

MAR 23 2016

UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT  
BURNS DISTRICT OFFICE

**CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW AND APPROVAL**

**A. Background**

**Subject Function Code:** 4130

**Grazing Permit Number:** 3601960

**Categorical Exclusion (CX) Number:** DOI-BLM-ORWA-B060-2016-0019-CX

**Preparer and Title:** Justin DeCroo, Rangeland Management Specialist

**Date:** March 7, 2016

**Title of Proposed Action:** Livestock Grazing Permit #3601960 Renewal

**Legal Description:** Frazier Field Allotment is located approximately 0.25 air mile from Frenchglen in the Andrews Resource Area (RA). See attached vicinity map (A) and location map (B).

**Description of Proposed Action:** The permittee has applied to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to renew the existing term livestock grazing permit (#3601960) on the Frazier Field Allotment. The proposal is to renew (fully process) the term grazing permit/lease with the same terms and conditions as the expiring permit. The BLM would authorize grazing by livestock under grazing permit/lease as shown in the following table for a term not to exceed 10 years:

Allotment Name	Allotment Number	Livestock Type	Livestock Number	Season of Use	Active Animal Unit Months (AUM)
Frazier Field	06006	Cattle	317	04/0109/30	1907

The proposed action is a continuation of the current grazing management of the allotment since current management is consistent with BLM regulatory guidance and land use plan (LUP) objectives.

**B. Conformance with LUP**

**LUP Name and Date Approved/Amended:** Andrews Management Unit (AMU) and Steens Mountain Cooperative Management and Protection Area (CMPA) Resource Management Plan (RMP)/Record of Decision (ROD), August 2005, as amended by the Oregon Greater Sage-Grouse (GRSG) Approved RMP Amendment (ARMPA) and the ROD for the Great Basin Region including the GRSG Sub-regions of Idaho and Southwestern Montana, Nevada and Northeastern California, Oregon, and Utah, approved September 2015.

The proposed action is in conformance with the applicable LUP because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decision(s): The AMU/Steens Mountain CMPA RMP identifies Frazier Field Allotment as available for livestock grazing in Appendix J, page J-12. The RMP expectation is continued livestock grazing at current levels, unless changes are shown to be warranted through rangeland monitoring and evaluation as analyzed in a standards and guidelines (S&G) determination. This allotment was assessed and determined to be achieving all standards and conforming with guidelines; therefore, no change in livestock grazing levels is warranted.

**C. Standards for Rangeland Health Assessment**

The Oregon and Washington standards for rangeland health (further referred to as standards) have been achieved and are conforming to guidelines for livestock grazing management (further referred to as guidelines; standards and guidelines together are referred to as S&Gs; 43 CFR 4180.2, 1997). A BLM interdisciplinary team (IDT) completed an S&G determination.

The determination was based on indicators of rangeland health, photo monitoring, actual use, and professional observation and was completed in 2015 by the IDT. Following the IDT review, the Authorized Officer completed an S&G determination and found that all applicable standards are still being met on the Frazier Field Allotment.

#### Achieved standards

1. Watershed Function - Uplands
3. Ecological Processes
5. Native, Threatened, or Endangered (T&E) and Locally Important Species

#### Standards not present

2. Watershed Function - Riparian
4. Water Quality

#### **D. Other Applicable Plans/Strategies**

The proposed permit renewal was also found to be in conformance with one or more of the following BLM plans or programmatic strategies:

**Instruction Memorandum (IM) 2015-121, Implementing Amended Section 402(h)(1) of Federal Land Policy and Management Act [FLPMA] – Using a Categorical Exclusion [CX] when Issuing a Grazing Permit or Lease.**

This IM provides additional policy guidance on using this CX.

#### **E. Compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)(1969) and FLPMA (1976, as amended)**

Section 402 of FLPMA of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1752) as amended by the “Carl Levin and Howard P. ‘Buck’ McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015”: Section 402(c)(2) in accordance with Section 401(a) of FLPMA authorizes permits and leases to a qualified applicant for domestic livestock grazing on public lands to be for a term of ten years, subject to terms and conditions consistent with the governing law. Section 402(h)(1), NEPA of 1969, of FLPMA states that in general – the issuance of a grazing permit or lease by the Secretary concerned may be categorically excluded from the requirement to prepare an environmental assessment (EA) or an environmental impact statement (EIS) under NEPA of 1969 (42 U.S.C. et seq.) if: 1. The issued permit or lease continues the current grazing management of the allotment; and 2. Land health assessment or evaluations have been completed in accordance with Manual Handbook H-4180-1; and 3. Based on the assessment and evaluation the Authorized Officer concludes that the allotment (a) is meeting land health standards; or (b) is not meeting land health standards due to factors other than existing livestock grazing. The grazing permit/lease being renewed under this CX meets these requirements.

This CX review was conducted by an IDT, which utilized all available allotment information to make a recommendation. As documented below, the IDT found that the proposed action did not trigger any of the extraordinary circumstances described in 516 Departmental Manual (DM) 2, appendix 2.

**CX Review and Screening for Extraordinary Circumstances:** The following extraordinary circumstances (43 CFR 46.215) may apply to individual actions within the categorical exceptions. The indicated specialist recommends the proposed action does *not*:

**CX EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES DOCUMENTATION\***

**(a)** Have significant impacts on public health or safety.

*Rationale:* Continued livestock grazing would not result in new impacts on public health or safety.

*Specialist:* John Petty, Safety Officer

*Signature and Date:*

 3/9/16

**(b)** Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); flood plains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas.

Recreation/Visual Resources

*Rationale:* No new impacts to recreation or visual resources would result from the renewal of the grazing permit as it currently exists.

*Specialist:* Mandy DeCuro, Outdoor Recreation Planner

*Signature and Date:*


 3/8/16

Wilderness/Wild and Scenic River (WSR) Resources

*Rationale:* No new impacts will result with the renewal of the grazing permit.

*Specialist:* Tom Wilcox, Wilderness Specialist

*Signature and Date:*

 3/8/2016

Water Resources/Flood Plains

*Rationale:* The proposed action to continue livestock grazing as it currently exists within the Frazier Field Allotment would not negatively affect the flood plain or any of the available water resources on BLM administered land.

*Specialist:* Jarod Lemos, Natural Resource Specialist (NRS) Riparian

*Signature and Date:*


 3/8/16

Migratory Birds

*Rationale:* The proposed action to continue livestock grazing as currently exists would not alter any of the available landscape; there will be no effect to migratory birds or their habitat.

*Specialist:* Andy Daniels, Wildlife Specialist

*Signature and Date:*


 3-9-16

Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC)/Research Natural Areas (RNA)

*Rationale:* There are no ACECs/RNAs within the Frazier Field Allotment; therefore, there will be no effects with the renewal of the grazing permit.

*Specialist:* Caryn Burri, NRS Botany

*Signature and Date:*


 3/8/16

Soils, Biological Soil Crust (BSC), Prime Farmlands

*Rationale:* No new impacts to soils and BSCs would result with the renewal of the grazing permit. There are no prime farmlands within the Frazier Field Allotment.

*Specialist:* Caryn Burri, NRS/Botany

*Signature and Date:*


 3/8/16

Historic and Cultural Resources

*Rationale:* There would be no additional effects to cultural or historic resources associated with this proposed action.

*Specialist:* Scott Thomas, District Archeologist

*Signature and Date:*

 3-8-16


- (c) Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated critical habitat for these species.

Endangered or Threatened Species - Fauna

*Rationale:* There are no threatened or endangered (T&E) species in this allotment, so there would be no effect to these species as a result of the proposed action. There will be no changes occurring on the ground to alter the available habitat that is currently there. There will be no changes to the sage-grouse species or currently existing habitat.

*Specialist:* Andy Daniels, Wildlife Specialist

*Signature and Date:*


 3-9-16

Endangered or Threatened Species - Aquatic

*Rationale:* There are no documented aquatic Federal T&E or BLM special status species (SSS) located within the Frazier Field Allotment. Therefore, the renewal of the grazing permit will have no effect to these resources.

*Specialist:* Jarod Lemos, NRS Riparian

*Signature and Date:*


 3/8/16

Endangered or Threatened Species - Flora

*Rationale:* There are no documented Federal T&E plant species, nor designated critical habitat, located within the Frazier Field Allotment. One BLM SSS plant, *Potamogeton diversifolius* (Rafinesque's pondweed), has been documented within the Frazier Field Allotment. This SSS plant is on list 5 of the Oregon Biodiversity Information Center (ORBIC). This means that the species is widespread and secure in its habitat. Renewal of the grazing permit would not trend this species towards a more critical listing.

*Specialist:* Caryn Burri, NRS Botany

*Signature and Date:*


 3/8/16

- (d) Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the bureau or office.

*Rationale:* There will be no additional effects to National Register eligible sites associated with this proposed action.

*Specialist:* Scott Thomas, District Archeologist

*Signature and Date:*


 3-8-16

- (e) Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or

significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007).

*Rationale:* Their will be no effects to access or integrity of Indian sacred sites associated with this proposed action because there are no known specific sacred sites in the project area. However, Steens Mountain is considered a spiritual place by the Burns Paiute Tribe, although specific locations of tribal spiritual use are not known by BLM.

*Specialist:* Scott Thomas, District Archeologist

*Signature and Date:*  3-8-16

- (f) Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or nonnative invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112).

*Rationale:* Noxious weeds are known to be present in and in close proximity to this allotment. Treatments are ongoing. The weeds are currently not present in sufficient quantity to be considered a significant impact in these allotments.

*Specialist:* Lesley Richman, District Weed Coordinator

*Signature and Date:*  3/8/2016

- (g) Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (NEPA section 102(2)(E)).

*Rationale:* There are no highly controversial environmental effects or unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources. The permit renewal is for an existing permit within an existing allotment, the standards for rangeland health have been achieved, and there will be no change from current management.

*Specialist:* Emily Erwin, Planning and Environmental Coordinator

*Signature and Date:*  3/11/2016

- (h) Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks.

*Rationale:* There are no highly uncertain controversial environmental effects or involvement of unique or unknown environmental risks. The permit renewal is for an existing permit within an existing allotment, the standards for rangeland health have been achieved, and there will be no change from current management.

*Specialist:* Emily Erwin, Planning and Environmental Coordinator

*Signature and Date:*  3/11/2016

- (i) Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects.

*Rationale:* Implementation would not set precedence for future actions or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects. The permit renewal is for an existing permit within an existing allotment, the standards for rangeland health have been achieved, and there will be no change from current management.


*Specialist:* Emily Erwin, Planning and Environmental Coordinator

*Signature and Date:*  3/11/2016

- (j) Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects.

*Rationale:* Implementation does not have any known direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects. The permit renewal is for an existing permit within an existing allotment, the standards for rangeland health have been achieved, and there will be no change from current management.


*Specialist:* Emily Erwin, Planning and Environmental Coordinator

*Signature and Date:*  3/11/2016

**(k)** Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.

*Rationale:* Implementation would not violate any known law or regulation imposed for the protection of the environment. The permit renewal is for an existing permit within an existing allotment, the standards for rangeland health have been achieved, and there will be no change from current management.

*Specialist:* Emily Erwin, Planning and Environmental Coordinator

*Signature and Date:*  3/11/2016

**(l)** Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898).

*Rationale:* Implementation would not have a disproportionately high or adverse effect on low income or minority populations as such populations do not exist within the project area.


*Specialist:* Emily Erwin, Planning and Environmental Coordinator

*Signature and Date:*  3/11/2016

\*Numbering is not based on numbering from the extraordinary circumstances checklist from 43 CFR 46.215.


**F. Signatures**

*Specialist:* Stacy Fenton, Geographic Information Specialist

*Signature:*  *Date:* 3/9/16


**RMP conformance and CX review confirmation:**

*Specialist:* Emily Erwin, Planning and Environmental Coordinator

*Signature:*  *Date:* 3/11/2016

**Management Determination:** Based upon review of this proposal, I have determined the proposed action is in conformance with the LUP, qualifies as a CX, and does not require further NEPA analysis.

*Authorized Officer:* Rhonda Karges, Andrews/Steens Resource Area Field Manager

*Signature:*  *Date:* 3/11/16

**G. Contact Person**

For additional information concerning this CX review, contact Justin DeCruz, Rangeland Management Specialist, BLM, Burns District Office, 28910 Highway 20 West, Hines, Oregon 97738, (541) 541-4400.

For additional information concerning this CX review, contact Justin DeCroo, Rangeland Management Specialist, BLM, Burns District Office, 28910 Highway 20 West, Hines, Oregon 97738, (541) 541-4400.

---

## *GRAZING RELATED DECISION*

**Decision:** It is my proposed decision to implement the proposed action, as described above (section “A” of the CX), to issue a fully processed , 10-year grazing permit for the Frazier Field Allotment.

**Rationale:** Record of Performance: Pursuant to 43 CFR 4110.1(b)(1), a grazing permit may not be renewed if the permittee seeking renewal has an unsatisfactory record of performance with respect to his or her last grazing permit. Accordingly, I have reviewed your record as a grazing permit holder for the Frazier Field Allotment and have determined that you have a satisfactory record of performance relative to compliance with terms and conditions of your existing permit and are a qualified applicant for the purpose of a permit renewal.

The grazing permit/lease being renewed under this CX meets the following requirements in accordance with Section 402 of FLPMA of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1752) as amended by the “Carl Levin and Howard P. ‘Buck’ McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015”:

- The issued permit or lease continues the current grazing management of the allotment;
- A land health assessment and evaluation have been completed in accordance with Manual Handbook II-4180-1;
- The Authorized Officer concludes the findings from the evaluation report that: a. the allotment subject to evaluation is meeting land health standards, or b. the allotment subject to evaluation is not meeting standards due to factors other than livestock grazing

Because this Proposed Decision continues current grazing management; the terms and conditions will be the same between the existing and renewed grazing permit.

There will be no new impacts or effects as a result of issuance of a new permit. This Proposed Decision does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment; therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an EIS is required (40 CFR 1508.4). Refer to the CX.

Rangeland Health: Rangeland Health Determination was completed in 2015 and indicated all Standards present were being achieved, including Standard 5 (Federal threatened and endangered species, Federal Proposed, Category 1 and 2 Federal candidates and other special status species), which includes sage-grouse.

The utilization level as measured at the end of the growing season will not exceed 60 percent on non-native seedings and 50 percent on native herbaceous forage plants, on a pasture average basis, as stated in the Steens CMPA RMP/ROD (RMP-53).

Greater Sage-Grouse: The BLM specialists noted no sage-grouse related concerns during the Rangeland Health Assessment. In the CX, BLM determined that continuing current grazing management (the proposed action) did not have a significant effect on sage-grouse as no new impacts will result with renewal of the grazing permit.

In addition, BLM has determined that this Proposed Decision is in conformance with the September 18, 2015, Approved Resource Management Plan for Greater Sage-Grouse in Oregon (GRSG ARMPA).

The BLM CX considered and disclosed the potential impacts of the permit renewal on Greater sage-grouse indicating there are no changes to sage-grouse or currently existing habitat. The allotment is not in a SFA. There are 2,942 acres of GHMA and 16,692 acres of PHMA. 16,777 acres of the allotment are located within the Steens PAC. Spatial data, including allotment boundaries and sage-grouse habitat are available online<sup>1</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> Spatial data is available at the following website: <http://www.blm.gov/or/energy/opportunity/final/data.php>

This Proposed Decision conforms to lek buffer distances listed in Table 2-3 of the GRSG ARMPA (page 2-8) and direction to not congregate livestock during the breeding season between March 1 through June 30 (GRSG ARMPA page 2-19). The existing fences within 1.2 mile of a lek are already marked, and the decision does not include upgrading existing primitive roads in the allotments (GRSG ARMPA page 2-31 and 2-32).

Grazing management monitoring typically focuses on livestock management and vegetation response. Livestock management will be monitored through use supervision, actual use reporting, and photo documentation. The BLM will follow the monitoring requirements in the Management Decisions for Livestock grazing identified in the September 18, 2015 Approved Resource Management Plan for Greater-Sage-grouse in Oregon (pages 2-17 to 2-21), as well as the Greater Sage-grouse Monitoring Framework Appendix D, the Adaptive Management Strategy Appendix J, the Allotment Management Plan dated August 12, 1991 on page 5, The Steens CMPA RMP/ROD dated August 2005, the Steens Mountain CMPA Monitoring Plan dated March 17, 2011.

Wilderness, Wilderness Study Areas: There are two Wilderness Study Areas (WSAs) within the allotment of this permit: Blitzen River WSA and Bridge Creek WSA. The Steens Mountain Wilderness is within this allotment. The current grazing management practices have not negatively impacted the WSAs or Wilderness; therefore, no new impacts to these special management areas would occur with the renewal of the grazing permit. The BLM has appropriately disclosed and analyzed the effects of the proposed action on designated wilderness areas, Wilderness Study Areas (WSA), and lands with wilderness characteristics. The CX concludes no new impacts to WSAs or Wilderness will result with the renewal of the grazing permit.

**Authority:** The authorities under which this decision is being issued include the Taylor Grazing Act of 1934, as amended; FLPMA of 1976, as promulgated through 43 CFR 4100, Grazing Administration – Exclusive of Alaska; and 43 CFR 1601.0-5(b). My decision is issued under the following specific regulations:

- 4100.0-8 Land use plans: The AMU and Steens Mountain CMPA RMP/ROD, August 2005, designates the Frazier Field Allotment available for livestock grazing and the permit is in conformance with the land use plan as defined at 43 CFR 1601.0-5(b);
- 4130.2 Grazing permits or leases: Grazing permits may be issued to qualified applicants on lands designated as available for livestock grazing. Grazing permits shall be issued for a term of 10 years unless the Authorized Officer determines that a lesser term is in the best interest of sound management;
- 4130.3 Terms and conditions: Grazing permits must specify the terms and conditions that are needed to achieve desired resource conditions, including both mandatory and other terms and conditions;
- 4180 Fundamentals of rangeland health and standards and guidelines for grazing administration: The allotment covered in this decision is meeting S&Gs or, if not meeting, the causal factor is not current livestock grazing.

**Protest and Appeal Procedures:** Any applicant, permittee, lessee, or other interested public may protest a proposed decision under 43 CFR 4160.1 and 4160.2, in person or in writing to Rhonda Karges, Field Manager, Andrews/Steens Resource Area, Burns District Office, 28910 Highway 20 West, Hines, Oregon 97738, within 15 days after receipt of such decision. The protest, if filed, should clearly and concisely state the reason(s) why the proposed decision is in error.

A written protest electronically transmitted (e.g., email, facsimile, or social media) will not be accepted; a written protest must be printed or typed on paper and delivered to BLM in person or by mail. A written protest must be received by the BLM no later than the end of the protest period by the ordinary close of business for the day. A protest made in person must be made to the Authorized Officer, or designee, by the end of the protest period by the ordinary close of business for the day.

In the absence of a protest, the proposed decision will become the final decision of the Authorized Officer without further notice unless otherwise provided in the proposed decision.

Any applicant, permittee, lessee, or other person whose interest is adversely affected by the final decision may file an appeal of the decision. An appellant may also file a petition for stay of the decision pending final determination on appeal. The notice of appeal and petition for stay must be filed in the office of the Authorized Officer, as noted

above, within 30 days following receipt of the final decision, or within 30 days after the date the proposed decision becomes final.

The appeal must be in writing and shall state the reasons, clearly and concisely, why the appellant thinks the final decision is in error and also must comply with the provisions of 43 CFR 4.470. A notice of appeal and/or request for stay electronically transmitted (e.g., email, facsimile, or social media) will not be accepted. A notice of appeal and/or request for stay must be on paper.

The appellant must also serve a copy of the appeal by certified mail on the Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Department of the Interior, 805 SW Broadway, Suite 600, Portland, Oregon 97205, and on any person(s) named (43 CFR 4.422(c)) in the Copies sent to: section of this decision.

**Standards for Obtaining a Stay:** Except as otherwise provided by law or other pertinent regulation, a petition for a stay of decision pending appeal shall show sufficient justification based on the following standards (43 CFR 4.471(c)):

- (1) The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied,
- (2) The likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits,
- (3) The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted, and
- (4) Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

As noted above, the petition for stay must be filed in the office of the Authorized Officer, must be written or typed on paper, and must be filed with BLM in person or by certified mail at the same time the notice of appeal is filed with BLM.

Authorized Officer: Rhonda Karges, Andrews/Steens Resource Area Field Manager

Signature:  Date: 2/21/10

Copies sent to:

Kurt and Veronica Spencer  
6459 Oak Hill Road  
Roseburg, Oregon 97471  
Certified mail – 7015-1660-0001-0465-2062  
Return receipt requested

The Honorable Steven E. Grasty  
Harney County Courthouse  
450 N. Buena Vista Avenue #5  
Burns, Oregon 97720  
Certified mail – 7015-1660-0001-0465-2079  
Return receipt requested

Rod Klus  
Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife  
P.O. Box 8  
Hines, Oregon 97738  
Certified mail – 7015-1660-0001-0465-2086  
Return receipt requested

Charlotte Rodrique  
Tribal Council Chairperson  
Burns Paiute Tribe  
100 PaSiGo Street  
Burns, Oregon 97720  
Certified mail – 7015-1660-0001-0465-2093  
Return receipt requested



Dan Morse  
Oregon Natural Desert Association  
50 SW Bond Street, Suite E  
Bend, Oregon 97702  
Certified mail – 7015-1660-0001-0465-2109  
Return receipt requested

Peter M. Lacey  
Oregon Natural Desert Association  
917 SW Oak Street, Suite 419  
Portland, Oregon 97205  
Certified mail – 7015-1660-0001-0465-2116  
Return receipt requested

Western Watershed Project  
P.O. Box 1602  
Hailey, Idaho 83333  
Certified mail – 7015-1660-0001-0465-2123  
Return receipt requested

Wildlands Defense  
P.O. Box 125  
Boise, Idaho 83701  
Certified mail – 7015-1660-0001-0465-2130  
Return receipt requested

# Map A Frazier Field Permit Renewal Andrews Resource Area



- Allotment Boundary
- Andrews Resource Area Boundary
- Highways
- Not All Roads Are Shown

Note: No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management as to the accuracy, reliability or completeness of these data for individual or aggregate use with other data. Original data was compiled from various sources and may be updated without notification.



DOI-BLM-ORWA-0060-2016-0019-CX  
US DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
Bureau of Land Management  
Burns District, Oregon




2/19/2016 s16nlon  
P:\bns\Maps\_Allotment\FrazierField\_PermitA.mxd



Map B

Frazier Field Allotment Permit Renewal



- |  |                    |  |                       |  |                                |  |                           |
|--|--------------------|--|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
|  | Well               |  | Allotments            |  | BLM Wilderness Study Area      |  | Intermittent Lake         |
|  | Reservoir          |  | Pastures              |  | Bureau of Land Management      |  | Playa                     |
|  | Waterhole          |  | Fence                 |  | U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service |  | Marsh                     |
|  | Spring Development |  | Steens Mtn Wilderness |  | Private/Unknown                |  | Non-Paved Improved Road   |
|  | Trough             |  |                       |  | Perennial Lake                 |  | Primitive/Unknown Surface |
|  | Dam                |  |                       |  | Perennial Streams              |  | Intermittent Streams      |



DOI-BLM-ORWA-0060-2016-0019-CX  
 Note: No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management as to the accuracy, reliability or completeness of these data for individual or aggregate use with other data. Original data was compiled from various sources and may be updated without notification.

US DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 Bureau of Land Management  
 Burns District, Oregon