		1
1	COASTAL PLAIN OIL AND GAS LEASING PROGRAM	
2	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	
3	PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING	
4		
5	Taken May 30, 2018	
6	Commencing at 4:30 p.m.	
7	Pages 1 - 176, inclusive	
8		
9	Taken at	
10	Dena'ina Center 600 West 7th Avenue	
	Anchorage, Alaska	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	Reported by:	
21	Mary A. Vavrik, RMR	
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
3
 1
 2
 3
                 A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S (Continued)
 4
    For EMPSI:
 5
          Chad Ricklefs
          Project Manager
 6
          David Batts
 7
          Principal
          John King
 8
          Principal
 9
          Molly McCarter
          Planner
10
11
          Lindsay Chipman
          Biologist
12
          Andy Spellmeyer
          Planner
13
    Taken by:
14
15
         Mary A. Vavrik, RMR
16
17
    BE IT KNOWN that the aforementioned proceedings were taken
18
    at the time and place duly noted on the title page, before
    Mary A. Vavrik, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary
19
20
    Public within and for the State of Alaska.
21
22
23
24
25
```

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

MR. DAVID BATTS: All right. Welcome. On behalf of the Department of Interior and the Bureau of Land Management, I'd like to welcome you to the third public scoping meeting on the Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program Environmental Impact Statement. You might also hear us refer to that as an EIS as the acronym. I greatly appreciate your time this evening. I know that everybody has other commitments that they would probably much rather be doing than sitting in a meeting hall this evening, but I appreciate your time and effort to be here with us.

Before we get started, I have just a few logistical announcements. First and foremost, if you have any questions, if you need anything, you need assistance, please look for staff with name tags. We will be happy to accommodate you and get you steered in the right direction. Restrooms, we have two set of restrooms right over here to the right-hand side, and then if you are outside of the meeting hall there are restrooms at the far end.

If we need to vacate the building, you can either go out the main doors that we came in and the other side doors. There is also emergency exits to the right.

You're welcome to come and go as you need, but please

do be respectful of others around you. If you leave the secure area, you will have to go back through the metal detectors again and show with your bags.

This room is big. It does pick up noise very easily, so please, no sidebar conversations. If you just make those conversations or any cell phone conversations out in the main lobby, we would appreciate that.

You will notice that there are a few cameras around the room. This meeting is being live streamed all around the globe right now via the Internet. It will also be posted on the BLM website probably by next week so that you could also view the recorded version of it, also.

This evening we have a very simple agenda. We are going to have brief introductions. We will have a PowerPoint presentation giving the general overview about the proposed Project, and then we will move into public comment. And that is why we are here tonight.

We do have a number of stations at the rear of the room that we hope you have taken a chance to view. We have some posters back there explaining different aspects of the project. In addition and probably most importantly, we have a comment station where you can go and fill out your comments electronically directly on the website if you so choose.

When you came in, there is a table with some

handouts. There is a copy of the PowerPoint presentation
that we will be going through. You are welcome to take
that. We have a one-page front-and-back handout
describing the coastal plain oil and gas leasing program

EIS. And then most importantly we have the comment card.
This comment card you can use tonight. You are welcome to
fill it out. And we have a couple of different boxes

scattered throughout the room. You can just drop them in there. If you have written comments that you brought with you, you can also leave those in the box.

There is many other ways to provide your comments, including via the email, through a website. Mailing it in the old-fashioned way is also accepted. So please take a stack of them if you would like to distribute them to friends, colleagues, et cetera.

So with that, I'm going to stop and turn it over to Joe Balash. He is with the Department of Interior,
Assistant Secretary for Lands and Minerals Management.

Joe.

MR. JOE BALASH: Good afternoon. For those of you who don't know, my name is Joe Balash. I am a 30-year resident of Alaska, currently dispatched to D.C. to serve at the Department of the Interior. And it is a great privilege and honor for me to be able to oversee this process.

The NEPA process really is meant to serve two primary functions: That's to inform the public as well as decisionmakers as to the effects and consequences of a proposed action, as well as any alternative approaches to accomplish the same goal and what those impacts might look like in the alternative.

So the phase of the NEPA process we are in now, scoping, is where we try to gather as many points of view and points of input about the kinds of impacts that we should be looking at when assembling the draft EIS document.

As part of the effort here, there are a number of people participating, a number of agencies participating. And a few of them are represented up here with me this afternoon. To my right is Steve Wackowski. He is the Senior Advisor for Alaskan Affairs to Secretary Ryan Zinke. On down the line we have got Kate MacGregor. She is the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Lands and Minerals Management. She works with me in Washington. Next to her is Greg Siekaniec, the Alaska Regional Director for Fish & Wildlife Service. They are a cooperating agency in this endeavor.

And skipping a seat there is the Associate State

Director for BLM Alaska, Ted Murphy. And at the very end

is Nicole Hayes. She's the project manager for this EIS,

and so she's going to be a very, very busy person for the next while.

You know, being from Alaska, this being my home, having worked in resource management for many years, I know that we here in Alaska have a lot of things that we have seen, done, and improved upon in the decades that we have been engaged in oil and gas development on the North Slope. There are some things that are very unique to the coastal plain, things -- and characteristics that we need to take into account.

so the kind of best practices and stipulations that need to be developed for this particular area are going to be very important to the ultimate success here of being able to strike a balance between exploration and preservation of the things that make the coastal plain so very special, as well as the fish and wildlife resources that people in the communities depend upon.

So I know that for the Secretary and myself, it is critical that we strike the appropriate balance as we go through this process. And I know that everybody in this room cares passionately about the issues, whether you are in favor or opposed. And that passion is a good thing. It's what makes all of us tick.

And the one thing that I would ask is that we -- we express ourselves in a manner that is constructive and

respects the viewpoints of folks who don't necessarily see things the same way as us. So I know that that can be done because Fairbanks is a pretty rowdy place, and we escaped last night without -- without any really harsh rhetoric or comments and no name calling. So that was good.

At this point, I want to turn it over to Nicole. She's going to walk us through some slides that will describe in a little more detail what the EIS process is about and some of the unique things about doing an EIS in Alaska that are different because of ANILCA. And then we are going to move into some comments from individuals who are representative of other groups. We wanted to make sure that we accommodate the full spectrum out of the chute here, and then we will open it up for the general public, as will.

So with that, Nicole, I'll turn it over to you.

MS. NICOLE HAYES: Thank you, Joe. As he said, my name's Nicole Hayes, and I'm the project manager for this Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing Program EIS.

I'm going to go through the agenda, what we are doing here today.

And apologize for those that have been at other meetings. It's the same slide show. Nothing has changed.

We're here because of the coastal plain oil and gas

leasing requirement that was in the Tax Act. And I'll go over that a little bit. I'm going to go over agency responsibilities, what the NEPA process is, what -- how subsistence and ANILCA Section 810 process that's into the NEPA process and, most importantly, how to participate.

So on December 22, 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 was enacted. This -- you will hear us refer to it as the Tax Act, but this Tax Act had requirements under Section 200001, which requires the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Bureau of Land Management, to administer an oil and gas leasing program in a manner similar to what was done in NPR-A under the Naval Petroleum Reserve's Production Act of 1976.

There are several other requirements within the Tax Act. BLM is also required to hold not -- not fewer than two lease sales within the 1002 area that's identified here on this map. This map is also referenced in the Tax Act, and it refers to the 1002 area as the coastal plain area. This area covers about 1.6 million acres of the 19.3 million acres of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. And the requirements are that two lease sales be held, the first one within four years of enactment of the act, and the second one within seven years. Each lease sale shall offer no fewer than 400,000 acres at the highest potential hydrocarbon areas.

The agency's responsibilities for the coastal plain leasing EIS are the BLM, us. We are responsible for the EIS. We are the lead federal agency. We are responsible for the leasing program, and we will be responsible for holding and having the lease sales. Fish & Wildlife Service administers the surface of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, and they are a cooperating agency. Fairly, they are integral to this process because they are the surface managers and they have a lot of resource information regarding the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

This slide here outlines the entire BLM oil and gas leasing and development process. The top one is highlighted and the little arrow pointing to it because that's the phase where we are. We are focused on the leasing phase, the EIS for this leasing program, the sales and lease issuance.

Separate and subsequent activities would require separate NEPA analysis, but they are part of the overall oil and gas leasing and development process. They include the geophysical exploration pre and post lease, APDs, or applications for permit to drill, which include the drill and exploration and the development, operations and production, inspection and enforcement and reclamation.

Again, the Tax Act does have a requirement that the Secretary shall offer up to 2,000 acres of surface

development, but any proposal for surface disturbance would require a separate NEPA analysis. And while we will analyze a reasonably foreseeable development scenario within the lease and the EIS, there is going to be no activities, no surface disturbance authorized as a result of it.

This slide shows the NEPA process. It's -- we call it NEPA, the National Environmental Policy Act. And I'll just quickly walk through it. The project requirement was initiated with the passing or the enactment of the tax act. So that -- either a project proponent applies for an activity which will initiate the NEPA process or, as in this case, the enactment of the Tax Act.

On April 20, 2018, BLM issued the Notice of Intent stating that we intended to do an Environmental Impact Statement for the leasing program, and that initiated the scoping period. We started with a 60-day scoping period, which goes to June 19, 2018. That's the period we are in now. That's why we're here. And it's a very important part of the process because this is the opportunity to provide input into what we should be analyzing in the EIS, issues and concerns. It helps inform our alternatives development.

Once the scoping period closes, we have a scoping report, and that informs the development of the draft EIS.

The draft EIS goes out for public comment. That's another really important period. It's a comment period for the public to provide input. Once that input is received, then we address those comments, publish the final EIS, and then sign a Record of Decision and hold a lease sale. Again, the two main points here where there is public involvement are the scoping period and when the draft EIS is published. But there is other opportunities for input. We do government-to-government consultation throughout the process, and so we are receiving ongoing input through that process. And I'll talk about ANILCA 810 in just a second.

So this slide shows subsistence and ANILCA Section 810 and how it fit into the NEPA process. It's separate from NEPA, but it's a really important component. It's done concurrently. ANILCA, for those who don't know, stands for the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act. You will hear us refer to it as ANILCA. Section 810 requires federal agencies to consider impacts of their actions, including leasing, in an evaluation of subsistence uses.

So as you see on the slide up there, the initial 810 evaluation is appended to the draft EIS, and it's based off of information within the draft EIS document.

If the initial evaluation made significantly

restricts subsistence uses, subsistence hearings are held. And those subsistence hearings are separate from the public comment meetings for the draft EIS, but they are usually held, like, the same day. The public comment meeting would adjourn for the draft EIS and then the subsistence hearing would be held. They are concurrent, but they are separate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And once that subsistence hearing is held, the information that is provided during that hearing is used to inform the final determination, which is then appended to the final EIS.

So the decisions that we have to make for the leasing program are the alternatives, the lease sale tracts that we should offer for sale, lease stipulations and best management practices. This is really important, again, for the scoping process. Things that we want to hear from the public and we want to know from you: What are your concerns about the specific resources or resource and why? Do you know of geographic areas of concern for a specific resource? And provide us those details. Is there important information in your community that we should be aware of that will help inform our decision making? Do you have recommendations for stipulations or best management practices? All of that information will greatly inform the development of the draft EIS.

To date, we have one, two, three, four -- seven cooperating agencies: Fish & Wildlife Service, EPA, the State of Alaska, the North Slope Borough, the Native Village of Venetie Tribal Government, Venetie Village Council and Arctic Village Council. We had one additional one yesterday which was the EPA, so this slide has changed since the initial presentation last week, and we have added four more.

Cooperating agencies are agencies that have jurisdiction by law or they have specialized expertise. They are really critical in development of the EIS, too, because they help inform the information that they have and provide the expertise in development of the EIS and the alternatives.

This is the tentative schedule that we are on. The Notice of Intent went out April 20th. The scoping period is set to close June 19th. This summer we will be developing alternatives, evaluating environmental consequences and anticipate publishing a draft EIS by this fall.

If we publish a draft EIS this fall, then it would go out for public review in the fall and winter. We revise that EIS based on the public feedback we receive, and then the final EIS would go out in the spring with a Record of Decision being signed in the spring or summer of 2019.

And then once the Record of Decision is signed, we would have a lease sale.

We have already had a couple scoping meetings. We were in Arctic Village last week. We were in Fairbanks yesterday, Anchorage today. We will be in Utqiagvik tomorrow, Venetie on June 12th, Kaktovik later that evening, and then Washington, D.C. on June 15th. We are live streaming today's meeting, and we live streamed yesterday's, as well.

Another important thing to remember is how to provide comments. We are taking public testimony today. If you don't get an opportunity to speak and you want to provide comment, please, we have computers in the back over on the side. You could provide your comments online today. We have forms around the room that you could fill out and submit in the box, or you could email or mail your comments to the addresses on this paper. We have some handouts in the back with this information on it, as well.

And thank you. Now we will be taking the predesignated speakers.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you, Nicole. All right. Now, for the most important part of the meeting, and that is the public scoping process. Again, my name is David Batts. I'm with the EMPSI. We are the consulting firm helping to collect your comments through the scoping

process. My job tonight is really twofold. First and foremost it's to make sure that your voice is heard and your comments are incorporated into the EIS process. In addition to the verbal comments, I want to stress again there is many opportunities to get your comments to us through different mediums. Again, pick up the public scoping comment form. All the information is on there.

My job is also to make sure that we are able to conduct this meeting in a civil and inclusive manner. All comments are very, very important to us.

Please remember that it can be very stressful and uncomfortable to present in front of a large audience. Therefore, we'd like to have a few ground rules to move forward. Please be respectful of each other and diverse opinions. It's, of course, okay to disagree, but personal attacks will not be tolerated. I request that we also don't have any clapping or jeering, anything that might make people feel uncomfortable. Besides being rude, it's also going to take up valuable time, and we want to make sure we can accommodate as many speakers as possible this evening.

And again, if you have any special needs, please let us know and we will make sure that we can accommodate those.

As mentioned before, this is a scoping meeting in

support of the EIS. It's the first step in a long process. And we are looking for substantive comments that help provide data and information to inform the analysis that will be going into the EIS.

Again, we will take all the scoping information that we receive, analyze it and prepare a scoping report that will be available for your review this summer.

I'd like to introduce one of the most important people in this room, Mary Vavrik. She is our court reporter. This meeting is being recorded for a transcript in addition to the live streaming. In that realm, I ask a few favors. The court reporter needs to be able to see our speakers. That's why we have our microphones aimed directly at Mary. Please speak into the microphones. Speak slowly and clearly.

And if you have written testimony that you are reading from, if you can leave a copy with us this evening, we would appreciate it. You can just bring it up and put it right on Mary's desk. If you are reading off of your phone and you have something that you can email, please let one of our assistants know and we will give you her email address and you can email it directly to her.

We want to accommodate as many people as possible this evening, so we have two speaking groups that we will be going through. We will be having our prearranged

speakers that include elected government officials and selected representatives from different groups. If you are a prearranged speaker, you should probably already know that. Please go ahead and move on down to the front rows.

Then we will move into the public speaking. Public speakers, if you are interested in speaking, please be sure you grab a comment card at the back of the room. That's how we will move forward with the public speaking process. Keep that card with you at all times, as that's what we will use to reference and get you up in front of the comments.

We are asking that folks please keep your comments within the time frames. We are asking our prearranged speakers to please stay within five minutes. For the public, we would request that you stay within three minutes. Last night we were, unfortunately, unable to accommodate all the people that wanted to speak. This venue closes tonight at 10:00. We have to be out of the venue, broken down with all of our equipment out of here by 10:00. So that's why on the agenda we have the meeting ending at 9:00. If we run over a little bit, that's not going to be a problem, but obviously we want to be able to accommodate as many people.

In that vein, what we are going to ask is that if you

are speaking, to try to cut down on the time limit, maybe try to hit more of a two-minute mark and then we will have a hard stop at around three minutes. If we end up having extra time at the end of the evening, you are more than welcome to come back up and continue any of your comments at that point in time.

Wonderful. With that, I'd like to go ahead and begin our prearranged speaking process. You will notice up on the screen that we have a timer. This is here to help you manage your time and know how much time is allotted. At the end of it there will be a little chime, so don't get startled if you hear some bells playing. That's just a reminder that your time is up.

So first we're going to start with Andy Mack, please.

We are going to go -- Mary, would you like it on

microphone No. 2? Is that going to be the easiest?

COURT REPORTER: Either one.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Either microphone.

Andy, please.

COMMISSIONER ANDY MACK: We ready?

MR. DAVID BATTS: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER ANDY MACK: Thank you. First of all, I'd like to introduce myself. My name is Andy Mack. I am the Commissioner of the Alaska Department of Natural Resources. I'm here to testify on behalf of the

State of Alaska today.

First of all, I'd like to thank the group here that's in front of us, Mr. Balash, Mr. Wackowski, Kate, for being here and for holding six hearings in Alaska. We appreciate you taking extensive time here in our state to listen to the views, the hopes and also the concerns of Alaskans.

The State of Alaska supports leasing in the 1002 areas of the ANWR. I'd like to briefly touch on three topics which I think bear on the decision and the way we move forward in this process. One is the history and how we got here. Two is Alaska's outstanding 40-year record of responsible development. And third is the importance of ANWR to Alaska's continuing economy.

First of all, the history. In 1971 U.S. Congress took up and passed ANCSA to address the rights and land claims of the Alaska Native people. In the decade following, we worked to reconcile the promise and opportunity of statehood, the newly created Alaska Native corporations, the beginning of Alaska's oil boom, and the role of federal management in our sovereign state. These discussions led to another piece of landmark compromise, the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act. This legislation served to finalize the allocation of lands in Alaska to be managed for conservation while importantly

guaranteeing the residents of Alaska rights to access and use of the land for hunting, fishing, recreation and resource development.

It is very important to remember that ANILCA doubled the size of the United States' national park system, doubled the size of the national refuge system, tripled the amount of land designated as federal wilderness. Also the legislation protected the rights of Alaskans to develop our economy through the use and safe development of our abundant natural resources.

Regarding the coastal plain specifically, Congress made a compromise in ANILCA that allowed a small area in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge to be studied for oil and gas leasing. The coastal plain was to be studied for its petroleum potential, environmental qualities, with the expectation of Alaskans that there would be safe development to follow.

These provisions are obviously found in Section 102 of ANILCA. Alaska is uniquely founded on resource use and development, and the 1002 area is a critical piece of the compromise that continues the formation of our state. We view the different interests as part of the balance that continues to make our Alaskan way of life possible in our ever-changing world.

Today Alaskans continue to hunt caribou and

participate in traditional whaling activities, subsistence fishing, while also pursuing careful development to strengthen the state and create opportunities for all of our residents. The state has demonstrated that wildlife and environmental protection can be achieved by working closely with local leaders, community organizations and others on how best to develop our abundant resources.

Importantly, the state has an incredibly successful track record of responsible development in the very Arctic that we are talking about, where healthy caribou herds continue to thrive.

I'd like to talk about two prime examples where we have worked with federal agencies and companies here in Alaska. The two most recent developments that I want to discuss are CE-5, which was a ConocoPhillips project on the edge of the National Petroleum Reserve. It serves as an example of modern development in the Arctic.

First of all, the entire development has under eight acres of surface disturbance. Secondly, impacts are managed through the use of stipulations and required operating procedures. There is no air travel to the drill pad. There is limited surface transportation. The impacts to water movement -- surface water, that is -- are limited. And there are multiple mitigations in place for waterfowl, caribou and marine mammals. We believe that

this serves as one of the prime examples of how we can very safely and very responsibly develop in the Arctic.

The other example is even more recent than CE-5, and it is Point Thomson. Point Thomson's total footprint is under 250 acres. It is only accessed by water and air year-round. In other words, there is no permanent road to the facility. There is the facility, the pad.

The last thing I'd like to bring up is that development in the 1002 is important because we are the recipients of 50 percent of the royalty generated there. I serve on the Board of Trustees for the Alaska Permanent Fund, and we have made some critical decisions recently on how to use our resources and to use our wealth to support public education, to support public safety, to support transportation and to support public health. It is required and it is important that we be able to continue to fund those efforts here in Alaska, and continued contribution through development of oil is very important to the state of Alaska.

Thank you for your time.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. I'm going to issue a blanket apology to all the speakers right now, because I'm sure I'm not going to pronounce all the names correctly. So please do come up, reintroduce yourself and who your organization is.

Next speaker is Dana Tizya-Tramm.

MR. DANA TIZYA-TRAMM: Mahsi' Choo. My name is Dana Tizya-Tramm. And I'm on council with the Vuntut Gwich'in First Nation. And I would like to begin my opening comments by first recognizing everybody in the room and pay my respects. Each one of us were born in this great continent. We come from families. We have lived our lives. And now we find each other here today. Whether we support or we not support, I first want to pay my respects to everybody in the room. And I feel that that's important.

And I'd like to contextualize this conversation a little bit. I can get into the science, and I would love to and I could go on for days and days. That's what I'm paid to do. That's what I was born to do. But the truth of the matter is is that every one of us here have the best intentions. We are all human beings, and we believe that in our hearts. But one piece of information that I'd like to share with you is that each one of us here are Gwich'in.

I am the Vuntut Gwich'in coming from the People of the Many Lakes. When we first met the European settlers, we called them the Chai Zhiit Gwich'in, which meant the People of the Stone Houses; whereas, we were the People of the Many Lakes. And I'd like to offer you that partnership and respect no matter what the outcomes are because we are dealing with things greater than us. And I definitely feel that in my heart, as well. But I would warn those and really state that this is a canary in a coal mine because, as William Blake feverishly wrote his letters before the turn of the century to the British aristocrats that were formulating empiricism which piggy-backed on guns, on germs, on steel, on rubber and steam to create the Industrial Revolution, and his fate sealed with the Rosa Park County ruling in Northern California with the beginning of corporations, our economies and these arguments do not have a heartbeat and they do not have human imagination. This is how we can have human beings organizing themselves under human systems.

I understand economical arguments. I understand all of the arguments, but Canada, the Gwich'in, all of our partners stand by the science which has been established from the '80s still to this day, and we stand against us because even though it's only 2,000 acres on the surface, that is our heart. And you are going to do surgery on our heart.

Just like the air that you breathe, there is no way to determine where a human being begins and the air ends, the water that you carry in you, the way that the four

elements found a way to become you so that we could experience each other today. And do not forget the heart that beats in your chest is the genetic memory of the ocean.

Our people have always known this. We are the people of this land. And the caribou that run across bringing together both of our countries, that is the blood that runs across the Gwich'in body. That is us. The land is us. And my people are still here after residential schools, after everything that we have been through. And we're here as what seems to be a faded memory of who we all once were, a people of the land. And we know the laws of nature that gave rise to all of us. And nature, it banks on diversity and rewards cooperation.

We have always been strong partners with all of those who have shaken hands with us, and no matter what comes out of here, we will continue to offer strong partnerships in Alaska, with First Nations, or anyone else because we are not against development. We are for responsible development. But from our elders to biologists going back 30 years, this is heart surgery. And it is the future of my people that I must now squeeze into five minutes.

So I would like to make a formal request that not only this scoping period be extended, but it also encompass the thousands of people who cannot sleep at

night, the young children in my community at six years old that come up to me and ask me why this is happening and if we can talk to Donald Trump. The kids in the Gwich'in communities, the children use crayons to draw pictures of their caribou camps. This is their childhoods. This is our future. The caribou carry all of our knowledge and our teachings.

As party to the U.N. Declaration on Indigenous Rights and the 1987 International Accord, please extend the scoping period. Come to the Yukon Territory and Whitehorse, and we will have all of our First Nations and everyone gather so that we can further these conversations and continue respectful dialogue.

Mahsi' Choo.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Okay. Next speaker will be Jason Alward.

MR. JASON ALWARD: Thank you, Department of Interior, Fish & Wildlife and BLM officials for the opportunity to speak today.

My name is Jason Alward, and I'm with the Operating Engineers Local 302, and I'm very excited we are discussing work in ANWR Section 1002. The Operating Engineers represent over 3,000 people throughout the state, primarily as heavy equipment operators and heavy-duty mechanics. More specifically to the oil

sector, we represent 130 full-time positions on the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System, and we average another 500 seasonal jobs on pipeline and oilfield support work.

ANWR is vital for Alaska and America to pursue energy dominance and independence. From the U.S. Energy website 2017, when you look at the U.S. as a whole, we are importing roughly 10,000,000 barrels a day while only proceeding 6,000,000 barrels. When you look at USGS' report that shows that this development can result in seven- to 10,000,000,000 barrels of recoverable oil, this project just makes sense.

Opening up ANWR will be very much like the Alaska pipeline in the number of job opportunities -- jobs and opportunities it can bring. And once again, we have the opportunity to utilize another project labor agreement to ensure that work and the jobs stay with Alaska's workers and contractors to the largest extent possible. PLAs are market-based project efficiency tools to ensure on-time, on-budget results for construction projects. The PLA model also promotes career training opportunities for local residents, particularly women, minorities, and veterans.

Hiring these people easily goes hand in hand with apprenticeship training. Of the 110 people in Local 302's apprenticeship program, 17 percent are female, 16 percent

are Alaska Native, 16 percent are veterans, and 13 percent are made up of Black, Asian, American Indian, and Hispanics combined.

Training our next generation workforce is achieved through apprenticeship requirements. These training expectations ensure for a safer and more confident workforce with skills to perform sustainable jobs and a career. Through the use of PLAs and apprenticeship programs, we can build the workforce. For tomorrow we need to plan for our energy independence. With low-cost affordable energy found in ANWR, we can entice business to set up shop here in America.

When you need projects to show the success of PLAs, the following projects show results: Chugach Power Plant, ML&P Power Plant in Anchorage, 24 projects totaling over 250 million dollars in Juneau, Missile Defense with Bechtel at Fort Greely out of Fairbanks, and Healy power Plant No. 2.

PLAs continue to be utilized by the profit-oriented and the cost-conscious private sector because of one paramount rationale: They work.

The leases, the development, the production of ANWR's natural resources are vital to our future, and this project can be done responsibly. With PLAs included in this work, we can assure to the greatest extent possible

we will have qualified local contractors doing the work, and we can also ensure the highest utilization of locals, minorities and veterans that will be on the job.

In conclusion, I'd like to express my support for ANWR leases in Section 1002 and the inclusion of PLAs and apprentices on future developments.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Our next speaker is going to be Nicole Whittington-Evans.

MS. NICOLE WHITTINGTON-EVANS: Good evening. My name is Nicole Whittington-Evans, and I am the Alaska Regional Director of The Wilderness Society, or TWS. Thank you very much for this opportunity to speak.

Our mission is to protect wilderness and inspire

Americans to care for our wild places. TWS has a long
history of working to protect the Arctic National Wildlife
Refuge, and I have personally worked to protect it for
over two decades. I have traveled to the Arctic Refuge
many times, with my first trip in 1991, and spent time in
Arctic Village, Venetie and Kaktovik and other Arctic
villages.

Our organization was founded in 1935 and one of our early directors was Olaus Murie, a wildlife biologist who studied Alaska caribou in the early 1920s for the U.S. Bureau of Biological Services. He traveled throughout

what is now the Arctic Refuge, learning from and depending upon Native people. He documented the extraordinary wildlife values of the area, and he and his wife Mardy Murie were instrumental in establishment of the Arctic National Wildlife Range in 1960, which was later expanded with the 1985 passage of ANILCA to become the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

The Arctic Refuge is an amazing wild landscape of more than 19,000,000 acres and is home to polar bears, wolves, migratory birds, and the Porcupine caribou herd, now numbering more than 200,000 animals. Oil and gas drilling would have devastating impacts on this pristine and fragile ecosystem because of the massive infrastructure that would sprawl throughout the coastal plain to extract and transport oil.

Drilling in the Arctic is dangerous. Chronic spills of oil and other toxic substances onto the fragile tundra and potentially its waterways would forever scar this now pristine land and disrupt its wildlife. Oil and gas drilling and accompanying air, water and noise pollution would threaten the existence of wildlife and harm indigenous peoples that rely on this ecosystem for subsistence practices.

We do not need oil from the Arctic Refuge, and this move is an overreach by industry advocates. The nation is

awash in oil from less expensive sources. We are exporting oil to countries, and the Trans-Alaska Pipeline flow has been increasing since 2015.

BLM's proposed timeline for this EIS process is unreasonable. The Arctic Refuge is not the place to experiment on completing a short 150-page EIS in one year. BLM should extend the scoping comment deadline by 60 days.

In preparing this leasing EIS, BLM must fully engage and fulfill its responsibilities to Alaska Native tribes, including the Gwich'in, with full consultation, accepting tribes as cooperating agencies and a robust analysis of impacts to subsistence.

BLM must fully consider and analyze treaty-based
ANILCA and National Environmental Policy Act obligations
to consider transboundary environmental, subsistence and
socioeconomic impacts of leasing the coastal plain.

BLM must honor its obligations under the 1987 international agreement with Canada for the conservation of the Porcupine caribou herd, as well as treaty obligations related to the conservation of polar bears and migratory birds. The BLM must coordinate closely on these issues with the Canadian government and First Nations.

As the agency with expertise in and jurisdiction over refuge resources and management, Fish & Wildlife Service must be intimately involved in the leasing EIS. BLM must

fully consider the original purposes of the Arctic Refuge, which focus on preserving and protecting fish, wildlife, habitat, subsistence, wilderness, recreation, and water resources. The newly added purpose in the tax bill does not render the other conservation purposes irrelevant.

The EIS must address all reasonably foreseeable impacts associated with leasing, exploration, production and reclamation of the coastal plain. It also must reflect best available scientific information and traditional and local knowledge and remedy critical gaps in information and data.

BLM must fully analyze and consider a no-action alternative that would maintain the ecological integrity and fully preserve the socioeconomic and cultural values of the coastal plain.

Among other resources, BLM must analyze the impacts to wilderness, subsistence and human health, air quality, caribou, with particular consideration of the narrow coastal plain in the refuge bounded by mountains and the Arctic Ocean, which leaves few, if any, alternatives for displaced caribou during the summer calving and foraging season; fish and hydrology, and a full economic analysis, including the impact on our warming climate. Alaska's North Slope is fast becoming a fully industrialized zone. Protecting the Arctic Refuge coastal plain would be a

reasonable way to achieve balance in the Arctic.

TWS stands with the Gwich'in in advocating that the Arctic Refuge remain protected. Wilderness, at its core, is restraint from ourselves for ourselves. By leaving some places in their unaltered and natural state, we as a nation gain tremendous value.

With an intact Arctic Refuge, we ensure an Arctic ecosystem with abundant subsistence resources, world class recreational experiences, the mitigation of climate impact, and the value of knowing that some places on our planet are still truly wild. There is far more value to the Arctic Refuge than the oil beneath it, and we have a moral responsibility to preserve and protect it for future generations.

Thank you very much for listening to me.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Our next speaker will be Klint Van Wingerden.

MR. KLINT VAN WINGERDEN: Thank you for this opportunity, and thank you for your comments so far.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment on the development of the Coastal Plain Oil and Gas Leasing program Environmental Impact Statement. I am Klint Van Wingerden. I'm an Operations Engineering Manager for Alyeska Pipeline. I joined Alyeska in 2008 as an electrical engineer and have held a number of positions in

the company since then, serving as automation supervisor, oil movements engineering manager, and the Galbraith maintenance base area manager.

I was born, raised and educated in Alaska with the support of my immediate family and extended family through the Alaska Native Science and Engineering Program. I'm proud to work for an Alaska company.

As an Alaska Native, I'm Aleut with roots from my mother's home village of Old Harbor, Alaska on Kodiak Island. I have a long heritage working with Alaska to provide a sustainable and comfortable home for my family. My wife and I are now raising our own children here and continuing to work hard to build a brighter future for them in our great state.

I spend much time enjoying the many epic outdoor activities Alaska has to offer, including competing in the world's longest, toughest snowmobile race, the Iron Dog. With Alyeska's support, I'm able to engage with the community through opportunities like this, speaking to the benefits of hard work, innovation and perseverance. I'm both thankful and hopeful for the future here in our great state.

I am representing Alyeska, the company that operates and maintains the 800-mile Trans-Alaska Pipeline System, transporting crude oil from Alaska's North Slope to

Valdez, where it is shipped to market. The environmental impact statement will consider and analyze the potential impacts of various leasing alternatives.

According to the U.S. Geological Survey's most conservative scenario, the coastal plain contains 5.7 billion barrels of oil, and production on the coastal plain could top out at 560,000 barrels per day in 2039. And the USGS mean estimate calls for 10.4 billion barrels of oil, and production could peak at 880,000 barrels per day in 2041. This is oil that would be transported through the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System. Therefore, it is of particular interest and importance to the Alyeska Pipeline Service Company.

TAPS has safely delivered crude oil to meet the nation's energy needs for over 40 years. The ongoing success of this existing infrastructure and its role to the nation's energy security is directly tied to healthy levels of Alaska crude oil production. At the peak of Alaska's production in 1988, TAPS delivered 2.1 million barrels of oil per day, transporting some 24 percent of the nation's crude oil production.

We still have the capacity to deliver large volumes of oil through TAPS every day. Unfortunately, TAPS throughput has declined over the years. In 2017 the pipeline averaged 527,323 barrels per day, which is still

about 6 percent of the nation's crude oil production.

Lower throughput levels creates serious challenges for

safe, long-term operation of TAPS. To keep the pipeline

4 operating safely while moving lower throughputs we have

5 made significant investments to reengineer and adapt the

pipeline. The changing hydraulic profile on TAPS has

7 triggered the replacement of our mainline pumps,

8 in-station pipe replacement, additional piping for

recirculation to heat the oil, added heat along the line,

additional pigging and additional pig launcher and

11 receivers.

3

6

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We are confident in our handling of these and other issues that have required significant attention and considerable resources and investment. However, these challenges will grow if throughput continues to decline. The long-term solution to our operational challenge is for more oil to be delivered into TAPS from the North Slope of Alaska. Development of oil in the coastal plain would play a vital role in that long-term solution.

As we focus on ensuring the nation continues to benefit from the investment in the critical energy infrastructure of TAPS over the next several decades, we fully support environmentally responsible exploration and development efforts that could result in increased throughput into the pipeline. This includes efforts to

produce oil onshore, nearshore and offshore areas of the North Slope of Alaska that would be delivered to the American people through existing infrastructure that TAPS provides.

As the Bureau analyzes leasing proposals for the coastal plain, we ask that you consider the ongoing benefit TAPS provides for our nation's energy policy and the importance the energy resources in the coastal plain may have to the pipeline's continued contribution to Alaska's and the United States' energy and economic security.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on the preparation of the environmental impact statement for the development of the coastal plain oil and gas leasing program.

MR. DAVID BATTS: The next speaker will be Bernadette Dementieff.

MS. BERNADETTE DEMENTIEFF: (Speaking in Alaskan indigenous language.) I'm here at the direction of my elders on behalf of the Gwich'in Nation of Alaska and Canada. The first Gwich'in gathering that was held in over 100 years was in 1988, and our elders directed us to do this in a good way. That's not always easy, with a corrupt government. We have been fighting for years for our way of life.

Gwich'in Steering Committee has resolutions with over 200 Alaskan organizations and tribes, including the National Congress of American Indians, the Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments and Tanana Chiefs Conference.

Two out of three Americans opposing drilling in the calving grounds. It's time that we start being heard and you start listening to the American people and the First Nations of this country. You need to really listen with your hearts. Listen to our voices. Consider the animals that are there that don't have a voice, the peoples whose lives are forever going to change.

The prices of food there are extremely high. It's \$20 for a can of coffee, \$40 for a steak. Three bananas are \$12. We take care of ourself, and that's what our food security does for us. What will your message be to my people when we no longer hunt for our food security? When an oil spill happens -- and mark my words it will -- the price of that is going to be more than we can endure. Our animals will be poisoned, our land contaminated.

With 33 coastal communities that are dealing with erosion, including the coastal plain, the smart thing to do is update your science, accept local knowledge.

I see you just praise and speak so highly of corporations; I agree with you with drilling. Why don't

you show that same with respect to my people, my elders and my tribes? We are the one -- our voices are important, too. For days you have been -- you have heard of the damages that this will cause, but still you could care less. You have been hearing from people from here in Anchorage talking about they want drilling. But they are the last people that will be affected. You need to listen to the people in the northern communities that are going to be affected, whose lives are going to change.

I know your minds are made up, and some of you could care less and don't even care about me or my people at all, but I will follow you at every meeting if I have to. You need to understand that drilling in the calving grounds of the Porcupine caribou herd is a direct attack on the Gwich'in Nation and our culture and our way of life. It may be the law now, but we will never give up until the calving grounds is protected.

Our children, our future generation, they deserve to see the world as it was in the beginning, not just when we are done with it. So I just really ask you guys to please just understand where we are coming from. We are real people.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Our next speaker will be Haley Johnston. We are going to move over

to microphone No. 2 until we get No. 1 fixed, please.

MS. HALEY JOHNSTON: Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to speak here today. My name is Haley Johnston. I'm a wilderness guide, Alaskan resident, Anchorage homeowner, and the program manager of an adventure travel business, Alaska Alpine Adventures. For the past decade, I've had the great fortune to work all over the state of Alaska, from Aniakchak National Monument to the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. I'm also an avid backpacker, skier, snowboarder, packrafter, and trail runner.

Im lucky enough to have traveled to the refuge, not just for work, but for personal trips, as well. And I believe the coastal plain should be protected for its importance to the Gwichin people, its recreational opportunities, and its ecological value.

But Im here today to present an economic argument, as well. At Alaska Alpine Adventures, I manage a seasonal staff of 26 guides and support employees. Were part of the small adventure travel niche of Alaskas outdoor recreation economy. Annually, this sector employs over 72,000 people and contributes 7.3 billion dollars to the States economy.

Tourism plays a huge role in Alaskas recreation industry. According to the Alaska Visitors Statistics

Program, in summer 2016, over 1.8 million people visited
Alaska. On average, each visitor spent \$1,057 while in
state.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But Im not here to talk about big numbers. Ιd rather talk about one particular guest of mine. His name is Andrew, and he came to Alaska for the first time in 2015 to travel to the Arctic Refuge. I guided him on a 10-day backpacking trip, and he stayed in Fairbanks for several days afterwards. In total, he spent roughly \$7,000 on that trip. In 2016, he came back for a self-guided trip in Southcentral Alaska. This year hell be back for another guided trip. By the end of 2018, Andrew will have spent \$20,000 visiting Alaska. That first trip to the Arctic Refuge, with all of its splendid scenery and abundant wildlife, is what hooked him on our state.

So while the average visitor to the state may spend just over \$1,000, Andrews experience illustrates that visitors to the Arctic Refuge are significantly more economically valuable than your average tourist. Andrew represents a class of visitor that is well-educated, environmentally conscious, and financially capable of traveling literally anywhere they choose.

When national headlines in regards to Alaska are dominated by news of pipelines, open pit mining, oil

spills and natural gas extraction, Alaskas brand as a pristine tourism destination is degraded. Eventually, environmentally conscious and economically impactful visitors like Andrew may choose to go to British Columbia, the Galapagos, or Costa Rica, destinations with greater perceived environmental stewardship.

I realize that annual tourism and recreation spending may not measure up to the inflated numbers thrown about by the oil and gas industry for potential revenue from the coastal plain.

But there are two important factors I would like you to consider. One, nearly all tourism spending stays in state, supporting guides like myself, small business owners, air taxi pilots, hotel and lodge employees, restaurant employees. The list goes on. Unlike extractive industries, none of my employees fly home to Houston at the end of their shift. Most of my staff are year-round Alaska residents, who own homes, send their children to local schools and operate their own small businesses in the off season.

And two, recreation and tourism are the ultimate renewable resources. If we play our cards right, in 100 years, residents of and visitors to the state of Alaska will still be enjoying unparalleled scenery, abundant wildlife and outdoor recreation opportunities.

As you consider resources affected by potential leasing, please consider that protecting the coastal plain is an opportunity to support recreation and tourism in Alaska and drive our states unbalanced economy towards a more balanced sustainable future.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to speak.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Next speaker will be Kara Moriarty.

MS. KARA MORIARTY: Thank you. For the record, my name is Kara Moriarty. I'm the president and CEO of the Alaska Oil and Gas Association. And I just want to point out that my first job in Alaska was over 20 years teaching school on the North Slope. So I have had the privilege of living in NPR-A.

As AOGA, we are the professional trade association that represents the majority of oil and gas producers, explorers, refiners and transporters of oil. We have a well-established history of prudent and environmentally responsible exploration and development in Alaska, and we are happy to talk about that record. We have taken great care for over 40 years to work with the residents of the North Slope, to work with whaling captains, hunters, and other community members to make sure that our drilling and our activities can co-exist with their subsistence activities. We take great mitigation measures. We

identify polar bear dens with using infrared technology.

We build causeways for caribou passage. I think we have a

great record, and we are proud of it.

We are fully supportive of BLM's initiation of the scoping process to prepare this EIS. And as an organization that represents companies who may participate in such a leasing program, and because the leasing program will undoubtedly be vigorously contested by groups who oppose development, we strongly believe it is important for BLM to conduct a very thorough NEPA process.

As Commissioner Mack testified about the history of how the refuge was designated, in 1980 when it became the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge versus the Range and it was expanded in ANILCA, there was the section -- the section number is 1002 -- that expressly directed the Interior Secretary to carry out an oil and gas exploration program and conduct baseline studies.

They kept with that directive, and in the mid 1980s, 1,300 miles of seismic was shot, and in 1987 the Department of Interior issued a report and an EIS that recommended Congress take action and move forward with oil and gas development in this tiny fraction of the refuge. Even 30 years ago this is what the report said: That the 1002 area was the nation's best single opportunity to increase significantly domestic oil production and the

most outstanding petroleum exploration target in the onshore U.S.

The estimated -- estimates were updated in 1998, and still today there is no other onshore opportunity in federal land that has the potential of the 1002 area. And why is that important? Even though renewable resources of energy are increasing, the nation's energy estimates and international estimates show that over 57 percent of the globe's energy is still going to come from traditional sources of oil and gas. And there is a direct correlation even in our country; as oil production has gone down in Alaska, imports of oil to California from foreign sources have increased.

We have a strong track record, and we are always finding ways to reduce our footprint. As Deputy Commissioner Wiggin said last night, when he started in the industry, a typical path was 65 acres and would maybe get to three to five miles of subsurface. Today pads can be 20 acres and reach 113 miles. We have Doyon Limited that their rig just this past March in NPR-A beat the record for the longest horizontal lateral well, and they are currently developing another extended reach drilling rig for that region.

I think it goes without saying how important we are to the economy. One third of all jobs in Alaska can be

attributed back to the oil and gas industry. Those are not inflated numbers. Those are numbers proven by university professors as well as independent private economic firms. And that university report said that if we did not have the oil and gas industry, our economy in this state would be half its size.

So lastly, I would just end with I can't guarantee any companies are going to show up to a lease sale. But the lease sale is the first step in a very long process. And I think it -- as an American, as an Alaskan, I think it's important for the federal government to offer the lease sale. And if there is interest, that interest would be purchased, and the process would continue with more studies and public comment.

So thank you for the opportunity to testify tonight.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Our next speaker will be Brad Meiklejohn. Either microphone.

MR. BRAD MEIKLEJOHN: I'm Brad Meiklejohn, and I'm here on behalf of the American Packrafting
Association. We represent 2,000 members in 30 countries.
And for us, the Arctic Refuge is a sacred wild place on a very crowded planet.

I've spent the last 33 years getting to know the Arctic Refuge. I've traversed it in every possible direction, floated every creek and river and spent months

immerged in the wild silence. The Arctic Refuge is a major reason that I live in Alaska. Members of the American Packrafting Association come from around the world to experience the Arctic Refuge. Many others never visit the refuge, but it's important for them that it's there. For me and thousands of other like me, it's our refuge.

You may have the idea that the earth still has plenty of wild places. Perhaps you imagine them to be found in Africa or Australia or the furthest reaches of South America. Sadly, it's just not true. The number of vast wild places that take you days to traverse without encountering the sounds, smells and structures of man is down to a small handful. We've nearly completed the job of converting the entire planet to the needs of man.

It's worth highlighting just how rare large wild places are outside of Alaska. In the Lower 48 there is no place that's more than 20 miles from a road. Even the largest wilderness areas there can easily be traversed in two or three days. From the highest ridgetops, you're still able to see the cities, roads, lights and haze that strangle what's left of the wild. Outside of the United States, besides Antarctica, the northern reaches of Canada, portions of the Amazon Basin and parts of Siberia, there are extremely few places that match the wilderness

character of the Arctic Refuge.

This story isn't about caribou or oil. It's about restraint. Restraint is an underrated virtue these days. Who could be against ease and comfort and convenience, bigger cars and yet another strip mall lined with Jack in the Box and Home Depot? It's hard to go against our base desires of greed and hunger, but we are usually glad when we find the courage to say no. In the past we said no to proposals to dam the Grand Canyon and to tap Yellowstone's geysers for commercial heating, and now all Americans are grateful for our restraint.

What are we getting in exchange for the wild places we pave, mine and drill? Here in Alaska we have the odd paradox of a fierce pride in our wild salmon, but a hellbent determination to become New Jersey with mountains. If you don't know where you are going, you will end up somewhere else, Yogi Berra observed.

Alaskans have this funny idea that we know best when it comes to taking care of nature, but the facts say otherwise. Does the Exxon Valdez ring a bell? Alaskans howled in unison when Jimmy Carter protected our global treasures. And we quickly tumble into bed with every mine and oil rig that promises jobs for Oklahomans. Just today there was a report out about our Congressional delegation colluding with industry and the Army Corps of Engineers to

gut protections for Alaska's rivers and wetlands. Whether it's the Arctic Refuge or Pebble Mine, all you have to do is follow the money. Those of us with nothing to gain can afford to tell the truth.

The fate of the Arctic Refuge is not just for Alaskans to decide. Alaskans complain bitterly about decisions made in D.C., but all Alaskans have the right to object to this decision being made in Alaska. For 40 years the American public has said no to turning this wild place into yet another industrialized zone. There ought to be a statute of limitations that says if you win five times in a row the issue is settled.

Converting one of the very few wild places on the planet to an oil patch is a decision that we will regret. We wish we hadn't killed off the last wild buffalo herd or the last flocks of passenger pigeons. Perhaps we can say we didn't know any better at that time, but this time we do. We can say with certainty that we will regret losing our greatest natural heritage to greed, indifference and lack of imagination.

One last request: Please call this place by its rightful name, the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. We don't call Chugach Park Chug or Denali Dena or Yellowstone Yell. The ugly ANWR acronym is a ploy to make you forget that this place is a wildlife refuge that belongs to all

1 of us.

2 Thank you very much.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Our next speaker will be Gary Dixon.

MR. GARY DIXON: I'd like to thank everybody from all the different departments for this opportunity to speak. My name's Gary Dixon. I'm Vice President of Teamsters Local 959. Local 959 represents thousands of workers all over the state of Alaska, from the port of Anchorage workers to hauling freight to school bus drivers and UPS workers. We also represent construction workers on the North Slope and all over the state. Our members help maintain the 800 miles of Trans-Alaska Pipeline for Alyeska Pipeline Company. These are just a few of the industries our members work in.

At a time when the state's budget has a shortfall and all over the economy has slowed, the opening of new exploration should be a top priority. We can all agree that new developments on the North Slope means new jobs for Alaskans, even jobs nationwide. Our Local 959 members depend on development of new oil discoveries on the North Slope, as do all Alaskans because new development provides new jobs and ultimately has a trickle-down effect on a lot of the industries around the state.

For those who have worked on the North Slope in the

last 25 years, myself included, I spent most of my 20s and 30s working up there, and we understand the culture up there in those fields. We know the high priority given to the environment, to the animals, to personnel safety and the local Native communities. The producers make certain that all workers complete an orientation of their expectations on these high priorities. And the goals are set high for a reason. We must maintain minimal impact.

The proposed oil and gas leasing program for ANWR

Section 1002 is no more than 2,000 acres of a

1.6-million-acre coastal plain. In comparison, the Valdez

Marine Terminal, the end of the Trans-Alaska Pipeline, is
only 1,000 acres. Therefore, you see the footprint is
very small.

ANWR Section 1002 is one of the best onshore prospects in the United States. The industry has proven that responsible development is a must when laying out their business plan for these new developments.

In conclusion, Alaska's oil and gas prospects in ANWR Section 1002 has a big role in American energy for not only Alaskans' future, but for America's future, as well. It would even help the Trans-Alaska Pipeline with its throughput problems. The limited development in ANWR will create jobs for the future so the next generation of workers can earn a good living for themselves and their

families. Teamsters Local 959 supports responsible development in ANWR Section 1002.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Our next speaker will be David Raskin.

MR. DAVID RASKIN: I'm 82 years old, so I've asked for the courtesy of sitting while I speak.

MR. DAVID BATTS: No problem.

MR. DAVID RASKIN: I'm Professor David
Raskin. I thank you for this opportunity to speak before
you. I reside in Homer, Alaska, and I'm representing the
Friends of Alaska National Wildlife Refuges. We are an
Alaska volunteer organization established in 2005 to
assist the Fish & Wildlife Service in their mission to
preserve and protect the natural habitat and wildlife of
all 16 Alaska National Wildlife Refuges.

I've served as president of Friends for 11 years, and was personal friends with Arctic refuge pioneers Margaret, Adolph and Louise Murie. I was an Arctic Refuge volunteer. I've hiked and floated its wilderness rivers.

I participated in the several-year Comprehensive

Conservation Plan and EIS process that in 2015 resulted in
the full wilderness recommendation by Interior Secretary

Sally Jewell that President Obama sent to Congress.

I've devoted almost five decades of volunteer

conservation efforts on Department of Interior and Forest Service land management issues, but they all pale in comparison to this proposed desecration of the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

The Arctic Refuge is the crown jewel of the world's largest wildlife refuge system, the largest intact natural ecosystem in the United States. It's managed as wilderness by the Fish & Wildlife Service. It is an incomparable, living scientific laboratory. It sustains physical, cultural and spiritual well-being of the Gwich'in people of Alaska and Canada.

The 1987 agreement between the United States and
Canada requires that both parties "take appropriate
actions to conserve the Porcupine caribou herd and its
habitat; ensure that the Porcupine caribou herd, its
habitat and the interests of users of the Porcupine
caribou are given effective consideration in evaluating
proposed activities within the range of the herd;
activities requiring a party's approval having a potential
impact on the conservation of the Porcupine caribou herd
or its habitat will be subject to impact assessment and
review consist with domestic laws, regulations and
processes."

All of this is threatened by this prepared oil development for questionable short-term profits that will

produce long-term major damage in the Arctic Refuge and those who depend on it. The November 2017 national survey conducted by Yale and George Mason Universities indicated that 70 percent of the American public are opposed to drilling in the Arctic Refuge, and four times as many are strongly opposed than strongly supportive.

Given this background, the EIS process must include thorough and complete assessments and analyses of the potential impacts of exploration, development and operations on lands, wildlife, stressed and threatened species, migratory birds and polar bear protected by international treaties, vegetation, fishes, aquatic organisms and vegetation, water quality, air quality, production of noise and greenhouse gases, wilderness values, archeological resources, and especially effects on all who use and depend on the refuge for subsistence, recreation, economic, cultural and spiritual activities, scientific research, observation of wildlife and photograph, tourism and other commercial activities.

Analyses must also address the climatic changes produced by burning the produced oil and the resultant physical damage to habitats, coastal cities, increased health problems and mitigation costs, and the environmental and social costs of its development compared to the potential economic benefits of projected oil

production and price when product would become available only in ten or more years from now. The potential for declining demand for oil as increasing alternative energy production displaces the burning of oil and coal.

Now I'd like to just end with a little story. I visited the Arctic Refuge at the request of the Fish & Wildlife Service seven years ago when I was a mere 75 years old in order to assist in a cleanup operation on the refuge to remove discarded 55-gallon fuel drums from the refuge. This was a project that took seven days floating the Marsh Fork and Canning Rivers. I rowed a raft for seven days on those rivers, and we collected these oil drums from the refuge. I rowed this raft for seven days, including rowing downstream against the winds coming off the Arctic Ocean. And you can see the coastal plain right there.

This is an example of how we protect the Arctic refuge, how the Fish & Wildlife Service manages that refuge to preserve its integrity and to prevent it from being damaged by people. We removed campsites, any trace of campsites, campfires and so on. This is how the refuge should remain in perpetuity as it is managed today. And it would be a desecration to do anything but that.

So we suggest that you consider a no action alternative. You cannot do a proper environmental

statement in six months. That is ridiculous. It took several years for Fish & Wildlife to do the Comprehensive Conservation Plan and the EIS, and this is a travesty to try to jam it into six months. You must extend the comment period and the process to do it right because we will not -- there will not be a second time.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Our next speaker will be Senator Cathy Giessel. We can go to microphone No. 1 if you like. It's already set up.

SEN. CATHY GIESSEL: Good afternoon. I'm State Senator Cathy Giessel. I represent a part of Anchorage, but I was born and raised in Fairbanks before statehood. I have been the chair of the Senate Resources Committee for the last six years, and I support your efforts to evaluate the opening of the 1002 in ANWR. You may be expecting me, as the chair of the Senate Resources Committee, to speak to you about the prospectivity of oil and gas in the 1002, perhaps the profits to the federal and state governments, or the long history of safe resource extraction in Alaska and how the Trans-Alaska Pipeline needs more throughput.

I could expound on data about our healthy caribou herds. There is lots of information on the Porcupine and Central herds from the Alaska Department of Fish & Game,

who use new technologies with GPS and digital imaging to track the herds. Pregnancy rates, calf and adult survivals and cow and calf ratios are all up, demonstrating healthy herds.

But I'm going to ask you to consider an even more important factor when you consider the opening of the 1002 to hydrocarbon exploration. And that most important factor is to consider the effect on the people of Alaska and their future.

I serve as a state senator, but my profession is as a nurse practitioner. I worked for nine years for the North Slope Borough School District. I'm going to call your attention to a compelling research study that examined life expectancy in areas of the United States from 1980 to 2014. For those of you who are Alaskans and who were here during those times, think about what was happening in the realm of resource development in those years. The title of the research report is Inequities in Life Expectancy 1980 to 2014, Temporal Trends and Key Drivers. It was published in the Journal of the American Medicine Association Internal Medicine, published in May of 2017, so about a year ago.

This research examined life expectancy over that 34-year period and assessed the factors affecting it, describing trends and explanation in socioeconomic,

behavioral and health care factors. So the question was, are these inequities and life expectancies growing or diminishing and what factors can explain the changes. The details they looked at were poverty, high school graduation, unemployment and access to health care.

The astounding results to me as a health care provider were this: Alaska's North Slope people experienced the largest increases in life expectancy between 1980 and 2014 than other areas of the United States. The North Slope Borough and Northwest Arctic Borough saw an eight- to 13-year increase in life expectancy. There were very few other areas in the U.S. that saw increases that were that high. The interior of Alaska, extending from the west coast all the way to the southern and eastern end of ANWR had a seven- to eight-year increase in life expectancy of the people living in that area from 1980 to 2014.

The research results found that the combination of socioeconomic, behavioral and health care access explained 74 percent of that variation.

So what does that have to do with the 1002? Well, those years that we are referring to, North Slope production began in 1977 right before the onset of this longevity study. Red Dog Mine began in 1989 production.

We usually hear this typical oppositional outcry when

projects like this are considered that there will be devastation and destruction, but in fact, those things don't happen. In fact, resource development has brought health and prosperity to Alaskans living in these areas, as well as the rest of the state.

As a child I often went to work with my father, who was a captain for Wien Airlines. We flew to the North Slope and the western part of Alaska. And I saw the subsistence lifestyle in person. It was a very, very hard life with very short life expectancy.

As an intern for Senator Ted Stevens, I accompanied him to rural villages with no health care clinics in the 1970s. It's very different now. I don't call these places villages. I call them prospering communities with Internet connectivity, schools, clinics and healthy people with aspirations and hope for the future.

This is what resource development means for Alaska. That's why I stand in support for the work that you are doing toward resource development in the 1002 of ANWR. As you consider the impacts on the environment, fish and game, water and the rest, you must also factor in the socioeconomic and health impacts of jobs and prosperity for the long-term benefit of the Alaskan people.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Our next

speaker will be Glen Solomon. And we will have two more speakers before our break.

MR. GLEN SOLOMON: Hello again. I'm Glen Solomon. I'm from Kaktovik, Alaska in the 1002 area. And just to hear all these people talking about tourism and rafting down the Hula Hula, the Canning and everything, and you are making a bunch of profit. And I am Inupiaq. I am not Gwich'in. This is where I'm from. This is where my ancestors were buried. This is where I was brought up.

And just to hear the Gwich'in people trying to say that they are the caretakers of the ANWR, the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, it's where I'm from. I live on the coastal plain of the 1002 area, and the 1002 area is my land. And just to have them try to develop back in the '80s, they leased out 180,000 acres of their land for oil and gas development, and it was a bust.

And just to have them try to put a stop to us developing on our own land just kind of stabs you right in the back because we would like to live like everybody else, get to have resources to make profit, to make infrastructure in my village, to have jobs like everybody else. And just to have these people say no, you can't develop on your land, that hurts. That really hurts. That's like stabbing somebody in the heart and saying you can't live.

I don't want to live in a third-world country. I have done that before. Getting honey buckets, spilling them into 55-gallon buckets growing up, going and getting water. We got running water. We got flush toilets, thanks to oil and gas. We got schools. We got clinics. It helps out a lot for our communities throughout the whole North Slope.

And you know, I love my people. I love my land. I love my animals. I'm a whaling captain. For the past three years I have caught a whale for my community to feed my people. And also, the Porcupine herd will never be hurt. It's growing. You know, they go through the Eagle Plains up around Canada where they did oil and gas development for the Gwich'in people. So why can't we do it for the 1002 area for us Inupiaq people because we are the caretakers of ANWR?

I have an elder in Kaktovik. The oldest elder in Kaktovik got tired of smelling diesel because he says it stinks. He wants natural gas in our community like everybody else. You know what I'm saying? We are Inupiat. We are not Gwich'in. We want to be like everybody else. We don't got timber. But we love to try to develop on our land to get the resources so we could profit off of it. We don't profit off of tourism. We don't see any of that money at all. Does it come to our

village? No, it doesn't. It really hurts. We don't got jobs. I'd love to see my people have jobs.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Our next speaker will be Mayor John Hopson.

MR. JOHN HOPSON, JR.: Good evening, everyone. My name is John Hopson, Jr. I am the city mayor for Wainwright, Alaska, which we are from the North Slope. I'm also an assembly member for the North Slope Borough, and we represent almost 13,000 people who live up there.

You talk about economics and what oil and gas does. We hear the opposition saying it's short-lived. We use oil and gas property tax to benefit our people. We have been able to build schools and we have been able to build the health clinics that the senator has spoken of. My parents went to school elsewhere because there was no infrastructure. I got to grow up at home and graduate at home because of oil and gas property tax. It's -- it's a job that we have to financially sustain ourselves in our own communities.

My friend Glen that just got done speaking, him and I aren't that old, but we were old enough to have to haul out honey buckets from our homes and dump them in drums outside. Then our parents would haul them out to the

landfill. Well, today we now have flush toilets because of oil and gas. We are capable -- we are able to tax the property. So new development brings new infrastructure, brings new revenue, long-term revenue, for our people.

I have six kids living with me at home, and the youngest one just -- he's going to be three years old in June. And I want him to be able to live where he wants to, at home being able to do his subsistence, while at the same time have the economic opportunity to go on a vacation wherever he so wishes, like each and every one of us would love to do, whether it be Hawaii or Disneyland or to another country. And we want to see that continue for our people.

We need -- we need new development. We are supporting your program. And we would actually ask that you can expedite it so we can hurry up and build new infrastructure. That's what we would actually like to see happen.

These are simple things that everyone else in Alaska takes for granted that we have to constantly fight for.

We have to fight for our right just to be equal with everybody else. People that have spoken this evening don't even live up there. They are never going to see the hardship that we do.

I'm a whaling captain, as well. I'm an avid hunter,

a subsistence hunter for my family and my community. it takes -- it takes money to be able to do that. I have to buy gas. I have to buy my bullets. I have to buy whatever snacks we may need to get up there. I have to pay my bills, my diesel heating bill. I have to pay for my lights and water, while at the same time trying to provide for subsistence food for my people. But it's what oil and gas has done for us.

95 percent of our budget for the North Slope Borough is property tax from oil and gas, just in the oil and gas area. So we are employing -- 95 percent of our employees are oil and -- have jobs because of oil and gas.

These guys that come up here and speak say there is very little benefit for Alaskans. Well, we are the Alaskans they are talking about, and it's benefitting us gratefully [sic].

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Our final preapproved speaker will be Joey Merrick.

MR. JOEY JERRY MERRICK: Thank you. My name is Joey Merrick. I'm the business manager of Laborers' Local 341 here in Anchorage and the president of the Alaska Laborers' Union. The Alaska laborers represent about 5,000 working men and women in Alaska. Originally we were a construction union, and today our union

represents service contracts, healthcare workers, tourism industry workers and pipeline workers from Kenai to the North Slope. I also serve as the president of the Alaska Petroleum Joint Crafts Council representing about 20,000 workers in Alaska, including Teamsters, pipefitters, laborers, operating engineers and electrical workers.

In addition to proudly representing our union members, I'm speaking from personal experience working on the pipelines from Valdez Marine Terminal to the North Slope.

The Alaska Laborers' Union is requesting that the EIS include analysis of economic and social benefits of the coastal plain oil and gas leasing from the lease sales to the post-lease activities, such as seismic drilling, exploration, development and transportation from the coastal plain. Development of this area means economic opportunity.

That's why the Alaska Laborers' Union is also requesting the EIS process to include research and analysis on both the economic and social benefits of using project labor agreements for major construction that would most likely result from the coastal plain leases.

By enhancing labor/management cooperation, project labor agreements enhance safety and compliance with the highest environmental standards. The thousands of workers

represented by the Alaska Petroleum Joint Crafts Council support development that brings jobs to Alaskans.

Today Alaska has the highest unemployment rate in the country, over seven percent. As the Alaska workers struggle and unemployment in our state climbs even higher, it is more important than ever to consider the immense job gains from the oil and gas leasing on the coastal plain.

Coastal plain development could also bring the U.S. closer to energy dominance. The proposed leasing would allow development of up to 2,000 acres of the 1.6 million acres of the coastal plain, .01 percent of the entire refuge.

Thanks to the advances in technology and best management practices, there is an exceptional record of safe and responsible oil and gas development in northern Alaska. Over the past 40 years, work on the North Slope has shown that energy development and stewardship can and do coexist.

And I could speak to you from personal experience working in the field. I have built the -- I built the caribou crossings and other mitigation measures, and I've witnessed caribou and other Alaska wildlife thriving around responsible oil development.

Based on the collaboration with agencies and local communities, I've seen firsthand that industry has

achieved a record of responsible development in sensitive areas, including the Arctic. Responsible development in this sliver of the refuge will help ensure energy security for the United States.

Not only will coastal plain development enhance our country's energy security and bring jobs to Alaska, it will fuel Alaska's economic engine, the Trans-Alaska Pipeline. The pipeline is now running three-quarters empty, and new production on the coastal plain will add throughput in the pipeline, which is a critical component of our country's energy infrastructure.

Alaskans stand to win from oil and gas development on the coastal plain. Leasing on a fraction of the coastal plain would create thousands of jobs around the country, generate billions of dollars in revenue and keep energy prices low for consumers.

By extending the life of the pipeline, coastal plain development will generate jobs and economic activities benefitting Alaskans and their families.

That's why the Alaska Laborers' Union is requesting the analysis in the EIS of the job creation and other economic and social benefits of leasing on the coastal plain.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. All right.

This concludes our prearranged speakers. We are going to take a brief break. If you have a speaking card numbered one through ten, I'd appreciate it when you come back if you could please come down to the front. If you have an even number, come on the right-hand side and see Chad. Can you stand up or raise your hand there, Chad? If you have an odd number, please see Molly. So again, if you have cards No. 1 through 10, please come down and we will get you cued up and ready for speaking.

It is right now 6:10. We are running a little ahead of schedule, which is great because it gives us more opportunity to hear from more of you. So we're going to take a brief ten-minute break and come back at 6:20 and begin the public comment.

Thank you.

(A break was taken.)

MR. DAVID BATTS: Okay. Folks, if you take your seats we will get started with our public speaking portion. Just a couple of things to go through real quickly to help our speakers tonight. If you are planning to speak, you should have a card at this point. If you don't, please see the table at the back of the room. We are going to be calling up speakers to the microphone in groups of ten based off the numbers on your cards. So you should have a blue card or a white card,

and in the upper corner it should have a number on it.

When your number is called, please proceed down to the indicated microphone.

And we are going to have odd numbers go over to the left side with Molly on microphone No. 1. We will have even numbers come down onto the right side with Chad. And we will line you up appropriately.

If you are not able to come up to the front and speak, just please raise your hand when we call your number. We have a roving mic and we can get back to you. You also need to be present when your number is called. It's like winning the lottery.

When you comment, we need the comment to come from you. We have a lot of technology these days, but we don't want comment to come from Facebook, Skype, Facetime or other measures. We want to at least hear it directly from you. When you come up to the microphone, please speak directly into the microphone. Speak slowly and clearly, stating your name and any organizations that you represent.

And one more plea. If you are reading off of your testimony, we would really appreciate getting a copy of that. Hard copies can be left at the table with Mary, or we can provide you with her email address if you are reading it off of your electronic device. You will have

three minutes to speak, although I would encourage people to please try to speak within about two minutes just so we can accommodate more people through the evening.

We have a long stretch of commenting tonight. When Mary gets tired, we will take a break, a short break for her to stretch her hands. Panelists may need to use the facilities or stretch themselves, so they may get up and walk around. Please don't consider that to be rude. They are just tending to their business so that we can keep the commenting process moving forward.

And then lastly, again, if you have any other written comments tonight, please be sure that you leave them with us in one of the commenting boxes.

So with that, we're going to begin the comment process. We'll start with speaker No. 1 on microphone No. 1. Again, if you can just please speak your name and affiliation.

MR. BILL SHERWONIT: My name is Bill Sherwonit, a nature writer and, yes, a long-time Alaska resident. Thank you for this opportunity to speak on behalf of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge and its many wild residents.

I'm among the Alaskans who wholeheartedly believe that the coastal plain should be preserved as wilderness for many reasons. Among them is this fact: The coastal

plain's true importance has nothing to do with us humans. Its lands and waters are breeding, nesting, spawning, calving, feeding and denning grounds for caribou, polar bears, musk ox, wolves, voles, loons, ducks, shorebirds, snowy owls, Arctic grayling, more than 250 species in all.

There is a reason that many people, including scientists, consider the coastal plain to be the Arctic Refuge's ecological heart. As the U.S. Fish & Wildlife service has noted, the plain has the greatest wildlife diversity of any protected area in Alaska above the Arctic circle.

Alaska's Gwich'in Athabascan people, as you have already heard, have an intimate relationship with that wild heart, and they are the people who stand to lose the most if the coastal plain becomes industrialized. While putting together the EIS, I urge you to consider the many impacts of industrial activities on the refuge's wild heart and the Gwich'in people.

I would also urge you to consider the impacts of climate change which, as you know, is affecting Alaska's landscapes and communities of plants, wildlife and people far more than any other part of the nation.

Among the animals likely to be most harmed by climate change and by the industrial development of the coastal plain are polar bears. As recently as the late 1990s,

Alaska's polar bear population was considered to be healthy and stable, perhaps even slightly increasing, but its status has changed dramatically. The southern Beaufort Sea population is now considered to be falling, and the scientific consensus is that climate change and associated declines in sea ice present the greatest damage.

It's also critical to note that recent polar bear research confirmed much of the species terrestrial maternity denning occurs within the Arctic Refuge's coastal plain. Unique is sometimes used too casually, but in this case it fits. A place of immense natural vitality, the refuge's coastal plain is the only large swath of Alaska's, and thus the nation's, Arctic coastline that has remained off limits to development, and yet it accounts for only a tiny percent of Alaska's North Slope coast.

Meanwhile, the arguments for oil and gas development, especially in a remote and fragile place during a time of climate upheaval, have diminished. Our nation needs to put its focus elsewhere in different energy resources.

Opening the Arctic Refuge to oil drilling would only feed an increasingly harmful human addiction.

Instead of seeking to develop the Arctic Refuge's coastal plain, our state's congressional delegation and

the current administration should help to protect the sacred place where life begins. It would be a grand gesture, especially during a time of climate upheaval, when leaving the coastal plains' oil and gas in the ground is not only the right, but the sensible thing to do, considering all that's at risk, including the well-being of Alaska's future generations.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker No. 2, please.

MR. SERGIO ACUNA: Good evening, all, and thank you for allowing me to testify today. I want to start by saying my name is Sergio Acuna. I'm a worker. I'm a member of the Alaska Laborers' Union Local 341. I must tell you I have worked the pipeline. I worked in the oil industry for many years. I am one of those who actually have seen it all the way from Prudhoe Bay down to Valdez, every single piece of the pipeline. And all I can tell you, I can see responsible development, progress and bright future.

As I say, I'm a resident of the state of Alaska since 1991. I met my wife here in Alaska, and together we decided to grow our family here. All my kids were born here, grew up here, attended public schools here. And they are seeking college education here, also, in the

state of Alaska. I would love to stay here and see my kids build a better future in this great state.

It's getting tougher and tougher to find any other good-paying jobs other than the ones associated with oil and gas development. Right now the state is not at their best, economically speaking, and it's because we are not producing enough oil to fill out the Trans-Alaska Pipeline.

I'm speaking today in support of the proposed oil and gas leasing program that will allow the development of no more than 2,000 acres of the 1.6 million acres of the coastal plains. Responsible oil and gas development is the key. It can be done. In fact, over the 40 past years, thanks to the new technology and new practices, the oil and gas development industry has demonstrated that energy development and environmental stewardship can coexist. The oil and gas development has a proven track record of responsible development in sensitive areas, protecting the environment, the wildlife and the subsistence needs of local residents.

By allowing these leases, it will ensure not only
Alaska, but America's energy security for decades to come.

Let me cite just a few good and important facts for oil and gas development on ANWR. Oil and gas is used to make or powered practically ever product we touch every day. Energy development supports hundreds of thousands of jobs, funds public schools and community services. Oil and gas industry supports thousands of Alaskan jobs, in fact, about a third of all Alaskan jobs. 78 percent of Alaskans favor exploration and production of the coastal plains of ANWR.

As you can see, it's strongly supported by most Alaskans. I want to stay here. I want to continue staying here and grow my family here. I want to work here in Alaska. Allow the new generations to find a bright future here in Alaska. Please allow the proposed oil and gas leases program for ANWR.

Thank you very much.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker

15 No. 3.

MR. PETE DAHL: Good evening. Thank you for allowing me to be here and say a few words to you this evening. My name is Pete Dahl. I'm a lifelong Alaskan of Alaska Native descent with deep roots here in the state. I've worked out of the laborers' union close to 30 years. Since TAPS was constructed when I was a young boy, I have seen a lot of the positive influence that the pipeline has had to Alaska overall. Many of my family members are working in various types of industries, capacities, ranging from construction, transportation, banking and

government, just to name a few. They have all benefited from oil production in the state of Alaska.

Right now our Alaska pipeline is running about three-quarters of the capacity of what it could be. And I would please strongly urge you to consider allowing this proposed leasing and gas program. It's only a couple thousand acres in 1.6 million acres of coastal plain that will be developed.

This energy production -- we are seeing a decline in the U.S. down in the Lower 48 with Shell Oil, and this will help offset that decline. We have U.S. Geological Surveys that have been done on the coastal plain that shows that there is about 10.4 billion barrels of oil and natural gas, as well, and it has a chance of producing about 16 billion barrels of oil because of that.

We also have many polls that have consistently shown that Alaskans overwhelmingly support responsible oil and gas development in the nonwilderness part of ANWR. So there is no valid reason why we should not be able to access world class resources within just a tiny fraction of the coastal plain to help our economy and our State overall.

Thank you for your time.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker

No. 4.

MR. CURTIS THAYER: Good evening. is Curtis Thayer, and on behalf of the Alaska Chamber, 2 which represents over 700 businesses in Alaska, that 3 employ over 100,000 hard-working Alaskans, the Alaska 4

5 Chamber supports the proposed oil and gas leasing program

within the nonwilderness portion of the coastal plain of 6

7 ANWR.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

We have conducted a statewide poll of likely voters in Alaska, and this past more March Alaskans support opening ANWR by 67 percent to 28 percent according to Dittman Research. In 1990 we asked the very same question, and the support was 72 percent to 21. tracks for the last 28 years between the 66 to 72 percent. Alaskans have always shown that strong support.

The proposed oil and gas program is on 2,000 acres of 1.6 million acres of the coastal plain, which is part of the refuge's 19 million acres. To put that into context, those 2,000 acres is the size of Dulles Airport in an area of 19 million acres.

The U.S. Geological Survey estimates the coastal plain has 10 billion barrels of oil, with recovering of 16 billion, one of the largest oil fields in the country. And thanks to continuing improvements in technology, the environmental stewardship on the North Slope is world class and second to none. There is nowhere in the world

that could compare to what we do here in Alaska and the safeguards that we do to protect the environment.

Responsible oil and gas development in this fraction of the refuge will ensure Alaska's energy security for decades and allow Alaska to define its own economic development and also protect our nation as a whole by allowing energy security to be here at home rather than overseas.

Thank you very much.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker

11 No. 5.

MR. SAM WOLFE: Hi. Sam Wolfe, third-generation Alaskans representing my family. My line of work I see a lot of wealth creation and wealth destruction, and Alaska's primary opportunity for wealth creation is the responsible extraction of natural resources, which we have been doing for many, many decades. Without that, Alaska would be a very nice place to visit, hardly a very nice place to raise a family. And that's really what this is all about, raising families, putting food on the table, milk in the fridge for American families and for Alaskan families.

Couple that with this area was set aside for this express purpose, that petroleum is going to be part of our human story for many decades to come, and that this is the

first step in a very long process with no guarantee of future development.

For these reasons and many more, that's why I urge you and strongly support to continue this process.

Thank you.

Territory area.

6 MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker 7 No. 6.

MR. DAVID SOLOMON: My name is David Solomon, Cha Zhii Gwich'in. My father is the late traditional chief of Yukon Flats, Jonathan Solomon. I was born and raised in Alaska. I was born in '57, one year before Alaska became statehood. My grandfather, Paul Solomon, was born in 1882, was here on Gwich'in land since 1882. He grew up on the Arctic Refuge. My grandmother lived to be 13 years old. My grandfather in Venetie lived to be 90-plus years old, and my other grandpa and grandmother, they lived to be over 103 years old. And my grandpa in Arctic Village lived to be 90-plus years old.

Why I say these age, because they lived off that land and they lived off the land where they -- where they hunt and fish and trap off that land. That's why they are

And my grandmother on Canada side, she lived to be 103

lives in Fort McPherson in the Yukon area, near Yukon

years old and still had black hair. I have relatives that

healthy. You look at nowadays what's going on, all the junk food that's been brought to our villages. Why is it important to protect the Arctic Refuge, because that's the sacred land where the caribou migrates, where the caribou have their calves, where the birds have their young ones, where all the young ones -- the development is going to mess all that up if you open oil development.

The reason I brought all that up because I'm going to be turning 62 pretty soon and I still got black hair, and I want to live to be 100-some-years-old, but we need to protect this land here. So no oil development on that country.

I worked up North Slope in the '70s, '80s and '90s and 2000. I'm a Teamster right now. And you guys talk about revenue. You talk about jobs. You see all these people that's talking about working up on the Slope 30, 20 years, all these white people. Guess what? A lot of those people that work up there, they don't even live in Alaska. They live down in the Lower 48. So they make all that money and they leave.

Here I work eight weeks out of a year, just enough to pay off my credit card. Why? Because the next ten months I don't work. And all these people up there talk about, they brag about I made \$140,000. And when I work eight weeks, I barely make 16- to \$20,000, enough to pay off my

credit. I got three kids I'm raising. I got my two grandkids I'm raising.

You look up in Arctic Village, the gas price up there right now is over \$10 a gallon. Fort Yukon is seven to \$8 a gallon. You look at Anchorage right now, it's 3.20. Glennallen is 3.80. You look at these prices, you talk about the milk and the eggs in the villages where it is so high.

In closing, I want to talk about something very important because it's important because if you go home tonight, you turn up your furnace. The village people, they have to put wood in their stove. When you go home, you flush your toilet. Some people in the village are still carrying honey buckets out to the outhouse. When you go take a shower, you turn on your faucet. Some of the Native people in the villages have carry -- they still do their clothes in a washtub.

So it's very important to understand the way we live and it's the way we live because Arctic National Wildlife Refuge needs to be a sacred place where we grew up because I want to see my grandkids and my other kids to be 100 years old, as well. So that's how important. We need to have no oil development.

My dad Jonathan Solomon fought in Washington, D.C.
He walked the halls of the Congress. We walk the halls of

Senate, and none of them will speak to us. Where are
those guys now? How come they are not up here? So that's
how important to ask you guys to respect our land up
there.

Even in Kaktovik, yeah, they talk about earlier, but still yet there is a lot of Kaktovik residents that doesn't want it. Maybe two of them that say they want it, but a lot of them don't want it.

So I thank you for this time. Three minutes goes fast, man. Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. I'm jealous of your hair. Okay. Speaker No. 7.

MR. STEPHEN LEONARD: Stephen Leonard,
Little Red Services, a subsidiary of ASRC Corporation. I
support oil and gas development in ANWR. As a lifelong
Alaskan, as most of us here, I've seen firsthand the
pipeline stacking up and the pipe showed up in Valdez and
watching the beautiful line come through. I know
firsthand -- I've been to all seven of the Arctic
villages, met with the people. And in Kaktovik they are
highly supportive of oil and gas development, which
required -- needs a lot of the taxation of the oil
companies to help support them. Firsthand being part of
the education system seeing where statewide how the
industry has supported our schools and where we have a lot

of history and science of Alaska, watched the Porcupine caribou herd. 1974 there were well over exceeding 100,000, five times increase in the caribou herd.

I have a great passion for the state. I love the beauty of it. I've spent 30 years working in the oilfield, and I've seen the coinhabitants and how we --watching the caribou coming through.

Thank you for your time.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Move to Speaker No. 8.

MR. BEN MULLIGAN: Hi. My name is Ben Mulligan, just representing myself. For what it's worth, I was born and raised here, third generation. The only reason my family is in Alaska is because my dad found a job working when the pipeline first went in. So I understand the importance of oil and gas development. At the same time growing up, we went fishing. We went hunting. We went hiking. We cross-country skied.

You know, in all things there is a balance. You know, developing this area is important for the continued economic health of our state, but at the same time we have to mitigate and look at that and understand that there is going to be -- again, there is that balance that you have to consider.

So we know that there is going to be looking at

caribou migration, nesting of waterfowl, whaling -- whale
migration and how that's going to affect everybody. It's
not just going to be a blatant carte blanche, here you go,
just drill. There is going to be responsible resource
development.

And this is just the beginning of a process. This is the beginning of the leasing program. No one is talking about doing seismic. No one is talking about drilling or allowing it. We are just looking at the beginning of this process.

And look at this. You guys have been in, what, three or four communities. You have got a couple more to go. I feel that this is a good opportunity. I'm glad you guys came here. I'm glad you guys are going to Utqiagvik. It's a good thing.

And I appreciate the time to testify. Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker

No. 9.

MS. TERRI QUINLAN: Good evening. My name is Terri Quinlan. I am also a lifelong Alaskan. I am a business representative with the Teamsters Local 959. I represent workers in the communication fields, AT&T Alaska, Arctic Slope Telco on the North Slope, as well as Safeway.

As we all know, in the past the Trans-Alaska Pipeline

transformed Alaska's economy. Most of us were around at
that time. In the late 1980s, more than two million
barrels flowed through TAPS. Today the Trans-Alaska
Pipeline is running at about a third capacity, and it has
a big impact on Alyeska Pipeline in transporting oil from
Prudhoe Bay at Pump Station 1 to the Valdez terminal,
about 800 miles in distance.

With the lack of crude oil flowing through the pipeline, it has caused considerable challenges for Alyeska Pipeline. This lack of oil has caused temperature issues that have created a lack of oil flow. Projects to remedy this problem have been expensive.

To me, the best long-term solution for our future is more oil. New developments would put more oil in Alaska's great pipeline and, in turn, reduce the ongoing low-volume issues that continue to be a problem. ANWR Section 1002 has the potential to have 10.4 billion barrels of oil and 8.6 trillion cubic feet of natural gas. The economic impact on the state and industry would be great. For that reason, I support it.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: We are going to call down speakers 11, 13, 15, 17 and 19, please. If you have those numbers, again, 11, 13, 15, 17 and 19, please come down and see Molly.

MR. THOR BROWN: Good evening. My name is Thor Brown. I work for the Teamsters Local here in Anchorage. I think it's rightfully noted that the majority of the discussion is based on the immediate impacts that take place in the coastal plain. Having said that, the economic impacts of development on the North Slope are spread throughout the state, specifically in the shipping industry, freight industry. This stems all the way from the North Slope to Anchorage.

When the price of oil dropped, the economic impacts of those things spread all the way from the number of containers that came in on the ship to the number of trailers that came up the road. That was felt everywhere, from fabricators, working mechanics, truck drivers, people that warehouse the freight, people that buy coats and boots and everyday things that happen economically to the structure of the community here. And when those things drop off, it has an effect on the community itself.

With the development of the oil fields in this particular -- we are just talking about the work that's being done up there. That has a very positive effect on the people that work here in Anchorage, people that stay here, people that work here, people that live here, people that want to raise their children here. And that's a very big deal to the community as a whole.

So thank you for your time.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Okay. We are going to cue up speaker No. 11. If you have cards No. 12, 14, 16, 18 or 20, please come on down to the right-hand side. And I think we have some guests who might like some books to maybe color in in the back. We do have some noise issues coming up here where we are at that's making it hard for the court reporter to hear. So if we please have it be a little quiet in the back, that would being great.

Okay. Sir, go ahead.

MR. JOE RINTALA: Hello and good evening.

My name is Joe Rintala. I work for Teamsters Local 959.

I represent construction workers in the state of Alaska,

North Slope and TAPS. We are in support of this project,

the proposed oil and gas and leasing program which would

allow development of no more than 2,000 acres of 1.6

million acres of coastal plain, part of the nonwilderness

portion of the refuge's 19 million acres. That is

equivalent to just 0.01 percent of the entire refuge. It

will strengthen our state's economy, help out and put more

Alaskans to work.

The coastal plain was specifically identified by

Congress pursuant to Section 1002 of the Alaska National

Interest Lands Conservation Act of 1980 for its potential

for oil and gas resources.

Oil and gas -- oil and gas from the nonwilderness coastal plain is an important resource for meeting our state and nation's energy demands and achieving energy dominance. Polls have consistently shown Alaskans overwhelmingly support responsible oil and gas development in the nonwilderness portion of ANWR. There is no valid reason tiny fraction -- excuse me -- there is no valid reason why we should not be allowed to access world-class resources within just a tiny fraction of the coastal plain. Teamsters Local 959 also supports responsible development in ANWR Section 1002.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Okay. We are still looking for speaker cards No. 12, 14 and 16.

Anybody have card No. 12? If you have 12, 14 or 16, please come on down.

MR. SAMUEL JOHNS: First of all, I just want to say that, you know, to all of you all in here that are about to speak in support of development, I wish that you would actually bring up the Gwich'in people and actually try to act like they exist because every single person bringing up this development are acting like the Gwich'in people do not exist. Not one of them have brought up the Gwich'in people. They still exist. They

Still live there. My mother is from Arctic Village. I am Neets'aii Gwich'in. I still exist. Every single one of these people in here that bring up the development have not said one thing about the Gwich'in people, and that is very disrespectful. Very disrespectful.

My people have survived off that land for thousands and thousands of years. After contact, they still survive off that land. They still hunt. They still survive off the caribou that goes through that area. One thing that I cannot understand is in about a month and a half you are going to be celebrating Independence Day. We are going to be celebrating Independence Day. Independence. But what I see right now is those rights, that independence, the right to live independently, is being stripped away for capitalistic gain. They are not being allowed to have the choice for their own land. They are not allowed to say or speak for their own rights.

We are here, and most of the people speaking aren't even Gwich'in. They are not even from that area. And that is very disrespectful.

All I hear is for a push for capitalistic gain. I don't hear any respect for the Gwich'in people, none. I don't understand. Where is the audacity? Where is the respect? There is none for the Gwich'in people right now because every single person in this line saying they want

development don't even bring the people up.

I want to end this in a good way. When, when there is an economic collapse, when there is an economic collapse, my name is Samuel Johns, and I will share some caribou meat with you. If you are hungry, if you can't get a job and there is an economic collapse, I am Gwich'in. My people will help feed your family because we are living off a self-sustainable land. We can live off that land. We don't need -- we are recession-proof. there is an economic collapse, remember that we will feed you. But we can't feed you if you destroy that land.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker No. 13, please.

MR. PHIL SOMERVELL: Good evening. Мy name is Phil Somervell. I'm just speaking for myself. One of the reasons -- I'm not a lifelong Alaskan, but one of the reasons that -- one of the reasons I moved up here finally was that I have been in ANWR. I've stood on that land. I've seen it close up. I think anyone that's done that would have some maybe better understanding of how important it really is as a resource.

I suppose I could brag about the fact that my late father-in-law was a member of the Alaska State Constitutional Convention, but I had nothing to do with that, so I won't. A couple of things that have disturbed me a lot, one of them is speaking of responsible oil and gas development. I wonder how responsible it could ever be. And by the way, all the word means is really you follow the rules, which is a good thing.

But how responsible can it be to pump more and more oil out when we know that climate change is heavily impacting the state already and will continue to get worse? And if there is any question, there are major reports from many sources, including the US Geological Survey, including the Alaska State Epidemiology Office. I used to be an epidemiologist. But those are just two of the major reports of the incredible impacts of burning all that oil. It is not responsible to extract that oil, which is only going to make our situation worse and worse, which is beginning to happen already.

A second comment about jobs. I think Alaskans have been sold a bill of goods on this. Any project creates jobs. Imagine the worst possible, most destructive infrastructure project you can think of. It will create jobs. We need jobs, we need jobs to repair the damage that's already been done by climate change, which is produced by pumping the oil. We need jobs in human services desperately to help people in great need. What about those jobs?

And I'm not sure there is much more I can say about that. I've worked with people in the oil and gas industry. They are good people. But this is not an industry that's now helping us. It's an industry that, like it or not, needs to be left to leave the oil in the ground where it belongs and not keep pumping it out to make our -- to make our situation only worse.

So maybe I'll just leave it at that for now. And thank you for the opportunity.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Move over to speaker No. 14. There was a slight mix-up in our numbers. That was No. 15. We are missing card 13. If you have speaker card 13, come down and see Molly, we will get you. Sorry about that, sir. Go ahead.

MR. JEREMY PRICE: My name is Jeremy
Price. I'm the Alaska State Director of Americans for
Prosperity. I would like to testify in support of moving
this process forward. I represent thousands of grassroots
activists across this state who support opening the 1002
area to exploration and production. We are grateful to
President Trump and his administration. We are grateful
to Congress for providing this game-changing opportunity
for Alaskans.

For decades people like Ted Stevens, Don Young, Lisa Murkowski, Frank Murkowski, Dan Sullivan, all of these

elected officials that we have sent to D.C. have fought for us to provide for this opportunity to provide greater economic prosperity for Alaskans. We have elected these folks. They have done the work for us. We support what they have done, and we couldn't ask for a better time.

Right now with the technology available, the oil and gas industry will be using the best available technology with a minimal footprint. And if you look at our state's economy right now, we are in dire straits.

Here are some quick off-the-top numbers. In 2016, we lost 7,500 jobs. In 2017, we lost 2,700 jobs. And just a few weeks ago we found out that over 2,100 Alaskans did not apply for a Permanent Fund Dividend that applied last year. So in short, we are losing Alaskans. They are leaving the state. They're going to the Lower 48. We need this. This is a great opportunity for us.

At the very least of which, if you -- when you go back to D.C., take this thought with you. The majority of Alaskans support this, period. For decades that hasn't changed. The majority of Alaskans support what you are doing. The majority of Alaskans want this to happen. I myself was born and raised on a homestead that my grandfather started in the 1950s. I'm here with my three kids trying to provide a better Alaska for my family.

This is another opportunity that's going to provide

economic prosperity for my family and other Alaskans like me. So I urge you to support the project. I speak on behalf of thousands of grassroots activists across the state, and I stand with the majority of Alaskans who support this. Let's move the process. Let's do this for Alaskans. Let's do this for America.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Okay. We are still looking for card No. 13. Last call for No. 13. Okay. We are going to move over to No. 16, please.

MR. PAT LAVIN: Thank you. For the record, I'm Pat Lavin. I'm a longtime Alaskan. I'm speaking for myself tonight.

Just say a few things, and they are probably things that you have heard already, so to be different, I'm going to finish with a haiku. So you are warned.

There is a weak legislative basis for what you are engaged in. It's not your fault, but what I'm referring to, the tax bill that we heard about earlier included the Arctic Refuge drilling provision.

And the manner of passing the tax bill, the way it was done in Congress, devoid of any debate, kept this issue from the American people at large, or at least from their ability to comment, participate the way typically happens with legislation in Congress. That's because

standing on its own, legislation to open the Arctic
Refuge, our largest and most iconic refuge in the nation
wouldn't make it through Congress.

And when you have kind of sneaky legislation as the basis of the whole subsequent enterprise that we are in now, it's a weak foundation, and it will likely crumble and eventually fail.

The process that we are in also seems rushed and a little bit arbitrary. The tax bill talked about at least getting a lease sale out in four years, but we see on the timeline you are thinking about a year and a half for a whole NEPA analysis to put brand-new oil and gas infrastructure into a de facto wilderness area.

Especially with what's all at stake, including the cultural survival of some of our fellow Alaskans from where they are coming from, I urge you to slow down.

It's unnecessary. This project is unnecessary.

Whatever the benefits are of drilling for oil in the Arctic, we already have them. And they are proceeding apace. There has been a lot from the industry perspective, really exciting discoveries, new projects coming online all the time. There is a lot going on in the Arctic. A lot of drilling and the benefits that we heard about are already accruing. We don't need to go into the refuge to get the benefits that we have heard

1 about tonight. We already get them.

I'd just implore you to reconsider this. Slow down and reconsider this.

Okay. I promised you a haiku. Primordial land, sacred place where life begins, no place for oil rigs.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. That's the first haiku I think I've received in comments. So thank you. Okay. We will go over to No. 17.

MS. LOIS EPSTEIN: Hard to follow. Thank you for this opportunity to testify, especially those of you from D.C. who came to listen to Alaskans.

My name is Lois Epstein. I live in Anchorage. I am a licensed engineer and the Arctic Program Director for The Wilderness Society. Formerly I was a technical consultant. I have been to the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge once previously, not for work. And I will be returning this June, again not for work. Additionally, I have been to Kaktovik to listen to local concerns at a public hearing on Hilcorp's Liberty Project plan for the Arctic Ocean.

My testimony today focuses on why oil from the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge coastal plain is not needed to ensure the longevity of the Trans-Alaska oil pipeline, also known as TAPS. TAPS throughput or flow has been

increasing from over 508,000 barrels per day in 2015 to nearly 544,000 barrel per day in 2018 to date. That is a nearly seven percent increase in approximately three years, a result of increased production from existing operations on state lands and recent oil discoveries on state and federal lands in the National Petroleum Reserve Alaska, lands that are currently open to oil development.

Notably, more than half the NPR-A is available for oil development and only its most sensitive areas, such as the Teshekpuk Lake region, cannot be developed.

Staff at the Alaska Department of Natural Resources expect TAPS throughput to continue increasing through the late 2020s due to new discoveries that are now undergoing permitting. These significant discoveries, which are not on federally protected lands, include Oil Search's Nanushuk project on state lands and the ConocoPhillips' Willow and Greater Moose's Tooth projects in regions of the NPR-A that are open.

It's clear that oil production is growing in the Arctic on lands currently available for leasing, and that raises important questions about why we should develop the highly sensitive coastal plain of the Arctic Refuge.

Despite some instate and D.C.-based rhetoric, drilling on currently protected federal lands and waters including the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge is not necessary to ensure

that TAPS remains viable and economic for decades to come.

I'll end with two observations. First, when industry was asked to provide official speakers at the beginning of this hearing, and they chose unions, the TAPS operator who is not involved in drilling, and a trade association to speak for them. Oil companies appear to be afraid to publicly express their interest in drilling, even in Alaska, perhaps because drilling in the highly sensitive refuge is much more unpopular among the public than commonly believed.

Second, industry and labor representatives fail to acknowledge flaws in oil operations, such as major spills and blowouts, which have occurred in Alaska. I'd be happy to detail them if I had more time.

Thank you very much to for your attention to this testimony.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker No. 18.

MS. JONELLE JONES: My name is Jonelle.

I'm Yup'ik and Navajo. I was born and raised here in

Anchorage, Alaska. And I'd like to convey this message of

natural law. This is a human condition, ladies and

gentlemen, the condition whether anyone has recognized it

or not, accepted it or not. It's slavery. I'm not going

to shift words here. I'm going to come out and say it as

it is in plain language.

The whole goal of true spirituality is the ending of the human condition called slavery. It's never okay.

It's not okay now. It never will be okay. It's completely immoral. And the people who continue to perform the actions that hold the human condition in this state or support and condone those actions are immoral people. People will say there is many paths to freedom and spirituality, but I'll take umbrage with that statement. There are many paths that you can get to an understanding of what the solution is, but there is only one real true solution.

To say something to the effect of do you believe in natural law or do you think it's some type of religious dogma is as ridiculous as asking someone if they believe if you hold an object up and then let it go, will it fall downwards. There is no dogma over religious belief required for that. It's law in the universe.

While behavior is also bound by law, this is a problem with the human ego, though. The human ego refuses to accept that behavior is bound by law, and we want to think we can do anything we want as long as we don't get caught. The only solution is an understanding of natural law and how we are inextricably forever bound by it.

We have free will to change -- we have free will to

choose our behavior, but we do not have free will to be insulated from the consequences of behavior and free will. Natural law is the most [indiscernible] information that needs to be understood by humanity, and there's very little progress in propagating a worldwide understanding of natural law.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To the body of humanity, that's what our work is That's the work of the people in this room and the people who do understand this. Natural law is a set of universal, inherit, objective, nonmanmade, internal and immutable conditions which govern the consequences of behavior of being within the capacity for understanding the difference between harmful and nonharmful behavior. That means it applies to intelligent beings who have a developed brain and nervous system like we do. It doesn't apply to lesser beings, to the animal kingdom. not going to sit there and reason. We have the capacity for reason. They are instinctual creatures. They have emotions as well, but we have higher thought functions. That's why natural law applies to us. A worldwide common sense understanding of two objective moralities and the laws that govern behavioral consequences is the only true solution to the chronic human conditions of slavery.

For as long as humanity remains ignorant of the knowledge of natural law, it will remain enslaved.

That is the property physical -- that taking of property, physical property which does not belong to you. Trespassers are taking up the security of another person in their domain, their dwelling place, which does not belong to you.

Please don't take this land.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Is it possible for us to get a copy of your testimony? Okay. So we're going to move over to No. 19.

MR. RAYMOND EDWARD IGALOOK: (Speaking in Inupiaq.) My name is Raymond Edward Igalook. I hail from Nuiqsut, Alaska, the most impacted village on the North Slope with which is now the epicenter of oil and gas development with an oil rig three miles away from my village. My son, born October 2012, came home, brought him back home. A week later he ended up getting RSV, which have -- which have concluded from the pollution of the oil and gas development around my village.

With Nuiqsut being as the guinea pig, should I say, of the only village surrounded by oil and gas, I want to remind you, who are the true Alaskans here? Many of you here came from somewhere else, but my people, the Gwich'in people, the indigenous people of Alaska, were here. And who came and took over with assimilation, with continued genocide of not only our subsistence rights, but our human

rights to health, a healthy, breathing, good quality air.

I -- I want to say this, that through my job as an oral historian for the North Slope Borough -- I'm speaking on behalf of myself and not North Slope Borough -- that I have learned a lot during my two years employed interviewing my elders in Nuiqsut. Imagine listening to your elders crying in an interview because of how much destruction has been caused in my village, and nobody on the North Slope can see that but my village. Even my own people, my Inupiaq people, go against me just because I have a voice. We are not all agreed upon or supportive of oil and gas development, such as myself.

Over 75 historical sites that were once used for subsistence usage areas are now restricted to access from my people who once hunted there. And that -- and to hear you can't hunt, that (Alaska indigenous word), you can't hunt at (Alaska indigenous word), that hurts me because my son won't ever, ever get the chance to ever hunt where my grandparents hunted, where I learned to hunt, also.

Three minutes is not enough for me. I'm going to continue.

My people have fought for self-determination, and I'm grateful for that. But there is a time where enough is enough from oil and development. We are economically sustainable right now. We don't need to continue to

destruct our land just because of monetary greed,
corporate greed. The land sustains us. Again, as

3 Mr. John said, we are recession proof because the land

provides for us already with fruit, vegetation, mammals.

5 We rely on these animals for the sake of our nutrition,

for the sake of our future generations.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Okay. We are going to go to No. 20, but before we do, if you have Nos. 21, 23, 25, 27 or 29, please come down and see Molly, and she will get you in line.

Ma'am.

MS. MARLEANNA HALL: Good evening. My name is Marleanna Hall. Thank you all for being here in Alaska to hear us. I know many of you are Alaskans or have been Alaskans in the past, and I appreciate you joining us this evening. I'm the executive director for the Resource Development Council for Alaska. But before and above that, I'm a lifelong Alaskan. I am Inupiaq originally from Nome. I am not from the North Slope. My ancestors are from Shishmaref. But I would, on a lighter note, just as the testimony has become very deep this evening, share that I also grew up with a honey bucket myself.

I do believe, though, that the people of Alaska have

a right to develop and manage our lands in this state in a way that benefits all people of Alaska and protects the environment. We can and we do do it right.

Moving on to my formal testimony tonight, RDC is a statewide trade association comprised of individuals and companies from all five of Alaska's main industries: Oil and gas, mining, forest products, fisheries and tourism industries, as well as the 12 land-owning Alaska Native corporations. My members are truly the lifeblood of Alaska's economy. We believe the best approach to expand our economy and generate new jobs is to produce more oil, attract more tourists, harvest more fish and timber, and mine more minerals.

This historic moment, this time in our lives that we need to talk about an opportunity of opening up the nonwilderness coastal plain to oil and gas leasing has been a long wait for Alaskans, all Alaskans. Alaska has responsibly produced over 17 billion barrels of oil from the North Slope in almost 41 years. Fortunately, we still have significant resources that can be developed, providing jobs and improving our economy for generations, as it has for the last several decades.

With advances in technology and a projected need for oil, Alaska can and will play a key role in supplying not only our state, but America, reducing our nation's

dependence on foreign oil, all the while without
significant disturbance to wildlife, subsistence or other
uses. In fact, wildlife populations on the North Slope
have grown or remained stable with the last nearly five
decades of activity.

I will close with reminding us that this is the very first step in a very long process. And should lease sales come -- should lease sales lead to development, I believe that the industry will strive to reduce its footprint even further and keep its long track of responsible development in place.

Thank you again for the opportunity to speak today.

RDC will submit more detailed comments on the scoping

process in writing. Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Great. Thank you very much. We are going to go over to speaker 21. Before we do, if you have numbers 22, 24, 26, 28 or 30, come on down and see Chad.

Sir.

MR. CARL PORTMAN: Good evening. My name is Carl Portman. And I am here tonight speaking on my own behalf. I was raised on a homestead near Fairbanks and proudly worked on the construction of the Trans-Alaska Pipeline during summer break while attending the University of Alaska Fairbanks. I remember what life was

- 1 like before TAPS, and I paid state income tax before 1980.
- 2 I lived through a shallow recession in the early '80s and
- 3 a deeper recession in the late '80s. I seen my share of
- 4 Alaska's boom and bust. Like thousands of Alaskans, my
- 5 livelihood depends on the oil and gas industry, yet I do
- 6 not work directly for the industry.

9

25

With the pipeline now running at three-quarters empty

8 and North Slope production on the decline, I see an

increasing risk of TAPS facing a premature shutdown in the

10 future. If this were to happen, Alaska would face an

11 unmitigated economic disaster, no doubt a depression

12 beyond what we have ever seen. Clearly, without increased

13 production, the state's economy is in jeopardy. Quite

14 frankly, I am worried about my future, my family,

15 neighbors' and friends' future here in Alaska.

16 According to a study by the University of Alaska

17 Anchorage, up to 50 percent of the state's current economy

18 and at least one-third of all jobs, including those of

19 public employees, are in some way connected to the oil and

20 gas industry. The same reports stress that nothing else

21 can replace oil in the state's economy. Without oil, how

22 will the state meet its long-term obligations from

23 funding essential services to public employee pensions,

24 education and healthcare.

According to the Energy Information Administration,

```
oil and gas development in ANWR could result in new
domestic production, up to 880,000 barrels per day for a
period extending for approximately 12 years with
additional production for many years following. Such
production would create thousands of new jobs, refill TAPS
and generate billions of dollars in new revenues to the
Alaska treasury to support, again, essential services.
```

When the 1002 area is open, not one acre of designated wilderness would be disturbed by development. With advances in technology significantly reducing the footprint of development, I know we do not have to choose between energy production and environmental protection. It is possible to develop the energy reserves inside ANWR while directly utilizing only a fraction of the area. This can be accomplished without significant disturbance to wildlife, subsistence use or the environment.

In conclusion, I, as well as a strong majority of Alaskans, support these sales on the coastal plain.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: If you have your testimony, if we could steal that, that would be wonderful.

Okay. Over to 22, please.

MR. MALKOLM BOOTHROYD: Good evening. My name is Malkolm Boothroyd. I'm a lifelong Yukoner, and

I'm here representing the Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society.

I set foot in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge for the first time when I was seven years old. I've glimpsed a loon chick struggling out of an egg, and I've come so close to a grizzly bear that I've heard it breathing. I've hiked in the midst of the heart of 10,000 caribou. I've swum in the Beaufort Sea.

I've spent six summers exploring the mountains and rivers of the Arctic Refuge, but there is one thing that I've never seen: The U.S./Canada border. It's invisible. This is something that we should celebrate because in too many parts of the world borders are fortified testaments to exclusion, defined by razor wire and deportations, machine guns and detention facilities. But on the coastal plain, the border is transparent.

Every year it's crossed by hundreds of thousands of caribou, by polar bears, seals and bowhead whales, by countless millions of migratory birds. Every hoof, wing and paw that passes across this border unites these lands into one coastal plain, one ecosystem, one homeland.

That's why the U.S. government must take the most comprehensive of efforts to understand the transboundary impacts of oil and gas extraction in the Arctic Refuge.

It's critical that your agency engage with the federal,

territorial and First Nations governments of Canada and seek the input of Canadian scientists and indigenous knowledge holders.

Consulting with Canada is not only the right thing to do; it's mandated by the Porcupine Caribou Herd

Conservation Agreement and the Alaska National Interest

Land Conservation Act.

I strongly believe that oil and gas extraction has no place in the Arctic Refuge. I'm confident that a sound and evidenced-based process will come to the same conclusion.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Speaker

No. 23.

MR. CHRIS RIDER: Good evening. My name is Chris Rider. I'm with the Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society, Yukon Chapter. We traveled a long way to get here tonight, and I hope that you will be providing opportunity for Yukoners to submit in person by traveling to Whitehorse to have consultations there because Yukoners deserve a voice. And the reason they deserve a voice is because we speak for the caribou, and the caribou don't have a voice. The caribou don't know borders; neither do the whales, the fish, any other wildlife that travel between Canada and the U.S.

We speak tonight representing those animals. And we speak here tonight standing with the Gwich'in people. The Porcupine caribou herd have the longest land mammal migration on earth. Every year they travel between the Arctic Refuge, through the Yukon to the Northwest Territory. It's incredible.

Right now they are healthy. There is 200,000 of them. We are very lucky. They are one of the few healthy caribou herds left in North America. They are one of the last healthy caribou herds. There are victims across the North America caribou of habitat loss, hunting, and of predation. Yet the Porcupine caribou herd, they are healthy. Why would we do anything that could risk that?

There is going to be people here tonight telling you that the Porcupine caribou herd will be healthy, but they have got so many threats that are coming together. It's called cumulative effects. When you look at climate change and you look at their natural increases and decreases in population cycle, we are potentially looking at having oil and gas exploration open at the same time as the caribou are going through their natural decline.

Combine that with climate change, and they may never come back. Caribou are resilient, but they can only withstand so much. The Arctic Refuge is their calving grounds.

work can be done safely. It can be done in ways that won't impact the caribou. They can tell you that, but if you had an option to have an oil rig in the maternity ward at the Anchorage hospital, let me ask you, would you take it? Or would you say the place where babies are born is no place for drilling? And that's what I'd say for the Arctic Refuge.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: We are up to No. 24. We are missing No. 24. Does anybody have card No. 24? Would you like us to bring the microphone back there, or would you like to come up front? Would you like to sit down? Please speak this way so our court reporter can see you. Would you like to stand or sit?

MS. MARYANN IQILAN NASUALUK NAGEAK REXFORD WARDEN: I don't think I have that big a speech.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Okay. Go ahead.

MS. MARYANN IQILAN NASUALUK NAGEAK REXFORD WARDEN: But I do feel funny -- maybe I don't like to speak -- I would like to speak to all of you. And I like to see your face when I speak. So let me do it this way. Okay?

I'll speak in English. My name is MaryAnn Iqilan
Nasualuk. Those first two Inupiaq names are my names that
were given to me. And the last two, Nageak and Rexford, I

was born in the land and adopted to be a Rexford. I was adopted. I was adopted by my aunt who was a Rexford. And Rhoda Nageak was my birth mom. But they were leaving to go to Barrow, and her sister and Mildred and Herman Rexford adopted me.

And I was born May 15, 1942 at Barrow, Alaska to

Vincent and Rhoda Akootchook Nageak, both deceased. And I

was culturally adopted by Mildred and Herman Rexford, both

deceased. I grew up in Kaktovik on Barter Island. My

parents -- my grandparents were Susie and Andrew

Akootchook who settled on Barter Island and on Arey

Island, just north of Barter Island. You don't mind if I

turn around and speak. Okay.

MR. DAVID BATTS: If you could speak a little sideways for our court reporter, please. She's trying to read your lips, so it's hard to do that when you give her your back.

MS. MARYANN IQILAN NASOALUK NAGEAK REXFORD WARDEN: This is very interesting. I never spoke sideways before. Anyway, I was -- I was culturally adopted by Mildred Akootchook and Herman Rexford, both deceased. I grew up on Kaktovik, on Barter Island, Alaska. We are so close to Canada, sometimes we have relatives in Canada. And we used to go -- actually go back and forth between the invisible line, our side of Alaska and the other side,

Canada. But we never had lines when we are growing up. I was growing up, we could go anywhere without any paperwork. We just had to let the dogs go.

My grandparents were Susie and Andrew Akootchook.

Susie was the sister of Paul Akudak [ph]. Some of you may know that name. And Oolawak [ph]. [indiscernible]

Oolawak was her brother, as well.

Like I said, my grandparents were Susie and Andrew Akootchook who settled on Barter Island and also on Arey Island just north of Barter Island. Arey Island is a long stretch of sand which was used also as a base for making salt. Salt, S-A-L-T. The family would pick the clear ice and set them up to drip the salt off the clear ice.

I once watched a movie about Ghandi and watched them make salt just as our ancestors did on our island and sand spit on the ocean. We not only use the ocean for the animals, like hunting them, but also we used it to make salt.

On the west end of the island -- that would be towards Barrow -- we fished with nets, as well, both for the trout and (Inupiaq word), the whitefish. I'm not sure -- I forget what the -- what you would call it. It's a different kind of fish. Good fish.

The island is also a nesting area for all the species of birds which we also gathered for food. A aahaliq -- I

116

- always forget the English name for aahaliq. It's a black and white bird which we call in Inupiag aahaliq.
- MR. DAVID BATTS: If you could please wrap
 up, we need to move on. If you could please wrap up, we
 need to move on, too.
- 6 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: I'll give 7 her my time.
- UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Yeah, respect the elder, man.
- MS. MARYANN IQILAN NASUALUK NAGEAK REXFORD

 WARDEN: I'll get rid of -- I'll just do the last page

 here.
- UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: No. Say your whole thing.
- THE WITNESS: I'll speak as fast as I can.

 Okay?
- 17 MR. DAVID BATTS: That's fine.
- MS. MARYANN IQILAN NASUALUK NAGEAK REXFORD 18 I'm sorry. (Speaking in Inupiaq.) Because they 19 WARDEN: 20 were named for the noisy noise they made aah, aah, then aahaliq means noise. Aah, aah, aahaliq. Aah, aah, 21 22 aahaliq. Maybe some of you have the same name for them, 23 but I don't know. We also have other species of edible 24 fowl: geese, swans and many kinds of birds. Some we 25 cannot hunt as children. You see, we also killed the

small brown birds for our elders since the smaller birds have softer meat.

We used to have more elders living in our land in our village, but nowadays most of our elders no longer live long or live in the villages. We still take care of our elders, but they are -- there are facilities for them to live in and be cared for as a group. But if they still have family, they are cared for by them.

We as a village also living by the Brooks Range to the south, we also have access to the Dall sheep, which is a delicacy for Christmas and Thanksgiving feasts we have as a village. Thanksgiving, Christmas, 4th of July and whaling season events.

I am supposing that many of you have families that get together for the holidays. On our island, we get together as a family when we first settled on Barter Island, Kaktovik. We were out somewhere, and when we came back, we smelled the diesel, and we went by it. They had already started dipping the diesel into many empty drums. The diesel came from the camp, the Air Force camp that was built on an island. They built it. They just built it. I don't think there was anybody to make any -- any -- any say on it.

The spill was bad. It was bad. And the spill came from the camp, the Air Force camp. When we came -- come

to the island, the first thing we smelled is the land, but when we came in, we smelled the diesel, and it was biting into our nose and eyes. It was bad. That was the Air Force.

The Air Force when -- and Russia was alive when everybody was thinking that USA was going to be in war.

We always made something good out of something disastrous. We dipped our drums to clean it up. That's the side of the island where we could set our nets for the cisco, the fish, and where the ducks came around. And that's where the whale would come, too.

It's hard to lose a way of life when the English came. That's what we called them. Or the white man. Some of those people were not very nice to our men. Inupiaq men. And we are not -- we were not -- we are not -- we will not be violent.

There was a man who kept bugging my dad, and he -the only violence my dad did to him was he took his hat
off and he banged his -- the top of his head. That's all
he could come up with for that man to treat him like that.

And I'm not sure if you will have another -- another chance to hear somebody talk about how it was in my village. So I didn't put down too much in here, but I would invite you, if you have any questions, to raise your arm and I can try and answer.

We did -- we did have education. We had English. We had to learn English. Anybody that came to our village didn't have to learn our language, but we learned English. And that became our language. Writing -- and we learned how to write. We learned how to argue. We learned how to argue with the ones that came and spoke of -- we spoke their language and we were able to answer back at them, even though we were taught not to answer back at people older than us.

There were -- the ones that could speak English were us, the younger ones. And if somebody need it, my mom would always want to know what they say. What did they say? Sometimes it was very hard to translate what you say in English. What you say in English, sometimes it's really hard to translate to Inupiaq because in Inupiaq we have soft language. It's not -- it's not harsh.

You have to respect -- you have to respect. And so we -- I don't have my -- I don't have much to say anymore, but we did -- we did learn English. We went to -- I went to high school in Sitka, and after that I went to the University of Alaska in Fairbanks. And then after that I went to the Dubuque seminary school.

So I have all the -- I have all the degrees that any of you may be able to get yourself. But my parents were proud I could do it. And I was thankful -- I was thankful

to the people that helped me achieve my highest -- the
highest I could go. I did not want to try for the Ph.D.
I'd rather live than get another degree.

So I praise any of you who I -- it's not is struggle. It's something you can achieve, even if you have to struggle for it. Even if you had to struggle for it, you can do it. You can do it. Okay. If you have any questions --

9 MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you very much.
10 That was very interesting.

Okay. We're going to go over to speaker No. 25.

MR. GEORGE PLETNIKOFF, JR.: (Speaking in Alaskan indigenous language.) My name is George Pletnikoff. I'm from St. Paul Island, Alaska. I'm Unangas, also known as Aleuts. And I come here today as myself and alongside indigenous peoples all over this continent, what we call Turtle Island, to oppose oil drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

When I think of oil drilling, I think of North Dakota and the struggles that they have gone through. Standing Rock was a big part of that. The great resistance shown of people coming together for water, to stand for water. Not even just against oil. For water. And that is what we are fighting for is our very livelihood. And when you bring oil to our lands, you also bring your people and

your ways.

And our women, our women are taken and they are raped. Drugs are brought to our children and alcoholism plagues us due to these ways. You want to put oil in our lands, you put oil in us. What you do to your land is what you do to your women, and that is what is going on. Our women are getting raped as the land is getting raped.

And I know this isn't the type of setting that we are used to. We had our elders speak to you for longer than you would like, but we have to sum this all up for you just to be accustomed to your ways. Now, this isn't the way of our land.

There is harmony. We could all be in harmony, all four directions coming together to stand for water, stand for life and for that food out there that grows the land and goes through the waters that sustain our lives. They are being killed due to the oil, the gas, the coal.

And we are not even able to have a good diet because we are being so driven out by your way. You bring your food to us, and that is what we have to eat. You bring us your clothing. There is no room for our traditional ways when it comes to your governments and your corporations.

And I get the feeling -- you know, I wouldn't doubt there is a lot of these people getting paid for your vote. I wouldn't doubt it was one of you.

And that is what we face. But we stand strong. As long as this food stays here and we can be here to protect it, we will keep moving and we will stop your pipeline.

(Speaking in Alaskan indigenous language) Water is life.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. We are looking for No. 26. If we could have you come on No. 2 here, please. Thank you.

MR. DANA TIZYA-TRAMM: (Indigenous word.)

I'll be as quick as possible. I'm here to address some of
the things that were said and make some more requests.

As I said, I'm a living library of information, as I've studied with biologists, as well as talking to elders who can tell you where to find wooly whole mammoth bones where the giant beavers lived. And I'll just say that there is a couple of inconvenient things here that I'll point out, the elephant in the room.

All those people that are for development and all those people that spoke here tonight know that these words are most certainly not disrespectful, as we are brothers and we must work together. And I understand that you want the oil and I understand that you feel that you need it.

But let me tell you something, is that not one person has offered any way in which they are going to address the human rights issue, the one that the government is party

to with the U.N. declaration of rights, how they are going to address our subsistence rights issues. And as the first peoples of a continent that have struggled to express themselves for millennia and under these new systems, I find that very problematic. Even some senators, leaders in this room, not addressing human rights, which is a fundament that becomes -- predecesses [sic] oil. That's the very reason that we are here.

And if we're trying to have these fundamental conversations, then maybe this isn't about oil and gas.

Maybe we should reconceptualize this a little bit clearer on indigenous rights.

When are our people going to be seen as a people, treated as a people and heard as a people? My people are still recovering from intergenerational trauma, which we now know even metastasizes in genes. And as we're doing this, we constantly have to defend our land and water. That's something that simple.

But let me bring you to this. Come to my community in a village of 250 people where we've undertaken the largest solar energy project in the Arctic north, where we will be completely off diesel fuel from early March to September on sunny days.

Do we have diesel generators? Yes. And as the gentleman had alluded to and other leaders who have even

said that we have 227 wells on the Canadian side is simply not true. There has been development from the '50s without our consultation where they went around our land drilling, which is what led to the 1988 gathering over protection of caribou, and here we are again today because planes were flying into our territory without asking us. And this is another generation that comes and speaks the exact same words.

Also the fellow did not mention the city council resolution from the community of Kaktovik that is against development. Also not mentioned was a letter written to the Energy, Mines, and Senate Committee from Robert Thompson that said that the corporations are hijacking the public voice and that they don't -- they do not support this en masse. This is a very delicate balance here, and it needs to be struck. So I very much recommend that the BLM takes into account the resolutions. Not only that, how this fits into the greenhouse ceiling and how this is going to navigate climate issues as well as human rights issues.

Again, thank you for your time. I appreciate it.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Our next speaker will be
No. 27.

MS. DEANTHA CROCKETT: Thank you. Good evening. My name is Deantha Crockett, and I'm the

Executive Director of the Alaska Miners Association, and I appreciate the opportunity to express support for the coastal plain lease sales.

AMA is a membership trade organization established in 1939 to represent the mining industry in Alaska. Our members include individual prospectors, geologists, engineers, suction dredge miners, small family mines, large mines, Alaska Native corporations, and the contracting sector that supports our mining industry.

While the mission of AMA is to promote responsible minerals development in Alaska, we are here today in support of lease sales on the coastal plain because we believe it's good policy for all of Alaska and the people that depend on our state's resource economy.

A healthy oil and gas industry is crucial for a healthy mining industry, and it's our belief that it's vital for Alaska to have an oil and gas policy that incentivizes the industry to invest in our state.

An environmental impact statement will consider and analyze potential environmental impacts, including human rights issues, of various leasing alternatives, so it only makes sense to perform these analyses and determine the best route forward. In this process, lease stipulations and best management practices will be applied to leases and associated activity to properly balance development

with existing uses and to limit the footprint of facilities on federal lands. A lease sale is the first in a very long process and does not guarantee development.

What is absolutely critical to Alaska's miners is that this area that's considered for leasing was specifically set aside in ANILCA for future oil and gas development. ANILCA is a compromise and a promise that for land designated as open for development, proponents will be allowed to do so as long as they can meet the requirements under federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations.

Alaska's resource industries have an impressive track record of responsible development and have continued to make strides to reduce its footprint. For these reasons, AMA urges you to allow access to the world-class resources within just a tiny fraction of the coastal plain.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Great. Thank you. If you have your testimony, if we can grab it, that helps her get her record straight.

Okay. No. 28.

MR. ANDY MODEROW: Thanks for the opportunity to testify. My name is Andy Moderow, and I've lived here in Anchorage my whole life. I grew up exploring many of the remote corners of our state by dog

team, and even had the good fortune to run Iditarod in 2001. And I will make no mistake about it. I have been to the Arctic Refuge twice sent then, and we are talking about one of the wildest corners left in our state, and we need to keep it that way.

I'm here testifying as State Director for Alaska Wilderness League. We have 5,000 active members in the state and 100,000 around the country. In short, we are testifying here today in a needlessly rushed process where the Trump Administration is continuing to cut corners following Congress, who cut corners and snuck in two pages into a tax bill, and that two pages authorizes oil and gas leasing on the coastal plain. What Congress did was sloppy and what continues today is needlessly rushed and sloppy, as well.

I get a little solace out of that of that sloppy process, though, because I don't think our democracy is going to let this stand. I think that the two pages won't determine what the future of the Arctic Refuge will be. And as this process moves forward, it's critical that the multiple laws that govern the Arctic Refuge management are reviewed and thoroughly gone through, and that includes ANILCA, NEPA, the National Historic Preservation Act, state, local and federal laws and regulations, management guidelines that agencies have that are internal,

management plans, treaties, government-to-government conversations that I know are forthcoming.

And it's from that that I think that our democracy is going to move past it and future administrations and future congresses will undo what we are seeing here today.

Make no mistake about it. There should not be drilling on the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. We should learn from the thousands of years of history of the Gwich'in Nation that has been spoken so eloquently about tonight. We should accept that challenge today to imagine a future of the state not defined by a decade of profit, which is what the tax bill did, but instead 100 times longer of what our next thousand years look like.

Thanks for the opportunity to testify.

MR. DAVID BATTS: No. 29.

MR. MICHAEL JESPERSON: Hello. I'm
Michael Jesperson, J-E-S-person. I'm here testifying on
behalf of myself and my family. My wife and I have three
children ranging from college to elementary school. In
1980 when the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation
Act set aside the 1002 area, I was in middle school and
didn't care anything about the world except for how far I
could run that night at track practice. Now that I have
three kids, I care about a lot of things. I need the

world to be here for them and future grandchildren some
day when I'm really old. But they also need to have jobs.

There are people in the room tonight and on TV that tell you you have to choose between animals and oil, between natural resources and animals. They are all natural resources. You don't have to choose. You can have both. If you do it properly, there is no reason that people can't hunt and fish and people can have oil. And the oil produces jobs, jobs that will keep my kids in Alaska instead of thousands of miles away.

Please, let this process go forward as it's set up. The leasing is just one step. It's still going to be 20 years before anything is drilled up there. Let the process go. Please.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. We will go with this next speaker, then we'll take a break.

MS. DEBBIE MILLER: I'll be submitting written testimony, so you don't have to worry so much about catching every word.

COURT REPORTER: I do. It's my job.

MS. DEBBIE MILLER: Thank you so much for coming and listening to all these wonderful people tonight. We appreciate that. My name is Debbie Miller.

25 I've lived in Alaska for 43 years. I started out in

Arctic Village as a teacher there. And I feel -- still to this day I feel honored to live with these people and learn about their traditional culture, their way of life and how profoundly bound they are to the caribou, seeing them going out on the hunts, camping with them on hunting trips, watching people with their spotting scope looking for the first caribou to come back by the village and letting those caribou go by because that was the tradition. If you shot the first ones, they would turn around and go away.

Over the years I have been very fortunate. I've traveled extensively through the Arctic Refuge and written many books about the Arctic and the wildlife and the natural history of the area. I'm going to leave one with you to read on the airplane, a book called Midnight Wilderness, which has a picture of the Porcupine caribou herd on the cover in the 1002 area so you can see what this area looks like and how special it is.

Right now at this very moment as we are sitting here, the caribou, the Porcupine herd, 200,000 animals, are migrating through the snow -- patchy snow right now. It's melting -- through the Brooks Range, around the Brooks Range, following the valleys, following the rivers, going to the coastal plain.

In the next two weeks, approximately 50,000 calves

will be born. These are pregnant cows that are walking thousands of miles each year, and they have come to this coastal plain, some scientists believe, for two million years. The ancestors of these caribou have journeyed to this place. You might ask why. Few predators when you get out on the coastal plain. It's wedged between the mountains and the sea. And so the farther you get away from the mountains, the fewer predators. Insect relief habitat when the mosquitoes get bad. Many good reasons. Forage, plant life. It's a nursery for these animals.

And the 2,000-acre myth makes no sense, because if you have a box of Legos it's a very small box, but if you open it and you put those Legos all over the floor in this room, you can imagine what it looks like.

The 2,000 acres that have been proposed are not consolidated. You can have a few acres here and a few acres there, structures, pipelines going all the way across the coastal plain an infrastructure that would destroy the Wildlife Refuge and the wilderness values, and that's the primary purpose of why we have the refuge is for the wilderness, the wildlife and the recreational values and to protect subsistence.

These are the purposes that are violated by the tax bill new purpose that allows for oil and gas on the coastal plain. That purpose is a problem, that new

purpose, because it is not compatible with why we have this beautiful wildlife-rich refuge.

Thank you very much, and I'll give you the book.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Okay. We are going to take a short break. So it's 8:05 right now, so if we just come back in about five minutes, we will get started again. And if you have cards No. 31 through 40, 31 through 40, please come down and see Chad and Molly, and we'll get you lined up and ready to go.

(A break was taken.)

MR. DAVID BATTS: We are going to get started again. Please come on up so we can get you seated.

Okay. It is just a quick time check. It's about ten after 8:00, a little bit more. Again, this venue closes at 10:00, and we have to have everything broken down and taken out. The security does leave at 9:30, and they request to sweep the building before they leave. So we're going to work as efficiently as we can here to get as many comments in. And I'll give you a little status update as we move forward with this next group.

We're going to go with No. 31 on microphone No. 1. Thank you.

MS. PANGANGA PUNGOWIYI: Hi. My name is Panganga Pungowiyi, and I represent my ancestors and the

next seven generations.

I come from an island that was once populated up to an estimate of over 10,000 people. Upon the depletion of the whale population, 99 percent of our population was eradicated, over 9,000 human beings on one island killed for economic gain.

The driving motivation behind European whale harvest was oil and baleen. As a result, in the late 1800s we witnessed the collapse of the sea mammal population, which caused the famine.

During the colonization process in Alaska, it is estimated that between 75 and 99 percent of the indigenous population died. The process of colonizing our land and people continues to this day.

I was given the honor of sitting in the audience of Ricky Tagaban recently who said words that resonated so well that I carry them with me, that the first step of colonization is always genocide. When this does not work, the next step is always assimilation.

Genocide and assimilation continue today, covert genocide by institutional racism, symbolic annihilation, and ongoing historical trauma grief with no acknowledgement or support to heal.

The pursuit of resources on current and historic indigenous land is genocide. The stifling of woke

indigenous voices and the uplifting of internally 1 oppressed voices who have justified the unigenerational 2 comfort of compromise is continued genocide. I stand in 3 the strength of seven generations behind and seven 4 5 generations forward, and with the love for my fellow human beings on the panel to say we care for you and we are 6 7 correcting you because we care for you. If I did not care 8 for your humanity and for your ancestors and children, I 9 would not speak to you. Please care for mine.

MR. DAVID BATTS: We are missing No. 32. Does anybody have card No. 32?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DARLA MUNGUIA: It's not so ironic to me that where oil corporations seek oil they most likely find it, and once they find it -- science is the study of nature. Science is also the mockery of nature. Science will never exceed beyond the capacity of what nature can do. The ones in power have portrayed that. But within time, we have seen the side effects. Once you take nature away from herself, she no longer has the same attributes, and it's never for the good, basically turning natural resources into toxins. So it's natural resources for the chemically dependent or for the indigenous. You are the ones who oppress power to use it to see how you see fit; yet you have not made a way for it to be fair.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Speaker No. 33.

MS. CHARLENE APOK: (Speaking in Inupiaq.)

Uvanja Charlene Apok. Inupiaqsisga Aqpik. uvva uvva igniga

Evan Lukluan. My name is Charlene Apok. My Inupiaq name

is Aqpik. Over there is my son, Evan Lukluan. I am from

5 the Inupiag Nation.

I stand here in solidarity with Gwich'in Nation. The health of the people is directly tied to the health of the land. I want to take this time to call attention to the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous People because the Gwich'in people are a sovereign nation. Inupian tribes are also sovereign nations.

To be sovereign and to have human rights is an inherent right. It is not given.

I specifically want to draw to Articles 24, 25 and 26. Article 24 of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous People, which the United Nations -- which the United States did join sides with states, that: Indigenous peoples have the right to their traditional medicines and to maintain their home practices, including the conservation of their vital medicinal plants, animals, such as the caribou, and minerals.

Article 25 states: Indigenous peoples have the right to maintain and strengthen their distinctive spiritual relationship with their traditionally owned or otherwise occupied and used lands, territories, waters and coastal

seas and other resources to uphold their responsibilities to future generations in this regard.

Article 26: Indigenous peoples have the right to the lands, territories, and resources which they have traditionally owned, occupied or otherwise used or acquired.

These are just three articles that the indigenous people, such as the Gwich'in Nation and Inupiaq people, have inherent rights, human rights to.

One of the purposes of this gathering is to create an environmental impact statement, but I call for you to consider, what about a cultural impact statement? What about a health impact statement? The Yakutia people in Russia have insisted on the same thing because of their caribou have been impacted by oil development.

For Alaska Native people who support this drilling, who support this exploration, who support development, please listen. Please think about, who are we without our land and animals? Who are we as Alaska Native people without our connection to the lands, the waters and the animals? We will cease to exist as a people if this moves forward.

Thank you. Quayanaq.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Speaker No. 34. We are missing cards 37 and 39.

REP. CHARISSE MILLET: Thank you. Good
evening, and thank you for being here. My name is

3 Charisse Millet. I'm Inupiaq. I'm also a State

forward.

4 Representative. I represent House District 25 here in

5 Anchorage. I have been in the legislature for ten years.

What I'd like to say tonight, this is a long process, the first step in leasing in the area 1002. I've spent a lot of time down in Washington, D.C. advocating for opening the area 1002. It has great potential, as you have heard tonight. I've also worked and talked to folks that have been very happy and encouraged by the development under the Trump Administration about opening up domestic energy supplies. I think this is a good step

As I've worked in the legislature, I've watched and seen the improvements that have happened between industry and stakeholders. In the area and the regions that have been developed, the footprint is much smaller. The technology is so fast-moving, and expanding the footprint has been reduced in oil and gas drilling. I believe, as we move forward, ANWR has the greatest potential for not only domestic energy, but also for regional energy throughout the state of Alaska. We are energy rich, but also energy anemic in some of our more remote villages that rely on diesel to make their communities work, and

it's expensive. My dream, of course, is to have some day
have natural gas to all of Alaska, and we are working
through that process now.

But area 1002 has been set aside. It was part of ANILCA. It was part of a promise to Alaska as a resource state to put that aside for potential drilling. I'm hopeful so see that happen.

Thank you all for being here, and I definitely support moving the process forward and exploring 1002 and go through the leasing process. Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. We will move on to No. 35. And again, if you have cards 37 or 39, please come forward.

MR. ROMAN DIAL: Hi. My name is Roman Dial, and I'm not an oil worker and I'm not a wilderness guide. I'm not a professional conservationist. I'm not Gwich'in. I'm not Inupiaq. I'm a 40-year resident, a husband, a father, and I represent myself and my wife. She said I could speak for her, too.

I have visited the refuge seven times in the last 30 years, and each time I went to the 1002 area. I skied across it from east to west, took three weeks and froze a bottle of whiskey. Once two of us rode our mountain bikes from Kaktovik to Arctic Village. We got in trouble for that.

The most memorable trip for me, though, was when I was having sort of a hard time in my marriage, and my wife and I went up there with our kids. They were ten and eight, and we kind of worked it all out watching the caribou calve there on the 1002 area and walked back to Kaktovik. Our marriage held together. I'd like to think that that time as a family together in the wilderness helped our family to stay strong.

I'm concerned about wildness as a resource. We have plenty of oil and plenty of greed. We have got a lot of hands out to go around. Why do we need more? So the people who leave when the oil is gone can stick around a little longer?

It's really impossible to overstate the future value of the Arctic Refuge. It's complete. It's intact. It's pure. It's like virginity. Once it's gone it's really gone.

Sure, I use oil. I occasionally have sex. But just because I have sex doesn't mean I condone rape. The oil pad will only have, somebody said, like one-one hundredth of a percent of the Arctic Refuge. Well, you know, would a father sell that one one-hundredth of a percent of his daughter's skin that would command the highest price? I hope not.

Oil is plentiful. It's cheap. Wildness is neither.

1 It's going fast. That's the resource that concerns me.

2 That's the resource that I feel we need to keep for our

3 children's children. The availability of

4 wildness to Americans should be added as an amendment to

the Constitution. Wildness is as American as freedom of

6 speech, as freedom of religion, as the freedom to bear

7 arms. This tax bill add-on, it feels really unAmerican to

8 me.

5

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would like to go on record as against oil development in 1002.

Thanks for your time.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Okay. We are going to go to No. 36.

MS. SUSAN CULLINEY: My name is Susan Culliney. I work as the Policy Director for Audubon, Alaska, which is the state office for the National Audubon Society, which has about 400,000 members nationwide and over 4,000 here in Alaska.

Audubon is strongly opposed to oil and gas development in the Arctic Refuge. The 1002 area of the refuge is an important bird area for 14 species, including golden eagle and red-throated loon and is globally significant for several shorebirds, including the American golden plover.

The Arctic Refuge is also an excellent example of a

wholly connected and intact Arctic ecosystem, consisting of boreal forest, mountains, foothills, coastal plain and lagoons and islands. The coastal plain, more broadly, is an ecoregion of vibrant and ecologically unique habitat type critical to Arctic wildlife species, and it serves as a nursery for birds that fly from areas around the globe.

The only place that the coastal plain ecoregion can be found in the United States is in Alaska in the Arctic between the Brooks Range mountains and the Arctic Ocean, spanning from the coast of the Chukchi Sea in the west and going east through the NPR-A and Prudhoe Bay and into the coastal plain of the refuge. So the coastal plain contained within the Arctic Refuge is only 5 percent of that entire coastal plain found in Alaska. And development has occurred for decades on the coastal plain outside the refuge and has resulted in ecological damage, the long-term impacts of which is not yet known.

There should be places on the coastal plain that we as a nation leave undeveloped, and the Arctic Refuge is one. These undeveloped places leave space for humans and wildlife to adapt to coming changes in climate, and these places act as scientific baselines, meaning we can compare these undeveloped places to developed areas to better understand what the impacts are over the long run. So this issue of risking the scientific baseline and risking

this area of climate resiliency, these are just two of the many issues that the agency must grapple with in this upcoming EIS.

And I want to be clear that Audubon is opposed to oil and gas development in the refuge, but we are also very concerned with the rushed process that the government is using to get there. We urge the agency to slow down, fully consider the impacts which we believe in the end indicate that oil and gas development does not belong in the refuge.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Go over to No. 37. And we are missing No. 40. If you have No. 40, please come down and see Chad.

MS. JULIE RAYMOND-YAKOUBIAN: My name is Julie Raymond-Yakoubian. I live in Girdwood, Alaska. I have spent a lot of time thinking, talking and writing about the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, including the proposed lease sale area. I'm very fortunate to have been to the coastal plain of the refuge, specifically in the 1002 area proposed for the oil and gas leasing. I've also written a master's degree thesis about the Arctic Refuge and the reasons why it is important to and valued by so many diverse groups of Americans.

I'm opposed to the proposed leasing in the coastal

plain of the Arctic Refuge. I personally value the coastal plain of the refuge as it is and for what it is. It is a wild place that has mostly only seen the light touch of humans. It is calving grounds for the Porcupine caribou herd. It is a bird sanctuary and nesting ground. It is an idea. It is a symbol. It is a cultural landscape that provides for indigenous communities. It is a landscape inbued with spirituality. And it is many

other things to many people.

As part of your process, there are many things that you need to fully and meaningfully consider. For example, climate change is a reality and the effects of it can already be felt and seen across Arctic Alaska. Our country and our state need to be moving away from fossil fuels, not further entrenching ourselves in our dependence on them. This lease sale would do nothing to get us towards sustainable energy independence.

I'm also assuming, or rather hoping, that formal government-to-government tribal consultation has already begun with all potentially impacted tribes. This work should be prioritized in both your timelines and your decisionmaking.

Related to tribal consultation, but also independent of it, I expect that the environmental justice portion of your EIS will be quite substantial and will involve tribal

governments as well as a variety of anthropologists,
social scientists and others that are experts on these
issues.

There needs to be substantial and meaningful discussion of the values that Americans place on the refuge and on the coastal plain. This should happen in your discussion of the human environment and sociocultural impacts from proposed leasing.

The coastal plain has numerous documented and undocumented archeological and historic sites, and impacts to those needs to be assessed under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

The entire coastal plain of the refuge could also be considered a traditional cultural landscape of the Gwich'in Nation. An analysis of the area through framework should be explicitly included in your work.

I don't envy you or your colleagues being the agency and individuals that may become responsible for the defilement of a biologically, historically and culturally important sacred landscape, and I encourage you to take steps to prevent that from happening.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. We will go to No. 38. We're still missing cards 39 and 40. Please come down if you have those numbers.

Sir.

MR. BRENDEN RAYMOND-YAKOUBIAN: Hello. My name is Brenden Raymond-Yakoubian. I live in Girdwood, Alaska.

I am speaking here against any leasing for oil and gas in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, as well as any form of development in the Refuge. I have been to the coastal plain of the Arctic Refuge, and I want to speak here about the importance of the Refuge as wilderness and what that concept means to me.

Taking steps towards developing any part of the Arctic Refuge would be a choice, and it would be a wrong choice. That wrong choice is also a symptom of a broader wrong turn we have taken on this planet.

Oil and gas development on the coastal plain will degrade the wilderness of the refuge, both de facto and designated. Wilderness, in both its concrete and abstract senses, lies, I believe, at the center of the soul of all things. It is the freedom at the heart of not only the world we live in, but within ourselves, as well. It is a birthright we had on this planet which we have largely forsaken. We see this in the way we have alienated ourselves from nature and from each other, in the perverse scaffolding we have erected around us which requires competitive and violent relationships with people and the environment.

We see this perhaps most clearly in the constant assault on subsistence and on the wilderness of our planet. With the Arctic Refuge, we have a chance not only to make a choice to not forsake one of the most important remaining special places in this world which embodies the wilderness that is fundamental to the environment we were born into, but also to not forsake that same wilderness that also lies inside of ourselves and that seems to move further and further from the grasp of most human experience as each day goes on. We should choose wilderness.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Again, if you have your testimony, it does help our court reporter capture your input more accurately.

Okay. If we do not have 39 or 40, we're going to call down Nos. 41 through 50. If you could come down, we will get you situated.

Sir, are you No. 41.

MR. LAWRENCE MOONEY: Yes, sir.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Wonderful. Go ahead and start, please.

MR. LAWRENCE MOONEY: Hi. Thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Lawrence Mooney, and I am the president of Laborers Local 341.

The reason why I'm here tonight is, our union is a pretty diversified union. We have 500 nurses at Alaska Regional Hospital. We represent construction workers here in Anchorage and all over the state, and we also represent oil and gas workers down in Valdez and as far as Glennallen. We actually represent the people that put these chairs out here tonight that work here in this establishment. So we are pretty diversified.

Now, the 2,000 members of Laborers Local 341 have no beef with any of the wildlife or any of the people that live on the North Slope. The reason why I'm here to speak on their behalf is because we need jobs. The state that we are in right now, I can give you all the statistics and bullet points, but some of them are pretty negative.

We are pretty close to the top on quite a few negative issues: Suicide, domestic violence, alcoholism and related crimes. Right now I think Anchorage is number one or close to number one in the country for crime. We have a lot of problems here. If you go out and you look at the mountains, it's beautiful, but there is a lot of stuff that's here that needs to be fixed. And what's going to fix it is revenue that comes from oil.

I understand these people's plight, to some degree.

I can't say I totally understand it because I don't walk
in their shoes. But I do understand that this is my

backyard and I don't want this there, but unfortunately,
there is a bigger picture.

The bigger picture that's out there, this state is two and a half times the size of Texas. It's 264 times the size of Delaware. It has a population of less than 750,000 people. There is wilderness in Alaska. If you take a look at the map, we have three roads. One goes to the North Slope, one goes fishing, and one goes to Canada. That's the -- that's the development that we have here.

I personally have worked on the North Slope, and I've worked in Valdez, and I know responsive -- responsible development. Let me give you some examples. I worked on a job where a loader, a piece of equipment, did not move for two months because seagulls had nested on the roof overnight. Don't move it. It stays there. Do not disturb the birds or the wildlife.

I have worked on a pipeline where there was rust on it and it was sandblasted. We used to joke about the rice and ice crew because that's what it was sandblasted with. It was dry ice and rice. So nobody would use sand to sandblast it.

The point I'm trying to make here is that it can be done and it has been done responsibly. Please take a look at the statistics and get yourself an educated opinion about what's exactly at stake here. Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. We are missing cards No. 42 and 50, 43 and 49. Anybody have the cards? Which one are you?

MS. KRISTI WILLIAMS: 42.

MR. DAVID BATTS: 42? Okay. Please give it to him.

If you have a blue card, go see Molly. White cards, go see Chad.

No. 42, please.

MS. KRISTI WILLIAMS: Good afternoon.

Thank you for being here. My name is Kristi Williams, and I'm here today to speak on behalf of myself and my family.

My son and I are both tribal members of the Gwichyaa

Gwich'in tribal government of Fort Yukon. I'm the first

Gwich'in tribal attorney, first licensed attorney. And

I'm proud to say I was born in Fairbanks, Alaska and I'm an Alaskan. I live in Anchorage now.

The President and the Interior Secretary have repeatedly stressed a commitment to making America energy dominant. It has been reported that almost 40 percent of employers in the oil and gas industry plan to increase their workforce by at least 5 percent in the coming years to expand production. So let's assume that along with that goal of energy dominance, the administration also hopes to create jobs. What kind of future jobs are we

looking at with ANWR development? You are right. We do need jobs.

The petroleum industry supports a third of all jobs in Alaska, but it's no secret that extracting oil is dangerous. Whether it's done onshore or offshore, the dangers are real. An accident on a drill rig can quickly escalate into a fatality.

According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the fatality rate for oil and gas extractive industries is seven times higher than other fields. In fact, in 2016, 20 workers per month were hospitalized or lost a body part on the job.

But let's make this about Alaska. Near the peak of Alaska's oil production, a 24-year-old man was checking mud pump hours on a tiger tank when he was asphyxiated by nitrogen and fell into the tank. He was found drowned in a pool of waste oil at the bottom of that tank. He died on Doyon drilling rig No. 15 on Endicott Island, a manmade gravel island in Prudhoe Bay. There were no safety bars on the tank. He left behind a three-year-old daughter. Troy Williams. He was a Gwich'in man, an Alaskan, and he was my brother.

But Troy wasn't the only Gwich'in man to die on Doyon rig 15. A 56-year-old man named David James died in April 2012. He was killed at midnight on manmade Spy Island.

David died due to crushing injuries he received that were caused by a pipe elevator falling on him. The OSHA report listed the penalty for that death at just \$6,300.

Now, you might have the impression that I'm opposed to oil development. Well, I'm not. I support responsible balanced resource development, but I also support safety in our workplace. I think that we can be more creative in our jobs that we create for our economy and Alaska and for our children. I don't want my child going to the North Slope, and I'm sure you can understand why.

I can't help but think that the NPR-A is right next door. Why are we opening up this pristine land in ANWR when we have NPR-A right next door? According to a 2017 Petroleum News article, the Prudhoe Bay wells are still productive. And with all of the recoverable oil on the North Slope, some estimating at five billion barrels or more, that's a 14 percent increase in U.S. proven reserves.

So I'll just say again, the Alaska Native tribal health system produces as many jobs in Alaska as the oil and gas industry, more even. That was a current report done by the Alaska Native Health Board.

We can have jobs in Alaska that don't rely on oil and gas development, and I hope that the BLM will consider that.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. No. 43.

to hear our comments.

MS. KACEY HOPSON: (Speaking in Inupiaq.)

I said, hello, my name is (Inupaiq word) Kacey Hopson. My family comes from the village of Wainwright, Alaska on the North Slope of he Alaska. Thank you for being here today

I am here to say that this is not just a debate about protecting animals. This is not a debate between jobs and animals or wildlife. This is much bigger. This is about -- this is about the identity and the future of our country. This is about the kind of country America wants to be. We have a history of making treaties and breaking them again and again and again with the indigenous peoples of this continent. I don't need to read them to you. They are readily available for research.

Broken when abiding by them was too inconvenient and when it didn't align with the needs of Congress.

Indigenous peoples have been on the receiving end of the forcible theft of land, life, language, our entire existence. And I do not exaggerate.

This history has taught us, those of us who have been on the receiving end of this betrayal again and again, not to trust these processes. We know that promises are too often empty and broken just as easily as they are made.

Treaties are no longer made, of course. Now we have

processes like this and convention centers like this. But that mistrust is still there. That history is there and reparations have not been made.

Now, if you are going to come into communities like mine, you need to be aware of this mistrust. You need to be aware of this history. That Congress slipped this topic into a tax bill is testament that this process is being rushed.

If this development is going to move forward, I challenge you to think deeply, seriously, earnestly about this history, our history as a country. What will you do to put a stop to this history? What will you do to meaningfully address the human rights issues that have been raised today? What will you do to honor the concerns that have been raised and what tangible, meaningful steps will you take?

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Move over to 44.

MS. ANN RAPPOPORT: Thank you for this
opportunity. My name is Ann Rappoport. I retired from
the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service as a supervisory
biologist where my 33-year included nine years
implementing Section 1002 of ANILCA. This included
monitoring the carefully planned 1983-85 seismic and

surface geology exploration program, public meetings and

affected villages, and leading the environmental assessment team for the EIS.

More recently, while with a national non-profit organization, I worked with the Bureau of Land Management on their Regional Mitigation Strategy for oil development in the NPR-A, attending multiday public meetings in Fairbanks and Utqiagvik.

Neither the biological importance nor the geology of the refuge coastal plain have changed since the 1980s studies. But in a clause on an unrelated bill and without careful debate Congress recently passed legislation to open the coastal plain.

Others have spoken to the diverse, numerous and international species for whom the coastal plain is an essential habitat. You've also heard about the importance of this place to the Native Alaskans, including the Gwich'in who have called this place home and who have subsisted off these renewable resources for thousands of years. All these resources have been protected under the purposes of the Arctic Refuge as initially established nearly 60 years ago and updated in the 1980 Alaska Lands Act. Then, after extensive analysis in 2015, the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service recommended a wilderness designation here.

Changes throughout the North Slope over the past

several decades make it even more imperative for BLM to not rush into an irreversible development program. These include: One, adjacent State lands to the west are now crossed by an extensive infrastructure spider web and activities of oil and gas production; two, on the BLM-managed NPR-A, drilling and leasing with some initial production extends west from State lands.

Three, people of Nuiqsut did not worry when Prudhoe
Bay developments were 70 miles away, but now that drilling
is within sight and sound, they are concerned about asthma
their children suffer, cancer rates, and decreasing
availability of subsistence resources; and four, our
warming climate is exacerbating all these changes, and at
a faster and faster rate.

Polar bears spend more time on land and have greater difficulties finding food. Invasive species are moving north. Freeze-up is later and snowmelt earlier, shortening the period when ice roads and winter exploration can occur without damaging the tundra. Coastal erosion, melting permafrost, and the changes in rivers freezing and thawing all affect the ability of Kaktovik, Arctic Village, and other North Slope residents to safely travel and obtain subsistence.

Mitigation of climate change will only come from slow down of oil and gas production and use and transition to

clean, renewable energy resources. This extensive development on State lands and development opportunities now extending into NPR-A together cover about two-thirds of the North Slope, leaving the coastal plain of the Arctic Refuge as the last undisturbed wildlife and subsistence Arctic ecosystem and migratory corridor within the North Slope of Alaska and the United States.

For the 1987 EIS, I was a witness to how appointed officials at the highest levels influenced and modified the recommendations from the field. If the recommendation is changed as this process moves up the decision ladder, it should be transparent so that all Americans can weigh in on the future of this important national treasure.

There must be no action alternative because there is no alternative for effective litigation for the fish, wildlife, habitats and subsistence users of the Arctic Refuge coastal plain if oil and gas development proceeds. I recommend that BLM slow down, properly consider and analyze all the issues. The conclusion must be selection of a no action or no leasing alternative.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: No. 45.

MS. SARA THOMAS: (Speaking in Inupiaq.)

Good evening. My name is Sara Thomas and I am an

Utqiagvik resident. I am here tonight because I happened

to be heading down to California for the celebration of life of my grandmother. I wasn't able to go to the meeting in Utqiagvik, like many, many other people in our community. The meeting did happen during whaling. We were -- it's a very busy time in our community, as you probably know.

My grandmother died from cancer. She fought hard.

For me, this issue is -- this is the easy path. This

lifestyle that we have become accustomed to, this is the

easy way, and it's not the best way. This is cancer,

cancer in our -- you know, fracking -- what the effects

are of that fracking, of putting those chemicals deep into

the earth. I've heard that the earthquake -- that Nuiqsut

had its first earthquake in memorable history.

For me, this impact of this continuation of this easy lifestyle, it's not worth it. My family, my husband is an Inupiaq. My kids -- I'm a mother of five children that I'm rising in Utqiagvik. They -- we live on subsistence foods. We -- we are learning Inupiaq language. We are fighting to hold onto this culture that is so beautiful, so amazing. And it is in direct conflict with this type of action.

My parents are wildlife biologists for the North Slope Borough, and I'm well aware of the impacts on the caribou, on the Porcupine herd.

I'm standing here today in solidarity with the Gwich'in people, with our neighbors over there to the east, with our many, many people in my community and on the North Slope that are not -- my kids are ASRC and they are not pro drilling in ANWR.

You know, money is confusing for people. I know. I worked at Shell for a very short time, and I saw it's very confusing for people. No amount of money will be worth the loss of the Porcupine caribou herd. No amount of money is worth any person who has died from cancer. ANWR is not the answer to America's problems. The easy way is not the best way.

Thank you.

14 MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Okay.

No. 46, please.

MS. SU CHON: Hi. My name is Su Chon, and I'm here representing myself as an individual. I lived here my whole life. I'm a born-and-raised Alaskan. And to me, this is not just a territory or a state. This is home.

And today you have heard the environmental, social, personal, spiritual and economic arguments, and I hope that you will be thorough about incorporating these comments into your decisionmaking process. I hope you understand that this is a multifaceted issue; economic,

environmental and, most of all, it's a human rights issue.

My heart stands with the Gwich'in people. And even though

I may not be indigenous, I understand the importance of
the voices needing to be heard unbiased from corporate

manipulation.

And like the elder who had testified earlier, she made a point that many indigenous people don't have English as their first language. And that brings the question, how accessible is the process being made so that indigenous people are able to be informed and give solid input on the process.

The traditional wisdom that indigenous people have is a resource, and that is critical to preserve for the future. And I hope that you will do a thorough study for this EIS.

You know, I've seen the Pebble Mine EIS and to me it appeared very inadequate. And if there are people who are handing out fact sheets at these public comment hearings, then I think it points to an inadequacy that -- on the research being done and that a decision isn't ready to be made.

There is lives that depend on this and, you know, a lot of the arguments that I hear for development want to advertise new technology as being foolproof. But that's still no guarantee. Is the risk really worth it? Does

the mitigation plan really solve the problem? If there are accounts being told about the fish and caribou stocks changing for the worse, then it needs to be thoroughly investigated. It's not enough to raise it off as just being a count. There needs to be studies being done on it. And there are economic arguments known that are being made. We know that oil is a finite resource.

Let's look at the bigger picture. What will carry us through? The fickle oil economy? I don't think so.

So I stand here today to advocate for a thorough EIS.

Please investigate also the health impacts. These industrial sites don't end with the lifetime of the project. Many of the toxic chemicals used in the process stick around. The last testifier mentioned that this is cancer. It is cancer because a lot of those chemicals are highly toxic. Only 5 to 10 percent of childhood cancers are attributable to inherited genetics.

So I think that's something that we need to look at, you know, what are the public health impacts? What are the social impacts? Cultural, health, food security. And what are the direct impacts? It's been mentioned tourism, climate change. This project -- opening up the 1002 area is setting a precedent for other projects. And also the long-term economic impacts, so not only how much is this -- how much is the future, you know, project in the

1 1002 area going to bring, but also comparing that to
2 tourism for a much longer period of time or fishing, or
3 all those other things.

No. 47.

Please take all those long-term economic impacts into account, those indirect impacts, the social impacts and the [indiscernible] impacts.

And I just also want to stress that the no action alternative, as the other lady had mentioned, is a real alternative, so please consider that. I think like many of the arguments have been made, that really is the only alternative to go with. So I really hope that you will take these accounts into serious consideration. Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: So we are on the last few cards. So I think we have four more speakers, and I believe that's going to take us well beyond our 9:00 time. Is it okay if we just do all the speakers up here?

REP. CHRIS BIRCH: Good evening. My name is Chris Birch. I'm a professional engineer, and I'm also serving in the State Legislature as a representative for House District 26 in South Anchorage.

First of all, I want to thank you for your endurance and your patience and being available this evening. This is a remarkable effort. It is great to see the turnout

and the engagement of the public in this important process.

I'm here to speak in support of oil and gas leasing on the coastal plain. Alaska has a proven track record, a long-term track record from Swanson River to today in oil and gas development in our state.

This is really about jobs. It's about hope, and it's about opportunity. And what we are embarking on here is nothing to be afraid of. It's something where we can meet the challenge. When we look at the growth and the endurance of the caribou herds that exist in the Arctic, the Central Arctic and the Porcupine, I'm very familiar with this. This part of the world was home to our family.

I actually grew up in the Brooks Range near Chandalar Lake. That was home from the time -- late '50s till the early '70s. The Porcupine caribou herd actually made a substantial -- provided a substantial portion of our protein there. It's a very good subsistence there. And it was very positive. It was good family engagement and a very positive childhood and upbringing.

Oil and gas development has been the best thing that's ever happened to Alaska. When we look at the -- whether it's the communities we serve in, the schools that are supported -- and I can tell you from a legislative standpoint, when you start looking at -- whether it's the

Permanent Fund or the construction of the communities and the lifestyle we enjoy, it's largely been the result of resource development, management and competence.

And again, I think this -- you know, I look forward to that. I think we have a positive road ahead of us.

My first job in Alaska on the Slope was actually in 1968 with Colorado Oil and Gas. I worked up there. My son worked up there when he was in college. It's a safe environment. The oil industry has one of the safest track records in the country, and certainly in the world for producing oil and improving our economy in a responsible manner.

This effort and your initiative here is much appreciated by many, certainly in our community and our state, and I appreciate your efforts this evening and your patience in taking this testimony and this commentary.

Thank you very much.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Next up will be No. 48.

MR. BRADLEY WILLIAM HILL: Hello. My name is Bradley William Hill. I'm a lifelong Alaskan, and I grew up in Palmer on a farm. And for the last 25 years I've lived in Kenai and worked in the oil and gas fields there. I've also worked on the North Slope since 1988 off and on.

I've always been amazed, particularly on the Slope, every time I've visited the variety of wildlife in the established oil and gas fields there. It's amazing. And I certainly understand the people's ties to that land. One of my favorite places to recreate is on the Kenai Peninsula at Swanson River, whether it's hunting, fishing or just being there. It's fantastic. And of course, that was where gas -- oil was first discovered in Alaska.

This proposed oil and gas leasing program is important to the people of the state of Alaska in many ways. Let's talk about jobs. Many of the jobs in the petroleum industry are high-tech jobs: Engineering, safety and environmental professionals, electricians, computer information systems analysts, along with skilled labor positions. These jobs are important in a state where we are seeing people leave because these jobs have been going away.

According to the United States Energy Information

Administration, in 2017 the United States imported

approximately 7.9 million barrels per day of crude oil.

This is oil that we have and we can produce it responsibly and safely, and we can benefit from doing so.

The oil and gas companies and the many companies that support them currently working on the North Slope educate their workers in safety and environmental stewardship.

Environmental protection is quite literally a condition of employment. What that means for those of us working in the field is we have to learn, understand and use the procedures and equipment to prevent damage to the environment.

We do things that I have never seen before on any other job, simple things like using containment or catch basins under all parked vehicles and equipment, inspecting equipment for leaks every time before you use it, planning and executing your work to prevent a single drop of oil from hitting the ground. If an accident happens, it means reporting it immediately.

No harassing of any wildlife. That means you have to come to a complete stop on the road and let wildlife pass or wait until they do. All these things that we do are a condition of employment, and our employers enforce them with zero tolerance.

I'm proud to be an Alaskan oil field worker and I believe we can produce oil and gas in the coastal plain without causing harm.

And thank you for your time.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. No. 49.

MS. ROBIN MILLER: Hi. My name is Robin Miller, and I'm just speaking for myself. My mom is here tonight. She spoke as well, Debbie Miller, who wrote

Midnight Wilderness. And has been fighting since I have been born to keep this area protected and spent a lot of time there. My dad is actually out in a Supercub. He's a Bush pilot surveying the Porcupine caribou herd as we speak. Well, maybe not as we speak, but this morning he was. So I know a lot about that, as well.

They would take us up there for about a month every summer. And that's where I learned to walk, in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge and remember being surrounded by thousands of caribou just sitting on a rock walking all around me. They will do that with people, but not with infrastructure and a bunch of noise. That's really not possible.

I also -- Jimmy Carter came up there, the president Jimmy Carter, and met with my mom in the refuge, as well, to just see the area. And when he came up there, he was, like, couldn't imagine that there could ever be any drilling there. It just didn't make any sense to him.

In my mind it's simple. There is really no responsible way to drill there. That's the calving grounds for the Porcupine caribou herd, and they are not going to have their babies around all of this oil development and infrastructure and noise. It's so simple to me. I don't understand why it's a conversation, honestly. It's inherently going to affect them. And

threatening that caribou herd threatens the Gwich'in way of life and indigenous people. And I don't understand why we would risk that either.

The U.S. government needs to stop making the same mistake over and over again that we have done with indigenous people. We have done it for hundreds of years, and it's time to make things right and start making the right decisions and supporting indigenous people and their way of life. So I stand with the Gwich'in people and with the Porcupine caribou herd.

Thank you for your time.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. No. 50.

MS. CHRISTINA TALBOTT-CLARK: My name is Christina Talbott-Clark. I speak for myself. This is not my ancestor's land, and I do not have the authority to speak for the land that others here have. But Alaska is my home, and all those who call this land our home have the responsibility to respect it, to preserve it, and to protect it for our children, for our children's children and for generations to come.

Many tonight have mentioned Alaska's economic dependence on the oil industry and revenue. This is true. The majority of our state's revenue comes from the oil industry. But our state government's shortsighted refusal to diversify our economic sources is not your

- 1 responsibility. Wise stewardship is the Bureau's mandate.
- 2 Oil drilling in the Arctic Refuge is not wise stewardship.
- 3 It endangers the region, it endangers the global arctic,
- 4 and it perpetuates the global climate change that
- 5 endangers all of us.

18

- Tonight I ask you to fulfill your mandate to be good
 stewards of this land for the sake of those who have
 depended on it for generations, for the sake of those who
 depend on it today, for the sake of all of us and for
- 10 those who will come after us.

written comments.

- 11 MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. I realize 12 that there are some out there that still have not had a 13 chance to speak tonight. I do apologize that we do not have time to take your verbal comments. Our panelists 14 will stay around this evening if you would like to visit 15 16 with them and have some one-on-one conversations with 17 them. Again, we also encourage you to please submit your
- MR. JEFF CHEN: My name is Jeff Chen, and
 I didn't get a chance to speak tonight. I live in
 Anchorage. And I request further hearings in Anchorage.
- MR. GABRIEL TEGOSEAK: My name is Gabriel
 Tegoseak. I'm from Barrow. I live here in Anchorage.
- 24 And I also did not have time to speak tonight, and I
- request another hearing hear in Anchorage, as well.

MR. OLEKS LUSHCHYK: My name is Oleks

Lushchyk. I live in Anchorage, Alaska, and I did not get

a chance to speak earlier on. Thank you for having this

hearing, but I hope you can have another one so more

5 people get the chance to get their voices heard.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DAVID BATTS: So if you have a card, please tell your number so we can track it to your name so we can capture the spelling properly. And if not, if you could just please spell your name. When you come up, just give us your card number and please give us your name. Thank you.

MS. PAMELA A. MILLER: My name is Pamela I didn't have the A. Miller. My number is 58. opportunity to speak because I gave my time to the elder, but I do want to say when I was three years old I had these flash cards: Musk ox, wolf, vole, collared lemming, porcupine. You have heard about a porcupine. That's the porcupine animal. Least weasel, pika. I think the next one is beluga -- walrus and then beluga. About the They are shy, but curious. caribou it says: eyesight is fair, but they have a hearing and keen sense of smell. They are a good swimmer. They are found in great herds. They migrate. They snort when alarmed. Economic importance: In many areas of the north, humans need me and hides of caribou to survive.

I learned about this when I was three years old.

There is not a single picture of an animal in this room.

There is not a map that shows the disembodied coastal

plain of the refuge.

This is about a National Wildlife Refuge. We have no information in this process about what the refuge is about. So I wanted to say that as far as the process. I recall write written comments.

Thank you.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Sir.

MR. EVAN ANDERSON: My name is Evan

Anderson. I'm No. 84. I did not have a chance to testify
tonight, and I would like to request additional hearings
here in Anchorage, as well as additional hearings in all

North Slope villages so that folks can have the
opportunity to have their voices heard in this process.

MS. JESSICA THORNTON: My name is Jessica
Thornton. I am No. 88. And I didn't get a chance to
speak. I would like to request additional hearings,
hearings that -- where translators are provided. I think
it's absolutely shameful that there was no translator here
today. People should be able to speak and testify in
their own languages.

Thank you.

25 MS. MEGAN RESCHKE: My name is Megan

- 1 Reschke. I'm No. 59. I'm from Anchorage. I didn't have
- 2 the chance to testify today and would like to request
- 3 additional hearings.
- 4 MS. JAN BRONSON: My name is Jan Bronson.
- 5 I was card No. 54. I did not get a chance to speak,
- 6 either, and would like that chance. Please have another
- 7 hearing here in Anchorage.
- 8 MS. JOSEPHINE BEAVERS: My name is
- 9 Josephine Beavers. I oppose opening ANWR to drilling.
- 10 And I am card No. 83. And I think that the number of
- 11 people whose voices didn't get to be heard demonstrates
- 12 the need for more hearings.
- 13 MR. SCOTT SAMMONS: I'm Scott Sammons. I
- 14 have No. 76. I would like to have been heard and would
- encourage further hearings if there is opportunity.
- 16 Thank you for your consideration.
- 17 MS. ZOE FULLER: Hi there. My name is Zoe
- 18 Fuller. I'm a lifelong Alaskan. Thank you for the work
- 19 you are doing. I would like to request further
- 20 opportunities. I did not get a chance to testify. My
- 21 number is 72.
- MS. EMILY KLOC: Hi. My name is Emily
- 23 Kloc. I don't have a number, so my name is spelled
- 24 E-M-I-L-Y, last name, K-L-O-C. And I did not have a
- 25 chance to testify, and I would like to request additional

1 hearings, not just here, but throughout the state, and

2 also encourage you to provide translation services.

MS. MAGDALENA OLIVEROS: Hi. My name is

4 Magdalena Oliveros. I was No. 82. I didn't get a chance

5 to testify. I oppose opening up ANWR to drilling, and I

hope you guys have more hearings here and in the North

7 Slope villages.

8 Thanks.

6

9 MR. AARON TRITT: My name is Aaron. I'm

10 No. 51. I'm from Arctic Village. I'm a Gwich'in. And

11 I'm wondering if you can squeeze me in. Can I say

12 something?

13 MR. DAVID BATTS: It will have to be

14 brief.

15 MR. AARON TRITT: Well, my name is Aaron.

16 I'm from Arctic Village. Arctic is a subregional tribal

17 traditional village and a native village with a tribal

18 government.

19 I come before you guys as a -- as a Native American,

20 full-blooded Native American, not as a simple person, but

21 as a citizen of the free world. All free nations, all

22 free people, all free Native Americans are all citizens of

23 the free world.

24

If I remember correctly, in May of 1775 when John

25 Adams was returning to our nation's capital in

Philadelphia to testify before the second congress, I don't think Mr. Adams was thinking about himself. I think he was thinking about us, all of us. I think he was thinking about what kind of country and what kind of nation and planet do we leave behind for our children.

Sometimes I wonder who are we to deny or even inspire our children on this continent. They might stand on one of these majestic mountains here in the great State of Alaska in the future and be proud of being American, or even look back at our efforts and hard work to reinforce and renew the American spirit, the American tradition, the American dreams and the American values and be proud to be in the 22nd century.

The Gwich'in people and the Native Village of Venetie Tribal Government are not vindictive people. They are not hostile people. They want a country to be like any citizen in this country. They want to be able to hire and employ nonNative people, to be part of every fabric of their lives for a healthy family and healthy future.

We know there is challenges that lies ahead of us.

We know that. We know there is challenges that lies ahead

of this country. But as Gwich'in people, we welcome the

challenge. We welcome it. So do you -- well, I guess

strike that from the statement.

Do we choose confrontation, knowing that when we

speak about the future of our children, there is no room for animosity. There is no room for discrimination.

There is no room for contamination. There is even no room

4 for us to be divided among each other around this

5 so-called conference table to prevent us from going 50

6 years backwards but to go forward as civilized Americans,

7 formulate some kind of an alliance with the federal

government, the State of Alaska and the Native Americans

to speak as one voice and go before Congress and the

10 President of the United States.

3

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The late president John F. Kennedy once said, we choose to go to the moon in this decade and do other things because -- because it's not easy. Blessed are each and every single one of us among nations, ladies and gentlemen, because if you look back at the history of this country and the future of this country, this country is at a crossroad. It is your responsibility. You, your children and your grandchildren may one day look back and say they did good. They did really good.

Thank you so much.

MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you.

MS. CHANTAL DEALCUAZ: Good evening. My name is Chantal DeAlcuaz. I live in Anchorage, and I wasn't able to speak tonight and request additional hearings. No. 89.

MR. DAVID BATTS: All right. I would like to thank everybody for their time this evening. I know many of you have traveled very far to be here. We respect that. We wish you safe travels back home. Joe, any closing remarks you would like to make? MR. JOE BALASH: No, thank you. MR. DAVID BATTS: Thank you. Safe travels. (Proceedings adjourned at 9:16 p.m.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 I, MARY A. VAVRIK, RMR, Notary Public in and for 2 the State of Alaska do hereby certify: 3 That the foregoing proceedings were taken before 4 5 me at the time and place herein set forth; that the proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later 6 7 transcribed under my direction by computer transcription; 8 that the foregoing is a true record of the proceedings 9 taken at that time; and that I am not a party to nor have I any interest in the outcome of the action herein 10 11 contained. 12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my hand and affixed my seal this ____ day of June 2018. 13 14 15 MARY A. VAVRIK, 16 Registered Merit Reporter Notary Public for Alaska 17 18 My Commission Expires: November 5, 2020 19 20 21 22 23

24

25