

**Worksheet Documentation of Land Use Plan Conformance  
and NEPA Adequacy (DNA)  
U.S. Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management (BLM)**

---

**NEPA#:** DOI-BLM-ID-I010-2016-0002-DNA

**BLM Office:** Upper Snake Field Office

**Lease/Serial/Case File No.: Proposed Action Title/Type:** Lorenzo Boat Ramp Bank Barb

**Location of Proposed Action:** The South Fork of the Snake River Lorenzo Boat Ramp located 5 miles northeast of Rigby, Idaho in Jefferson County.

**Description of the Proposed Action:** Install a bank barb on the upstream side of the boat ramp at Lorenzo Boat Access. Due to the safety concerns at the boat ramp during the summer of 2016, an emergency bank barb was installed to limit the flow of water over the boat ramp. A permanent bank barb needs to be installed properly into the bed of the river at the emergency bank barb location.

**Applicant (if any):**

N/A

**Conformance with the Land Use Plan (LUP) and Consistency with Related Subordinate Implementation Plans**

LUP Name: *Medicine Lodge Resource Management Plan*

Date Approved: April 1985

The proposed action is in conformance with the LUP, even though it is not specifically provided for, because it is clearly consistent with the following LUP decisions (objectives, terms, and conditions) and, if applicable, implementation plan decisions:

Management Area 9 Snake River, Objective 7 (page 38), "To manage for the recreation values and uses of the area."

The proposed action is in conformance with the LUP, even though it is not specifically provided for, because it is clearly consistent with the following LUP decisions (objectives, terms, and conditions) and, if applicable, implementation plan decisions:

**Identify the applicable NEPA document(s) and other related documents that cover the proposed action.**

This proposed action is addressed in the following existing BLM EA/EIS:

**Name/Number of NEPA Document:**

The proposed action is addressed in the EA: *Lorenzo Boat Ramp Bank Barbs and Erosion Control Environmental Assessment ID-310-2007-EA-3585*

**NEPA Adequacy Criteria**

**Is the current proposed action substantially the same action (or is a part of that action) as previously analyzed?**

Yes, the proposed action (bank barb and erosion control) is substantially the same action that was previously analyzed. The bank stabilization complies with the alternative selected or analyzed in the *Lorenzo Boat Ramp Bank Barbs and Erosion Control Environmental Assessment ID-310-2007-EA-3585*

**Is the range of alternatives analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s) appropriate with respect to the current proposed action, given current environmental concerns, interests, resource values, and circumstances?**

Yes, Chapter 2 of the Lorenzo Boat Ramp Bank Barbs and Erosion Control Environmental Assessment (2007) describes the alternatives considered for a boat access and associated facilities on BLM-administered lands within the USFO. The action alternative is described in detail and the alternatives considered but not carried through for full analysis are presented. A description of the No Action Alternative (no change from current management) is also included as required by CEQ regulations (40 CFR 1502.14d).

Two alternatives were developed by the Interdisciplinary (ID) team on issues identified during internal scoping. A full analysis of the two alternatives is described in the EA including direct, indirect and cumulative impacts (Chapters 3 and 4).

**Is the existing analysis adequate and are the conclusions adequate in light of any new information or circumstances (including, for example, riparian proper functioning condition [PFC] reports; rangeland health standards assessments; Unified Watershed Assessment categorizations; inventory and monitoring data; most recent Fish and Wildlife Service lists of threatened, endangered, proposed, and candidate species; most recent BLM lists of sensitive species)? Can you reasonably conclude that all new information and all new circumstances are insignificant with regard to analysis of the proposed action?**

Yes, there has been no significant change in circumstances or significant new information germane to the proposed action. No new information is presented under the proposed action to warrant any further analysis. The proposed action is adequately analyzed under the existing NEPA document *Lorenzo Boat Ramp Bank Barbs and Erosion Control Environmental Assessment ID-310-2007-EA-3585*

**Do the methodology and analytical approach used in the existing NEPA document(s) continue to be appropriate for the current proposed action?**

Yes, the Environmental Assessment provides sufficient detailed assessments of all alternatives including the proposed action alternative to put in a bank barb.

**Are the direct and indirect impacts of the current proposed action substantially unchanged from those identified in the existing NEPA document(s)? Does the existing NEPA document sufficiently analyze site-specific impacts related to the current proposed action?**

Yes, the direct and indirect impacts of the bank barb installation are unchanged from those identified in the existing *Lorenzo Boat Ramp Bank Barbs and Erosion Control Environmental Assessment ID-310-2007-EA-3585*. The current NEPA document specifically analyses impacts related to bank barb installation.

**Can you conclude without additional analysis or information that the cumulative impacts that would result from implementation of the current proposed action are substantially unchanged from those analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)?**

Yes, cumulative impacts of the proposed action are essentially the same as those identified in the existing document. The direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts section of the *Lorenzo Boat Ramp Bank Barbs and Erosion Control Environmental Assessment ID-310-2007-EA-3585* accurately describes impacts associated with bank barb installation and may be found within Chapter 4 of the NEPA document.

**Are the public involvement and interagency review associated with existing NEPA document(s) adequately for the current proposed action?**

Yes, section 5 of the *Lorenzo Boat Ramp Bank Barbs and Erosion Control Environmental Assessment ID-310-2007-EA-3585* lists the individual resource specialists who participated in the preparation of the EA. Also, public involvement during the broader EA process was in accordance with NEPA timelines. The final EA was available to the public for a thirty day comment period and no comments were received either positive or negative from any constituents or members of the public. The Shoshone-Bannock Tribes were consulted during the process and did not provide comments related to the EA.

**Interdisciplinary Analysis:** Identify those team members conducting or participating in the preparation of this worksheet.

Name	Title	Resource Represented
Ryan Beatty	Fisheries Biologist	Fisheries
Amy Forsgren	Recreation Technician	Recreation
Deena Teel	Ecologist	Botany
Devon Englestead	Wildlife Biologist	Wildlife
Dan Kontansky	Hydrologist	Hydrology
Monica Zimmerman	Outdoor Recreation Planner	Recreation

**Mitigation Measures:**

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the review documented above, I conclude that this proposal conforms to the applicable land use plan and that the existing NEPA documentation fully covers the proposed action and constitutes BLM's compliance with the requirements of NEPA.


Note: If one or more of the criteria are not met, a conclusion of conformance and/or NEPA adequacy cannot be made and this box cannot be checked

/s/Deena Teel  
Deena Teel  
Supervisory Natural Resource Specialist  
Date: 02/08/2016

/s/Marissa King  
Marissa King  
NEPA Reviewer  
Date: 02/08/2016


/s/Glen Guenther  
Glen Guenther  
Acting Upper Snake Field Manager  
Date: 02/08/2016

# Lorenzo Boat Access



Map Created: 6/22/2015

1:33,782



Map Projection: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 12N



No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management. The accuracy, reliability, or completeness of these data for individual use or aggregate use with other data is not guaranteed. The following cannot be made Section 508 compliant. For help with its data or information, please contact the BLM Idaho State Office Webmaster at 208-373-4000.