

United States Department of the Interior



BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT Ely District Office 702 North Industrial Way Ely, Nevada 89301 https://www.blm.gov/nevada

In Reply Refer To: 4130 (NVL0000)

PROPOSED DECISION

Emergency Feeding DOI-BLM-NV-L000-2023-0003-CX

INTRODUCTION

The Proposed Action is in response to the recent extreme adverse weather that the Ely District is experiencing. The Proposed Action would allow for permittees that are affected by these conditions to temporarily feed their livestock on public lands after consultation with Bureau of Land Management.

RATIONALE

Emergency feeding may be required as a result of an unforeseen event which limits the forage available for livestock. Feeding of hay as result of fire, flood, or snow is an example. Emergency feeding is accepted on the public lands for short periods while the emergency exists or until the livestock can be removed.

LAND USE PLAN CONFORMANCE

The Ely District Record of Decision (ROD) and Approved RMP (USDOI 2008), as amended, addresses land use planning decisions for the subject area. The Proposed Action is in conformance with the Livestock Grazing Goals and Objectives as identified on pages 85 - 86 of the RMP which are to:

- Manage livestock grazing on public lands to provide for a level of livestock grazing consistent with multiple use, sustained yield, and watershed function and health.
- Allow livestock grazing to occur in a manner and at levels consistent with multiple use, sustained yield, and the standards for rangeland health.

The Proposed Action is also in compliance with the Livestock Grazing Management Actions in the RMP regarding standards for rangeland health, specifically the bullet identified under LG [Livestock Grazing] 4 (page 86), which states to:

• Continue to monitor and evaluate allotments to determine if they are continuing to meet or are making significant progress toward meeting the standards for rangeland health. Table E-1 in Appendix E shows the current grazing preference, season-of-use, and kind of

livestock for those allotments that currently are evaluated for meeting standards, are making progress towards achieving the standards, or are in conformance with the policies as determined either through the allotment evaluation process or associated with fully processed term permit renewals. Changes, such as improved livestock management, new range improvement projects, and changes in the amount and kinds of forage permanently available for livestock use, can lead to changes in preference, authorized season-of-use, or kind of livestock. Such changes would continue to meet the RMP goals and objectives, including the standards for rangeland health.

The 2015 Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan Amendments for the Great Basin Region Greater Sage-Grouse Sub-Regions of Idaho and Southwestern Montana, Nevada, and Northeastern California, Oregon, and Utah (Greater Sage-Grouse Land Use Plan Amendment [LUPA]) and the 2019 Nevada and Northeastern California Greater Sage-Grouse Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment (Greater Sage-Grouse ROD/ARMPA) were prepared by the BLM to amend and approve an amendment to its RMPs that manage Greater Sage-grouse (GRSG) habitat, respectively (USDOI, BLM 2015 and 2019, respectively).

The Proposed Action is consistent with all other federal, state, local, and tribal policies and plans to the maximum extent possible.

AUTHORITY

The authority for this decision is contained in Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations, which states in pertinent part:

§ 4130.3 Terms and Conditions

§4130.3-2 Other Terms and Conditions.

(c) Authorization to use, and direction of placement of supplemental feed, including salt, for improved livestock and rangeland management on the public lands;

PROTEST AND APPEAL

The grazing decision provides for a "2-step" decision process. This includes a Proposed Decision and a Final Decision. The first step in the process includes issuance of a Proposed Decision. The Proposed Decision allows for a protest period. The Proposed Decision may be protested within 15 days after receipt of the decision. If an applicant, permittee, or other interested party does not protest a proposed decision within 15 days after receipt of the decision, the Proposed Decision becomes the Final Decision. The Final Decision is subject to appeal and allows for an additional 30 days during which an appeal must be filed. A total of 45 days (15-day protest and 30-day appeal) after receipt of the Proposed Decision must lapse before the Project would be authorized. If an appeal is not filed or the final decision is not stayed the Project would be authorized.

Protest

In accordance with 43 C.F.R. 4160.2, any applicant, permittee, lessee or other interested public may protest the proposed decision under 4160.1 of this title, in person or in writing to Robbie McAboy, District Manager Ely District Office, 702 N Industrial Way, Ely, Nevada 89301 within 15 days after receipt of such decision. The protest, if filed, must clearly and concisely state the reason(s) why the protestant thinks the proposed decision is in error. In accordance with 43 C.F.R. 4160.3 (a), in the absence of a protest, the proposed decision will become the final decision of the authorized officer without further notice. In accordance with 43 C.F.R. 4160.3 (b), should a timely protest be filed with the authorized officer, the authorized officer will reconsider the proposed decision and shall serve the final decision on the protestant and the interested public.

APPEAL

This decision may be appealed to the Interior Board of Land Appeals (Board), U. S. Department of the Interior (DOI) Office of Hearings and Appeals, in accordance with the regulations contained in 43 CFR, Part 4. The appellant has the burden of showing that the decision appealed from is in error. If an appeal is taken, a notice of appeal must be filed at the Bureau of Land Management, Ely District Office, 702 North Industrial Way, Ely, Nevada within 30 days of either of receipt of the decision if served a copy of the document, or otherwise within 30 days of the date of the decision. If sent by United States Postal Service, the notice of appeal must be sent to the following address:

Bureau of Land Management 702 North Industrial Way Ely, Nevada 89301

The appeal may include a statement of reasons at the time the notice of appeal is filed, or the statement of reasons may be filed within 30 days of filing this appeal. At the same time, the original documents are filed with this office, copies of the notice of appeal, statement of reasons, and all supporting documentation also must be sent to each party named in this decision and to the U. S. Department of Interior (DOI) Solicitor at the following address:

Regional Solicitor, Pacific Southwest Region U.S. Department of the Interior 2800 Cottage Way, Room E-2753 Sacramento, CA 95825-1890

If a statement of reasons is filed separately from the notice of appeal, it also must be sent to the following location within 30 days after the notice of appeal was filed:

Interior Board of Land Appeals Office of Hearings and Appeals U.S. Department of the Interior 801 N. Quincy Street, Suite 300 Arlington, VA 22203

This decision will remain in effect during the appeal unless a petition for stay is granted. If the appellant wishes to file a petition pursuant to regulations at 43 CFR 2931.8 (b) 4.21 for a stay of

the effectiveness of this decision during the time that the appeal is being reviewed by the Board, the petition for a stay must accompany the notice of appeal. A petition for a stay is required to show sufficient justification based on the standards listed below. If the appellant requests a stay, the appellant has the burden of proof to demonstrate that a stay should be granted.

Standards for Obtaining a Stay

Except as otherwise provided by law or by other pertinent regulation, a petition for a stay of a decision pending appeal shall show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

- (1) The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied,
- (2) The likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits,
- (3) The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted, and
- (4) Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

The grazing decision provides for a "2-step" decision process. This includes a Proposed Decision and a Final Decision. The first step in the process includes issuance of a Proposed Decision. The Proposed Decision allows for a protest period. The Proposed Decision may be protested within 15 days after receipt of the decision. If an applicant, permittee, or other interested party does not protest a proposed decision within 15 days after receipt of the decision, the Proposed Decision becomes the Final Decision. The Final Decision is subject to appeal and allows for an additional 30 days during which an appeal must be filed. A total of 45 days (15-day protest and 30-day appeal) after receipt of the Proposed Decision must lapse before the Project would be authorized. If an appeal is not filed or the final decision is not stayed the Project would be authorized.

Robbie J. McAboy
District Manager
Ely District Office

Date

Attachments (1):

CC:

Moira Kolada Nevada Department of Wildlife 1218 N. Alpha St. Ely, NV 89301	M7 Livestock, LLC HC 61 Box 670 Wendover, UT 84083	Charles & Fawn Hulet PO BOX 23 Newcastle, UT 84756
WPC Commissioners 1786 Great Basin BLVD, Suite 3 Ely, NV 89301	Andrew & Deseri Marshall HC 62 Box 62210 Eureka, NV 89316	Jerry Johnson & Janet Lind PO BOX 281 Alamo, NV 89001
US Fish and Wildlife Service DOI Unified Regions 8 & 10 Refuges 2800 Cottage Way, W-1832 Sacramento, CA 95825	McKay Livestock, INC P.O. BOX 942 McGill, NV 89318	Kent Whipple Ranch LLC 4004 Whipple Ranch Road Hiko, NV 89017
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Attn: Lee Ann Carranza 1340 Financial Blvd, Suite 234 Reno, NV 89502	Moorman Ranch LLC ATTN: Jack Neal HC 31 BOX 7 Ely, NV 89301	L & B Farm & Cattle Limited Partnership ATTN: Brad Bowler PO BOX 905 Enterprise, UT 84725
Steve Carter PO Box 27 Lund, NV 89317	Moriah Ranches, INC ATTN: Dave Eldridge P.O.BOX 46 Baker, NV 89311	Lemuel L Leavitt PO BOX 820434 Veyo, UT 84782
National Parks Service Attn: Margaret Frisbie 1100 Old Santa Fe Trail Santa Fe, NM 87505	Cody & Connie Odgers HC 33 BOX 33559 Ely, NV 89315	Lee's Angus Ranch LLC ATTN: Kenny D Lee PO BOX 123 Panaca, NV 89042
Emilia K. Cargill 3100 SR 168 PO Box 37010 Coyote Springs, NV 89037	Ray Okelberry P.O. BOX 74 Goshen, UT 84633	Robert Lewis PO BOX 520 Moapa, NV 89025
Thelora Spendlove 4016 Hwy 81 Ponce de Leon, FL 32455	Parker Family Ranch LLC ATTN: Bart Parker HC 61 BOX 6067 Ibapah, UT 84034	John & Lee Mathews PO BOX 569 Pioche, NV 89043
Bernard Petersen SNWA - Great Basin Ranch HC 10 BOX 10853 Ely, NV 89301	Russel Peacock HC 34 BOX 34050 Ely, NV 89301	Lee Mathews HC-74 BOX 321 Pioche, NV 89043
Kimberly Reinhart Environmental Resources Division Manager Southern Nevada Water Authority P.O. Box 99956 Las Vegas, NV 89193-9956	Pescio Brothers LLC 476 Beardsley Circle Henderson, NV 89052	Robert J & Carol L Mathews PO BOX 328 Panaca, NV 89042
William Myers III Holland & Hart LLP 800 W. Main Street, Suite 1750 Boise, ID 83702	Don & Sheila Phillips P.O. BOX 1390 McGill, NV 89318	Shane R & Jenny R Mathews PO BOX 426 Panaca, NV 89042

Warren Graham P.O. Box 140068 Duckwater, NV 89314

Curt Leet 2967 N 48th St W Ely, NV 89301

N-4 State Grazing Board Connie Simkins PO Box 461 Panaca, NV 89042

Little Paris Sheep Company HC 30 Box 346 Jiggs, NV 89815

Arthur Andrae HC 33 BOX 33960 Ely, NV 89301

Steve or Sharon Auch P.O. BOX 303 Eureka, NV 89316

B Enterprises, LP ATTN: Gary Gardner PO Box 964 Enterprise, UT 84225

John M. Baal ATTN: Double Diamond Enterprises 9320 Stange Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89129

Baker Ranches ATTN: Dave Baker P.O. Box 88 Baker, NV 89311

Barrick Cortez, Inc Barrick Cortez AP/Barrick NV. Ranches ATTN: Kevin Wynn HC 66 Box 1250

Crescent Valley, NV 89821

Kyle W. Bateman P.O. Box 6112 Ibapah, UT 84034 R.W.D. Currant Creek LLC ATTN: Richard Dieleman 5454 S. Arville St. Las Vegas, NV 89118

Raymond & Sandy Rosenlund HC 60 BOX 890 Ruby Valley, NV 89833

Wade and Brittany Rosenlund PO BOX 158 Lund, NV 89317

Spade Bit Ranch LLC ATTN: Kenny Strickland PO BOX 1097 Logandale, NV 89021

James Tallerico 4345 E. Mattier Creek Road Ely, NV 89301

Hank Vogler HC 33 BOX 33920 Ely, NV 89301

Kevin & Wilma Whipple P.O. BOX 293 Lund, NV 89317

Wright Land Co Limited
Partnership/WBW Limited PA
ATTN: Preston Wright
P.O. BOX 8
DEETH, NV 89823

Jaycie Yardley PO BOX 1733 Beaver, UT 84713

Debra Youren HC 61 BOX 615 Wendover, UT 84083

6J Livestock LLC ATTN: Stuart Twitchell PO BOX 401 Alamo, NV 89001 National Mustang Association INC PO BOX 1367 Cedar City, UT 84721

Newby Cattle Co ATTN: Ken Newby 517 Belmont Dr. St. George, UT 84790

Lee & Ellen Pearson HC 74 BOX 260 Pioche, NV 89043

Nathan & Melissa Phillips PO BOX 859 Panaca, NV 89042

Runnin C Ranch Family Partnership LP ATTN: Lyle Carter PO BOX 125 Minersville, UT 84752

Staheli Farms ATTN: Larry Staheli 270 South Leavitt Lane Veyo, UT 84782

Lorrie & Nelson, Michael J Stewart PO BOX 292 Alamo, NV 89001

Tempiute Grazing Association ATTN: Travis Agee 6324 Penoyer Farm Rd Rachel, NV 89001

Raymond Thompson PO BOX 163 Caliente, NV 89008

Lavar J & Kaye S Wade 1183 East 1580 South St. George, UT 84790

Trevor L & Michael J Wade PO BOX 647 Alamo, NV 89001

James and Vera Baumann Varlin S. Higbee Sharon Giampapa **PO BOX 354** P.O. Box 308 PO BOX 431 Eureka, NV 89316 Alamo, NV 89001 Panaca, NV 89042 Public Employees for Blue Diamond Oil Corporation **Environmental Responsibility** Vaughn M Higbee ATTN: Garv Sprouse PO BOX 303 ATTN: Chandra Rosenthal PO Box 150432 Alamo, NV 89001 155 Ash Street ELY, NV 89315 Denver, Co. 80220 Borba Land and Cattle LLC KW Legacy Ranch LLC Nancy L. Baker ATTN: Kevin Borba ATTN: Yancy Whipple PO Box 1090 1760 State Route 318 P.O. BOX 295 McGill, NV 89318 Eureka, NV 89316-0295 Hiko, NV 89017 Lois, Robert, Chad & Anthony Justin Shane Boren Jesse Juen Steele HC 34 Box 34133 10115 Irbid Rd. NE **PO BOX 355** Ely, NV 89301 Albuquerque, NM 87122 Alamo, NV 89001 Les and Liz Bracken Charles & Lamont Wadsworth P. Tallen Bennett 284 W. 2050 S. 1451 Wadsworth Ranch Road 101 No. Main Perry, UT 84302 Hiko, NV 89017 Veyo UT, 84782 3J Cattle LLC Ben Burr Norma Bradshaw ATTN: Justin BrackenBury BlueRibbon Coalition Bradshaw Ranch 765 S 3500 E PO BOX 47 Duckwater, NV 89314 Caliente, NV 89008 New Harmony, UT 84754-5031 Bruce A. Wagman 3J Cattle LLC Riley Safer Holmes & Cancila Travis and Suzette Bradshaw ATTN: Jared BrackenBury LLP P.O. BOX 558 PO BOX 749 456 Montgomery Street, 16th McGill, NV 89318 Caliente, NV 89008 San Francisco, CA 94104 Cannon Ranch, LLC Marta Agee Nye County 3345 Cannon Ranch Road 3200 Shadow Lane Po Box 153 Hiko, NV 89017 Sparks, NV 89434 Tonopah, NV Carter Cattle Co. Andy Shakespear & Tommy Jesse Norcutt ATTN: Jake Carter Monk HC 34 Box 34185 P.O. Box 282 PO BOX 783 Ely, NV 89301 Lund, NV 89317 Moapa, NV 89025 Paula Carson Reed and Debra Carter Fenton Bowler P.O. Box 250 825 W. Vevo-Gunlock PO Box 572 Beaver, UT 84713 Vevo, UT 84782 McGill, NV 89318 Rodney Carter Robert C. Bowler Ruth Eldridge P.O. Box 47 174 West Sunset Circle PO Box 46

Brookside, UT 84782

Cedar City, UT 84721-7802

Matt H Bulloch

1897 N. 4500 W.

Baker, NV 89311

3770 Duffer Drive

Nellis AFB, NV 89191-7001

Tara Jacob

Minersville, UT 84752

Cave Valley Ranch LLC

P.O. Box 151695

Ely, NV 89315

Resource Concepts, Inc. Chris and Cassidi Collis Patricia & Richard Clark ATTN: Don Henderson P.O. Box 1059 620 East 200 South 340 N. Minnesota Street McGill, NV 89318 Cedar City, UT 84720 Carson City, NV 89703 Katie Fite Jared W Cornelius Chris and Karen Collis Wildlands Defense P.O. Box 577 HC 65, BOX 102 PO Box 125 McGill, NV 89318 Kanarraville, UT 84742 Boise, ID 83701 Adam Bronstein, Western Dave Cummings Hilton & Mary Covington Watersheds Project 86 Pioneer Circle P.O. Box 1192 PO Box 1855 Kamas, UT 84036 Brookside, UT 84782 Sisters, OR 97759 Crystal Springs Cattle Company Mark Damele Thomas E. Rosevear **ATTN: Brent Stewart** P.O. Box 207 PO Box 151164 3711 Stewart Bros. Ranch Road Eureka, NV 89316 Ely, NV 89315 Hiko, NV 89017 Delamar Valley Cattle Crystal Springs Cattle Company Abraham Lewis ATTN: John Sanders ATTN: Brent Stewart 26 W. 4500 S. 3711 Stewart Bros. Ranch Road 650 State Route 375 Delta, UT 84624 Hiko, NV 89017 Hiko, NV 89017 **Duckwater Cattle Company** Steve T Culverwell B bar D. LLC ATTN: Alan Forsgren P.O. BOX 231 P.O. BOX 745 **Duckwater Road Hwy 379** Pioche, NV 89043 Caliente, NV 89008 Duckwater, NV 89314 Wild Horse Education D/4 Enterprises Inc. **Duckwater Shoshone Tribe** ATTN: Steve Medlin Laura Leigh P.O. Box 140068 20031 Rock Springs Road 216 Lemmon Dr #316 Duckwater, NV 89314 Alamo, NV 89001 Reno, NV 89506 Glen Lane Ranch Dwight E & Shauna J Dannelly Lance Moore HC 34 Box 34005 P.O. BOX 215 P.O. BOX 1390 Ely, NV 89301 Enterprise, UT 84725 McGill, NV 89318 Pete Goicoechea Lazy D Livestock Inc. Kris Morgan P.O. Box 97 HC 74 BOX 415 164 Heather Ct. Eureka, NV 89316 Pioche, NV 89043 Mesquite, NV. 89027 Harold Rother Farms, INC Joseph L Sharp Ranch LLC Jacob T. Rosevear ATTN: Ira Renner ATTN: Guy Davis PO BOX 151917 HC 30 BOX 343 PO BOX 394 Ely, NV. 89315 Spring Creek, NV 89815 Alamo, NV 89001 Ed Sharp Ranch (Trust) Heggie and Gale Ranching Pete Paris ATTN: Lola Sharp 73 E. Grant Ave. HC 30 BOX 320 **PO BOX 215** Ely, NV 89301 Spring Creek, NV 89815

Alamo, NV 89001

Farnsworth Farms

Enterprise, UT 84725

PO BOX 57

ATTN: Gary B Farnsworth

William Neal

Ely, NV 89301

HC 31 Box 31007

William Heinselman

5602 Eureka Road

Granite Bay, CA 95746

Hendrix Family Living Trust ATTN: Norris Hendrix P.O.BOX 184 Lund, NV 89317

Sam & Clelia Henroid HC 33 BOX 33897 Ely, NV 89301

Dan L. Hoots P.O. Box 36 Deeth, NV 89823

J6 Ranches LLC 17100 N. 6000 W. Garland, UT 84312

Bruce & Pamela Jensen C/O Marcee Jensen P.O. Box 253 Lund, NV 89317

Daren and Rebecca Jensen P.O. Box 83 Lund, NV 89317

John Uhalde & Co ATTN: Gracian Uhalde P.O. Box 151088 Ely, NV 89315

Mary K. & Wyatt Lear HC 33 Box 33865 Ely, NV 89301

Chad & Paul Leavitt P.O. Box 397 Moapa, NV 89025 Fat Cow Cattle Co LLC C/O David & Anna Perkins PO BOX 21 Caliente, NV 89008

Malin F Gardner ATTN: Ron Gardner 1152 W. 560 N. St. George, UT 84770

George Brock Andrus & Dixie Andrus 720 East Wild Rose Lane Washington, UT 84780

Geyser Cattle Company LLC P.O. BOX 745 Pioche, NV 89043

Kena & Pat Gloeckner HC 74 BOX 237 Pioche, NV 89043

Bradley K Guymon 3932 W. 175 S. Cedar City, UT 84720

Edwin E Higbee JR PO BOX 242 Alamo, NV 89001

Arlin Hughes 175 West 400 North Veyo, UT 84782

Carlisle Hulet 193 E. Main Summit, UT 84772 Jacob Carter PO BOX 282 Lund, NV 89317

Ben Burr PO BOX 5449 Pocatello, ID 83202-0003

Jim & Donna Bath 570 1st Street Ely, NV 89301

Legacy Racing PO Box 13290 LAS VEGAS NV 89112

Congressman Mark Amodei 5310 Kietzke Lane Suite 103 Reno, NV 89511

Amie Halstead PO Box 872 Kimberly, ID 83341

Kevin and Carol Trimble HC61 BOX 6072 Ibapah, UT 84034

Jake Tibbitts
Eureka County Natural
Resources Manager
PO Box 682
Eureka, NV 89316
Caroline McIntosh
P.O. Box 151152
Ely, NV, 89315

U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management

Categorical Exclusion
DOI-BLM-NV-L000-2023-0003-CX
Emergency Feeding for the Ely District

PREPARING OFFICE

U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management

Ely District Office Ely, Nevada



Background

BLM Office: LLNVL00000

Proposed Action Title/Type: Emergency Feeding, DOI-BLM-NV-L000-2023-0003-CX

Location of Proposed Action: On all grazing allotments in the Ely District Office that are available for grazing as identified in Ely District Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan (RMP), as amended, that have a need for emergency feeding due to recent winter precipitation events.

Land Use Plan Conformance

Land Use Plan Name: Ely District Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan (RMP), as amended.

Date Approved/Amended: Approved 2008, Amended 2015

The proposed project is consistent and in conformance with the decisions and/or Best Management Practices (BMPs) contained within the LUP.

The following BMPs are found in Appendix A, Section 1 of the RMP under the headings indicated:

Special Status Species (#9)

- Base placement of salt and mineral supplements on site specific assessment
- Normally place salt and mineral supplements at least 0.5 mile away from riparian areas, sensitive sites, populations of special status plant species, cultural resource sites.
- Place salt and mineral supplements at least 1 mile from sage grouse leks.

<u>Livestock Grazing</u> (#2)

"Based on allotment situations and circumstances associated with livestock grazing and multiple use management, implement any or all, of the following appropriate management practices on winterfat dominated ecological sites.

• Place salt and supplements at least 0.5 mile away from winterfat dominated sites. Base placement on site-specific assessment and characteristics such as riparian, topography, cultural, special status species, etc."

Land Use Plan Name: Nevada and Northern California Greater Sage-Grouse Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment (ARMPA).

Date Approved/Amended: Amended 2015

Compliance with NEPA:

The Proposed Action is categorically excluded from further documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with 516 DM 2, as per H-1790-1, NEPA Handbook, Appendix 4, D-3: Temporary emergency feeding of livestock or wild horse and burros during periods of extreme adverse weather conditions.

This categorical exclusion is appropriate in this situation because there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment. The Proposed Action has been reviewed and none of the extraordinary circumstances apply.

Approval and Contact Information

Acting

Robbie J. McAboy

Ely District Manager

Date

Contact Person

Arthur (AJ) Flint

Supervisory Range Management Specialist

Ely District Office-Bristlecone Field Office

702 N. Industrial Way Ely, NV 89301 (775) 289-1914

Appendix 1. Extraordinary Circumstances Documentation

BLM National NEPA Register #:	DOI-BLM-NV-L000-2023-0003-CX
Date:	February 13, 2023

The action has been reviewed to determine if any of the extraordinary circumstances (43 CFR 46.215) apply.

Impacts on Public Health and Safety

1. Does the proposed action have significant impacts on public health and safety?		
YES	NO	Rationale
	X	Public health and safety is not considered an issue during the implementation of this project.

Impacts on Natural Resources or Unique Geographic Characteristics

2. Does the proposed action have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness or wilderness study areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds (Executive Order 13186); and other ecologically significant or critical areas?

YES	NO	Rationale
	X	None of the above resources would be significantly impacted by the Proposed Action. RDFs would be applied to minimize any effects to GRSG and their habitat if project occurs in sage grouse habitat. Emergency feeding will not be authorized in designated wilderness or wilderness study Areas

Level of Controversy

3. Does the proposed action have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]?		
YES	NO	Rationale
	X	No highly controversial environmental effects or unresolved conflicts concerning
		alternative uses of the available resources exist.

Highly Uncertain or Unique or Unknown Environmental Risks

4. Does the proposed action have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?		
YES	NO	Rationale
	X	The Proposed Action does not have any highly uncertain, potentially significant
		environmental effects, or unknown environmental risks.

Precedent Setting

5. Does the proposed action establish a precedent for future action, or represent a decision in principle about future actions, with potentially significant environmental effects?		
YES	NO	Rationale
	X	This action does not establish a precedent for future actions nor does it represent a decision in principal about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects.

Cumulatively Significant Effects

6. Does the proposed action have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but			
cumulatively	cumulatively significant, environmental effects?		
YES	NO	Rationale	
	X	This project does not have a direct relationship to other actions with individually	
		insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects.	

Impacts on Cultural Properties

7. Does the proposed action have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the Bureau or office?		
YES	NO	Rationale
110	110	Kationaic

Impacts on Federally Listed Species or Critical Habitat

8. Does the proposed action have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?		
YES	NO	Rationale
	X	Emergency Feeding will not be permitted in threatened and endangered species

Compliance with Laws

9. Does the proposed action violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?		
YES	NO	Rationale
	X	The BLM will not violate any Federal, State, local or tribal laws while
		implementing this action.

Environmental Justice

10. Does the proposed action have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898)?		
YES	NO	Rationale
	X	The Proposed Action would not have disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority populations. Health and environmental statues would
		not be compromised.

Indian Sacred Sites

Indian religious practitioners, or significantly adversely affects the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007)?			
YES	NO	Rationale	
	/ A	The Proposed Action would not limit access to, or inhibit the ceremonial use of, Indian sacred sites protected by EO 13007.	

Noxious and Non-Native Invasive Species

12. Does the proposed action contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area, or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?

YES	NO	Rationale
	X	The proposed action would be for certified weed free hay unless it cannot be obtained in time to deal with the emergency situation. If noncertified weed free hay is used all locations of hay placement will recorded, monitored, and if necessary treated.