If we need to vacate this room for any reason, we have two emergency exits on either side, also another door on the far side and, of course,

the doors you came in. Always look behind you. The nearest emergency exit may be right behind you.

You are welcome to come and go as you like, but please be respectful of others in the room. If you do leave the building, you will still have to go through the security bag check area. Of course, please silence your cell phones, typical courtesies of that sort. If you need to take any phone calls, take them outside.

If you do want to make verbal comments today and you are not on our prearranged speaker list, you will need a speaker card. The speaker card table is back in the corner by the water. Please be sure that you grab one of those cards. I'll talk much more about that in just a little while.

This meeting will be live streamed today, so you will see some cameras around the room. And it's being broadcast on the Internet and it will also be posted on the BLM YouTube channel in mid-June. We're shooting for around June 8th or so to get that posted so you can share that with friends or family or other interested parties.

Our rough agenda is on the screen. The times are approximate. We are hoping that we can accelerate a few things so we can hear more from you all tonight. We have had our open house. We are going to have a quick overview and welcoming remarks. Then we'll move into the

commenting period. We'll have that happen with some prearranged speakers. I believe we have about 15 or 16. We'll take a quick break, and then we will move into our public speaking category.

When you came in, there is a number of handouts. There is the PowerPoint presentation that you will be seeing today. We will have a handout on that. It will also be going on the public website. We have a little quick fact sheet on the project, and then most importantly is this comment card. You don't have to use this comment card, but we want to hear from you. We love to get your comments, not only verbally, but in writing. So you are welcome to take this card. It also has information on how to submit comments. We have email addresses. We have a website that you go on and prefill it. And of course, you can always fill this out and leave it here tonight or mail it in. An address is there.

I'm going to be back up with some more announcements and processes, but first I'm very pleased to introduce Joe Balash with the Department of Interior, Assistant Secretary for Lands and Minerals Management. Joe.

MR. JOE BALASH: Thank you, David. As many of you know, I grew up locally here just down the road in North Pole. And it's very, very nice to be home, especially with wonderful weather like this. So I want to

thank everybody who has sacrificed a lovely evening to be here. We're all gathered here for the scoping process that kicks off this NEPA review that we are doing. And the real purpose of NEPA is to bring transparency to decision-making by the government for the public.

And so as we go through the process of evaluating how to conduct this leasing program, we need to look at what range of alternatives there are and spell it out in a way that is understandable to both the public as well as the people who need to make decisions, such as myself.

And so what we are looking for the -- for from all of you is those little kernels of information or facets of the impacts associated with oil and gas leasing and ultimately exploration and possibly development, how all of those things affect the community, the environment, the social fabric, not just in the immediate vicinity, but statewide and nationally.

So we are very excited to have the participants from across the spectrum here today. We are wanting to keep an eye out for both the economic opportunities as well as the ecological and wildlife opportunities that are important to a very great number of people here in the state.

I'd like to go ahead and introduce the panel that's up here keeping an eye on all of this. And I'll start at the far end of the table.

Kate MacGregor is the principal Deputy Assistant
Secretary for Land and Minerals Management. She works
with me in Washington. Steve Wackowski, to her right, is
the Senior Advisor for Alaska Affairs to Secretary Ryan
Zinke. Next is Mitch Ellis; he's with the U.S. Fish &
Wildlife Service here in Alaska. He's the Assistant
Regional Director, Chief of Refuges. And then we have got
Ted Murphy, the Associate State Director for BLM in
Alaska. And finally Nicole Hayes; she's the project
manager for the coastal plain EIS.

And I just want to emphasize that, you know, Alaska is my home. I want our future to be bright, but I want everything that is important about Alaska and to Alaskans to remain intact and available for generations to come.

So please keep your comments directed in a constructive way, if you can. This is an emotional issue for some, and we understand that. And we do want to hear from you. But to explain a little bit more about the purpose of tonight and the EIS process and a little bit of the schedule that we will be following as we complete this work, I'm going to have Nicole come up and walk through some slides and explain in further detail what we are trying to accomplish. So thank you.

Nicole.

MS. NICOLE HAYES: Thank you, Joe. As he

mentioned, my name is Nicole Hayes. I'm a project manager with the Bureau of Land Management, and I'm going to go through the NEPA process. And I'm going to talk about the coastal plain EIS. To start off with, I'll cover why we are here, what is the coastal plain oil and gas leasing EIS, what are the requirements of the agency, what are BLM's responsibilities, what is the NEPA process, what does that mean, and how can you participate. I'll cover subsistence and ANILCA Section 810 just because that's a really important component here in Alaska. And then, most importantly, again, how to participate because the whole point of scoping is to hear from stakeholders and the public. And that's what we want to do here today.

So this first slide is part of our requirements.

Well, it is the requirements. The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 that was enacted on December 22, 2017 gave the Secretary of the Interior responsibility through the Bureau of Land Management to implement an oil and gas leasing program within the coastal plain. This is the map that was referenced within Section 20001 of the Tax Act and the 1002 area is referenced as the coastal plain. So you will hear us refer to this EIS as the coastal plain oil and gas leasing EIS.

Within the Tax Act, the Secretary is required to manage the oil and gas program in a manner similar to the

oil and gas leasing program within NPR-A under the Naval Petroleum Reserve's Production Act of 1976. So the Secretary, acting through BLM, has that responsibility to implement the program within the coastal plain, which is about 1.6 million acres of the 19.3 million acres of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

Additional requirements are that there shall be no fewer than two lease sales, with the first lease sale having to occur within four years of enactment of the act, and then the second lease sale shall occur within seven years. And each lease sale must offer no fewer than 400,000 acres of the highest potential acres of hydrocarbon. So those are some general requirements of the Tax Act and what we are focused on today.

There are some other requirements, such as the Secretary shall offer up to 2,000 surface acres of development, and those would be evaluated down the road, but it is also a requirement of the Tax Act.

Some of the agency responsibilities for the coastal plain leasing EIS, Bureau of Land Management has the responsibility for the leasing program EIS. We manage leasing programs. We are the lead agency for the lease sales, so we will conduct lease sales. The Fish & Wildlife Service, who we are working closely with, they administer the surface of the Arctic National Wildlife

Refuge, and they are a cooperating agency. So they have a lot of the resource knowledge, and we intend to work closely with them in development of the EIS.

So this slide shows the BLM oil and gas leasing and development process. It goes all the way from leasing to reclamation. And you can see with that star up there that we are focused on the leasing, EIS, sales and lease issuance. Other things that would happen or could happen would be geophysical exploration pre and post lease, applications for permit to drill, which include both drill and exploration and development, operations and production, inspection and enforcement, and reclamation.

Any surface-disturbing activities or any other activities beyond the lease sale would require a separate NEPA analysis. So we will be having a reasonably foreseeable development scenario and evaluating the requirements in the Tax Act, but any actual on-the-ground disturbances would not be able to be authorized as a result of this EIS, and additional NEPA would have to be done because we would need a project proponent to provide specifics about what they propose to do and have that information to analyze.

This slide is a very exciting slide about the NEPA process. NEPA stands for National Environmental Policy Act. And as Joe mentioned, the requirements of NEPA are

to ensure that we are evaluating information that is important to understand the environmental consequences of what the action or the project requirements are.

So the -- our NEPA process kicked off with the project requirement, which was passing of the Tax Act on December 22nd. When BLM issued the Notice of Intent on April 20th, that initiated the scoping period. The scoping period -- they are typically 30 days. They can go longer. We initiated it with a 60-day scoping period. That's the first major opportunity for public input, and so that's what we're here doing today. The scoping is a really important part of the process because it allows stakeholders, all stakeholders to provide input onto what should be analyzed in the EIS. So we are in the scoping period now.

Once the scoping period closes and concludes, we will develop a scoping report, and that information is what drives what will go into the EIS. BLM will draft the EIS, working with our cooperating agencies and other stakeholders and then the draft EIS is released for comment. This is another really important opportunity for public input when the draft EIS goes out. So those are the two major parts of the NEPA process where there is public input.

After the draft EIS goes out for public comment, then

we receive all those comments, incorporate it, address those comments and add it into and develop the final EIS. We publish the final EIS, develop a Record of Decision and then have a lease sale. So while those are the two major points where the public has input, we are consulting with our cooperating agencies on a regular basis, and we are also doing government-to-government consultation with affected tribes through the entire process. So that's the general NEPA process.

Subsistence and ANILCA Section 810 is really important here in Alaska. ANILCA, for whose who don't know, stands for the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act. It's separate from NEPA, and it's unique to Alaska, but it is it extremely important. So it runs concurrent with the process. The information that goes into the draft EIS feeds into what the findings are, the initial 810 evaluation is, and it's to evaluate impacts on the program or the project on subsistence uses.

so information that goes into the affected environment and the environmental consequences feeds into that initial evaluation. That's appended to the draft EIS, and then if the initial evaluation is that it may significantly restrict subsistence uses, then subsistence hearings are held. These hearings are held at the same time as the public comment period. They are maybe -- they

are not held exactly at the same time. There would be a public comment meeting for the draft EIS, and then that would adjourn and then we would have a subsistence hearing. So usually it's the same day, and it's to receive input on that initial 810 evaluation. Once all that information is received and taken into consideration, then a final determination is made, and that final determination is appended to the final EIS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The decisions that BLM has to make are -- and that we are looking for your input on are the alternatives, what are the lease sale tracts that should be offered for sale; what are some of the lease stipulations that we should be considering; and what are some best management practices. To help inform development of the alternatives, we work with all of our stakeholders. The cooperating agencies are really integral to this process, as well as the tribes that we are work with through government-to-government. But what we want to hear from everybody is do you know of specific resources that we should protect or that we should -- areas that we should identify for special management somehow. Those types of specific comments really help informing both our alternatives and the development of the EIS.

To date, these are our cooperating agencies: Fish & Wildlife Service, EPA, the State of Alaska, North Slope

Borough, Native Village of Venetie Tribal Government,

Venetie Village Council and Arctic Village Council.

Again, they really help -- they have specialized expertise or jurisdiction by law, so they help with development of the EIS and forming the alternatives and also looking at some of those stipulations or best management practices

that should be applied to any lease that we should offer.

This is the tentative schedule that we have. The Notice of Intent went out on April 20th, as I mentioned. That kicked off the scoping period. Once the scoping period closed, then we work with our cooperating agencies on alternatives development. We work on evaluation of the environmental consequences and draft the EIS. The draft EIS is tentatively scheduled for the fall of 2018. That would put the public review of that draft EIS in the fall and winter of this year. And then once that is revised, based on the feedback, then we would publish the final EIS. Based off of this tentative schedule, we would sign a Record of Decision and hold a lease sale in the spring/summer of 2019.

This is the schedule of our scoping meetings to date. We have already had one in Arctic Village last week. Here in Fairbanks today, in Anchorage tomorrow. Both of these meetings in Anchorage and Fairbanks are being live streamed, so if people are interested in seeing what

scoping is about and hearing some of the public comments, then they can go on our BLM website and see that. On Thursday we will be in Utqiagvik and the week of June 12th we will be in Venetie, Kaktovik and Washington, D.C.

There is a variety of ways to provide comments, as
David had mentioned. Our web form, you can go directly to
that web form and provide comments online. You can email
them to that email address, or send them to that mailing
address below. There is comment forms over here. There
is actually a couple of laptops set up over here if you
want to go over to the laptop and submit comments online
today. And of course, there is public speaking
opportunity that will start as soon as this concludes.

And that's all I have. Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you, Nicole. All right. Well, this is the important part of the meeting, and this is where we get to hear from you all. Again, my name is David Batts, and I've got two jobs here tonight. Number one is to make sure that your voice is heard and that your concerns are brought into the EIS process. We look forward to hearing your verbal comments tonight, but again, please provide written comments if you have them. My second part of my job tonight is to make sure that we conduct this meeting in a civil and inclusive manner. All comments are very, very important to us.

Please remember that it can be very stressful and uncomfortable to present in front of large groups like this, especially when microphones are involved. So I ask that we agree on a few ground rules before moving forward. First and foremost, to respect one another and the diverse opinions that we may hear tonight. It's okay to disagree. That's fine. But personal attacks aren't going to be tolerated. Please no clapping or jeering. Besides being rude, it does take up valuable time for us to be able to hear from everybody. And again, if you have any special needs or requests, please notify any of the staff people here today.

As Nicole mentioned, this is in support of the EIS.

It is part of the scoping process. And by providing comments, it helps us define the scope of the EIS. So helpful comments are those that really provide data and information and those that articulate specific concerns or issues for assessment in the EIS.

No decisions are coming out of this meeting tonight.

We are at the beginning of a long process. A lot of
information will be collected. You will have another
chance to comment as we move forward before any final
decisions are made. All comments count in our eyes. So
everything gets looked at. Everything gets processed.

All input that we receive through this meeting and through

written comments will be analyzed and distilled into a scoping report. This will be a stand-alone report. It will be made available on the BLM website probably in mid-summer time frame. So you can see your comments and comments of other people and how they were made.

Now, before moving forward, I'd like to introduce probably one of the most important people here tonight. That is Mary Vavrik. She is our court reporter. This meeting, besides being live streamed, will be captured by the court reporter so we have an accurate transcript of all the comments. So when you make comments, please make sure that the court reporter can see you. We have the microphones aimed directly at Mary. Speak into the microphone. Speak slowly and clearly. If you have a written testimony that you are reading from and you can leave a copy with us, we would appreciate that. That helps Mary accurately make sure that she captured everything you said into the record.

Moving into the speaking process for tonight, we want to accommodate as many people as possible. So we have it broken down into two phases. We have our prearranged speakers. These are representatives of government entities or stakeholder groups. If you are a prearranged speaker, if you could please go ahead and move on down to the front row so we can start to cue you up, I'd

appreciate that.

The second part will be public speakers, those of you that came in and received a speaking card. We will be going through first come, first served based off the numbers on the cards. If you do not have a card and wish to speak, please be sure you go back and grab one of the cards and keep them with you because we will need those to move forward this evening.

We are asking that you please keep your comments within our time limit. We would love to be here all night and into the morning visiting with you, but we need to respect each other's times. I know many of you probably have day care going on, other commitments that you need to get back to, et cetera. So in the interest of trying to accommodate people, we are asking folks to speak -- for our prearranged speakers for up to five minutes. For the general public up to three minutes. And of course, if you have something that somebody else has already said, if you want to just say ditto, I agree with what other speakers said, that will help us move along this evening.

If you have any comments and if you go over your time limit, we will open it up at the end of the evening, if we have time, and you would be able to speak again and finish up any comments at that point in time.

So with this, I'd like to bring up our prearranged

speaker list, please. And we will have our prearranged speakers speak. When you checked in, you should have received your order to speak. And I'd really like to be able to read that to you, but I don't have my glasses on.

So we will have John come up. Is John here? What we are going to ask, because of the court reporter, we are going to run all of our prearranged speakers over to microphone No. 2, which is the microphone over on the right-hand side, your right-hand side.

MR. JOHN HOPSON, JR.: Good evening. Is it good right here? Thank you. My name is John Hopson, Jr. I am the city mayor for Wainwright, Alaska. I also sit as the assembly -- North Slope Borough Assembly president for our region. And when it comes to talking about our area, development plays a big hand in what we -- in what we do.

Oil and gas property tax is what pays for our services when it comes to police and fire and schools and public works. Because of that we are -- we don't get -- we get very little state or federal funding. We are all self-sufficient. And we want to make sure that continues. Oil and gas and property tax basically paid for my education and continues to pay for our children's education. As we go along, we are capable of bonding and paying for bonds to rebuild schools and refurbish them.

We take care of our own police department. We have our own health system. And we get very little state and federal funding because of this capability.

Now, when people decide to talk about opposing development in our area, you are basically telling me I can't live up there anymore because there is no funding that will take care of what we do today. In our region, we have a 400-million-dollar budget that takes care of all of our eight communities. And we have no timber. We have no fisheries. And the tourist numbers aren't high enough to create that type of funding to take care of what we do today.

So I would just urge each and every one of you to think about what you are going to say when you are you talking about my life, my community and my children's community, as well, and for the foreseeable future. We do need to have development continue so that we can have the resources we have and need to do what we are doing today.

We take care of our wildlife. It's all about responsible development. We help shape that in our area with stipulations and so on. We have a wildlife department that does a lot of research and studies for us, and that helps us make comments on areas of interest, like ANWR or NPR-A.

So I do urge you guys to take a serious note in

knowing that I do live in my community. And when you want to take away development, it's basically taking away my resources, as well. So take that -- take that with you, as well.

Thank you very much.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. I forgot to mention one small detail. And I apologize to the mayor for the little distraction. We do have a timer up on the screen to help you manage your time as a speaker today. So just know that that's up there to help you as you go through with your speaking, organize your thoughts.

Next up will be Glenn Solomon.

MR. GLENN SOLOMON: Hello. My name is Glenn Solomon. I am a Kaktovik resident. I am from Barter Island. I am from the 1002 area. I'm a whaling captain. I've got a family of four. And just to hear a bunch of stuff that the NARF are helping out the Gwich'in people saying that they have burial sites up there, where are these sites? I haven't seen them. My ancestors lived up there. I have a family there, born and raised.

And just to hear all these comments and everything like that that the Gwich'in people own ANWR, the coastal plain, where the caribou migrate, you know that's -- that's my land. That's where my people come from. And just to have things like -- it's really hard to say

because we have the right to development on our own land. It's been 40 years since we tried, and we have been shot down. Everybody else could develop on their own land, and here we are surrounded by federal land and state waters, and just to have the Gwich'in people saying that's their land, that's their coast, where are they? Tell me. Where are they?

The only people I see up there is Inupiats, where I'm from. That's where my mom was raised. That's where I was raised. So just to be -- have the Gwich'in be coached by the NARF people -- why don't you advocate for us, you know. We have the right to develop in our region like everybody else. And here you guys are trying to stop us? That's not right. Here you guys did it in the Eagle Plains back in the day in the '80s. 180,000 acres that you guys leased out for oil and gas. And you guys didn't get anything. And here you guys trying to put a stop to us. That's kind of being a bigot, you know. It's rough.

And here we want -- we want what everybody wants. We want what everybody wants. We want infrastructure. We want jobs. And we want to have the right to develop on our own land. We have the right and responsibility to do that.

So anyways, I'm sorry for being so nervous right now and everything like that, but it's kind of heartbroken,

you know, just to have -- have people say we can't do
this, we can't do that on our own land. Like John was
saying, we don't got timber. It's all flat. 1002 area is
our land. Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Next speaker will be Lorena Hegdal. And please at microphone 2 if you can state your name and who you are representing.

MS. LORENA HEGDAL: Lorena Hegdal with Alyeska Pipeline Service Company. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the development of the Coastal Plain and Gas Leasing Program Environmental Impact Statement. I'm am Lorena Hegdal, right-of-way director for Alyeska Pipeline Service Company. I joined Alyeska in 2000 and have held a number of positions in the company as a contract team lead, operations and maintenance supervisor, pipeline advisor, engineering director, and now in my current position as right-of-way director. I am born, raised, educated in Nome, Alaska with a civil engineering degree from the University of Alaska Fairbanks.

I'm Inupiaq and have lived and worked in Nome,

Juneau, Marshall, Anchorage and Fairbanks, Alaska -- and

Fairbanks. Alaska is and always will be my home. I spend

time supporting Alaska Native students and others to enter

science and engineering fields so they can take part in

controlling their own destiny and shaping Alaska's future.

I'm here today representing 1,600 Alyeska employees and contractors who operate and maintain the 800-mile Trans-Alaska Pipeline System, transporting crude oil from Alaska's North Slope to Valdez where it is shipped to market.

The Environmental Impact Statement will consider and analyze the potential impacts of various leasing alternatives. Accordingly -- according to the USGS survey's most conservative scenario, the coastal plain contains 5.7 billion barrels of oil, and production on the coastal plain could top out at 560,000 barrels per day in 2039. The USGS mean estimate calls for 10.4 billion barrels of oil, and production could peak at 880,000 barrels per day by 2041. This is oil that would be transported through the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System; therefore it is of interest and importance to the Alyeska Pipeline Service Company.

TAPS has safely delivered crude oil to meet the nation's energy needs for over 40 years. The ongoing success of this existing infrastructure and its role to the nation's energy security is directly tied to healthy levels of Alaska crude oil production. At the peak of Alaska's production in 1988, TAPS delivered 2.1 million barrels of oil per day, transporting some 24 percent of

the nation's crude oil production.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We still have capacity to safely deliver large volumes of oil through TAPS every day. Unfortunately, TAPS throughput has declined over the years. In 2017 the pipeline averaged 527,000 barrels per day, which is still about 6 percent of the nation's crude oil production. Lower throughput levels create serious challenges for the safe long-term operation of TAPS. To keep the pipeline operating safely while moving lower throughputs, we have made significant investments to reengineer and adapt the pipeline. The changing hydraulic profile on TAPS has triggered the replacement of our mainline pumps, in-station pipe replacement, additional piping for recirculation to heat the oil, adding heat along the line, additional pigging and an additional pig launcher and receiver.

We are confident in our handling of these and other issues that have required significant attention and considerable resources and investment. However, these challenges will grow if throughput continues to decline. The long-term solution to our operational challenge is to have more oil to be delivered into TAPS from the North Slope of Alaska. Development of oil on the coastal plain would play a vital role in that long-term solution.

As we focus on ensuring the nation continues to

27

benefit from the investment in the critical energy 1 infrastructure of TAPS over the next several decades, we 2 3 fully support environmentally responsible exploration and development efforts that could result in increased 4 5 throughput into the pipeline. This includes efforts to produce oil from onshore, nearshore and offshore areas of 6 7 the North Slope that would be delivered to the American 8 people through the existing infrastructure of TAPS. 9 the Bureau analyzes leasing proposals for the coastal 10 plain, we ask that you consider the ongoing benefit TAPS provides for our nation's energy policy and the importance 11 the energy resource and the coastal plain may have to the 12 13 pipeline's continued contribution to Alaska and the United States. 14

Thanks for the opportunity.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Next speaker is Lisa Baraff.

MS. LISA BARAFF: My name is Lisa Baraff, and I am the Program Director at the Northern Alaska Environmental Center. I will touch on just a few of the myriad issues pertaining to leasing on the coastal plain. The Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is the only refuge established "for the purpose of preserving unique wildlife, wilderness and recreational values."

Specifically, to conserve fish and wildlife populations

and habitats in their natural diversity including, but not limited to, the Porcupine caribou herd, polar bears, grizzly bears, musk ox, Dall sheep, wolves, wolverines, snow geese, peregrine falcons and other migratory birds and arctic char and grayling; to fulfill the international treaty obligations of the United States with respect to fish and wildlife and their habitats; to provide the opportunity for continued subsistence uses by local residents; to ensure water quality and necessary water quantity within the refuge; and added with the passage of the 2017 tax bill, to provide for an oil and gas program on the coastal plain.

This last purpose is incompatible with the four original purposes. The draft EIS must explain how the Fish & Wildlife Service and BLM will address this and ensure that purposes 1 through 4 are not diminished or compromised by an oil and gas program.

Another founding purpose is the preservation of unique wildlife. The coastal plain is the biological heart of the refuge. It is the vital birthing ground, nursery and insect relief area for the Porcupine caribou herd that migrates across northeast Alaska and northwestern Canada. Nearly a dozen villages on both sides of the border depend upon it.

Some claim the caribou can and have coexisted with

1 oil development on the North Slope for decades.

Coexisting and thriving are not the same. Differences between the herds and geography must be considered. The coastal plain near Prudhoe is up to 100 miles wide, allowing caribou displaced from their traditional calving grounds to find similar habitats nearby while in the Arctic Refuge, the coastal plain is only 10 to 40 miles wide and is fully utilized by the much larger Porcupine caribou herd. Displacement similar to what occurred around Prudhoe and Kuparuk would force Porcupine caribou into areas of poor quality forage and higher predation.

BLM must fully analyze potential impacts to wildlife and wildlife habitat and develop appropriate and adequate mitigation measures to ensure preservation of this unique wildlife complex in accordance with the refuge's founding purposes. BLM must also use the best available science in making determinations and acknowledge data gaps, missing and unavailable information.

Another original purpose of the refuge is the preservation of wilderness values, not exclusive to congressionally designated wilderness. Oil and gas exploration and development will irrevocably destroy the wilderness character of the coastal plain and it will impact the view shed of the designated wilderness area to the south. Leasing begets development and development

begets roads, pipelines, pads, airstrips, gravel mining, water withdrawals, housing and other infrastructure. BLM must analyze potential impacts to wilderness, including impacts on ecological integrity, wildlife, waters, noise, air quality, vegetation, visual and recreation impacts, and provide mitigation strategies.

The tax bill states, "the Secretary shall authorize up to 2,000 surface acres of federal land on the coastal plain to be covered by production and support facilities during the term of the leases under the oil and gas program under this section."

The 2,000-acre footprint is often compared to a postage stamp, a dot on the tip of a nose, the size of an airport. However, contrary to these assertions, there is no requirement for development to be contiguous. In actuality, facilities will be dispersed throughout the coastal plain.

In addition -- and this was since corrected -- that one of the information boards hung up this evening interpreted this to mean that it would -- that said up to 2,000 acres of disturbance from production and support facilities allowed per sale. And that was since covered up after I spoke with Serena about that.

BLM must clearly define the 2,000-acre surface development limitation and list and evaluate all and

necessary surface development and infrastructure not included in this limitation.

Alternatives and analyses must include all possible site scenarios for the 2,000-acre limit across the entire coastal plain, including analyses specific to each potential 400,000-acre lease sale.

Opening the coastal plain to oil and gas leasing will irreparably and irreversibly destroy this ecologically biologically and culturally important place. This is neither a simple place nor a simple issue. For that I urge you to request a waiver to the Secretarial Order requiring EISs to be completed within one year. I also urge BLM to include and fully consider a no-action alternative.

Finally, to ensure full public participation,
particularly from indigenous peoples who will be most
directly impacted, all published documents must be made
available in at a minimum Gwich'in and Inupiaq languages,
and public meetings and government-to-government
consultations must provide interpreters for Alaska Native
speakers.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you, Lisa. And thank you for leaving your testimony with us, too.

Next speaker will be Elaine Whitmer.

1 MS. EILEEN WHITMER: Elaine, my nemesis.

2 My name is Eileen Whitmer. I am the Fairbanks Business

Manager for Teamsters Local 959. Teamsters Local 959

4 supports oil and gas development in the 1002 area of ANWR.

Currently we represent thousands of members that work in

6 the health, railroad, airline, bus, warehousing, SCA,

7 telephone, public safety, freight and construction

industries. A large portion of these members directly or

indirectly depend on the oil industry for their

livelihood.

Teamsters Local 959 has a long history here in Alaska. During the pipeline construction we were 27,000 strong. As with the rest of the state, over the past few years we have seen work declining on the North Slope. Renewable energy is a ways out, and we need new oil development to fill the gap to get us there. I, for one, would like to see this oil come from here rather than depend on foreign countries to provide it. Development in the 1002 area will put our members to work, and there is no question that the state's economy would benefit from its residents working.

On a personal note, I was born and raised here in Alaska. I grew up in the village of Rampart and still own a home there. I fish in the summer and hunt for moose and caribou in the fall and winter. I'm a 20-year member of

- 1 the Teamsters, and I've worked for my local for 13 years.
- 2 I started out in the Alyeska materials department in 1997.
- 3 My livelihood has depended on the oil industry for the
- 4 past 20 years. I have brothers that run drill rigs up
- 5 north and have 20 to 30 years for Doyon Drilling.
- 6 Having said that, I did not take speaking in support
- 7 of development in ANWR lightly. I understand that some
- 8 Alaska Natives strongly oppose opening ANWR for
- 9 development. I took some time to do some research and
- 10 talked to some very knowledgeable people who pointed me to
- 11 some information distributed in 2017 by the Alaska
- 12 Department of Fish & Game regarding the Porcupine caribou
- 13 herd.
- Here are some of the points in the newsletter that
- 15 helped me feel more comfortable speaking in support of
- 16 development in the 1002 area. The herd is currently its
- 17 largest -- the herd is currently at its largest
- 18 population, approximately 197,000, since monitoring began
- 19 in 1977. Caribou are known for dramatic population
- 20 changes. The Porcupine caribou are known for their
- 21 extensive and varying migration. Although they generally
- 22 winter in the mountains and calve along the coast, the
- 23 herd has not returned to the exact same location or
- 24 followed the same route every year. The herd has not
- 25 calved in the 1002 area since the '80s and '90s.

In closing, I reiterate the Teamsters Local 959 is in support of responsible development in the 1002 area of ANWR. And we thank you for this opportunity to voice our support, and we are looking forward to a productive and vibrant future here in Alaska.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Our next speaker is Ben Stevens.

MR. BEN STEVENS: Good evening. Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments today. My name is Ben Stevens. I'm a Koyukon Athabascan from Stevens
Village up there on the Yukon River. I am a hunter, a fisherman and a traditional drummer. I fight for the health and the well-being of our people.

This proposal will significantly impact and restrict our people's traditional subsistence way of life. TCC operates under tribal authority. The traditional territories of the 42 tribes, villages and over 15,000 Alaska Native people we serve expands roughly 235,000 square miles, one-third of the entire Alaska land mass. Our traditional hunting and fishing practices, which include the ceremonies that accompany these practices, provide for the social, cultural, economic, physical and spiritual health and well-being.

TCC's resolution 17-73 affirms that 42 tribes stand united for the protection of the Porcupine caribou herd,

their birthing grounds and their nursery within the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. We seek permanent protection of the coastal plain. The Gwich'in people have relied upon the caribou for centuries, countless generations. They hold the coastal plain as a sacred place. Our subsistence way of life will be significantly impacted and restricted if the Porcupinec caribou herd and the migratory waterfowl migration habitat, food and water resources and/or birthing grounds are impacted. All of the tribes rely upon migratory waterfowl as a critical resource in the spring.

Further, our people are all related, relying on extensive social and trading networks to provide for their well-being. We fear that you are limiting scoping, the EIS process and potential impacts to Arctic Village and Venetie. This does not reflect the reality of potential impacts to the entire region.

The refuge is the largest in the nation, yet one of three in the nation managed remotely. There is very limited and inadequate data and information regarding the refuge, its habitats, its keystone species, and the reliance on critical and threatened species. The Gwich'in people hold the most intimate, rich and complete knowledge of their traditional territories.

We continue to ask that the entire EIS process,

including the ANILCA 810 review and the National Historic
Preservation Act 106 analysis, are carried out in good
faith, are comprehensive, holistic and thorough. We
repeat the request as heard in Arctic Village. Extend the
scoping period by 62 days and notify the cooperating
agencies by June 1st.

Second, include scoping meetings in the impacted villages of Fort Yukon, Beaver, Circle, Chalkyitsik, Eagle, and notify the Tanana Chiefs by June 1st.

Third, consult with tribes to complete the ANILCA 810 analysis and address subsistence impacts for all communities that rely on the coastal plain. Fourth, ensure that the National Historic Preservation Act 806 analysis is conducted with adequate tribal consultation. At minimum with the tribal governments of Arctic Village, Venetie, Fort Yukon, Circle, Chalkyitsik, Beaver and Eagle.

Finally, ensure all tribal government cooperating agency requests are honored, as well as government-to-government consultation requests.

As Native peoples, without access to our traditional food resources, our health, our well-being, our economic security and food sovereignty are threatened.

Thank you greatly for your time and due diligence in this process. Your actions will have significant impacts

on the well-being of our tribes. Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Our next speaker will be Adrienne Blatchford. Is Adrienne here?

MS. ADRIENNE BLATCHFORD: I'm here. I'm a little short to see, but I'm here. (Speaking in Alaska indigenous language.)

I'd like to acknowledge the land that we are on today and the people of Tanan. I come from Unalakleet, which is the gateway to the arctic. Our little village on the northwest coast is known for being the first place that the infamous Sheldon Jackson made his debut into Alaska to introduce Alaska to the cash economy, which since then has only taken from our people. Over time, the 229 federally recognized tribes in our state have seen the impact that this disconnect from the land and one's culture and community does, as we continue to fight to meet the demands of western society.

Historical trauma to our people have alluded time and time again as systemic issues; yet the judicial system is occupied with disproportionate numbers of Alaska Natives. Missing and murdered indigenous women are at the highest where development occurs, with no database and continued disregard to their cases. And the majority of offenders are nontribal members. Alcohol and drug abuse plague our small communities. Our children fill the systems, from

private and State facilities to foster care.

This is the war we already face from being forced to settle time and time again for the government deals which only benefit the one percent. That's what brings me here today to talk about the attack on the Arctic coastal plain, better known as area 1002 in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

Our land has been under attack for over two centuries, and our people are in constant struggle to just live. Government studies and data and statistics back that up. Where is the human impact studies for the already incurred development in our state? BLM must conduct studies prior to properly assess and support the people who remain at the front lines of development.

But I can't stand here and only acknowledge the government entities and the development for their disparities our people face, but there has been a fair share of Alaska Natives who have dealt their cards with oil and gas companies and this administration. There is a lot of false propaganda put forward from the Alaska Native corporations about the support of the desecration in area 1002 in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. We have our one percenters, as well. Arctic Slope Regional Corporation and Doyon Limited executives have held hands with this administration during the mandate of drilling in

the refuge, which was placed in the fast-tracked tax bill last year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yet there are shareholders that have already seen what they have given up for compensation checks and a promise of good health and wealth. The land that's been developed in the NPR-A will never go back to its original state. The industrial footprint left behind and the health issues that the indigenous people are left with, no money can fix. The Gwich'in Nation, along with the majority of Alaskans and Americans have always opposed any development in Izhik Gwatsan Goodai Goolit, the sacred place where life begins, due to the highly negative impact it would have on the Gwich'in as people, their culture and traditions, the language and overall health of their communities that lie on the migration route of the Porcupine herd. The Porcupine caribou rely on the coastal plain for their birthing grounds, to protect their young from the mosquitoes and other predators that otherwise would kill their newborns.

But we must also keep in mind that the rich ecosystem houses more than just the 40,000 caribou calves born each spring. There are birds that migrate from all 50 states and six continents. The walrus, whales, seals and many other marine mammals and sea life make their way to the coastline to also nest and give birth. This place is

simply majestic.

Indigenous people have been stewards of the land since time immemorial, leaving little to no footprint and maintaining a healthy ecosystem that has supported the rest of the world. We stand at the front lines of climate change and see the detrimental effects of development. With the methane gas emissions as a result of the permafrost melting, the depletion of the polar ice cap, the drunken forests, the coastal erosion -- I could go on with scientific facts that prove all of this.

What is happening here already threatens the survival of the indigenous people and their cultures and way of life that they have carried orally since time immemorial without history books or written languages. We need all of you to allow the Gwich'in to remain the stewards of this pristine land to ensure survival for seven generations to come, not only for our people, but your children and theirs to come, as well. We ask that you mandate -- reverse the mandate of the drilling in area 1002 of the refuge.

Like many others, I have experienced severe health issues without the access to regular balanced traditional diet. Science again proves that our DNA demands high protein and high-fat foods to sustain our bodies that keep us in these harsh conditions. For the Gwich'in, 60

percent of their diet is the caribou. Development in this coastal plain would not only cause cultural genocide, but also elimination of food security.

I encourage scientific analysis to determine the human impacts to the Gwich'in as related to the Porcupine caribou herd. I stand here today to defend the sacred and protect the arctic to practice being a good ancestor. I ask that you help us divest from this fossil fuel extraction and dependency and invest into green energy, creating a new regenerative economy for Alaska. We are the arctic.

MR. DOUG TANSY: Thank you, and good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. It's a great honor to be here to participate in such an important event. The dialogue and discussion that we get to have here will help shape our futures as Alaskans. I'd also like to thank all parties involved for their leadership in getting us to this point so that we can have a serious and meaningful debate about our state's resources.

As you heard, my name is Doug Tansy, and I am the Assistant Business Manager for the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 1547. I am born and raised here in Alaska. I am a product of our apprenticeship program and I'm here to voice my organization's support for the responsible development of

oil and gas in the 1002 area of ANWR.

The IBEW Local 1547 was established here second in Alaska in 1946. Since then we have been advocating for many worker issues, like safety, worker rights, workforce development, training and jobs with good wages and benefits, to name a few. This has taken place in a wide variety of industries and locations with varying challenges and considerations. Like our state, the IBEW has grown up and learned many lessons along the way.

Safety and environmental concerns have been a mainstay with us and in the petroleum industry since construction began on TAPS back in 1974. Processes and techniques continue to evolve and improve regarding safety, as well as impacts to the environment. We have been doing projects and maintenance on the North Slope and throughout the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System for over 40 years, refining our abilities to work efficiently with the utmost care for safety and the environment.

Our efforts to perform in this manner have been assisted by science and ingenuity, making what used to seem impossible now doable. Safe and sensible development of the 1002 area is now a possibility for us.

As I mentioned earlier, we are heavily involved in training and workforce development. In partnering with our contractor base, we actively train hundreds of

apprentices around the state for careers in construction and maintenance of our industries like petroleum. To continue this path, we must have projects and jobs available for this maturation. To that end, we ask that a project labor agreement be required in the lease sale as part of the conditions of the lease, requiring that Alaskans get the employment opportunities first.

I also talked a little bit about jobs and with good wages and benefits. The responsible development of this 1002 area will aid in that mission both directly and indirectly. Each dollar spent on this industry are spent many times over on local economies, and each job in this industry supports many other secondary jobs. Aside from the direct jobs associated with the project, there will also be a large benefit to the state and their finances.

The first lease sale should result in an initial infusion of approximately 900 million dollars for the state, with production royalties coming later during the life of the project. Both the construction and maintenance of the infrastructure associated with this project will provide employment for hundreds of workers which, again, cascades throughout the rest of the state supporting other jobs. With added revenue to the state, there will be an opportunity to get much needed assistance to the state's capital budget, which supports a great deal

of employment in construction and additionally will help fill the holes in Alaska's overall budget.

The IBEW Local 1547 is in support of advancing the lease sales for the development of the 1002 area of ANWR with the inclusion of a PLA. Working in Alaska is our bread and butter. We have the state covered with trained workers and training centers throughout, and this project could put many Alaskans to work.

Like me, my organization was born here, grew up here, and plans on living here indefinitely. Our nearly 5,000 members also live here and want to see a reasonable and balanced approach to advancing this project.

Over the last 45 years, organized labor in the petroleum industry have proven that we can not only co-exist, but we can mutually gain for the benefit of all Alaskans. Today we are in a much better position than we were in 1974 to provide services to a large project and will continue to pursue contracts and jobs for our industry, our organization and its membership.

Thank you for the time for speaking here today.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Next speaker will be Michelle Van Dyke.

MS. MICHELLE VAN DYKE: Hello. Thank you for allowing us to come and speak to you today. My name is Michelle Van Dyke. I am representing the tribe of Fort

Yukon, the Gwitchyaa Zhee Gwich'in tribal government. I was sent here today to inform you that we are against the development, exploration of 1002 of the ANWR. And I also wanted to let you know that we are standing with our brothers and sisters of the tribes of Venetie and Arctic Village and that we must be involved with the consultation process with the environmental impact statement.

And I wanted to ask you guys some questions, you know. We have the -- why am I -- why are we opposed -- why is our tribe opposed. Well, how do you measure responsible exploration and development? How do you measure the ethical reporting of spills should that ever happen? The mission statement of the BLM is to sustain the health, diversity and productivity of the public lands for the use and enjoyment of present and future generations. So how do we -- how do we measure all of that? What's included in that process and what tribes are you going to include? Because I can tell you that my tribe is going to be directly affected by the decisions that you will make. There are households within my community that depend on this Porcupine caribou herd.

Although we are not directly within the area, these are our sister tribes, and we will continue to stand with them in a unified voice, just as we stood with the Draanjik in the resource management plan. And we must be

included with the consultation just as the Draanjik RMP.

We have a lot of unanswered questions and we are in

agreement with TCC's statement and that of Adrienne

Blatchford.

I'd like to thank you again for taking testimonies.

Mahsi'.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Our next speaker will be Scott Eickholt. Just a reminder, if you could please get the microphone close to your mouth. With the live streaming, sometimes it doesn't pick up as well as in the room here. Thank you.

MR. SCOTT EICKHOLT: Thank you. My name is Scott Eickholt. I'm the Business Manager for Laborers Local 942. I don't envy the job that you guys have with this EIS scoping, but I'm sure you will do a thorough job at that.

Local 942 represents around 1,000 working men and women right here in Alaska. Originally a construction union, today our union has grown to represent service contract workers, transportation workers, tourism industry, pipeline maintenance and more. We, too, support the development of the 1002 coastal plain. Local 942 is requesting that the EIS include analysis of both the economic and social benefits of performing work related to the coastal plain oil and gas leasing using a PLA, or

project labor agreement, as well as the best methods to encourage the use of such agreements in future oil and gas leasing and development.

From the lease sales to post sales -- post lease activities, such as seismic drilling and exploration, development, transportation from the coastal plain, as you know, development of this area means economic opportunity. Coastal plain development could also bring the U.S. closer to energy dominance. While we develop these rich resources, it's critical to ensure that those opportunities are available for local residents, like our friend Mayor Hopson from Wainwright. Our membership maintains near 20 percent Alaska Natives, and some of those from Wainwright and Kaktovik.

Project labor agreements are the most effective way to ensure the coastal plain development and related construction bring economic opportunities to local residents. Unions enjoy relationships in most of these communities throughout Alaska, an advantage most don't realize.

By providing access to union hiring halls, project labor agreements ensure access to a skilled workforce.

Local 942 is proud to report that well over 90 percent of our membership is residents.

These agreements minimize risk by jointly setting the

rules of the game and streamlining management for complex projects, this all being done prior to the work starting, which ensures efficiency throughout the entire project.

By achieving commitment from all stakeholders and assisting contractors in compliance with legal requirements, these agreements further minimize risks in major construction projects.

With the stakeholder involvement and an efficient dispute resolution process, these agreements enhance efficiency. For example, a study of a major project performed under a large municipal construction authority demonstrated that the project labor agreement had achieved around 221 million dollars in cost savings in just four years. Project labor agreements also enrich the community by providing access to joint labor management apprenticeships and other training opportunities to build a path to a successful career in construction, which is much needed on these coastal plains. As you heard before, economic opportunity doesn't just fall in the laps of these folks up there. Cooperative training has been occurring for almost two decades right here in Fairbanks preparing for the performance of this work.

For these reasons, Local 942 is requesting that the EIS process include research and analysis on both the economic and social benefits of using project labor

agreements for major construction that would most likely result from the coastal plain leases, as well as the best methods of ensuring the use of these agreements.

Thank you for the presentation and for the opportunity for comment. I have written testimony that I'll submit on the Internet. Thanks.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you very much.

Next speaker is going to be Kara Moriarty.

MS. KARA MORIARTY: Good evening. My name is Kara Moriarty, and I'm here to represent the Alaska Oil and Gas Association. We appreciate the invitation to provide comments tonight on the BLM Notice of Intent.

Who are we as AOGA? We are the private trade association for the oil and gas industry. Our 13 member companies are those the majority of the explorers, producers, refiners, and transporters of oil and gas. On a personal note, I came to Alaska over 20 years ago, and I lived in NPR-A teaching in the rural village of Atqasuk.

The industry is happy to have this opportunity. We believe we have a well-established history of prudent and environmentally responsible exploration and development in our state and we fully support BLM's initiation of this scoping process to prepare for a full EIS. As an organization representing companies who may participate in such a leasing program, we very much appreciate being

asked to be part of the testimony this evening.

Because the leasing program in the 1002 area will undoubtedly be vigorously contested by groups who oppose development, we strongly believe it is important for BLM to conduct a very thorough NEPA process.

To provide some history, in 1960 the area was designated as the Arctic National Wildlife Range. And then in 1980, ANILCA was passed which greatly expanded the area, and the name was changed to the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. And in ANILCA there is a section, 1002. That's how we got the 1002 area. It's the section of ANILCA law. In that section, it expressly directs the Department of Interior Secretary to carry out an oil and gas exploration program and conduct baseline studies and evaluate impacts. So the whole purpose of ANWR is not just to protect wildlife.

In keeping with that directive, in the mid 1980s, BLM did conduct over 1300 miles of seismic, and they put together -- the Department of Interior put together a report in 1987 recommending Congress to take action and developed an EIS. In the report even 30 years ago it says -- and I quote: "That the 1002 area is the nation's best single opportunity to increase significantly domestic oil production and most outstanding petroleum exploration target in the onshore United States."

Ten years later, those estimates were updated, and still today there is no other onshore potential oil and gas development in federal land anywhere in the country that has the potential of the 1002 area.

And why is that important? Some people say we need to shift to a renewable economy, but if you look at international estimates, over 57 percent of the globe's demand for energy will still come from consumption of traditional sources of energy, like oil and gas. So the demand is there, Alaska has a lot of it, and we have an amazing strong track record, and we are continuing to decrease our footprint.

Just a couple months ago, there was a rig owned by

Doyon that set the record for the longest horizontal

lateral well. And combined -- its combined footage

reached over 43,000 feet. So that means they can go a lot

farther today and have a much less impact on the surface.

Doyon is also in the process of commissioning a new

extended reach drilling rig which should be online for

NPR-A in 2020.

It goes without saying that Alaska's economy relies heavily on the oil and gas industry. One-third of all jobs in the state of Alaska can be attributed back to the oil and gas industry. And studies have shown by the university that if we did not have TAPS, if we didn't have

that economic lifeline, Alaska's economy would be half the size.

So finally, I would just say that you are preparing for a lease sale. And as you pointed out in this chart at the beginning, the lease sale is just the first step in a very long process. And lease sales do not guarantee that companies will participate to bid. But you won't know if there is industry interest unless you conduct the lease sale. And just because you conduct the lease sale and just because companies may purchase leases does not guarantee exploration, and it certainly doesn't guarantee production. So we look forward to the process and engaging further.

Thank you very much.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Next speaker will be Steve Ginnis.

MR. STEVE GINNIS: Hello. My name is

Steve Ginnis. I'm here to represent my tribal government,
the Gwitchyaa Zhee tribal government. Gwitchyaa Zhee

Gwich'in tribal government. I'm the traditional chief of
our tribe. I have issues with this whole process. I
have -- I'm opposed, obviously, to ANWR development, and
I'll tell you why.

First of all, the process that was used to determine opening ANWR in my view was an unfair process simply

because those people, the Gwich'in people, that will be most impacted by this were never heard. And that -- I don't understand how the United States government and the Congress of the United States could shortcut the process to do what's going on here today. In my world view, that's not a democratic system at all. That's ramming something through. And the least that these people could have done was invite us, ask us how we feel about it.

Now, I think -- I don't know if I might be off base on this, but it just seems to me like, oh, we don't need to listen to them. They are just a small band of Indian people. But again, we are the ones -- we are the ones that's going to pay the price for this, big time. And people that are not Indian -- and no disrespect for people that are not Indian in here -- you cannot relate to a people's culture if you don't live it.

And these oil companies saying that somehow it's just going to take this little footprint, we are going to develop it, I don't believe that one bit. Go up to Prudhoe Bay. There is infrastructure almost all the way across the tundra there. And the oil company is trying tell me that it's going to take a little footprint to develop this area? No way. Absolutely no way.

Oil business is a boom-and-bust business. History has shown us that with the Trans-Alaska Pipeline. Look

where we are right now. Our state government, we have a big deficit. Our economy is almost crippled. So to me it's not worth the cost to sacrifice our people's way of life.

Think of this, to move forward with this. You know, I certainly hope that this will be a fair representation of people's comments when it goes out wherever it's going to go because it shouldn't be like a score card, so many opposed it and so many supported it and kind of use that as kind of a basis to make judgment on whether or not this thing should proceed. I know the media would do that. They would say this is how many people have supported it and this is how many people oppose it and kind of use it as a score card to advance the idea.

So again, I'm very concerned what's going to happen to our people, and I certainly hope that everybody -- we should never be outsourcing resources on our land. We want to be protecting it and keeping it as pristine as possible so that future generations can continue to live the kind of lifestyle they want.

And my friends, it's like if you owned an auto business and we boycott you, that's going to affect your livelihood. That's going to affect your pocket book. There is no difference here. We are talking about our people's long-term survival because when it's all said and

done, all we have is that land and the resources it
provides to us for us to sustain ourselves when it's all
said and done.

So I would urge you to be very careful as you are moving this thing forward. And I can assure you this: The Gwich'in people will not back down from our strong conviction of protecting our way of life. We will not do that for any amount of money, any amount of compromise. We will just not do it because we know what the long-term impacts it would have on our people.

So I thank you very much. Mahsi' Choo.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Next speaker will be Michael Wald.

MR. MICHAEL WALD: I own a wilderness guide service based here in Fairbanks, and I've spent hundreds of days and nights on the refuge and have been sharing the natural history and the experience of living or camping on the refuge with hundreds of people for about 20 years. And it's wonderful to see everybody here speaking their minds and exchanging ideas. And it occurs to me that everyone is here advocating for their own sense of what's going to benefit their family and their own livelihood. And I thank you all for giving us the opportunity to weigh in.

And mostly I'll talk about some very specific

concerns that I have. But I think I would be remiss to not just stay -- say -- and I know it's not even really in the scope of this meeting, but that, you know, in my view it would be a tragedy to see large-scale industrial development there. I understand that there is a mandate now to have leasing. And so I hope that that will be minimized as much as possible.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In terms of a very specific -- is this too loud? In terms of very specific concerns I have about leasing on the coastal plain, I have a little list here -- one of my concerns, given that I recreate in the refuge and that I work in the refuge and that I hunt in the refuge and specifically in the 1002 is how public access will be guaranteed. That's one of the mandates of the refuge and certainly in areas where there is currently oil development, public access is forbidden. I have been, in fact, escorted out of the oil fields. You are not allowed there. So if this is a public refuge, how does that get handled? How do local Kaktovik residents -- is there different rules for them? Can I continue to hunt in the oil fields? Can I snowmachine across the oil fields? are those public access issues handled in the long-term, not only in the first lease sale, but as other speakers have said, we have seen in the current areas of development that what is proposed one year is very

different from the development that is on the ground in 20 years.

Another concern that I have is harm to my own livelihood. We travel across the coastal plain on probably a third of the trips that we guide, and there is harm potentially in two ways. There is harm in that there is development in areas that we currently use where we camp. I think that industry and tourism are mutually exclusive. And then there is the more theoretical harm where as soon as there is development in the Arctic Refuge, there is a public perception that the entire refuge is no longer wild and no longer wilderness.

And so I'm concerned on how that is managed from a -from a business standpoint and from an on-the-ground
standpoint. And I'm sure that the folks, that Fish &
Wildlife, at the refuge are concerned about some of those
issues, as well.

Another concern I have is water. The Arctic Refuge is unique in many ways. Ecologically one of the ways it's unique from other parts of the North Slope is that it's dry. And I'm no oil engineer, but from what I understand, there is a huge amount of fresh water that is required for development, whether it be ice roads or drilling or the maintenance of a camp for workers. And we don't have big lakes in the refuge. Is the water going to come from a

place like Shublik Springs? Are you going to dewater the canyon? What's the plan there and what -- what mitigation measures and stipulations are going to be in place to protect those freshwater resources, both in the nearshore, the freshwater lagoon environment, which is unique, and onshore.

I'm running out of time. Get to my list.

And the fourth specific concern I have has to do with polar bears. We have a high density of onshore denning within the Arctic Refuge, and there is speculation in the scientific community that as sea ice continues to decline in extent and, more importantly, in thickness and in topography, that more bears may be denning on shore. The 1002 is the logical place for them to go, and snowdrifts are the habitat that's used. So I have some real concerns about how endangered species requirements are going to be met with oil development in this highly sensitive area.

I'm out of time. Thank you very much.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Our next speaker will be Barry Whitehill or Steve Shannon. Please clarify.

MR. STEVE SHANNON: My name is Steve
Shannon, and I currently serve as the chair for our Alaska
State Chapter of Backcountry Hunters and Anglers.
Backcountry Hunters and Anglers supports balanced,
responsible resource development. However, developing the

1 1002 area does not represent a balanced or responsible

2 approach. The National Petroleum Reserve just west of the

3 1002 area is 22.8 million acres specifically dedicated to

4 and set aside for oil development on the North Slope.

5 This area is comparable in size to the state of Indiana.

Why is that not a large enough area for oil and gas

development? This is not a balanced approach.

The 1002 area is a much smaller,

one-and-a-half-million-acre area rich in wildlife and

10 extremely important to the Porcupine herd's calving

11 grounds. The majority of the North Slope is already

dedicated to oil and gas development, and BHA believes

that a balanced approach to resource development means

focusing development on the massive area already dedicated

15 to oil and gas. It's in the public's best interest to

16 have some of the areas of the North Slope free from oil

17 and gas development.

6

7

8

9

14

18

25

BHA is not asking for no drilling on the North Slope.

19 We only ask that it be balanced with other invaluable

20 resources and human values.

21 We are concerned with the loss of access to public

22 land. Current oil fields on the public land on the North

23 Slope are closed to public access. Developing part of the

24 Arctic National Wildlife Refuge for oil and gas is the

equivalent of transferring publicly accessible lands into

private hands.

Two years ago we lost our opportunity to hunt caribou on federal land in Unit 23 when reports of things like airplane traffic changed caribou migration patterns. Now only local subsistence hunters can hunt there. Who is to say that developing this portion of the Porcupine caribou herd's calving area won't change their habits, as well? How can we ensure that we don't lose our opportunity to hunt this area?

The 1002 area is an important nesting area for migratory waterfowl. It's an area with limited water resources, and as sportsmen we are concerned with how the construction and operation of drilling pads and associated infrastructure will be using these limited water resources and the potential impacts that that usage could have on sensitive populations of spectacle and Steller's eiders as well as other migratory birds that nest in the area.

In addition to water usage, we have concerns about things like vector control during construction and during summer working seasons. This is a biologically rich area, and mosquitoes and other insects are a staple food source for many migratory birds that use this area for nesting. In regards to the effects development will have on flora and fauna, what steps will be taken to control and monitor invasive plant species that will undoubtedly be brought in

with the infrastructure construction and upkeep?

We have heard the figure of 2,000 acres of surface impacts in ANWR. The public needs clarification if this figure includes surface roads for seismic exploration, gravel pits, digging sites and surface roads to support the drilling operation, or does it only apply to the drill pads? If any of this is to be reclaimed afterwards, to our knowledge, there has never been a successful reclamation of tundra habitat back to what it was prior to usage. If that's the case, how is this restoration to be done in these areas and what is your definition of restoration.

As sportsmen and conservationists, we know the value of big, wild tracts of unmarred landscape, and we know what that value is for those with the eyes to see it and the heart to adventure into it. We know full well the restoration that happens to us while we are out there, and we need to know that the restoration of the landscape will be just as complete.

As the Porcupine herd migrates internationally, how will the petroleum industry's impacts on this calving area affect our Alaskan Gwich'in and Inupiaq communities, as well as Canadian portions of this herd and the Canadian communities that rely on this herd for subsistence food. We want to know who will be leading the Section 810

subsistence evaluation, and will that evaluation include the communities that hunt the Porcupine caribou herd, including the communities on the border and in Canada.

Communities across northern Canada are seeing a decline in caribou numbers, and the Porcupine herd has been an exception as it continues a growth trend. How can you ensure that drilling activity in an important calving ground won't disrupt the herd's health?

And lastly, we would like to address -- take the opportunity to address the oil companies considering leasing in the Arctic Refuge. Just because one person has an ability to -- just because one has the legal right to an action does not mean you should take that action. This is an instance where you can show leadership and an honest dedication to balanced responsible development in Alaska as you guys have been touting. The majority of the North Slope is already yours, and we ask that you demonstrate that you are the stewards of the land that you claim to be and not bid on lands where you may be trading Porcupine caribou herd's future for oil.

Thank you very much for your time and consideration of these comments.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. The next speaker will be Mark Wiggin. As Mark is coming up, we have two more speakers and then we will taking a break and

moving into the public speaking portion.

Mark.

MR. MARK WIGGIN: Good afternoon. My name is Mark Wiggin. I'm the Deputy Commissioner of the Department of Natural Resources for Alaska. I am joined here by my -- couple of fellow DNR personnel who will be involved in this process, and that's Faith Martineau, the director of Alaska's DNR's Office of Project Management and Permitting, and Steve Masterman here in Fairbanks, the Director of the Division of Geologic and Geophysical Surveys.

I and we appreciate the opportunity to listen and participate in this EIS 1002 scoping meeting. I appreciate very much the opportunity to hear heartfelt positions by people on both sides of the issue.

But I'm mostly here to share a message from Governor Walker and Lieutenant Governor Byron Mallott, as well as DNR Commissioner Andy Mack. And that message is this administration, the Walker Administration, places a great importance on promoting community involvement, engagement and decision making, especially on such critical issues as those that we're here to speak to today. Because of this governor's focus on achieving broad-based public input on issues such as this, he's established over his tenure a number of advisory councils. One, for example, is the

Governor's Tribal Advisory Council or GTAC, which actually builds upon these collaborative principles and will work to extend the valuable efforts such as the Alaska Whaling Commission along the northern coast of Alaska.

The broad goal of GTAC is to reach out to tribes and Native interest groups across the state to ensure Native Alaskan voices are heard and their concerns incorporated into decision making at the highest levels of State government. Similarly, relative to this EIS process, I want to relay the Walker Administration's commitment to working with all Alaskans across the state in a collaborative manner over the coming months during this EIS process.

The State agencies, of which I'm one, will work to ensure to the greatest degree possible a robust EIS analysis, a robust EIS process, one that provides ample opportunities for Alaskans to provide input and guidance.

The great state of Alaska has always been a land of extraordinary beauty, a land graced with the bounty of natural resources wealth.

With statehood in 1959, Alaska was founded as a natural resource development state. The Alaska Statehood Act describes the State of Alaska's responsibility to protect fish and wildlife resources, as well as to regulate mineral resource development. As Alaskans, we

share the opportunity and this responsibility to maintain, conserve and responsibly develop these resources for the benefit of all of our people. This administration, the Walker Administration, sees this balance between conservation and development as a guiding principle for our state.

Just as responsible development of nearly 17 billion barrels of North Slope crude has fueled our economy since the late '70s, Governor Walker, Lieutenant Governor Mallott and Commissioner Mack are confident that opening the 1002 area of ANWR through this EIS process and potentially for development could similarly produce additional decades of economic growth for Alaskans in an environmentally responsible manner.

You know, there are reasons why there can be some confidence that we can mitigate some of the effects of oil development, as was alluded to by one of the speakers earlier when he spoke of early oilfields. Let me provide one example. And I'm kind of coming off of what

Ms. Moriarty, or Kara, said: Great strides in extended reach drilling have made it possible to produce a much greater percentage of oil from reservoirs with a much smaller gravel footprint. For example, when I first started working up here, at Prudhoe Bay it required a 65-acre gravel footprint per pad to develop about three to

five square miles of subsurface reservoir. Now because of extended reach drilling, it will be possible with about a 20-acre pad, a third of the size, to develop about 113 square miles of subsurface reservoir. It's really quite extraordinary. So that's really about 30 to 40 times as much of the reservoir contacted by the same -- with one-third of the footprint. Just --

I'm about to run out of time, so I'll shove my comments in.

Thank you again for the opportunity to share this message from the Walker Administration. We look forward to participating as a cooperating agency in the EIS process, and we look forward to seeing how we can move this forward in an economic and environmentally sensitive manner. I appreciate the opportunity to speak and hear everybody talk.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Wrapping up our prearranged speakers will be Rhonda O. Pitka.

MS. RHONDA PITKA: Thank you. Hi. I'm Rhonda Pitka. I'm the Chief of the Village of Beaver. I'm also a resident of the Village of Beaver. Beaver is located on the north bank of the Yukon River, about 110 miles north of Fairbanks, and we live within the Yukon Flats National Wildlife Refuge. Our relatives live within

- the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Much of our
- 2 livelihood is dependent on those resources. Beaver has a
- 3 population of about 60 people right now of Gwich'in,
- 4 Koyukon and Inupiag descent. I'm Koyukon and Inupiag.
- 5 Much of my family lives in Arctic Village right now. They
- 6 are all Gwich'in.

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

7 The village was established as a mining supply for 8 the Chandalar area, but our ancestors have lived in the

9 area for thousands of years. I'm also the Vice Chair of

10 the Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments. We are a

11 consortium of ten tribal governments in the Yukon Flats.

12 We span Yukon Flats, the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge,

Yukon-Charley. We live within areas that are heavily

14 impacted by federal land.

Our chiefs are requesting that you come and consult with us. I'm requesting government-to-government consultation in the Village of Beaver. I also sent in a letter requesting that the materials be translated to Gwich'in for our Gwich'in speakers and that you also bring

20 along translators.

CATG's tribal consortium strongly opposes development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge and on the coastal plain. We have several resolutions going back years to document this. The scoping period is much too short to address our needs as governments. We need proper consultation. We need proper scoping meetings in our villages. I sent in a letter requesting a scoping meeting in the Village of Beaver on May 21st to Nicole Hayes, and I'd like to ask that I receive a reply soon.

Our tribal members right now are getting ready for our subsistence season. I am actually missing out on getting my grandma's fish camp ready for the fishing season, which we hope will be successful this year. Many of our people still depend heavily on the Chinook salmon, and we trade heavily with Arctic Village relatives. So the development in the coastal plain will affect all of our people. We fully believe that that development cannot be done in the -- I heard it like ten times today -- responsible manner. I'd like to see that. That would be nice.

We are also going to be requesting cooperating agency status for the Village of Beaver. And we would like the proposed timeline. I have submitted my letter already, and I hope that I get a response shortly. Usually when I send a letter I get a response right away that somebody has received it. Perhaps you guys are busy right now. But I would like to have that meeting in the Village of Beaver.

Our people there, they told me that, you know, even though we are -- we are south of the refuge, we still need

to support our relatives. We all have family ties. Some of us even have family ties in Barrow.

We would also like to request that the scoping period be extended at least 120 days. Our fishing season is coming up, and we are not going to be available at least until the beginning of August. So I think requesting that season -- that scoping period be extended is only reasonable.

This process has been very divisive for a lot of people. I have not felt very divisive about it myself. I just feel like, you know, we need to support the people of Arctic Village and Venetie, and their needs, too, for the Porcupine caribou herd. I've heard some people testifying about it.

I also am a member of the Federal Subsistence Board, and that's actually the last healthy caribou herd in the world, and it's because it's mostly in a pristine area. I think having any of this development will hurt it horribly. I've heard hours of testimony on caribou herds from around the state that have been impacted, and I think having those issues seriously looked at in your EIS process requires a lot of time.

I think my time is up. But I also wanted to say that CATG has been involved in the Draanjik process, also, and that took eight years. It took eight years, and the book

was like this thick [indicating] when it was done. I'm not sure how that -- how that process can be accomplished in this short of time, especially with the number of birds and wildlife that live up there and make those areas nesting areas.

So my time is seriously over, and I'd like to thank you all.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. We are going to take a ten-minute break. So I appreciate all the comments that our first panelists went through. I think all those comments were excellent comments. We have heard a lot. I know our panelists' hands are probably cramped from writing a lot. But I just want to commend everybody for the way that you commented.

So we will come back at 6:25. We will start the public scoping again. We are going to go by the speaker cards. So if you don't have a card, please grab one at the speaker table at the back corner.

If you have a card with a number of 1 through 10, if you could please check in with Molly or Chad -- can you please stand up -- when we come back from the break, we are going to get you seated up front so we can begin with your testimony right away when we come back. So please come back in ten minutes at 6:25. Thank you.

(A break was taken.)

71

1 MR. DAVID BATTS: All right. Welcome back. We are going to begin the public comment period. 2 Α few notes on running the process. It's going to be a 3 little bit different from our prearranged speakers. 4 5 Everybody should have a speaker card at this point if you If not, please go ahead and grab one from 6 wish to speak. 7 the table. Speakers are going to be called up in groups 8 of ten based on the number on your speaker cards. So if 9 you pull out your speaker cards, you will see in the corner it has a number on it. We have already brought up 10 our first group, Nos. 1 through 10, although we are still 11 looking for No. 1, No. 5 and No. 2. So come on down here 12 13 to the front row. We will get you cued up.

When your numbers are called, if you can come down here. Molly and Chad will help you find your seat in order. We have two microphones, you will notice. We have No. 1, which is on your left-hand side; No. 2, which is on your right-hand side. The even cards are kind of a whitish color, and the odd number cards are blue. So they match up with our microphone so you can help find your location there.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What we will do is we are going to start off with speaker No. 1. They will be speaking into microphone No. 1. They will have three minutes on the timer there. Then we will move over to microphone No. 2, work with person

No. 2. It's going to be kind of a little bit of a back-and-forth process. That way we can be very efficient in getting people and not wasting much time in between.

If you are not able to come up front and speak at the microphone, when we get to your number, just please raise your hand or let me know ahead of time. We do have a roving mic and we will bring that to your seat or accommodate you wherever you might be.

You need to be present when your number is called, so please make sure that you are here in plenty of time to do your speaking. No comments from cell phones, Facetime, Skype. It's got to be your own voice that we want to hear from today. Just a reminder to please speak into the microphone, speak slowly and clearly. Also be sure to speak your name and any organization that you are representing.

Please do face the panel. I know that some people may want to address the audience here, but again, our court reporter does need to see your lips. Part of this is lip reading, also.

Again, we will have the timer to help you manage your time as we move forward. We do have quite a few speakers tonight that we want to get to, and our agenda time is 9:00. So again, please help us make those time frames. We do want to hear from everybody so that we can get

everybody through here tonight.

So I believe that does it with all the rules. So we are going to begin right now with our first speaker on microphone No. 1. Again, please state your name and organization. Thank you.

MR. FRAN MAUER: My name is Fran Mauer.

I'm representing myself. I worked as a wildlife biologist at the Arctic Refuge from 1981 to 2002. Before that I worked to support passage of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act with special emphasis on boundaries and provisions dealing with the Arctic Refuge. Through the long prolonged debate over oil development in the refuge, I've often been struck by the notion that many proponents of development in the refuge believe that the North Slope is all the same.

When it comes to the refuge, nothing could be further from the truth. One need only to look at a topographic map to realize the conditions on the refuge and coastal plain are significantly different from areas to the west. In the refuge, the coastal plain is only 15 to 30 miles wide, while at Prudhoe and NPR-A it's over 100 miles from the mountains to the coast.

Because of the proximity from the mountains to the coast, the refuge has relatively few lakes and ponds compared to the area to the west. The rolling tundra

uplands of the refuge coastal plain are often scoured by prevailing east/west winds during winter, creating uneven snow cover and extensive areas that are vulnerable to long-lasting damage from seismic and other oil development activities.

In the refuge, ten times as many caribou give birth on one-fifth as much available habitat as the situation in the existing oil fields. The potential consequences of development on calving caribou may be much more severe with serious consequences for subsistence users throughout northeast Alaska and northwest Canada.

The coastal plain is an integral link to the rest of the refuge and an expansive area beyond in Canada. What happens on the coastal plain can threaten the ecological integrity of a vast area beyond. The EIS must address this aspect.

And also there are wilderness resources to address. The original wildlife range was established in 1960 "to preserve wildlife, wilderness recreational values." Those values and purposes remain. And in addition, adjacent to the southern and eastern border of the coastal plain is designated wilderness. Impacts of exploration and development will affect vast portions of the adjacent wilderness. Wildness is a fundamental value to any wilderness area, and the Arctic Refuge is one of the last

truly wild places remaining in the U.S. The American people deserve an honest appraisal of what will be lost.

The 2,000-acre development limitation in the statute is one that has been used for years to hoodwink the public on how oil fields expand over time. When it comes to disturbance of sensitive wildlife, noise, visual stimuli and odors can emanate from a small point of activity like a great shadow of influence.

I've only scratched the surface of the enormity of the issues and concerns that must be addressed. At the very least, an additional 60 days must be added for a public comment period so that this process can achieve some semblance of legitimacy.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Move over to speaker No. 2.

MR. FRANK KEIM: My name is Frank Keim, with a K, from Fairbanks. For 34 of my 57 years in Alaska I've testified in defense of the integrity of the Arctic Refuge coastal plain. With its original populations of birds, fish, insects, plants and large animals, it's one of the few places on earth that I regard as true wilderness, and therefore worthy of fighting for against the fraudulent wishes of the Trump Administration.

I've hiked and floated in the refuge, including the

coastal plain, more than 20 times, so I know the area is a special place and should be left untouched by the clutches of the oil industry and their political minions.

The coastal plain is home for part of the year to the Porcupine caribou herd, which is now 218,000 strong and needs the nutritious cotton grass there to nourish their newborn calves so they have a healthy start in their lives in the harsh Arctic climate they must survive in. Oil drilling will compromise this ancient balance and lead to the ultimate decimation and destruction of the herd.

Another major reason not to drill in the coastal plain is to prevent further intensification of climate change which I believe to be, along with overpopulation, the gravest environmental threat facing us today. Leaving the oil in the ground is the moral thing to do if we wish to stop contributing to the devastation of biodiversity on this unique planet we call home and to preserve what remains for future generations of living things and a healthy environment to survive and flourish in.

The Arctic Refuge has not only been a source of solitude and spiritual growth for me and many of my friends and family; it's also given me the opportunity to learn more about the untarnished rhythms of nature and about the area's historic significance for Native people. I now understand much better why so many Gwich'in and

Inupiaq Natives also regard the refuge as a spiritual place that connects the to their roots as human beings. I say to those who wish to plunder and pillage and lay waste to this wilderness for a few drops of oil and a few extra dollars of instant wealth, come up and camp on the coastal plain for four days and feel what you have missed all of your lives.

To finish, I include a paraphrase quote by my mentor Wallace Stegner. Something will have gone out of us as Americans if we ever let our remaining wilderness, including the coastal plain, be destroyed. We need that wild country, as much of it as we still have left. We need it when we are young because of the sanity it can bring to our insane lives, and we need it when we are old simply because it's there.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: We are going to bring up speaker No. 3. That written testimony, if you want to submit it, that would be wonderful.

MR. CHAD HUTCHISON: For the record, my name is Chad Hutchison. I'm here representing State Senator John Coghill. Senator Coghill is an enthusiastic supporter of development on the 1002 area. He, along with many others, have been supportive over the years when it comes to the state legislature. If you think about what's

happened over the last year just in 2017, the legislature passed House Joint Resolution 5, which was overwhelmingly supportive of responsible development in the 1002 area. Senator Coghill was supportive of both the leasing and the activity post leasing. That may include the transportation, that includes development, that includes exploration.

There is many to believe that responsible resource development will assist with some of the problems the State is encountering right now. One of the problems that we have, the State is trying to remedy on State land in the past, is production and throughput through the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System. The State has tried the mechanisms that it can to try to resolve that problem. A lot of it is done through taxation on the State lands, but many believe that some development, reasonable development, in the 1002 area could add to throughput through the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System by having simple infrastructure built to hook onto Point Thomson.

It's in the interest of the State and the federal government to have a healthy TAPS System. If not, and if we go backwards and production ends up going too low -- and fortunately, the last two years production has gone up because of tax policy. But the expectation is that maybe perhaps it cannot be sustained but for more oil production

in other areas.

Well, the 1002 area is perhaps a good mechanism to solve that problem. The State and the federal government have an interest to make sure that TAPS survives. If it does not, then there is a high probability that the State may go back to the way it existed pre-1959 where it was essentially a ward of the federal government.

If you look at the statehood compact, one of the reasons why we became a state is because of our natural resource development state. And if we do not have a certain degree of production, if we do not have a certain degree of throughput when it comes to the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System, a lot of the mechanisms that we have that run state government may could suffer.

So that's the perspective of many in the legislature. There has been many years where we passed resolutions supportive of development in the 1002 area. They have passed both houses, and over the course of -- over the last few months, the legislature itself, the Senate majority has been very supportive about Senator Murkowski's efforts to insert some of the language into the 2017 tax bill. So with that, we remain supportive. Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker No. 4.

MS. DIANE PRESTON: Thank you. My name is Diane Preston, and I was born here when this was a territory, and I have been a long-time resident of Alaska.

I was disappointed in the passage of this law because of the speed with which it was done in the dark of night, attaching it to a bill for which had it had no real purpose other than to develop this land. I'm also disappointed that there aren't more hearings going on in the Native villages around Alaska and also in the other states and communities of the U.S. This is an area of land that theoretically belongs to all of us, and more of us need to have an opportunity to speak to you about what's going on. I particularly would like there to be more opportunities for village people with language translation and translators available to them.

My biggest concern is about climate change. The climate is changing rapidly, and here in Alaska we are in the forefront of climate change. It's been said that the two things that will contribute to the end of mankind are nuclear war and climate change. And there is a lot of push now for there to be a change. Renewable energy, even in Fairbanks, Alaska, is becoming commonplace and well-documented as effective. And we need to move away from drilling and the use of fossil fuels towards renewable energy.

We particularly need to move away from drilling in what is one of the last great wilderness areas on the face of this earth. The Porcupine caribou herd is the largest herd, I do believe, in the world and one of the last great migrations on earth. And there is something special about that, that place and that herd and the people who depend upon it. And it is unconscionable that we would wipe it all out for a few months of U.S. oil production. There are values that are important that are not easily quantifiable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It was once said that if you can't -- if you can't quantify what is valuable, you will value what is quantifiable. And I think that is the situation that's happening right now. In fact, clean air, clean water, migratory birds, polar bears, the ability to be someplace where the soundings around you are natural and wild and you can be connected with your roots -- and that includes for us, those of us who live in more urban areas, as well, are values that we have a real hard time putting a dollar and cents on and ones that we really still need to I don't know how you can pull that into there, consider. but if you keep in mind that climate change and drilling is the last thing we need to be doing right now, we need to be looking at -- as an Alaskan, we really need to be looking at other ways to diversify our economy, to come up with a new economy that's not oil-based, and to find other sources of income besides taxing the oil industry.

So I appreciate my ability to comment for you. Thank you very much for your time.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Speaker No. 5. Do we have speaker No. 5? Do we have speaker card No. 5 out there? Okay. We are going to move over to speaker No. 6, then.

MR. SEAN MCGUIRE: My name is Sean

McGuire. I want to talk about something a little bit

different than what we have talked about here today so

far, and that is the overarching background to what is

going on in the country with the election of Donald Trump.

I mean, I don't think there is anyone in this room that

isn't aware that this is the most corrupt administration

we have had, certainly in my lifetime, probably more

corrupt than the last four or five presidencies put

together. The amount of people that have resigned or had

to resign under pressure from corruption is -- it's

incredible.

The people that Trump has put in there, like Zinke or -- in the -- in what is it -- the EPA, these are not people that are trying to help the environment. These are -- these organizations, EPA and the Department of Interior, were set up to protect the environment, and

we've got people in there that are literally trying to undo what we have done -- the progress we have made in the last 50 years. So I don't think that can be ignored in this process. This is a huge scandal, and we literally have people that spent their whole lives attacking the environment that are now running them. So that cannot be ignored in this debate.

You saw with the Bears Ears National -- not national park, but the Bears Ears National Monuments, these were monuments established in the past. Trump or Zinke is trying to undo them. And somebody leaked a map of the Bears Ears that showed what the oil industry wanted. And guess what happened? That is exactly the map that Zinke used to undo the national monuments. And that, I think, cannot be ignored in this debate. We are dealing with a lot of corruption here on a national level.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Move over to speaker

No. 7.

MR. JOHN CALLAHAN: My name is John Callahan. I'm from Fairbanks. I'm 75 years old, and I've lived here all my life. I'm very devoted to the state of Alaska. And I think that this plan with development up in the Arctic Refuge is actually crazy. I think we are being -- we have lost complete perspective of what's

important in life. And to drill up there and destroy that 1 Arctic herd of caribou and that natural wilderness up 2 there, which is pristine and there is none like it in the 3

world left -- possibly some in Arctic Russia, possibly.

And to think that we are willing to sell it out for a few dollars, just -- I just -- it just astounds me.

And, you know, the thing is we have completely left our children and our grandchildren, future people, out of this whole debate. Global warming, like they have talked to before, is happening, and it's happening faster than scientists have ever thought. And this is just going to add to the problem.

We need to change our whole perspective on this oil industry, which is a trillion-dollar monster, which is running our country. And there is no doubt about it that This is no the oil industry has bought our government. longer a democracy in America. This is an oligarchy. They run America, and they essentially run the world. And it's going to take people like yourself and people like us to change it. We need to stop this oil industry destruction of the earth.

Thank you very much.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

23 MR. DAVID BATTS: Okay. Thank you. Go to speaker No. 8, please. 24

> MR. BARRY WHITEHILL: Panel, my name is

Barry Whitehill. I'm a member of Alaska Backcountry
Hunters and Anglers Board, and that's an organization, a
hunting and fishing organization that -- whose mission is
to ensure hunting and fishing in a natural setting, and
key to that is our public lands and waters.

So as an organization, we -- we try to have those opportunities, and coming -- since coming to Alaska, the Arctic North Slope was a place I naturally gravitated to as a hunter and had the opportunity to hunt with mushing, I've backpacked in and packrafted out; I've floated the Kongakut, the Canning several times, the Ivishak, Lupine, a lot of the rivers up there. And it's provided the meat on my table for my family.

And so the importance of that really started to strike home when I had a son that studied in Stockholm University in Germany. And so we started getting Swedes. We started getting Germans, Austrians. They would come, and naturally I would take them up on the arctic and we would float down through there. And to see from their eyes and their transformation of having a landscape that they can go visit that's still there, and seeing it from their eyes you just see the international significance of a place like that.

And then that culminated, two years ago I was asked to contact this nonprofit organization, Soul River, Inc.

And it's a unique one in that the founder, Chad Brown, was a PTSD veteran, and realized matching PTSD veterans with urban teenagers could be a natural link of working with each other. So I agreed, with my expertise of floating these rivers -- initially we went down the Ivishak. And I remember in particular one Vet. He had white in his beard. I thought he was in his 40s. Turns out he was only 26. And his best friend had been killed in his arms, died in his arms. He earned the Purple Heart.

And he had a smile on his face, and it was like day three. We were on an eight-day float. The other Vets started to come to me and say, we have never seen him smile before. And he had a perpetual smile.

So to me, I see the value -- it's intangible to put a smile on a face of an injured Vet. And from that I just know that that landscape is going to be the big thing.

Once the boom and bust of oil is gone, that is going to be really what's key to the future of our generations and our society. So I hope it stays.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. We will go to speaker No. 9.

MS. SUZANNE RICH: My name is Suzanne
Rich, and I have been in Alaska for about 35 years. I
came up here partly for the clean air and beautiful
nature, and found I'm in a very polluted city. And it's

largely because of fossil fuels. I'd like to see -- I think these are really changing times, and it's hard for us all to imagine how much we need to change, but we need to leave the fossil fuel in the ground. It costs a lot of money. Renewable energy is a lot cheaper. There is a lot more jobs in that area.

And we need to look to places that are already changing. The capital City of Vermont is about the size of Fairbanks. It's completely off of fossil fuel. There are places all over the world that are getting off of fossil fuel, and that's where we need to go. We need to think of our future generations.

I could be a great grandmother next year, and I worry about future generations. Another place that I have been is Findhorn, Scotland, which is off of fossil fuel. It was voted by the United Nations as one of the lowest carbon footprint on the planet. And people from all over the world -- they get 14,000 visitors to learn. They have a college there to learn how to live. And it's a beautiful place. It's not primitive. They have -- you know, they have electricity. They have all the modern conveniences, but it's healthy. I've never been in a place where I felt so calm and so -- it felt so right. I wish everybody had the experience to visit Findhorn.

Anyway, I just think we are trampling on Native

areas. We have done that from the beginning of this whole nation. When are we going to stop doing it? We keep thinking -- we have no business trampling on these grounds. And if you want to go ask them, then you need to do it in their language. You need to have meetings in their language so they can give their voice. There are villages that are having to be moved because of climate change.

And they have a lot to say. Speak to them. They are the -- they have the right. They have been around, Native people, for thousands of years. So let's start paying attention to them and let's search our hearts and our creative minds on how we can change.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. We are going to cue up No. 10. What I'd like to do is dismiss our odd-numbered folks. Thank you very much. And ask if you have cards 11, 13, 15, 17 or 19, if you can please come see Molly, and she will get you situated and primed up and ready to go. So we are going to jump back over to speaker No. 10, please.

MR. PHIL OSBORN: Hi. My name is Phil Osborn. I have been here since 1968. I'm opposed to drilling in ANWR because there are reasons it was designated a refuge, for gosh sake. These reasons have

not changed, and it's still important to recognize the
very unique and fragile nature of our national treasure.

I worked on the Sagavanirktok River from January of '68 as a seismic drilling helper. And I won't tell you what it was like when we finished working in a certain -- we were in a Nodwell train, so we were cross-country. I won't tell what you it was like at each campsite after a week or so, but it wasn't pretty. I'm sure they've improved their footprint by now, but it was nobody is going to see this, forget it, don't bother it.

We should not allow development in our national parks, certainly not in areas designated a refuge, for we are responsible for such sensitive ecosystems.

One final note. This is going to be a short one.

This bill had to be slipped in at the last minute to a tax bill benefitting the wealthy. We should not be proud of such legislation. I urge you to outlaw drilling in ANWR.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: We are going to call up our even number folks, 12, 14, 16, 18 and 20. Please see Chad. And we will get No. 11 cued up there.

MR. SAMUEL DEMIENTIEFF: My name is Sam

Demientieff. I was born here -- I was born in Holy Cross,

Alaska, an Athabaskan village on the lower Yukon River.

My mother and father started a river freighting business

on the Interior rivers. We traveled all the way up and down the Yukon, Tanana, Koyukuk, all the rivers associated with the Yukon. Been to as many villages.

I was employed by the oil companies on the North Slope. Worked for Trans-Alaska Pipeline. I have been employed by village corporations, the regional corporation in Interior and other nonprofits related to the Native tribes. I served on the State Board of Fisheries for a term. I retired from the federal government as a superintendent of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, which established -- which serves all of the northern half of the state.

My wife Mary and I live in Fairbanks and have raised our children here. I am here to oppose any oil drilling in ANWR. Gwich'in and many people along the two countries, Canada and Alaska, the United States, the longest migratory route, depend upon caribou for their existence. This is a deep and real situation. It is their life. Animals, birds, living beings seek safety and natural protected areas to give birth. This is natural. The caribou have done this for generations. It is called instinct.

If development ever occurs on the North Slope in this area we are talking about, it must be done and should be done in consultation with the tribal people and tribes of

the area. With planning maybe something can be done, but it must be consulted with them. Some areas should be off limits, like national parks.

Look at our historic trail of the United States.

What happened to the buffalo? Extinction. What happened to the whales in the Atlantic and Pacific? Depleted. In Alaska recently on the Yukon River, we could not fish for salmon.

I testify because this affects me and disturbs many others. When something like this comes up, one must speak up. So I say protect ANWR. Preserve the sacred calving area. Think and show respect now for us and for future generations, like other people talked about, their grandchildren and great grandchildren. So I support -- I do not support oil drilling in ANWR.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Speaker No. 12.

MR. DANA TIZYA-TRAMM: My name is Dana Tizya-Tramm of the Vuntut Gwich-in government. I had to travel from my home in the Yukon down to Seattle up here today to speak because, in direct contravention with a document that your government supports and signed onto, which is the United Nations Declaration of Indigenous Rights and Sovereignty, I can tell you that this is not free, prior or informed consent.

As well, you have signed an international agreement with the Canadian government that speaks directly to consultation with the Gwich'in, my people. There are thousands of us in Canada, thousands of us who wait with bated breath during the entirety of this process. But no matter what you hear tonight, what you have heard said about Gwich'in, or what you said for and/or against, I'm going to use my voice today, one that has traveled across this land for thousands of generations, for my people were the first in this area.

Before written history my people were living sustainably with these caribou. And this voice has traveled down to me, and I will use it today to tell you that whether or not you support this process, it is a complete representation of the complete degradation of your democracy.

From the forefathers to the constitutions to the documents that we are all so proud of was an inconvenience and it was pushed through. And I should know because I was there at the Senate and Energy and Resources Mines Committee where anything of reason put forward to Senator Murkowski, whether it be that there be ten-year clean records of any company that's going in there, she shot every one of them down. And I really wonder why if, as an Alaskan leader, that you do not want any kind of stop

checks or systems in this process.

I think everyone here can see what is happening. This is about money. This is about oil and gas. Because it definitely is not about honoring agreements with international or even through the U.N. For the first peoples of this area there is not integrity. I don't know exactly how my words are going to take root in this, but it has to be said because no matter how you feel about today, whether it's just another day, whether I'm an inconvenience, this is living, breathing history that we are a part of. And we will all be on one side of it.

Which side are you going to be known to stand up for? Was it for oil and gas in the sixth extinction age in the Anthropocene era? When 5,000 scientists are writing about climate change, this is the conversation we are going to have. I wanted to use my voice to the truth to call that the emperor does not have clothes. For the very tales that we tell our children, let's at least recognize what we are in here.

But for my time and for listening to me today, on behalf of all of elders and the future generations, I give thanks.

MS. KIMBERLY ARTHUR: (Speaking in Navajo.) I am a member of the Navajo Nation, and I stand with the Gwich'in. They are my northern brothers and

sisters. And I believe that all materials and all consultations should be conducted through their Native language, through the Inupiaq Native language. What I would like the BLM to address is how they are going to conduct tribal consultation with all members of the affected tribal nations.

What are the mitigations that will be required for noise related to oil and gas exploration and extraction? Currently the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge coastal plain is home to vast numbers of nesting and migrating birds. And it is the home, it is the birthing grounds of the Porcupine caribou herd.

It is a vital land for subsistence use, and the introduction of noise, heavy machinery, mining infrastructure, all of this has potential to permanently destroy these homelands, to destroy these life cycles.

And so I say keep it in the ground because drilling is risky. Major and minor spills occur almost daily in Alaska oil fields. And indigenous peoples have the right to the full enjoyment of life, for the full fundamental freedoms as recognized in the charter of the United Nations, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights law.

We are free and equal, and we have the right to life, physical and mental integrity, spiritual connections and

connections with our own ancestors and the lands they have lived on for generations. We have the right to live in peace and in serenity, and we have the right to subsist off of the lands that have fed us for so long.

Tearing up the land for oil and gas exploits
threatens our lifeways. This is cultural genocide. By
cutting up the sacredness of birthing grounds of
motherhood, of the beauty and strength of motherhood will
forever destroy our lifeways.

So I ask the BLM to consider all these things, consider the voices of our Native brothers and sisters. Consider the mental health of those who left cities. Consider those who need nature.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Go to No. 14.

MR. STEPHEN HARVEY: Hello. My name is Stephen Harvey. I'm from Washington state and relatively new to Alaska, so I'll comment on what I'm familiar with. In 2006 along the Oregon and Washington coast, wild and farmed oyster larvae started dying. Between 2007 and 2009 oyster seed production in the Pacific Northwest dropped by 80 percent. It was later determined that acidic ocean water was the reason. Carbon-rich ocean water from upwelling currents was entering the intake pipes of hatcheries. Basically, shellfish were unable to form a

shell because of this corrosive acidic water. This water
is acidic from carbon dioxide absorbed 30 to 50 years ago.

Emissions from 50 years ago are now harming shellfish of
the ocean. Therefore, I ask that this EIS look at least
years into the future and that ocean acidification be

included.

A blue ribbon panel on ocean acidification was formed, and according to their reports, ocean acidification events over the next 100 years going to increase in intensity and frequency. Oceanographers used to believe that corrosive waters wouldn't impact Washington state until the end of the 21st century. But like many climate change effects, ocean acidification is happening earlier than expected.

Two strategies the blue ribbon panel made are Strategy 4.1 to take action to reduce global, national and local emissions of carbon dioxide. Strategy 6.3 and enhance resilience of native and cultivated shellfish and the ecosystems on which they depend.

In learning and applying lessons from this case, I see three points. One, impacts of climate change may be unexpected and happen earlier than anticipated; two, to buffer against these impacts, protect ecosystems that are strong; and three, stop creating fossil fuel infrastructure.

Humans have impacted such a vast area of earth's surface. We must leave alone undisturbed wilderness and ecosystems to protect against future and current consequences of climate change, loss of biodiversity, et cetera. I ask that EIS looks at no action alternative and uses this as a baseline to measure impacts on provisioning, regulating, supporting and cultural ecosystem services as defined by the Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. Alaska is special in that it has large areas of undisturbed land. This asset should be left alone. The long-term value it holds is too great to jeopardize.

Lastly, I ask that environmental impacts be looked at at the national and global level. I ask that greenhouse gas emissions from extraction, processing, distribution and consumption be included in the EIS. I was recently in Peace Corps in Zambia working and living with subsistence farmers whose food security depends on rainfed agriculture. Due to climate change, rainfall patterns are changing, making food security more difficult to achieve. To turn a blind eye to such impacts as this would be irresponsible and unjust.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. No. 15.

MS. SERENE ROSE O'HARA-JOLLEY: Hello. My

name is Serene Rose O'Hara-Jolley, and I live in Fairbanks, Alaska. I am here to stand with the Gwich'in people and many others around the globe in opposition to drilling in the refuge. It is reprehensible that we are considering violating a balance that has existed between the Gwich'in and the Porcupine caribou herd since time began. It is immoral for us to require a people to relinquish their sacred lands so that a few make profit off what lies beneath the soil.

Make no mistake. It is greed that drives this policy. The passing of P.L. 115-97 was done outside of public process after decades of input against development. 70 percent of people oppose development in the refuge. 70 percent. This is not something contrary to the narrative that all Alaskans want. NonNative, Native, indigenous, we stand together in opposition to economic choices that deny our children a healthy world to live in.

Those outside of Alaska may not understand the sacred connection the Gwich'in have to the caribou. Many of us that call ourselves Americans have been forcibly removed from the land and animals our ancestors lived in harmony with. But all of us understand the connection we hold to our families. We all tell our children stories that weave out of our past and send them hopeful into their future.

Regardless of where we live, we all fight fiercely to

protect the land we live on. Across the globe, we are all fighting the same fight, the fight for us to maintain our ways of life, to have clean water, healthy food, air to breathe. From Alaska to Flint to India, we fight the greed of a few who seek to profit from overextracting the earth's resources.

We all understand the feeling of connection; connection to family, to land we live on, to communities we are a part of, and here is no different. When we stand in solidarity with the Gwich'in to preserve their way of life, we stand with ourselves to protect our communities, to preserve our way of life for future generations.

Given the importance of the caribou to the Gwich'in way of life, I ask that you research not only the environmental effects on the caribou, but the cultural effects on the Gwich'in people of altering a way of life and sacred rights that have existed for generations.

The Porcupine caribou herd is one of the last that still maintains their birthing grounds. It is the last place on earth where balance exists. I stand with the Gwich'in people in protection of their way of life. As an Alaskan, I say we must transition our economy off of fossil fuel extraction and to work toward a just transition focused on regenerative energies and economies. We must stop development on sacred lands.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: No. 16. Just a reminder, one of the ground rules we did have is we don't to be clapping or jeering. We want to be respectful of people. So if we could please allow our speakers to speak and we will be able to move quickly through here.

MS. NAN EAGLESON: I always think clapping is respectful. My name is Nan Eagleson, and I've lived in Alaska 39 years. I have a background in wildlife biology and worked as a biologist over in the Yukon and Northwest Territories for seven years, and I have been guiding birding trips in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge for the last 20 years.

I just simply think we need to stop wrecking the planet for the profit of an industry that is archaic. And it is appalling the way that that bill -- that rider on the bill got passed that will make the whole Arctic Refuge vulnerable if oil development was to ever happen in the coastal plain.

And I wish there was a map somewhere in this room, somewhere in a newspaper in the U.S. that not only showed the little footprint in the Arctic Refuge, but the entire Prudhoe Bay field just to the west, and to the west of that the National Petroleum Reserve so we have got the entire Arctic Slope. And it is so misleading when we see

these maps with just that tiny little footprint that doesn't include the millions of gravel pits that will have to occur for the building of roads and the disturbance of habitat.

And I -- in my heart of hearts, I cannot believe we can renege one more time on an agreement with the indigenous people that hold that land sacred, as they rightfully should.

Our history here in the U.S. is just one unfortunate disaster after another with how we have dealt with indigenous people. And there is nothing that rectifies disturbing the coastal plain where we know that it's the most important denning area for polar bears in the south Beaufort Sea, where we know that there is birds from all over the world that migrate to breed and nest there, where we know that it's one of the last healthy herds of caribou around the circumpolar north for birthing grounds.

How could that possibly be vulnerable for oil and gas that is an archaic dying industry that won't make a penny's worth of difference on the world stage for the price of fuel and that we should be supporting alternative energy? And if it wasn't for corporate socialism that has maintained the oil and gas industry, we would be farther down the line with alternative energy.

And I appreciate everyone here, the most eloquent

speakers from the Gwich'in people. I really commend you, and I feel privileged to be part of your fight.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. No. 17.

MR. JAMES P. WARREN: Wow. My name is Jim I am a retired college professor of English. I live in Fairbanks. I had a whole talk I was going to Everybody here has been doing such a good job ahead of me, I'm not going to do that. I'm going to read Section 1002. Purpose: The purpose of this section is to provide for a comprehensive and continuing inventory and assessment of the fish and wildlife resources of the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge; an analysis of the impacts of oil and gas exploration, development and production; and to authorize exploratory activity within the coastal plain in a manner that avoids significant adverse effects on the fish and wildlife and other resources.

My analysis of that one sentence would read this way:

First, fish and wildlife are clearly primary in 1002.

Second, analysis of impacts, impacts on whom or what?

First, on the fish and wildlife. But then second, the

Gwich'in and the Inupiat peoples.

Third, authorize, but only if we avoid significant adverse effects. Only if we avoid significant adverse

effects. Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: No. 18.

MR. EDWARD ALEXANDER: Mahsi'. (Speaking in Gwich'in.) Edward Alexander, co-chair, Gwich'in Council International. The Gwich'in Council International represents all the Gwich'in of Alaska, the Yukon Territory, and the northwest Territory to the Arctic Council.

I'm here on behalf of the Gwich'in people to unilaterally condemn the sale of oil leases within the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. We oppose oil lease sales in the Arctic Refuge. We oppose oil lease sales because they are contrary to the ethics, the morality, the established legal principles, and the security interests of the United States of America and Canada and our fundamental threat to Gwich'in human rights.

As Gwich'in, we are greatly dependent on the Arctic Refuge. There is no place else on the continent of North America where so many mammals migrate to, where so many birds of so many species find refuge to give birth and continue their kind. This place is world renowned as an American icon of our values. It would be immoral and unethical to destroy such a place. And it is unethical not to heed the Gwich'in in a rushed and expedited leasing process that may destroy our Gwich'in way of life.

The Gwich'in of the United States and Canada are federally recognized tribes and First Nations. Canada and the United States are obligated to ensure that our ways of life continue through internal laws such as the U.S.

Indian Self-Determination Act and Canadian Aboriginal Law, as well as through international agreements, such as the agreement between the government of Canada and the government of the United States of America on the conservation of the Porcupine caribou herd. This agreement was signed into force July 17, 1987, and the proposed oil lease violates this international treaty, particularly Section 3, Articles a through g concerning the conservation of the Porcupine caribou herd.

I formally request that the BLM address these issues and these concerns by canceling the potential leases or taking a no action alternative.

The proposed lease sales may violate portions of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous People, of which the United States is a signator, I will remind you. We have a right to participate in decision making and consultation through representation chosen by our own people in Article 18 and Article 19 of the same declaration, rights that may be violated right now by how this very consultation is being conducted. Violating domestic principles and international agreements is

contrary and against the best interests of the United

States and is contrary to the best interests of Gwich'in

human rights.

I formally request that these proceedings and the proposed lease sales be reviewed by the United Nations and the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues. I request that the scoping discussions, in accordance with the treaty I mentioned before, occur with international cooperation in Canada with Canadian Gwich'in communities and other hubs such as Whitehorse.

I will be absolutely clear here. The human rights of the Gwich'in must be legally respected by the United States, by Canada, and by the United Nations. These oil lease sales are an existential threat to the Gwich'in people, as well as to American national security, of which I also formally request a review. I formally request a national security analysis over these oil lease sales.

And with that, I want to thank everyone for all of their great comments. Mahsi' Choo. (Speaking in Gwich'in.)

MR. DAVID BATTS: As we call up No. 19, we will bring down folks that have numbers 21, 23, 25, 27 and 29. Please see Molly.

MS. JACQUELINE D'AURIA: My name is

Jacqueline D'Auria. Refuge: A place of safety, peace and

well-being. Our beloved Arctic Refuge generously provides these treasured resources to our world, and they are not for sale. To consider such an assault on the world's migratory waterfowl who depend on the refuge for their continued survival throughout the world is appalling. Such impact on the world's largest thriving caribou herd and all the other myriad creatures dependent on this unique and treasured place would be obscene.

The Arctic Refuge is a famous and necessary safe harbor for the Porcupine caribou herd, as you have learned tonight. It is about 20 miles of protected land from the coast to the mountains. 20 miles of protected land for thousands of caribou to give birth to their young have ensured their survival for thousands and thousands and thousands of years, but now we are considering selling these lives to the highest bidder? Short-term profit for whom? Long-term tragedy for everyone.

If we are truly concerned and committed to the economic well-being of our country and our state for ourselves, our children and our grandchildren, we will leave the Arctic Refuge as it is. It is irresponsible and unnecessary to decimate the coastal plain and all its creatures. We are smarter and more innovative than that. We can provide and profit in our country and the world without destroying this land that is so critical to be

left intact. The profits are short-term for a few. The consequence is forever for all.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. No. 20. And if we have 22, 24, 26, 28 and 30, please come up and see Chad on microphone one. Sir.

MR. CHRIS GARBER: My name is Chris
Garber. I'm an Alaska history teacher. From the time of
European contact, the Alaska Native people of Alaska have
been fighting to control their own destinies. Western
culture called it pursuing opportunities and jobs. Native
people called it colonialism. Native people died as a
result of western culture's desire for profit. Aleuts
died and were enslaved by the Russians. Entire coastal
villages died when American whalers decimated the whales
and then started in on the walrus.

Native people died by the diseases western culture brought, and when the children were left without parents, they were put in foster care by missionaries who -- they were raised in orphanages by well-meaning missionaries who punished them for speaking their own language and taught them that their culture was backwards and evil. I think few people would look back on this history of destruction of people and culture and be proud.

This is a reason why Alaska Native people have the highest suicide rate in America. There is a history of

1 trauma. There is a history of being marginalized.

There's a history of western culture dismissing the humanity of Alaska Native peoples.

According to a report by the University of Alaska Anchorage, Alaska Natives did not regain their precontact population numbers until 1970. It's no accident, then, that this is the period when Alaska Native people started to regain some political power. Right around this time Alaska Federation of Natives started to reclaim land in 1966. ANCSA was signed in 1971. Molly Hootch gets schools in villages in 1976. Alaska Natives are claiming not only their traditional culture and lands, but also claiming this new culture as theirs. This is not an easy tightrope to walk.

But they are walking in one generation at a time that Molly Hootch established schools in villages, the high school dropout rate had gone from nearly 80 percent to less than 20 percent in my lifetime. Alaska Natives are graduating from college in increasing numbers. They are learning to break the cycle of poverty, and they're walking proudly with feet in both worlds. And you would jeopardize that?

You would tell them that their voices, their dignity, their education and their culture has no value, that a few more dollars and a few more jobs is not only worth the

environment risk, but worth telling an entire people that
we don't care what they think, that they are not important
enough to listen to?

If the people who live and depend on the land that we call the Alaska National Wildlife Refuge don't want oil drilling there, let us for once in our history respect the wishes of the Native people who live there.

After looking back with regret on some of our shameful history, let's learn from our past mistakes and make the right choice now.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker
No. 21. We're still looking for people with cards 26, 28
and 30.

MS. BERNADETTE DEMIENTIEFF: (Speaking in Gwich'in.) I'm here on behalf of the Gwich'in Nation of Canada and Alaska to publicly state that we stand united against development in the calving ground of the Porcupine caribou herd. I, too, requested an extension, and I haven't heard back from you guys at all. And I'd like to get an answer.

The Gwich'in people have a spiritual and cultural connection to the Porcupine caribou herd for over 40,000 years. Our migratory and our communities are identical.

We are not hear -- we are not asking you for

anything. We are not asking for jobs. We are not asking for schools. We are asking to leave us -- let us continue to live as we always have, rich in our culture, in our food security, in our lands. Just like scientists around the world, my elders are my scientists, and they say that drilling in the Arctic Refuge is going to damage the calving grounds.

With over 95 percent of the arctic already open to development and Alaska thawing twice as fast as the rest of the world, this is the last thing that we need. We are basically on a sinking ship. As decisionmakers, your job is to protect Alaskans, even if it's from our own government.

I'm here speaking for my children and my grandchildren because as their parent I'm here to protect them and the world that we are going to leave them in. The decisions you make today will deeply impact our future generations. I do not want my babies to be struggling to survive because of short-sighted decisions and greed. If we take care of the land, the water and the animals, then our future ancestors will have a chance at survival.

This is about our identity as Gwich'in. It's about our way of life, our food security. If you destroy the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, you will be violating the rights of the Gwich'in nation.

Now, 41 senators in 25 states stand with the Gwich'in to protect the Arctic Refuge. 144 representatives in 34 states stand with us. The corporations do not speak for us. They are the ones who is going to benefit from this. The tribes are the ones who is going to live with the aftermath.

So I ask that you respect our human rights and leave the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge alone.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker

11 No. 22.

MS. MISTY NIKOLAI: My name is Misty
Nikolai. I'm a Kaltag tribal member. I am of the Caribou
Clan and, sadly, a Doyon shareholder. I was taught that
it's my responsibility as Dena' to help those who are in
need. Following my instruction I stood, just as my
grandfather, mother, aunts, uncles and cousins did, and I
raised my right hand. I swore my life to protect our
people. I swore to support and protect the Constitution
of the United States against all enemies, foreign and
domestic. In the words of the well-known Constitution
preamble, we hold these truths to be self-evident, that
all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their
creator with certain inaleable [sic] -- sorry -- you all
know -- human rights that among these are life, liberty

and the pursuit of happiness.

At no time did I swear to protect any corporate entity, neither big oil corporations or my own corporation, Doyon Limited. What history and current events have taught us, the jobs, to include labor union contracts, will be outsourced. For the first seven years, not only will Alaska see zero economic benefit, but also have to pay out billions -- billions more in oil subsidies.

Desecrating the Arctic Refuge by developing it will eliminate the last healthy caribou herd. Therefore, development directly affects the food security of the Gwich'in people. In other words, development is an act of genocide. Today I stand against genocide and corporate entities. Today I stand both behind and in solidarity with the Gwich'in people in defense of the coastal plain of the Arctic Refuge.

I ask that you provide a caribou analysis, both in Gwich'in and Inupiaq languages, as my own village and the villages along the Yukon River weren't given this analysis. You see, all along the Yukon River, even in Minto, there are caribou clans. But there are no more caribou in these areas.

I stand for indigenous peoples. We belong to the land and are responsible to take care of the land. As

such, I ask you to remember that we indigenous people are also endowed by our creator with certain inalienable human rights, that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

I ask you to stop the genocide.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. We will go to speaker No. 23.

MS. JESSICA BLACK: (Speaking in Gwich'in.) Hello. My name is Dr. Jessica Black, and I come before you as a Gwich'in -- Gwich'yaa Gwich'in tribal member representing myself. I'm also a professor at UAF.

I'm here speaking against the development in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, the place where we, Gwich'in people, have cared for and lived in relationship for 10,000 -- 10,000 years or more. Let that sink in.

We have ancestral knowledge that has taught us how important it is to defend our sacred land, animals and waters, and we have done that. The land, the animals, the water are part of an intricate beautiful culture, the Gwich'in culture, a culture that ensures the land, animal and water relatives are taken care of, too, a balance, a relationship that is built on respect.

We have seen time and time again how oil development leads to empty barrels, unstable markets and broken families and communities. Big paychecks only benefit a small percentage of people at the very top, not the families and communities left to clean up the mess and deal with the fallouts long after the last barrel is tapped.

In my current research, I co-conduct numerous research projects on what brings wellness for Alaska Native communities, and again it's been shown it's tribal governance, as well as the land, animals, but most of all the culture, the culture built on this timeless relationship with the land and the animals. Culture that is passed down from father to son, from mother to daughter, from auntie to niece, uncle to nephew, year after year, decades after decades, centuries after centuries.

This culture is also rooted in important values, such as sharing, caring for elders, language and, again, respect. This sharing respectful culture extends to people we meet, people like you. When you visit our communities, we open our homes, we feed you, and we treat you with respect despite any differences that lie between us. As I know, many of you were treated like family by the Gwich'in during your recent trip to Arctic Village.

Please stand with the Alaskan people and people the world over standing in solidarity with the Gwich'in and with the land, animals and waters who don't have a voice.

Many of our Gwich'in relatives cannot be here to provide comments today, yet they are at the front lines, warriors protecting what is sacred for all of us to enjoy. It's past time we generate other economies. Let's diversify our portfolio and move towards a just transition. And please extend the scoping period so more people can make comments.

I have a vision that I keep at the forefront of my mind: My little girl running free on our ancestral lands, happy, free, and in the mirror of that image, I see caribou babies running free, also on their ancestral land, each taking care of each other. We should all have this image, especially if we want to see our children thrive into the future, your children, my children, our children.

Please defend the sacred and do not drill in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

Mahsi' Choo.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Go to speaker No. 24.

MR. CAM WEBB: My name is Cam Webb. I'm speaking as a resident of North Pole, Alaska and an American citizen. I'm a forest ecologist. I believe that anthropogenic climate change is the most serious threat that has ever faced humanity. We must stop adding carbon dioxide to the atmosphere. However, few of us are able to

completely disassociate ourselves from fossil fuels and their products, and I include myself in this majority. Because we still depend on fossil fuels, we should not automatically vilify the industry that delivers them. And I do believe that if oil companies were to drill in the 1002 area of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, they would take utmost care to minimize their impact but, of course, there would be an impact.

While I support the oil industry as temporary deliverers of a necessary product and I acknowledge the dependence of Alaska's budget on oil revenues, I strongly object to oil development in the specific 1002 area under consideration here. The decades-long argument about drilling in the refuge results from competing beliefs and values. Some people see undeveloped resources as a crime against our economy and our material well-being. Others, such as the Gwich'in and their supporters, see the coastal plain as fundamental to food security and a sense of identity. Millions of others are convinced of the moral, aesthetic and spiritual responsibility to ensure that such a place exists for our children and grandchildren.

I offer two arguments against any drilling in the refuge based on my own beliefs and urge BLM to include a no action alternative in the scoping report. First, the Arctic Refuge as a whole is the largest protected area of

complete connected boreal and Arctic ecosystems in the world. The coastal plain is an integral part of this set of ecosystems. And the large size of the refuge makes it even more valuable as a natural area. And by natural, I include the millennia-long residents of Native peoples. Drilling in the 1002 area would fundamentally undermine the ecosystem and cultural values of the refuge.

Second, I think it's very likely that the lives of many people in Alaska and all over the world will soon be directly threatened by rising seas, storms, financial disasters, and wars linked to climate disruption. Many of these lives will be saved if we do not burn the oil under the Arctic Refuge.

Thank you for your attention.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker 25.

MS. KAY SUNWOOD: I'm Kayt Sunwood. I am opposed to oil and gas drilling in the Arctic Refuge for the following and countless other reasons: This is a cultural, human rights, food security and life itself issue. I am concerned with the as-yet unaddressed incompatibility of the recently added oil and gas program with the previous preservation, wilderness and recreational purposes of the refuge, as well as the potential violation of federal laws and the threatening of endangered species.

Others have already spoken very eloquently on these topics, so I have a heartfelt personal plea to consider. In April of this year I experienced a personal family financial and environmental tragedy when, due to the cataclysmic climate change we are experiencing, a chunk of ice crashed down from my roof, severed the fuel line right at our oil tank, pouring 160 gallons of oil over the log walls and into the crawlspace below my home. We were immediately displaced, our home uninhabitable, our land contaminated. Initial estimates of oil mitigation -- oil cleanup and mitigation itself, which we will have to pay every penny out of our own pockets and before anything can -- any cleanup can start is \$95,000.

The estimates for restoring our home to habitable condition looks to be more than our insurance policy will pay. We likely will lose our home, our land, my meager retirement savings to address the damage from 160 gallons of oil.

What will be done to ensure the coastal plain, the sacred place where life begins, what will be done to make sure that that's protected from environmental disasters of astronomically greater proportions? Please think about this as you are making these rules. Haste makes waste.

Protect the Arctic Refuge. Don't kill off everybody's dreams for a future like mine have been killed

1 off.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker 26.

MS. CARRIE STEVENS: My name is Carrie

Stevens. It's good to see all of you here again in

Fairbanks. I'm a resident of Fairbanks. I wish we were

all still in Arctic Village.

I just wanted to reiterate a few things that you have heard today that have been pretty clear in the scoping record. One is the level of impact that reaches all the way down to all of the communities along the Yukon River. I think that's been pretty clearly stated and should be included in the EIS; and not just limited to Kaktovik, Arctic Village and Venetie.

We are very concerned about the limitations of the scoping, especially in relationship to ANILCA 810, National Historic Preservation Act Section 106, and that you include more scoping meetings in the impacted communities and that you extend the scoping period. That has been repetitive this evening.

I also wanted to add, we heard about Section 1002 this evening. It's very clear the Arctic Refuge is the largest refuge in the country. It is only one of three managed remotely. The fish and wildlife studies are limited. The data is limited. We do not have adequate harvest data for the reliance on the subsistence resources

that we rely the coastal plain.

There is no way that you can push this through in the 2 3 time frame that you are pushing it through without adequate data. You are -- all of your findings will be 4 5 false because you won't have what is necessary to make a good decision. So you are going to have to invest in 6 7 filling those data gaps. And we know that the indigenous 8 people, Gwich'in people and Inupiat people, have more 9 knowledge than anyone else will ever have of these areas. 10 They are your scholars. They are your biologists. are your scientists. They are your historian. They are 11 12 your foresters. They are your entomologists. They are 13 your ornithologists, and many other ologists. So we have to invest in that time to make the best decisions that we 14 15 can make for the protection of the future.

Thank you. And I'll see you again in Venetie.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker 27.

MS. DOREEN SIMMONDS: (Speaking in

19 Inupiaq.)

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Go to Speaker 28. 28. Does anybody have card 28 out in the audience? All right. We will go ahead and go to 29.

MR. DAVID DELHONY: My name is David

Delhony, and I am here to speak in defense of the Arctic

National Wildlife Refuge. I don't call it ANWR. No.

This is a national wildlife refuge. Oil drilling in the calving grounds of the Porcupine caribou herd is a dagger in the heart of the Gwich'in people and the caribou herd that has sustained a vital and living and beautiful culture for thousands of years. It is also taking a treasure of national significance from every American.

Every American will be poorer if this land is leased to privately owned corporations, whether those corporations are domestically or foreign owned. And for what? So our Washington leaders can say that they got it all? The Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is only five percent of the North Slope. Everything else is up for grabs. The critical habitat of the calving grounds was that last piece, that red herring that they had to have.

The oil industry will continue to thrive in the short-term future in Alaska without going into the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

I think it's telling that this legislation was passed on the back of a tax bill that was primarily designed to give comfort to the comfortable and transfer assets from the rest of us to an elite few.

This is bad. This is bad for the Gwich'in especially, but it is bad for all of us. It's a sad day.

And anything we could do to stop it we should.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Cue up speaker No. 30.

And thank you very much. For our odd-number folks, we are

going to call up No. 31, 33, 35, 37 and 39. Please check

in with Molly Hootch here at microphone No. 1.

Ma'am.

MS. JODY POTTS: Good evening. Thank you.

Name is Jody Potts. I'm Han Kutchin from Eagle Village,

Alaska and for millennia my people have lived off of the

caribou, and particularly the Porcupine caribou herd. I

was blessed and lucky enough to be raised on the land.

And so tonight I heard a lot of conservationists speak.

And I appreciate that. I appreciate their support, and

also recreationalists.

But this is my way of life, living off the caribou. My family completely depended on it for the better half of my -- until I graduated high school. And still today I take my kids hunting. I'm a hunter. I'm an indigenous woman. I work in the state as a law enforcement officer. I enforce state law. I protect citizens of this state and this country. But my people and our way of life is in jeopardy by this proposed project by developing in our sacred place where life begins.

Our people have sacred knowledge. We have traditional knowledge that give us direction in our life.

And I was blessed to have an uncle who is our chief, Isaac

Junable [ph], who was talking to me about his work, and he spoke to me about processes like this and how there is a mandate that you need to hear from the citizens and you need to hear from tribes before you do any of these projects. And he said a lot of times, though, they are just meeting that mandate. And I see that tonight.

I see a couple of you have been listening intently, and I appreciate that because you guys work for us. You work for us as American citizens. And only a few of you are listening intently. But a couple of you are on your devices not listening. And you represent your agency, our country and your families just like I was raised. I was taught -- I represent my family and my people. And we are taught respect.

Nothing about this process shows respect. I see you guys with your phone, on your phone, snacking. Your body language says you are not listening. You are just meeting this requirement and nothing more. And I have very little faith in this process and protecting our people and protecting the sacred place where life begins and American citizens.

You may hear our people, but you are not going to heed our words. And Gwich'in people, I guarantee, we are strong people. We have survived genocide. We have continued to thrive. We have continued to live our way of

life into this century. And we are teaching our children.

And we are going to fight to the end. We are going to

3 protect our caribou, our culture, our way of life and our

4 children from genocide.

We don't just think about today and the next dollar and how we are going to -- you know, how our economy is going to be in a few years. We think about our grandchildren's grandchildren. I think about our future generations. And that's what I was taught. And those of you that are on your devices not listening to the American citizens that are here to comment, shame on you. Shame on you for not taking this serious. We take it serious. This is our way of life. I appreciate if you put your devices away and you listen.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker No. 31. If we can get 32, 34, 36, 38 and 40 come see Chad, please.

MS. TONYA GARNETT: Hello. (Speaking in Gwich'in.) I am from Arctic Village, Alaska. I am the Executive Director of the Native Village of Venetie Tribal Government. We are against oil development in the calving grounds of the Porcupine caribou herd. No compromise. We are united -- all of the whole Gwich'in Nation are united in one voice and one heart speaking against of any type of

development that would devastate the caribou herd which would directly impact Gwich'in people. And that goes for Alaska, Canadian side, both.

My name is Tonya Garnett. I'm from Arctic Village.

My parents are Lillian and Jerry Garnett. My grandparents are the late Martha and Ezias James, and my great grandfather was the Reverend Albert E. Tritt. There are stories of my great grandpa traveling by dog team up to the coastal villages, communities. These are the people that we carry with us. We speak for the people that came before us, our ancestors. The prayers of our ancestors we carry with us. We speak for these people. And their words we carry on today. And we speak for those that come after us, not only for my child, but for his children and their children, people we would never even meet, but we speak for them.

We have the basic human right to continue to live the life that we have always lived. There is an injustice here because we are being hit fast and hard by a process that is foreign to us that we do not understand. We are having to learn fast and move fast.

We are excluding many in this process, other communities, other villages that have publicly formally asked for scoping meetings or consultations in their villages, and including our Canadian relatives. You are

126

mandated to do this process, and you don't -- maybe you 1 don't have a choice in, you know, what the mandate is, but 2 you do have the power to do a thorough and comprehensive 3 study to include what baseline studies are there on the 4 5 caribou food. Why do they always go up to that area? What's the current health of the Gwich'in people? What 6 7 socioeconomic impacts of the Gwich'in -- impacts of the 8 Gwich'in people will be impacted? We are a strong people, 9 a strong culture. Can you guarantee that this will 10 continue? Can you guarantee that my son or his sons will have the rite of passage when they get their first 11 12 caribou? Can you guarantee that?

Our human rights are being violated. And this is just history continuing itself. You have the -- you have the -- the key to helping us to change those -- that pattern of history to help us protect our way of life and stop, you know, genocide of our people.

My people, Gwich'in people, my culture is not up for debate. The health and well-being of my people is not up for debate.

Mahsi' Choo.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker No. 32. And looks like we are still looking for card No. 34. If you have card 34, please come down.

MS. SARAH FURMAN: My name is Sarah

Furman. I'm a resident of Fairbanks, and I just want to say it's been an honor to stand with the Gwich'in people tonight and to hear their voices because, you know, for starters this is not our land. It's not Lisa Murkowski's land to give up for drilling. It is the Gwich'in people's. It is their sovereign land. So it is just -- it's both morally and legally reprehensible to be opening this land to drilling against the will of the people that live there.

And on that note, we have a moral obligation to make sure that their voices are heard by having hearings in their villages and in all the villages that will be affected by this, as we have heard testimony about the effect of the caribou and the other animals that live up there and the people that rely on that migration, the migration of the other animals in that calving ground far beyond just ANWR.

In my research about ANWR and the effects of the drilling up there, I've read a bunch of articles. And one thing that really stuck out to me is the fact that even if we extract, you know, most of the oil we can get from that area, it's really only enough to support the United States for a matter of months and, at best, years. And the consequences of drilling there are lifetime. They are unspeakable. It's cultural genocide against the Gwich'in

people to be destroying their caribou herd's calving grounds. It's long-term consequences for musk ox, for polar bears, for other migratory birds that all depend on that land and all for a couple of years of oil, maybe, a few months of oil. How short-sighted are we going to be?

It's -- it's really a tragedy. And it's shocking to even be having this conversation at this point when the movement really needs to be away from oil and drilling altogether.

Yeah. I mean, just in light of climate change, we already have villages that we need to move away from the coast because of climate change. And instead of making decisions that are going to mitigate the long-term consequences of climate change, we're choosing to make decisions that are going to exacerbate what is already going on. And that is -- it's irrational and illogical and it's a decision that is a going to benefit just a few people at the cost of many First Nations people and people beyond.

And my personal plea is, you know, I love recreating up there. I think that land is really beautiful. It's a magical place and it's really a healing grounds for those of us lucky enough to make our way that far north. And it would be really be tragic to be cutting off that land for recreation use, as well.

So I will continue to stand with the First Nations
people, and I hope that you will listen to their voices.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker

No. 33.

MS. LINDA BROWN: Good evening. I think most of the important points about the -- about the process have been covered here, but I'd like to kind of wrap that up by saying that by fast tracking public scoping and then by ignoring all these voices in order to meet a realistic timeline, BLM is necessarily and egregiously going to fail to meet either the spirit or the letter of the NEPA process, which is what this is all about.

Oil and gas leasing is by definition contrary to the purposes for which the refuge was established. Indeed purpose 5 added in order to grease the wheels for development to the omnibus tax bill. It's so obviously contrary to U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service's original four purposes as to appear oxymoronic to any rational person. How can purpose 5 "to provide for an oil and gas program" possibly do other than to undermine and obviate the previous four purposes?

These purposes, which are to conserve fish and wildlife populations, to fulfill international treaty obligations, about which you have heard greatly this

evening, to provide for continued subsistence uses by residents, and to ensure for water quality and quantity are obviously incompatible with the fifth hastily appended purpose.

The rush to expedite development by whatever means possible proves without doubt that had a public debate about development actually taken place, proponents would not have been able to garner the necessary support to make it happen, as they have obviously not been able to do for the past 40 years.

The Arctic Refuge is currently operated under a comprehensive conservation plan. This NEPA process must address any discrepancies between the CCP and the final EIS. This NEPA process must also ensure that there is consistency between the final EIS and other still relevant federal laws, including ANILCA, the Endangered Species Act, the Clean Air and Water Acts, and other current laws bearing on development of the refuge.

Finally, although it's not necessary to remind you, the NEPA process must include a no action alternative, along with any other alternatives that may be described in the final EIS.

As Alaskan communities struggle to deal with the impact of climate change, more oil and gas from the arctic cannot be viewed as anything other than the digging up of

more poison that is killing us. By contrast, we should also be deeply aware of the fact that true wilderness is increasingly rare in our world today.

It is for this reason that Alaskans and the agencies responsible for the protection of public lands should defend wilderness from development at all costs because it will only increase in value over time.

Thank you for considering my thoughts.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Okay. We are going to go to 34. No 34 yet? No. 34 out in the audience? Okay. Thank you. We will go over to 35.

MS. SIKANIK [ph] MAUPIN: Hello. My name is Sikanik Maupin. My family is from Utqiagvik and Nuiqsut. My grandmother was born on the Kuukpik River. She migrated with the caribou until the government forced her to go to Utqiagvik for school. For all the trauma, through everything that my people have been through, we have had something to gather and celebrate, and that is our traditional food.

As a young woman, I have seen what has happened to my people. I have seen spiritual, mental and physical sickness ravish. My mother took me out of the village very young. She wanted to give me what she thought would be a better life. But being forced to move from my village, I lost my language, I lost my culture, and I lost

my identity. For many years growing up, I filled those voids with unhealthy things.

I have been through so much in my journey to speak my language, which I still struggle with. I see that we have gone from 98 percent speaking to 11 percent. This is what happens when you take away our food security, when you take away our health. This is not just something that we eat. It is for our spiritual and our mental and our physical well-being.

When I see the Gwich'in having the possibility of going through the same thing, to think that my children and my grandchildren may have to fight the same fight, but they have no way of filling that emptiness that I have found through my culture, through the food that I have shared, the Gwich'in have welcomed me and have given me their sacred food to share with them. And I stand in solidarity.

I truly hope that you are listening to the words that are being spoken today, that you know that people, our lives are at stake by the decision that is being made. And I really am scared that one day I will have to tell stories to my grandchildren of what caribou used to taste like, what our animals and our traditional celebrations were because we are not able to do that and I'm not able to share that with them, that my great great grandchildren

won't even know who they are because that's what happens
when you take away our identity. Eventually we are no
more.

So I stand in solidarity, and I plead with you to make the right decision, to be on the right side of history.

Thank you. Quyanaq.

MR. DAVID BATTS: We are going to cue up No. 36, if we could hold up for a second. Go ahead and cue them up, please.

MS. GAIL MAYO: My name is Gail Mayo, and I've lived in Fairbanks for over 50 years, but I've never been to the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, regrettably. I'm here to speak against any plan for leasing, exploring or developing the 1002 area of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. You will hear many statements today, and you have heard already many, that further support my request. In my three minutes, I just want to ask you for respect.

Today is the day that you can make a decision to respect all of the concerns you will hear by deciding against further development, or any development, I should say. One of the good things about the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is there has been no development there yet. The 1002 area of the coastal plain is unique in many

134

- 1 ways, some of which we will never fully understand.
- 2 Please respect the uniqueness and diversity of this land.
- 3 Respect the importance of this land to the Gwich'in who
- 4 know it so intimately. Respect the importance of this
- 5 land to the denizens of the city skyscraper who will never
- 6 set foot on it, yet they are fascinated and captivated by
- 7 the wild and untrampled eexistence of it.
- Respect the thoughts of all the people in between
 those two extremes who value the Arctic National Wildlife
 Refuge. Respect the legacy this land offers to our
- 11 children and to their children. Know that they would
- 12 respect you for your wisdom.
- 13 There is no oil and gas crisis that demands breaching
- 14 this area. Put your resolve into renewable energy
- 15 development and increasing efficiency. Head our nation
- 16 forward on energy instead of backward.
- The 1002 area of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge
- 18 is a rare jewel on this planet that we all share. Please
- 19 respect this land and let it be.
- MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. No. 38. We
- 21 are going to get through this group of folks and then we
- 22 will be taking a short break.
- MS. ELIZABETH DOBBINS: Hello. My name is
- 24 Elizabeth Dobbins, and I live in Fairbanks, Alaska. I'm
- 25 an oceanographer at the University of Alaska Fairbanks

where I study the Chukchi and the Beaufort seas. I'm here to speak against drilling in ANWR because I've seen the effects of climate change both here in Fairbanks and in the Arctic Ocean.

Oil development is a long-term commitment. It will take seven to ten years for wells to be in production, or as many as 20 years in the difficult arctic conditions. Then they have to produce for another 30 years to break even. And what changes will occur during those 50 years?

Well, in the past 60 years, the average temperature across Alaska has warmed by three degrees Fahrenheit. And in Utqiagvik, it's more like six degrees Fahrenheit. In fact, Alaska is warming twice as fast as the rest of the United States. This warming is straining our infrastructure and natural systems. Even here in Fairbanks it is. And because the U.S. has withdrawn from the Paris Accord, warming in the next 50 years could be equal to the last, stressing the ecosystem of the coastal plain even without oil development.

Therefore, an EIS for ANWR must include these changing conditions. Effects on caribou populations must consider that caribou will also be stressed by changes in habitat, food availability, parasites and disease. Effects on tundra must consider the longer summers and changes in species like increased brush and even trees.

Effects on water must include increased erosion caused by increased storms, decreased sea ice and thawing permafrost. And more than that, the EIS should include the opportunity costs of continuing to depend on fossil

Climate change is caused by carbon emissions. Every year and dollar spent developing ANWR distracts us from the changes we need to make to keep climate change from becoming catastrophic. In the 50 years of this project, my daughter's children will be coping with its consequences. They must also be considered.

Thank you very much.

fuels for another half a century.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker No. 38. My apologies for the mix-up there.

MS. PAMELA MILLER: Hello. My name is Pamela Miller. I live in Fairbanks. I've already lost six seconds. In just three minutes of our lives, we must speak forever about the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, about its forever. The first minute. Count the heart beats of this special refuge where the coastal plain has been an integral part since being set aside before statehood over 50 years ago as it is today, whole, wild, free, and intact interconnected web of existence of which we are all a part, alive with regard and respect for Gwich'in, Inupiat and others in relationship with the

migrating animals and their habitats. It's a place recommended for permanent wilderness protection.

No action is this place today, complete with the full breath of life forces, the tapestry of ecological relationships, the natural and human environment. There are not the studies that are needed, the knowledge that needs to be put together in a comprehensive way.

I oppose any leasing program and industrial operation in the coastal plain because of irreversible and irretrievable harm to the refuge's essential purposes which are managed to this day by the Fish & Wildlife Service.

I'm supposed to be at minute two. Countless animals in relationship with clean air, water and land and each another in this narrow living, breathing band of tundra cut by 12 major rivers, from the Brooks Range to Beaufort Sea coast: Canning, Tamayariak, Katakturuk, Marsh Creek, Carter Creek, Itkilyariak, Sadlerochit, Hula Hula, Okpilak, Jago, Niguanak, Angun, Aichilik. There is not simply enough winter water despite these rivers that make it a very different landscape than Prudhoe Bay or NPR-A. It is unique. There is not enough water in winter for ice roads, drilling or industrial use.

The last minute, this -- I will speak just briefly to the kinds of things that we must count that are

uncountable as we change this landscape in a way that from where I came from in Cleveland, Ohio, home of Sohio and many oil took hundreds of years. We are talking one year to make decisions.

7,844 helicopters take-offs and landings in the coastal plain for summer studies in one field seasonal alone. Of this 700 helicopter flights for stickpicking. That's cleaning up the trash. 256 million gallons of freshwater from ten lakes from one just winter exploration in the reserve. 32,000 miles of seismic trails. You must consider the full range of this activity from its seismic drilling, leasing, the whole package, before you move forward. No action is the right alternative.

Thank you very much.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Speaker No. 39.

MS. ZOE KASSOF: Hello. My name is Zoe
Kassof. I'm eleven years old, and I live in Fairbanks,
Alaska. I'm part of KEA - Kids for Environmental Action.
I came today because I do not want drilling in ANWR.

One of the most important reasons for preserving ANWR is the caribou. There are two herds that live in the coastal plain. The first herd is the Porcupine herd, and the second herd is the Central Arctic herd. This year there were 218,000 caribou in the Porcupine herd. This is the highest number of caribou in the herd since 1989.

1 It's more than twice the number of people in Fairbanks.

2 We want to keep that number of caribou this high because

they are important to the web of life. They feed wolves,

bears and people. Caribou need lots of room to migrate

because they travel as much as 3,000 miles a year.

People have said they only want to develop a little bit of the refuge, but I don't believe them. Like if my mom asked for a bite of my cake, I know she rely wants the whole thing.

The future I want to see for the caribou includes room to live, plenty of food and no oil drilling anywhere near them.

I'm only 11 years old and I'm standing here because
I'm worried about the caribou, the people and the
environment. I feel like this shouldn't be happening, and
I shouldn't have to talk about how I don't want this to
happen.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Great job. Thank you.

20 All right. Speaker No. 40.

MR. JOHN GAEDEKE: My name is John Gaedeke, and I'm born and raised in Fairbanks. And I'm real leery of this process already tonight. I've seen disrespect to elders who were talking and not be given the respect they deserve and being worried about clapping, and

even tonight you can't guarantee that everyone here in the room will get a chance to speak, so I'd like to give my Princess, who is from the area and can speak more eloquently than I can about it, and I can guarantee that at least she will get to speak tonight.

MS. PRINCESS LUCAJ: (Speaking in Gwich'in.) I behoove you to hire a Gwich'in translator to translate that.

You know, none of us should be here. That young women, that little girl that just spoke is right. None of us should be here.

I wish that Senator Murkowski were here right now because when I listened to the Senate Natural Resource Committee hearing, I did not hear full and fair debate. It was very one-sided. And what I heard this evening is powerful testimony from everyday Alaskans saying that we do not want to see development in the remaining five percent of the coastal plain that hasn't been opened to this drilling.

And these pictures, you know, these maps, they only tell a fraction of the story. In fact, they really don't tell any story. What is that? I mean, let's see the pictures of what's up there, of the life that is up there.

And as a Gwich'in person, you know, it's my obligation to speak up for the land and the animals. And

if I'm really going to use the voice of those Vadzaih, I would say do not drill where I am having my calves. It just doesn't make sense.

Now, again, this is just a fraction of the picture. I hope that you are including, like others have mentioned, climate change impacts. We have infrastructure up there, pipelines that have been damaged because of melting permafrost. And I know that the oil and gas industry is quite aware of that and have authorized some studies around that. So we need to look at this in the broader sense.

Does it make any sense that we are continuing to go down this path when the industry itself isn't really interested in the lease sales? I mean NPR-A last lease sales were pathetic. You know, there is all this other development that's going on. And I think the world is saying, hey, it is time to transition. In fact, I think Murkowski is up there talking about alternative energies and learning about, you know, these microgrids, which Alaska leads the world in those. Right?

So we have to think about this bigger picture. And I just from my heart of hearts, I just want to say Mahsi'

Choo to everyone for just speaking up. It's time. It's time for us to transition and be innovative. I feel bad for all of you. I don't know why DOI isn't -- I don't

understand how this happened. It wasn't a fair process.
Right.

So Mahsi' Choo for sitting and listening.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Okay. We are going to take a ten-minute break. So right now it's 8:25, so come back and be seated by 8:35; we'll get going again. If you are in the number group 40 to -- 41 to 50, if you can please come to the front row, we'll get you situated and ready to go right away. Thank you.

(A break was taken.)

MR. DAVID BATTS: So if you have numbers 41, 43, 45, 47, 49, please see Molly who is waving her arms frantically. 42, 44, 46, 48, 50, please see Chad, who is not waving his arms frantically. All right. We are going to get this group going. And I believe, just kind of doing my basic math, about three minutes and with ten people, that's going to take us past the 9:00. We're going to get through this group for sure. If we end up with a little extra time, we'll try to work in additional speakers. But if we have speaker No. 41 ready, we'll go ahead and get you going.

MS. ERICA WATSON: My name is Erica
Watson. I live outside Denali Park. I'm speaking on
behalf of myself. I request that the EIS implement and -include and implement a no action alternative. This

administration's push to undo decades of legislative protection, which is only a sliver of time compared to the millennia of stewardship by indigenous peoples of what is now Alaska, is an affront to the human rights, ecological integrity and the future of our climate, among many other things.

The U.N. Declaration of the Rights of Indigenous
People states that indigenous people must be guaranteed
access to their means of subsistence. I should not need
to restate the profound relationship between the Gwich'in
Nation and the Porcupine caribou as we have heard tonight
and as Senator Murkowski has heard multiple times before
she made her decision. But apparently this alone is not
sufficient to prevent our own government's attempt to
permanently alter the sacred and ecologically sensitive
birthing grounds.

I should not have to remind our government that our nation has a clear track record in its history of prioritizing colonial development over indigenous cultural health and survival. It is 2018. We should not be having this conversation.

The EIS must fully incorporate these declarations, such as the U.N. Declaration on Indigenous Rights, the 1987 treaty between the U.S. and Canada, and incorporate socioeconomic and cultural effects of intergenerational

trauma imposed by unwanted extractive development. The
EIS must incorporate current research on the impacts of
extractive development on adjacent and dependent
communities, which fall largely on women and girls. The
EIS must demonstrate mitigation measures that will
adequately address these impacts, something the industry
has yet to do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the stated foundational purposes of the Arctic Refuge is to conserve fish and wildlife populations and habitats in their natural diversity including, but not limited to, the Porcupine caribou herd, polar bears, grizzly bears, musk ox, Dall sheep, wolves, wolverines, snow geese, peregrine falcons, and other migratory birds and arctic char and grayling. The coastal plain is integral to the migration not only of the caribou, but of over 200 species of migratory birds. New research is still emerging on the ecological connections between these species, their co-evolution and interdependence. Adequately studying these truths will require more than the single year currently allowed under the shortened period Zinke's Secretarial Order requires. Therefore, I request an extension in order to allow meaningful and complete study.

Finally, our climate is already warming at twice the rate of the rest of the planet. Fossil fuel development

is the wrong move for the future of our state, our economy and our health. Global scientific consensus acknowledges this. Our own state's in-progress climate policy acknowledges this. Implement a no action alternative.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker 42.

MR. MAXWELL PLICHA: Hello. My name is

Maxwell Plicha. I'm a wildlife biologist living in

Fairbanks, and I work across Alaska, including the North

Slope. I am familiar with how sensitive the tundra

ecosystem is because I conduct research in the tundra and

I study organisms that live there. Opening up the Arctic

National Wildlife Refuge to drilling is alarming to me,

both as an Alaska resident and a local scientist.

I've only lived in Alaska for a year, and I am so disappointed that I am already having to speak in front of a committee discussing the leasing of public land for the purpose of oil and gas development in one of the last unspoiled Arctic landscapes left in North America.

As a wildlife biologist, I am specifically concerned about the impact that the development of area 1002 will have on the wildlife living within the refuge. Although the size of the physical disturbance is important to discuss, the noise, smell and presence of humans and machinery in the tundra can be just as, if not vastly

more, impactful to the wildlife living within the refuge than the physical destruction of the tundra itself. In order to fully conceptualize the impact that the proposed development will cause, these factors and others must be considered.

We as a people made a commitment in 1960 to protect this land from future development, and now almost 60 years later we are planning on breaking that commitment with the flora, fauna and people that call the National Arctic Wildlife Refuge home. No oil and gas development is risk free, and I personally denounce the leasing of land, the extraction of oil and the development of the 1002 area. I encourage you to extend the scoping period so that more individuals can comment.

Thank you for listening to my concerns and comments.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker
No. 43. Just a reminder, if you have written testimony,
even if it's on your phone or anything else like that,
please feel free to give it to our court reporter even if
it's scratched up. And if you have it on your phone or
something, we would love to provide her email to you so
you can email it to her.

Sir.

MR. JEFFREY JOHN: My name is Jeffrey

John. I'm from Venetie, Alaska. I hunt for caribou in

Venetie all my life. We depend on caribou in Venetie, and please don't disturb the calving grounds in ANWR.

Every year female caribou get pregnant, and thousands of thousands of females lay their beautiful babies, wet the caribou babies. They lay down and their mom lick it, the baby. After they have baby, they move forward again, baby caribou run with their mom, thousands and thousands and thousands. And baby caribou are strong enough to stay with their mother. And they go across rivers, streams and plain country until caribou grow bigger and stronger. And they travel down to Arctic Village and Venetie, and another herd travels to Old Crow flats and another herd travels to Fort McPherson and another herd travels to Alkavik in Canada.

Caribou, they stay whole winter long. And when spring comes, females get pregnant again, and caribou do that for thousands of years for generations and generations.

Please don't disturb the calving grounds. And don't bother the calving grounds. And this caribou I'm talking about is -- we Gwich'in people are really strong. And I'm going to say in my language, Gwich'in. (Speaking in Gwich'in).

Before snowmachine come, my dad, Walter John, and Junas [ph] John, and all five or six of them, they stay in

I remember 60 below they go up to Venetie right Venetie. above, and for two weeks they drive dog team with toboggan. They got eight or ten dogs, and they all travel together. And I remember them. Yeah. It was it hard time. Yeah. And Dad say, how come you guys do that? My son don't go hungry. I'll feed you. And they live out in the tent in the cold weather, 40, 50 below. And it was hard time.

And that's how Venetie grow up. Not only Venetie.

Arctic Village and all the Gwich'in people in the past,

Old Crow and Fort McPherson and Alkavik. Everybody.

Yeah. We live a hard time. And this caribou thing is

really important for the calving grounds. You guys don't

disturb it. Please don't disturb it. Keep the caribou

strong generation to generation.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. No. 44.

MR. ERIC OLSEN: Good afternoon. Hi. My name is Eric Olsen from Fairbanks, Alaska. I'm speaking today as a high school teacher who aims to prepare students for their future, a future that scientists and economists agree cannot be ruled fully by oil and gas.

I teach with two primary goals in mind. One, my students learn to make and craft things well, and, two, they use that skill to allow them and their families to be

comfortable in their lives. We, as a nation, have not lived up to these goals.

First, we have not done things well because the law, which was -- which has initiated this hearing, was not done well. After 30 years of trying to pass a law in an open Congress and failing, our State delegation slipped it into a tax bill.

The oil development across the country has not done well. It leaks millions of gallons every day and has ruined ecosystems, from Valdez all the way up to the northernmost coasts. And most importantly, we have not done well to the sovereign nations in the north, in this case, the Gwich'in Nation.

Secondly, as I hope for my students to be comfortable in their future, we must recognize that when we disrupt the sacred lands of the Gwich'in Nation, which is also a productive birthing ground for the Porcupine caribou herd, we make their lives -- more than their lives uncomfortable.

Teachers are tasked with preparing students for the future. It is my opinion that continuing to develop oil and gas resources on a productive birthing ground during the greatest extinction period of our time, we are not preparing them for the future.

It is time to think beyond jobs that come from oil

and gas development and time for our state and our federal leaders to resource a transition to renewable energies, the largest growing job sector in the nation.

Therefore, BLM must fully analyze any and all impacts on the Porcupine caribou herd and additionally a full analysis on those who survive in conjunction with the herd. The BLM must also prepare all documents of these processes in both Gwich'in and Inupiaq languages, as there are many sovereign nations impacted by the decisions made by the BLM.

And finally, I ask you, Bureau of Land Management, to do these studies well. The comfort and success of our children depend on it.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. No. 45.

MR. PAUL WILLIAMS, JR.: Hello. (Speaking in Alaska indigenous language.) My name is Paul Williams, Jr., and I'm from Beaver and Arctic Village, Alaska. I didn't even know that we were supposed -- I didn't realize there was a great meeting that happened today and it fell on today. So -- (Speaking in Alaska indigenous language.)

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. No. 46, please.

MS. ADELINE PETER RABOFF: My name is

Adeline Peter Raboff. I'm Neets'aii Gwich'in. I'm from

Arctic Village and Fort Yukon originally. But I am a person also of this world, just like everyone else here is. We are all members of a community that is trying to preserve our environment and the world because many things are going wrong: The oceans, air pollution, genetic deformities from all of the things that are happening from, for instance, hormones; hormones in the beef and in the chicken. All these things are going wrong.

And here we are at this meeting and, basically, you know, for Gwich'in people, it's one meeting after another year after year. And it's not just Gwich'in people. It's people in Nuiqsut. It's people in Kaktovik. They are inundated with meetings about land sales and the -- it's innervating. And the people in Kaktovik suffer a lot from environmental degradation due to the oil industry as it is now.

I don't know if you people remember this word. What was it? Manifest destiny? I think we studied it when we were younger. And people forgot what that means.

Manifest destiny means destroying everything in your path. And this has got to stop. We have got to find another way of living together in this world without going and destroying every single last corner of this world. So in my point of view, this is a manifestation of manifest destiny.

So we have a new president, who is arrogant, who is crude, who has no regard for certain aspects of human life. For instance -- well, I'm out of time.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker No. 47, please.

MS. DILOOLA ERICKSON: (Speaking in Alaska indigenous language.) My name is Diloola Erickson. My family is from Kaltag. I'm of the Caribou Clan. And I'm here to stand in solidarity with the Gwich'in people and the Inupiaq people. We are not separate. We are together. What happens to them happens to us. It happens to you. It happens to all of us. If they lose their food source, we will lose our food source, too.

The caribou that live on that land, they eat the land, the food that comes from the land. It nourishes their body, and then they nourish us, like we will nourish our children. It's a cycle. And we give ourselves to the land, and the land gives itself back to the caribou. You cannot break that cycle. You break that cycle, you will break our way of life, all of us. If it happens to us, it will happen to you.

And I want to ask you, because a lot was said tonight in this place. So I want to know how you guys plan to process this data, how you will catalog this data and how

you will use it to inform your decision because it is a big decision.

We don't need this oil. We have the technology and we have the money to get energy a different way and we should.

Mahsi'.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7 MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. No. 48, 8 please.

MR. ODIN MILLER: Good evening. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to address you guys. first of all, to begin with, I'd like to echo what some of the others have said in calling out this entire process for what it is, as corrupt and undemocratic. Senator Murkowski, if you are listening, you are complicit in the rising authoritarianism in this country for your willingness to circumvent the democratic process and cozy up to the Trump Administration for your own political convenience on this tax bill. You have betrayed the public interest, the Gwich'in people, the Inupiat who depend on the Porcupine caribou herd and, more broadly, all Alaskans who depend on a livable climate and a livable democracy that respects human rights and public process.

The lack of possibility for a no action alternative clearly violates the intent of NEPA in a deeply undemocratic way.

I also have some comments on the subject of subsistence harvest baseline data for impacted communities. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game's Community Subsistence Information System, a comprehensive database of subsistence harvest surveys conducted by the State, lists zero data on caribou harvests by residents of Arctic Village; zero, even though caribou is the primary resource that that community depends on. There is also no data from federal agencies, such as U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. For comparative reference, there are multiple years of recent caribou harvest data for communities that rely on the western Arctic caribou herd, such as Ambler, Point Lay and Deering.

I'd like to request that the BLM collect at least three years' worth of caribou harvest data as part of its obligations as per Section 810 of ANILCA. These caribou harvest surveys should take place in Arctic Village, Kaktovik, as well as any other villages that use the Porcupine caribou herd.

Other major development projects have commonly involved baseline data collection in dozens of impacted communities, anything that might be affected by a project or its infrastructure.

Related to this is the subject of tribal consultation. I'd also like to refer you to Executive

Order 13175 which requires "consultation and coordination with Indian tribal governments." According to the BLM's 2011 report titled Compliance with ANILCA Section 810, "Land use decisions with the potential to significantly restrict subsistence uses of rural Alaskans are commonly matters that may have a tribal implication. Consultation with tribal governments on subsistence along with other issues is an integral part of the public involvement process for an EIS."

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: We will move over to No. 49, please.

DR. CHARLEEN FISHER: Mahsi'. (Speaking in Alaska indigenous language.) My name is Dr. Charleen Fisher. I'm from Beaver, Alaska, and I'm the Executive Director of Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments. The Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments is a tribal consortium founded September of 1985 with a vision of self-sufficient communities with a shared commitment to promote common goals.

All ten tribes in the region, including Arctic
Village, Beaver, Birch Check, Canyon Village, Chalkyitsik,
Fort Yukon, Rampart, Stevens Village and Venetie have
adopted a standing resolution in support entitled
Resolution to Permanently Protect the Birthplace and

Nursing Grounds of the Porcupine Caribou Herd in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. It asserts and affirms the Gwich'in people's inherent rights to continue their way of life as recognized by the U.S. Senate. The Gwich'in have consistently advocated for Izhik Gwatsan Goodai Goolit, the sacred place where life begins, to protect their natural environment and the cultural practices of the Gwich'in people. Since time immemorial, the CATG tribes and their tribal membership have lived in reciprocity with these lands and the resources therein.

This scoping period is much too short to properly solicit comments from Alaskans, Native and nonNative, rural and urban, young and old, rich and poor, and the greater American citizenry. This process does not allow for proper inclusion of the Alaska Native knowledge systems and the traditional use patterns and the impacts of development in a thorough and thoughtful way.

The tribes have not been properly included as cooperating agencies, and this process is so short the approval processes to become a cooperating agency has taken too long to include tribes in an equitable manner.

I looked at the paper that you have on the table back there, and there is two tribes that have supposedly been given cooperating agency status, but it's not even listed on your handout. So if you guys don't have time to properly notify the public that there are two tribes as cooperating agencies, maybe you should consider extending it so you can make proper copies and notify the public properly.

At a recent meeting, the Alaska BLM Resource Advisory Council approved the extension of the scoping period. The CATG and the tribes recommend the scoping period of at least 120 days. This process and preceding approval has been very divisive, and many of us have more comments than this process is going to represent in the few comments solicited.

Mahsi' Choo.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you very much. We are going to have speaker 50.

MS. WILLOW LEAVES: My name is Willow
Leaves, and I'm 12 years old. I stand with the Gwich'in
people because opening the wildlife refuge is not only
morally wrong because it's a sacred space, but developing
a new oilfield in the face of climate change is ignorant.
I spent the first six years of my life living in the
Brooks Range with the Porcupine caribou crossing my lake.
The caribou are very important to all of us because they
complete the food chain. If the caribou have their
birthing grounds destroyed, then the natural way of life
will be broken.

You see that not all Alaskans want ANWR to be opened up to drilling. Think of if it's appropriate to think more of rich, you don't have to be rich to have a happy and fulfilled life. Do not be afraid to step forward for what is right because I'm not. And I will continue to fight.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. You did a great job. I'm so proud of you. Okay. We are going to conclude the public commenting period. I know there's many people that still have speaking cards out there. I would strongly --

MS. JESSICA GIRARD: My name is Jessica Girard. I'm from Fairbanks, Alaska, and I was not heard. And I would like another public speaking comment to be heard in Alaska. My name is Jessica Girard. I'm with the Fairbanks Climate Action Coalition, and I live in Fairbanks.

MS. SILVIA DAEUMICHEN: My name is Silvia Daeumichen, D-A-E-U-M-I-C-H-E-N. I'm speaking on behalf of the mothers in this world.

MS. KRISTA CHRISTENSEN: My name is Krista Christensen. That's C-H-R-I-S-T-E-N-S-E-N. And I would request another hearing so that our voices can be heard.

MS. SATYA PEARL: My name is Satya Pearl,

- 1 S-A-T-Y-A P-E-A-R-L. I'm from Fairbanks, Alaska. My
- 2 testimony was not heard. I request an additional scoping
- 3 hearing in Fairbanks before the scoping period concludes.
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 MR. AARON YEATON: My name is Aaron
- 6 Yeaton, Y-E-A-T-O-N, and I would like my comment to be
- 7 heard, as well. Thank you.
- 8 MS. ALYSSA QUINTYNE: My name is Alyssa
- 9 Quintyne, A-L-Y-S-S-A Q-U-I-N-T-Y-N-E. My testimony was
- 10 not heard tonight, so I request that another hearing be in
- 11 Fairbanks so our voices can be heard because we deserve
- 12 that opportunity. Thank you.
- MS. FAUSTINE BERNADAC: Hi. My name is
- 14 Faustine Bernadac. It's B-E-R-N-A-D-A-C. My testimony
- 15 was not heard tonight, and I would like the scoping period
- 16 to be extended. Thank you.
- 17 MS. TONYA BROWN: My name is Tonya Brown,
- 18 T-O-N-Y-A B-R-O-W-N. And I would like for there to be
- 19 another opportunity for testimony since I did not get a
- 20 chance and more needs to be done and time needs to be
- 21 taken to hear all voices. Thank you.
- MR. STEPHEN ARTURO GREENLAW: My name is
- 23 Stephen Arturo Greenlaw. That's S-T-E-P-H-E-N A-R-T-U-R-O
- 24 G-R-E-E-N-L-A-W. I'm from Fairbanks, Alaska and I didn't
- 25 have enough time to speak what I had to speak about and I

1 hope there is a time for extension. Thank you so much.

MS. SHARON ALDEN: My name is Sharon

3 Alden, S-H-A-R-O-N A-L-D-E-N. I live in Fairbanks,

4 Alaska. I'd also like to request that an additional

scoping meeting be held in Fairbanks to hear the remaining

6 testimony that we were not able to get to tonight. This

process takes a long time to do right. Please do it

right.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. PHOEBE ROHLBACHER: My name is Phoebe Rohlbacher. I am from Fairbanks, and my comment was not heard, and I'm requesting an additional hearing and an extension of the scoping process here in Fairbanks.

MS. JULIANNE WARREN: Hi. I'm Julianne
Warren, J-U-L-I-A-N-N-E W-A-R-R-E-N. I'm from Fairbanks,
Alaska, and my comment -- my testimony wasn't heard, so I
call for an additional scoping hearing during the period
and for an extension. And writing comments is wonderful
and we'll continue to do that, but there is something that
happens when we speak to each other that doesn't happen in
writing, so please give us another hearing.

MR. PAUL DENGAN: My name is Paul Dengan, D-E-N-G-A-N. I would like to request another chance to testify.

MS. JANE PEPPEY: My name is Jane Peppey,

P-E-P-P-E-Y with speaker card 60. I'm from Fairbanks,

- Alaska, and my testimony was not heard, so I request an additional scoping hearing in Fairbanks before the period concludes. Thank you.
- MS. SARAH FINNELL: Hi. My name is Sarah

 Finnell, S-A-R-A-H F-I-N-N-E-L-L. I didn't get a chance

 to speak tonight. I would request that you extend the

 scoping period and give us another chance here. Thanks.

- MS. MARCY NADEL: Hello. My name is Marcy Nadel, M-A-R-C-Y N-A-D-E-L, speaker card 55. Also did not get a chance and requesting an additional scoping hearing here in Fairbanks as well as scoping hearings in other communities that did not receive them.
- MR. TRAVIS COLE: I'm Travis Cole. I'm Speaker No. 54. My name is T-R-A-V-I-S, last name, C-O-L-E. I didn't get a chance to speak. I hope you extend the scoping. I have been here all day, too. I worked all day before then. I understand you are tired. This is a long process. This would be people's lives in your hands, so please.
- MS. CHERISSA DUKELOW: Hi. My name is

 Cherissa Dukelow, C-H-E-R-I-S-S-A D-U-K-E-L-O-W, No. 59.

 I handed my card to someone who might be a little more

 prepared or a little more impacted by this decision. But

 I would also like to request an additional scoping

 testimony and extension of this process and perhaps a

scoping meeting in another location that is more

accessible for people impacted by this decision that is

very important.

MS. SHELBY FISHER-SALMON: My name is Shelby Fisher-Salmon, and I have a card, No. 63. And I would like to request an extension of this scoping testimony because mine was not heard today. So thank you.

MS. CARMEN KLOOSTER-BYERS: Hi. My name is Carmen Klooster-Byers. I'm from here, Fairbanks, Alaska. I've lived here 26 years. I'm requesting an additional comment period before the scoping is concluded so that I can present my own opinions and comments, because I, too, worked a very long day and would really like to be heard. Thank you very much.

MS. CAROLYN KREMERS: I'm Carolyn Kremers, C-A-R-O-L-Y-N K-R-E-M-E-R-S. I came at 4:30. I really appreciated hearing everyone and appreciate you listening. I'd like to request another scoping period meeting for Fairbanks people and others in this region. And I hope you will have some in the various other areas that were named tonight, including some Outside in the Lower 48. My card number is 74. Thanks.

MS. ANNA GODDUHN: My name is Anna Godduhn, A-N-N-A G-O-D-U-H-N. I also want to request an additional scoping meeting because I gave up my card to a

- 1 closer stakeholder. And I've never been to the refuge,
- 2 but I really want to go there someday, and I don't want it
- 3 to be all full of oil rigs. Thank you.
- 4 MS. JEANNIE KREAMER. I am Jeannie
- 5 Kreamer, J-E-A-N-N-I-E, Kreamer, K-R-E-A-M-E-R. And I
- 6 would like to request an extension, another scoping
- 7 period. I had testimony that I wanted to give. And so
- 8 thank you.
- 9 MR. PHILIP MARTIN: Good evening, and
- 10 thank you for your patience and attention. My name is
- 11 Philip Martin, card No. 69. Since I was unable to present
- 12 my testimony tonight, I'm requesting another scoping
- 13 meeting in Fairbanks. Thank you.
- 14 MR. JOSEPH RANSDELL-GREEN: My name is
- Joseph Ransdell-Green. That's R-A-N-S-D-E-L-L-G-R-E-E-N.
- 16 I did not get to testify today and I would like to request
- another scoping meeting in Fairbanks and any other
- 18 impacted communities. My card number is 81.
- 19 MR. TOM GREEN: Hello. I'm Tom Green,
- 20 G-R-E-E-N. I'm from Fairbanks, Alaska, and I did not get
- 21 to present my testimony tonight, so I'd like to request
- 22 another meeting -- another scoping meeting. My card
- 23 number is 80. Thank you.
- 24 MS. ABBY VANDENBERG: My name is Abby
- 25 Vandenberg, V-A-N-D-E-N-B-E-R-G, and I would also like to

- request another scoping meeting and maybe more

 opportunities for constituents from rural Alaskan

 communities to have their voices heard. Thank you.
- MS. JANE RANSDELL: I'm Jane Ransdell,

 R-A-N-S-D-E-L-L, and I'd like to have another scoping

 meeting, public meeting, so that I could present my

 testimony. And my number is 83.

8

9

10

11

- MS. SUSAN HANSEN: My name is Susan
 Hansen, H-A-N-S-E-N, and I'm No. 75. And I'm from
 Fairbanks, Alaska. I hope you will be able to have
 another scoping meeting for Fairbanks so we can give our
 testimony. Thank you.
- MS. SUSAN GRACE STOLTZ: My name is Susan
 Grace Stoltz. My number was 68. I'm requesting another
 scoping meeting. It was wonderful testimony. I've been
 here since 4:30, too. And it's really -- I appreciate
 your hearing us. I have been doing this for 34 years for
 the Arctic Refuge.
- MR. JIM CAMPBELL: My name is Jim

 Campbell, C-A-M-P-B-E-L-L. I am No. 82, and I didn't have

 a chance, and I have been -- I've spent over 40 years up

 in the refuge, and I would like to be able to speak my

 piece, too.
- MS. PRISCILLA LANGLAIS: My name is
 Priscilla Langlais, P-R-I-S-C-I-L-L-A L-A-N-G-L-A-I-S. My

comments were not heard today, and I'm requesting
additional hearings, both here in Fairbanks and in rural
and Native communities, especially with the appropriate
translators available. Thank you.

- MR. REVEREND SCOTT O. FISHER: My name is the Reverend Scott Fisher of Fairbanks and the Village of Beaver. I didn't have a chance to testify. I'd like to request, then, another hearing both here in Fairbanks.

 And I can't believe you didn't do this in Fort Yukon.
- That's -- that's unconscionable. Another hearing here in
 Fairbanks and in the other communities of the Yukon Flats
 that you omitted and any place the Caribou Clan is
 represented because they are part of the story, et cetera,
 et cetera, et cetera. I'm sorry you are tired. It's a
 big thing.
 - MR. FRANK MAXWELL: I'm Frank Maxwell,
 F-R-A-N-K M-A-X-W-E-L-L from Fairbanks. I'm speaker
 No. 64. I did not get heard. Because of the sensitivity
 and the public interest in this, all speakers who wish to
 be heard should be heard. I can empathize with you
 because I have been on that side of the table. I have
 been there as late as 12:00 at night, so you can do it.
- MR. JUSTIN HILL: Hi. My name is Justin

 Hill, J-U-S-T-I-N H-I-L-L, and I'd like to request another

 hearing. Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Okay. Wonderful. couple quick things. I would like to thank everybody for their time tonight. It has been a long evening, but there has been wonderful testimony, a lot of great input provided. I learned a few things about the audience. I learned that people traveled far. So I want to thank everybody for making the travel to be here tonight with I learned that there are many, many eloquent speakers out there. And I appreciate you speaking to us and providing that input to us.

We also have brave youth out there. So it's always wonderful to see the youth speaking and providing comments at these events.

And lastly I want to thank you for the respect that we had. We know these are very controversial issues, and we appreciate the respect. We will be having a scoping report that will be summarizing all the input that we get from these processes. Again, please submit your written comments. If you had written -- if you had testimony that you didn't get to submit tonight and it's written down, please leave it in the comment boxes and that will go right into the record and be analyzed with everything else. So thank you again for your time and efforts.

Joe, do you have any concluding remarks you would like to add?

MR. JOE BALASH: Just that a couple of groups have asked us for some decisions by Friday with regard to the comment period deadline, as well as an answer on the Fort Yukon and other associated villages questions. I have every intention of making that deadline. So there will be an update by the end of the day on Friday. MR. DAVID BATTS: Okay. Thank you, all. Have a good evening. Drive home safely. (Proceedings adjourned at 9:20 p.m.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 I, MARY A. VAVRIK, RMR, Notary Public in and for 2 the State of Alaska do hereby certify: 3 That the foregoing proceedings were taken before 4 5 me at the time and place herein set forth; that the proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later 6 7 transcribed under my direction by computer transcription; 8 that the foregoing is a true record of the proceedings 9 taken at that time; and that I am not a party to nor have I any interest in the outcome of the action herein 10 11 contained. 12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my hand and affixed my seal this ____ day of June 2018. 13 14 15 MARY A. VAVRIK, 16 Registered Merit Reporter Notary Public for Alaska 17 18 My Commission Expires: November 5, 2020 19 20 21 22 23 24