Pinedale Anticline Project Area 2020 Annual Mule Deer Update

Prepared for: Pinedale Anticline Project Office Prepared by: Kerry Gold Wyoming Game and Fish Department



Overview

- Pinedale Anticline Project Area (PAPA)/Mesa mule deer
 - Portion of the Sublette mule deer herd wintering annually on the Pinedale Anticline Project Area (PAPA)
- 2008 Bureau of Land Management Record of Decision (ROD)- Wildlife Monitoring and Mitigation Matrix (WMMM)
 - 15% change in any year, or cumulatively over all years, compared to reference area (Sublette mule deer herd unit)- Averages of 2004-05 and 2005-06 winters
 - PAPA baseline = 2,856
 - Sublette baseline = 24,165
- Winter 2019-20 Mesa mule deer monitoring
 - Mesa mule deer abundance decreased 15% from baseline
 - Sublette mule deer abundance decreased 14% from baseline
 - Mitigation threshold not met

Methods

- 2007-2017 January, February and March (Sawyer 2018)
 - Helicopter surveys of 68mi² of core mule deer winter range (PAPA)
 - 34-46 1mi² quadrats systematically flown, all deer counted
 - Ryegrass-Soapholes 33mi² reference area added 2006-07- 23 quadrats flown
- 2018-2021 Aerial infrared flight surveys- early February
 - PAPA (45,700 acres) and Ryegrass-Soaphole reference area (30,700 acres)
 - Potentially more accurate, reduced disturbance to wintering deer, reduced costs, increased surveyor safety

Calculations

 Updated Mesa (PAPA) and Sublette reference herd estimate (Wyoming Game and Fish Department) compared to baseline estimates to calculate rate of change

Methods



Results

• 2,435 deer detected in the PAPA

Winter	Mesa (PAPA) mule deer				
	Estimate	SE	% Change		
baseline ^a	2,856	n/a	baseline		
2006-07	3,156	470	10%		
2007-08	3,638	424	27%		
2008-09	3,850	322	35%		
2009-10	2,088	325	-27%		
2010-11	2,318	212	-19%		
2011-12	2,553	210	-11%		
2012-13	2,652	220	-7%		
2013-14	2,405	243	-16%		
2014-15	3,121	325	9%		
2015-16	3,030	266	6%		
2016-17	3,027	192	6%		
2017-18 ^d	1,495	n/a	-48%		
2018-19	2,369	n/a	-17%		
2019-20	2,435	n/a	-15%		

• 15% decrease from baseline



Results

- Mesa mule deer decreased 15% from baseline
- Sublette mule deer decreased 14% from baseline
- Relative change = -1%
- 15% cumulative change threshold <u>not met</u>

Mesa		Sublette Herd Unit			Relative	Threshold		
Winter	inter Estimate SE	SE	% Change	Estimate	SE	% Change	% Change	Exceeded ?
baseline ^a	2,856	n/a	baseline	24,165	n/a	baseline	n/a	baseline
2006-07	3,156	470	10%	24,699	n/a	2%	8%	NO
2007-08	3,638	424	27%	27,200	n/a	13%	14%	NO
2008-09	3,850	322	35%	26,732	n/a	11%	24%	NO
2009-10	2,088	325	-27%	24,630	n/a	2%	-25%	YES
2010-11	2,318	212	-19%	23,426	n/a	-3%	-16%	YES
2011-12	2,553	210	-11%	20,652	n/a	-15%	4%	NO
2012-13	2,652	220	-7%	21,969 ^b	n/a	-9%	2%	NO
2013-14	2,405	243	-16%	22,900	n/a	-5%	-11%	NO
2014-15	3,121	325	9%	26,337°	n/a	9%	0%	NO
2015-16	3,030	266	6%	28,976	n/a	17%	-14%	NO
2016-17	3,027	192	6%	28,509	n/a	16%	-10%	NO
2017- 18 ^d	1,495	n/a	-48%	17,299	n/a	-28%	-20%	YES
2018-19	2,369	n/a	-17%	19,838	n/a	-18%	1%	NO
2019-20	2,435	n/a	-15%	20,846	n/a	-14%	-1%	NO

Table 1. Mule deer abundance estimates, standard errors (SE), and percent change for Mesa and Sublette herds- baseline winter through winter 2019-20 (baseline to winter 2017-18 table values based on findings by Sawyer (2018)). Mitigation thresholds were met in winters 2009-10, 2010-11, and 2017-18.

a Original ROD used 2,856 as Mesa deer baseline from averaging 2004-05 and 2005-06 winters (BLM 2008)

b WGFD switched from POP2 model to spreadsheet model (Saywer 2008)

c Sublette herd expanded to include hunt area 131 (Steamboat) by WGFD, population estimation methods unchanged d PAPA and Reference mule deer surveys changed from helicopter to aerial infrared surveys

Results

- Mesa (PAPA) herd and Sublette reference herd both increased from 2018-19 winter.
- 15% decline threshold not met

Winter	Mesa		Sublette Herd Unit		Relative	Threshold
	Estimate	% Change	Estimate	% Change	% Change	Exceeded?
2018-19	2,369	n/a	19,838	n/a	n/a	n/a
2019-20	2,435	3%	20,846	5%	n/a (-2%)	NO

Discussion

- Sublette winter fawn mortality (WGFD)
 - Possibly stabilizing after severe 2016/17 winter
 - 2016-17= 81% (high above average)
 - 2017-18= 8% (below average)
 - 2018-19= 50% (above average)
 - 2019-20= 37%
- Sublette herd estimates (December)
- Mesa herd estimates (February)
- Large drop in abundance in 2017-18 due to severe 2016-17 winter
- Winter 2019-20 had less snow and colder temperatures



References

- Bureau of Land Management [BLM]. 2000. Record of Decision: Environmental Impact Statement for the Pinedale Anticline Natural Gas Field Exploration and Development Project. Pinedale Field Office, Wyoming.
- Bureau of Land Management [BLM]. 2008. Record of Decision: Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the Pinedale Anticline Natural Gas Field Exploration and Development Project. Pinedale Field Office, Wyoming.
- Freddy, D. J., G. C. White, M. C. Kneeland, R. H. Kahn, J. W. Unsworth, W. J. deVergie, V. K. Graham, J. H. Ellenberger, and C. H. Wagner. 2004. How many mule deer are there? Challenges of credibility in Colorado. Wildlife Society Bulletin 32:916-927.
- Gold, K. 2019. Mule Deer Monitoring in the Pinedale Anticline Project Area: 2019 Annual Update. Wyoming Game and Fish Department, Cheyenne, Wyoming, USA.
- Gold, K. 2020. Mule Deer Monitoring in the Pinedale Anticline Project Area: 2020 Annual Update. Wyoming Game and Fish Department, Cheyenne, Wyoming, USA.
- Sawyer, H. 2018. Mule Deer Monitoring in the Pinedale Anticline Project Area: 2018 Annual Update. Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc., Laramie, Wyoming.
- Thompson, W. L, G. C. White, and C. Gowan. 1998. Monitoring Vertebrate Populations. Academic Press, Sand Diego, California, USA.

Questions?