

**United States Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management**

---

**Categorical Exclusion Not Established By Statute  
DOT-BLM-UT-Y020-2016-0028-CX**

---

**April 2016**

**Et Al Archaeological Site Fence**

***Location:*** Monticello Field Office  
T 39S R 18 E

***Applicant/Address:*** *Monticello BLM*

---

United State Dept. of Interior  
Bureau of Land Management  
Monticello Field Office  
365 North Main  
Monticello, UT 84535  
435-587-1505



## **A. Background**

BLM Office: Monticello FO Lease/Serial/Case File No: NA

Proposed Action Title/Type: Buck-and-rail protective fence construction at Et Al archeological site.

Location of Proposed Action: T 39S R 18 E

Description of Proposed Action: This project would consist of installation of a buck-and-rail fence surrounding the archaeological site to prevent wood-cutting, vehicle and livestock intrusion on the site. There will be no surface disturbance except for the trimming of approximately six juniper trees that are growing along an existing Class D road where the east side of the fence would be constructed. The fence would be constructed using hand and power tools (drills) operated from a generator that will stay on the existing road. All materials will be hand carried. The fence would enclose 2.43 acres and the total length would be 1,343 feet.

The project would be done by volunteers (Fiends of Cedar Mesa) and the BLM. It is expected to take 1 to 2 days to complete and would be done during the late spring of 2016 after roads are dry and snow free.

## **B. Land Use Plan Conformance**

Land Use Plan Name: Monticello Field Office Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan

Date Approved/Amended: November 2008

The proposed action has been determined to be in conformance with the terms and conditions of the Monticello Resource Management Plan (October, 2008) as required by 43 CFR 1610.5.

Monticello's RMP states the following:

### **Goals and Objectives:**

Identify, preserve and protect important cultural sites (Map 20) and ensure that they are available for appropriate uses by the present and future generations (FLPMA, Section 103[c], 201[a] and [c]; National Historic Preservation Act, Section 110 [a]; Archeological Resource Protection Act, Section 14[a]).

Seek to reduce imminent threats and resolve potential conflicts from natural or human caused deterioration, or potential conflict with other resources uses (FLPMA, Section 103 [c]. NHPA 106, 110 [a][2] ensuring that all authorizations for land use and resource use comply with the NHPA Section 106.

CUL-4

Impacts to any NRHP-listed or eligible cultural resource sites, objects, or districts will be mitigated in accordance with 36 CFR 800, generally through avoidance of cultural sites.

### C. Compliance with NEPA

The Proposed Action is categorically excluded from further documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with 43 CFR 46.210. It is covered by the following DOI categorical exclusions (43 CFR Part 46.210 (f) :

(f): Routine and continuing government business, including such things as supervision, administration, operations, maintenance and replacement activities having limited context and intensity; e.g. limited size and magnitude or short term effects.

(j): Construction of small protective enclosures, including those to protect reservoirs and springs and those to protect small study areas.

These categorical exclusion are appropriate in this situation because there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment. The proposed action has been reviewed, and none of the extraordinary circumstances described in 43 CFR Part 46.215 apply.

### D: Signature

Authorizing Official: /s/ Brian Quigley

Date: 4/19/16

### Contact Person

For additional information concerning this CX review, contact Donald Simonis at 435-587-1513.

### Attachments

None

### Categorical Exclusion Review Record

Resource	Yes/No*	Assigned Specialist Signature	Date
Air Quality	No	CGiffen	12.23.15
Areas of Critical Environmental Concern	No	CWorth	01/21/16
Cultural Resources	No	D. Simonis	04/06/16
Environmental Justice	No	B. Quigley	4/19/16
Farm Lands (prime or unique)	No	Jed Carling	4/11/16
Floodplains	No	Jed Carling	4/11/16
Invasive Species/Noxious Weeds	No	B. Quigley	4/19/16
Migratory Birds	No	MScott	1/5/16

<b>Resource</b>	<b>Yes/No*</b>	<b>Assigned Specialist Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>
Native American Religious Concerns	No	D. Simonis	04/06/16
Threatened, Endangered, or Candidate Species	No	MScott	1/5/16
Wastes (hazardous or solid)	No	J. Brown	12/22/15
Water Quality (drinking or ground)	No	MScott	1/5/16
Wetlands / Riparian Zones	No	Jed Carling	4/11/16
Wild and Scenic Rivers	No	CWorth	01/21/16
Wilderness	No	CWorth	01/21/16
Other:			

\*Extraordinary Circumstances apply.

None

Environmental Coordinator: /s/ Brian Quigley Date: 4/19/16