

ERRATA SHEET

On March 15, 2019, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) noticed in the Federal Register (84 FR 10327) the availability of the Record of Decision (ROD) and Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment (ARMPA). The BLM has identified the need for clarification related to the BLM's protest resolution process. These modifications do not substantially change the alternatives or the analysis of effects on the human environment, therefore there is no need to supplement the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis or issue a new ROD.

Attached you will find one errata sheet that corrects Section 1.7.3 (page 11) of the Utah Greater Sage-Grouse ROD/ARMPA. The new text has been highlighted on the attached errata sheets. You should replace this page in your copies of the ROD/ARMPA with the corrected sheet.

1.7.3 Protest Resolution

The BLM's planning regulations at 43 CFR 1610.5-2 allow any person who participated in the planning process and has an interest that may be adversely affected by the BLM's planning decisions to protest proposed planning decisions within 30 days of when the NOA of the 2018 Proposed RMPA/Final EIS was published in the Federal Register (December 10, 2018).

The Office of the BLM Director received eight timely protest submissions in regards to the 2018 Proposed RMPA/Final EIS. Pursuant to the BLM's 2016 Delegation of Authority Manual (MS-1203 Delegation of Authority, Rel. 1-1779), the BLM Assistant Director for Resources and Planning and staff at the BLM Washington Office reviewed all of the protest issues. The BLM Assistant Director dismissed one protest letter due to lack of standing. The BLM Assistant Director dismissed three protest letters as they only raised comments and not any valid protest points, pursuant to 43 CFR 1610.5-2. The remaining four protest letters raised the protest issues that fell into the following categories:

- Endangered Species Act Consultation
- FLPMA – Areas of Critical Environmental Concern and Research Natural Areas
- FLPMA – Coordinating with States
- FLPMA – Analysis of the Management Situation
- FLPMA – Mitigation
- FLPMA – Special status species management (BLM Manual 6840)
- FLPMA – Undue and unnecessary degradation
- NEPA – Best available science
- NEPA – Cumulative effects analysis
- NEPA – Impacts analysis (general)
- NEPA – Impacts analysis (grazing)
- NEPA – Impacts analysis (Greater Sage-Grouse)
- NEPA – Impact analysis (oil and gas)
- NEPA – Impact analysis (recreation)
- NEPA – Impact analysis (other)
- NEPA – Mitigation
- NEPA – Public participation
- NEPA – Purpose and Need
- NEPA – Range of alternatives
- NEPA – Response to public comments
- NEPA – Supplemental EIS
- NEPA – Tiering / Incorporation by Reference
- Other Laws

The Assistant Director for Resources and Planning concluded that the BLM Utah State Director had followed all applicable laws, regulations, and policies and had considered all relevant resource information and public input in developing the Utah Proposed RMPA/Final EIS. The BLM Assistant Director resolved the protests without making significant changes to the 2018 Proposed RMPA/Final EIS. The BLM Assistant Director's decisions, which are the final decisions of the US Department of the Interior (43 CFR 1610.5-2(b)), on Utah's protests are summarized in the 2019 Protest Resolution Report for the Utah Greater Sage-Grouse Proposed RMPA/Final EIS, which is available on the BLM Protest Resolution Reports website: <https://on.doi.gov/2GSPXKX>.