

**WORKSHEET  
DOCUMENTATION OF LAND USE PLAN CONFORMANCE  
AND DETERMINATION OF NEPA ADEQUACY (DNA)**

**DOI-BLM-UT-C010-2015-0054-DNA**



U.S. Department of the Interior  
Utah Bureau of Land Management (BLM)

---

**A. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION AND ANY APPLICABLE MITIGATION MEASURES**

**BLM Office:** Cedar City Field Office

**Lease/Serial/Case File No:** UTU-91241

**Proposed Action Title/Type:** C4 Outdoors (Jason G. Carter) Film Permit

**Location of Proposed Action:** All Bureau of Land Management (BLM) administered lands located within the Southwest Desert 2015 Big Game Hunting Unit in Iron, Beaver and Millard Counties, Utah. The subject lands are administered by the BLM Cedar City and Fillmore Field Offices. *Appendix A* is a map of the Southwest Desert Hunting Unit.

**Description of the Proposed Action:** An application was received at the Cedar City Field Office on June 22, 2015 from C4 Outdoors for a no-impact commercial wildlife filming permit, pursuant to Section 302(b) of the Federal Land Management and Policy Act (FLPMA).

The action proposed by C4 Outdoors would be to secure a film permit during the elk hunt within the Southwest Desert Unit. The footage from the hunt, if successful, would be used for commercial purposes on a hunting DVD or TV show. The proposed dates for this film permit would be August 15 through October 02, 2015 to coincide with C4 Outdoors' permitted/licensed hunter. All filming would be on foot and "over the shoulder" of the hunter. No helicopters, sets, props, etc. would be used during the filming of this hunt. C4 Outdoors proposes to have six or less handheld or tripod mounted cameras and four small (less than one ton) 4x4 vehicles. All off-highway vehicles (OHV's) would remain on existing roads and trails.

The hunting and filming activity as proposed would normally meet the definition of casual use under 43 CFR 2920.0-5(k) and not require a permit. It is only the commercial nature of the filming that makes a permit necessary under the 2920 regulations. All of the proposed action outside of Wilderness Study Areas (WSAs) is categorically excluded from the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) 516 DM 11.9.E (19). However, there are Wilderness Study Areas (WSAs) located within the permit area and the Interim Management Policy for Lands Under Wilderness Review, Chapter II, B.6, states that the use of NEPA categorical exclusion reviews for uses in WSAs is *not allowed*. Therefore, this DNA is being prepared to tier to the statewide programmatic environmental assessment (EA UT-USO-06-004) for *No-Impact Commercial Filming on BLM-managed Lands in Utah within Wilderness Study Areas and the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument (dated June 26, 2006)*.

In accordance with the above mentioned EA the permit would be subject at minimum to the following stipulations and mitigation measures:

- Filming will be by use of hand-held cameras or cameras with tripods.
- Any activity that will take place within listed Mexican spotted owl, bald eagle, and California condor habitat will be subject to the conditions outlined in the *Utah Field Office Guidelines for Raptor Protection from Human and Land Use Disturbances* (USDI, FWS, 2002). If filming is to take place near suitable southwestern willow flycatcher habitats one of the following will be applied: 1) filming will occur outside of the willow flycatcher nesting season, 2) crews would maintain a ¼ mile buffer to minimize disturbances to nesting flycatchers, or 3) flycatcher surveys would be conducted prior to filming to determine presence/absence, and avoidance measures would be implemented where occupied habitats occur. Riparian habitats would remain unharmed.
- Generated trash/debris should be removed from public lands and discarded at an authorized facility.
- The Holder or its contractors will notify the BLM of any fires and comply with all rules and regulations administered by the BLM concerning the use, prevention and suppression of fires on federal lands, including any fire prevention orders that may be in effect at the time of the permitted activity. The Holder or its contractors may be held liable for the cost of fire suppression, stabilization and rehabilitation. In the event of a fire, personal safety will be the first priority of the holder or its contractors. The Holder or its contractors will:
  - Operate all internal and external combustion engines on federally managed lands per 36 CFR 261.52, which requires all such engines to be equipped with a qualified spark arrester that is maintained and not modified.
  - Initiate fire suppression actions in the work area to prevent fire spread to or on federally administered lands.
  - Carry shovels, water, and fire extinguishers that are rated at a minimum as ABC – 5 pound on all equipment and vehicles. If a fire spreads beyond the suppression capability of workers with these tools, all will cease fire suppression action and leave the area immediately via pre-identified escape routes.
- Notify the Cedar City Interagency Fire Center (435) 865-4600, or 911 immediately of the location and status of any escaped fire.

- If new extraordinary circumstances (i.e. federal species listings) or other direct and indirect impacts are identified or become apparent under this permit, specifications may need to be reviewed and possibly adjusted accordingly.
- No “take” of any federally threatened/endangered or BLM special status species are to occur. “Take” is defined as harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture or collect is strictly prohibited. If any take or harm to a listed species occurs, the applicant is to notify the BLM immediately.
- No camping within 200 ft. of riparian areas is allowed.
- Care should be taken by the applicant to avoid/minimize the disturbance to burrows and mounds while hunting and where camping may occur.
- If any sensitive species are discovered during hunts which may be affected or disturbed, all activities that may affect this resource will cease and notification will be made to the BLM.

#### **B. LAND USE PLAN (LUP) CONFORMANCE:**

LUP Name: Cedar Beaver Garfield Antimony Resource Management Plan (CBGA RMP)

Date Approved: October 1, 1986

LUP Name: Pinyon Management Framework Plan (Pinyon MFP)

Date Approved: June 10, 1983

LUP Name: Warm Springs Resource Management Plan (Warm Springs RMP)

Date Approved: March 30, 1987

LUP Name: House Range Resource Management Plan (House Range RMP)

Date Approved: October 28, 1987

Issuing no-impact commercial filming permits is in conformance with all Utah BLM land use plans. The activity is considered casual use but a permit is required because of the commercial nature of the activity.

#### **C. IDENTIFY THE APPLICABLE NEPA DOCUMENT(S) AND OTHER RELATED DOCUMENTS THAT COVER THE PROPOSED ACTION:**

NEPA documents which cover the permit action include the CBGA RMP, Pinyon MFP, Warm Springs RMP, House Range RMP and EA UT-USO-06-004, *No-Impact Commercial Filming on BLM-managed Lands in Utah within Wilderness Study Areas and the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument.*

## D. NEPA ADEQUACY CRITERIA

1. Is the new proposed action a feature of, or essentially similar to, an alternative analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)? Is the project within the same analysis area, or if the project location is different, are the geographic and resource conditions sufficiently similar to those analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)? If there are differences, can you explain why they are not substantial?

### LUPs:

The new proposed action is a feature of these NEPA documents, within the same analysis area. The new proposed action is consistent with the definition of casual use identified in each plan.

### **No-Impact Commercial Filming on BLM-managed Lands in Utah within Wilderness Study Areas and the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument:**

The new proposed action is a feature of this NEPA document, within the same analysis area. As stated in chapter 3 of the EA, this NEPA document analyzed no-impact commercial filming in all Utah BLM wilderness study areas and the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument. The issuance of a permit for no-impact commercial filming outside of wilderness study areas is categorically excluded from NEPA analysis.

2. Is the range of alternatives analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s) appropriate with respect to the new proposed action, given current environmental concerns, interests, and resource values?

### LUPs:

Given that the new proposed action is a no-impact action and falls under the category of casual use except for the commercial nature of the action the range of alternatives analyzed in the LUPs are appropriate given current environmental concerns, interests, and resource values.

### **No-Impact Commercial Filming on BLM-managed Lands in Utah within Wilderness Study Areas and the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument:**

The range of alternatives analyzed in this NEPA document (the Proposed Action allowing no-impact filming in WSAs, the No Action alternative addressing no-impact filming on a case-by-case basis, and the restricting no-impact filming from WSAs alternative) is appropriate with respect to the new proposed action. No current environmental concerns, interests, or resource values have been identified which would require additional analysis beyond the analysis provided in this NEPA document.

3. Is the existing analysis valid in light of any new information or circumstances (such as, rangeland health standard assessment, recent endangered species listings, updated lists of BLM-sensitive species)? Can you reasonably conclude that new information and new circumstances would not substantially change the analysis of the new proposed action?

### LUPs:

No new information or circumstances (See Appendix B: ID Team Analysis Record Checklist) have been identified which would render the analysis contained in these NEPA documents invalid, or which would substantially change the analysis of the new proposed action. No changes in conditions have occurred which would necessitate further analysis.

### **No-Impact Commercial Filming on BLM-managed Lands in Utah within Wilderness Study Areas and the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument:**

No new information or circumstances (See Appendix B: ID Team Analysis Record Checklist) have been identified which would render the analysis contained in this NEPA document invalid, or which would substantially change the analysis of the new proposed action. No changes in conditions have occurred which would necessitate further analysis.

4. Are the direct, indirect, and cumulative effects that would result from implementation of the new proposed action similar (both quantitatively and qualitatively) to those analyzed in the existing NEPA document?

**LUPs:**

The direct, indirect, and cumulative effects that would result from implementation of the new proposed action are similar (both quantitatively and qualitatively) to those analyzed in these NEPA documents. As previously noted, no changes in conditions have occurred which would necessitate further analysis. Additionally, the proposed stipulations and mitigation measures will ensure this is a no-impact action.

**No-Impact Commercial Filming on BLM-managed Lands in Utah within Wilderness Study Areas and the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument:**

The direct, indirect, and cumulative effects that would result from implementation of the new proposed action are similar (both quantitatively and qualitatively) to those analyzed in this NEPA document. As previously noted, no changes in conditions have occurred which would necessitate further analysis. Additionally, the proposed stipulations and mitigation measures will ensure this is a no-impact action.

5. Are the public involvement and interagency review associated with existing NEPA document(s) adequate for the current proposed action?

**LUPs:**

The public involvement and interagency review associated with this NEPA document is adequate for the current proposed action.

**No-Impact Commercial Filming on BLM-managed Lands in Utah within Wilderness Study Areas and the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument:**

The public involvement and interagency review associated with this NEPA document is adequate for the current proposed action.

**E. PERSONS/AGENCIES/BLM STAFF CONSULTED:**

See attached Interdisciplinary Team Analysis Record Checklist in *Appendix B*. Also refer to the aforementioned NEPA documents for a complete list of the team members participating in the preparation of the original environmental analysis or planning documents.

---

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the review documented above, I conclude that this proposal conforms to the applicable land use plan and that the NEPA documentation fully covers the proposed action and constitutes BLM’s compliance with the requirements of the NEPA.

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature of Project Lead**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**


\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature of NEPA Coordinator**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**


\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature of the Responsible Official**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

**Note:** The signed Conclusion of this Worksheet is part of an interim step in the BLM’s internal decision process and does not constitute an appealable decision. However, the lease, permit, or other authorization based on this DNA is subject to protest or appeal under 43 CFR Part 4 and the program-specific regulations.



# Appendix A: Map of Southwest Desert Unit



## Appendix B: ID TEAM ANALYSIS RECORD CHECKLIST

### INTERDISCIPLINARY TEAM ANALYSIS RECORD CHECKLIST (CCFO)

**Project Title:** C4 Outdoors (Jason Carter)

**NEPA Log Number:** DOI-BLM-UT-C010-2015-0054-DNA

**File/Serial Number:** UTU-91241

**Project Leader:** Michelle Campeau

**DETERMINATION OF STAFF: (Choose one of the following abbreviated options for the left column)**

NP = not present in the area impacted by the proposed or alternative actions

NI = present, but not affected to a degree that detailed analysis is required

PI = present with potential for significant impact analyzed in detail in the EA; or identified in a DNA as requiring further analysis

NC = (DNAs only) actions and impacts not changed from those disclosed in the existing NEPA documents cited in Section C of the DNA form.

Determination	Resource	Rationale for Determination*	Signature	Date
<b>CRITICAL ELEMENTS</b>				
NC	Air Quality	Previous analysis adequate. See page 5 of EA UT-USO-06-004.		
NP	Areas of Critical Environmental Concern	There are no ACEC's in the field office management area		
NI	Cultural Resources	No direct impacts to cultural resources will take place by this undertaking. No secondary impacts are expected since this filming does not highlight archaeological resources in the area.		
NI	Environmental Justice	Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and Executive Order 12898 ("Environmental Justice") require federal agencies to identify and address "disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of its programs, policies and activities on minority populations and low-income populations." In accordance with CEQ Environmental Justice Guidelines, minority populations should be identified and effects to them analyzed, if either of the following two conditions apply: (1) of those likely to be affected by the Proposed Action, 50 percent or more would be part of the minority population, and (2) within the project area, the minority population percentage is greater than the minority population percentage outside the project area or in the general population. Neither of these conditions applies to the project area for this effort. Therefore, implementation and potential environmental consequences of the action considered in this DNA would not disproportionately affect any specific group of people (including any racial, ethnic, or socioeconomic group).	Michelle Campeau	06/25/2015
NC	Farmlands (Prime or Unique)	Previous analysis adequate. See page 5 of EA UT-USO-06-004.		
NC	Floodplains	Previous analysis adequate. See page 5 of EA UT-USO-06-004		
NC	Invasive, Non-native Species	Previous analysis adequate (see Resource ID checklist). The proposal includes stipulation recommended in EA that clothing and vehicles will be inspected for noxious weeds, and weed-free hay will be required for horses.		

Determination	Resource	Rationale for Determination*	Signature	Date
NI	Native American Religious Concerns	Permitting this filming will not cause direct or indirect impacts to known Native American Religious Concerns or sacred sites.		
NC	Threatened, Endangered or Candidate Plant Species	Previous analysis for T&E plants is adequate – PG 4 EA UT-USO-06-004		
NC	Threatened, Endangered or Candidate Animal Species	Previous analysis for TEC wildlife is adequate – PG 5 EA UT-USO-006-004		
NI	Wastes (hazardous or solid)	Nothing in the proposal would create any anticipated impacts in regards to any waste issues.		
NC	Water Quality (drinking/ground)	Previous analysis for water quality is adequate – PG 5 EA UT-USO-006-004.		
NC	Wetlands/Riparian Zones	Analysis contained in EA UT-USO-06-004 is adequate for wetlands and riparian zones as there would be no impact from the activity described		
NP	Wild and Scenic Rivers	There are no WSR's in the field office management area.		
NI	Wilderness	There will be no impact to this resource as long as existing stipulations for wilderness study areas are followed.		

**OTHER RESOURCES / CONCERNS\*\***

NI	Rangeland Health Standards and Guidelines	Nothing in the proposal would create an impact to Rangeland Health Standards and Guidelines. No impacts beyond the casual use from the public that occurs during big game hunting seasons would take place.		
NI	Livestock Grazing	Nothing in the proposal would create an impact to Livestock Grazing. No impacts beyond the casual use from the public that occurs during big game hunting seasons would take place.		
NC	Woodland / Forestry	Previous analysis is adequate. See Resource Review checklist of EA UT-USO-06-004.		
NI	Vegetation including Special Status Plant Species other than FWS candidate or listed species	Vegetation: Nothing in the proposal would create an impact to Vegetation. No impacts beyond the casual use from the public that occurs during big game hunting seasons would take place.  Previous analysis is adequate for special status plant species – PG 4 EA UT-USO-06-004		
NI	Fish and Wildlife Including Special Status Species other than FWS candidate or listed species eg. Migratory birds.	Previous analysis is adequate for special status wildlife species and raptor/migratory birds - PG 7 EA UT-USO-06-004		
NC	Soils	Previous analysis is adequate. See Resource Review checklist of EA UT-USO-06-004.		
NI	Recreation	There are no existing recreation resources which would be affected as a result of this proposal.		
NI	Visual Resources	Visual Resources will not be affected by this action.		
NI	Geology / Mineral Resources/Energy Production	No impact to Geology / Mineral Resources / Energy Production, due to lack of surface disturbance.		

NI	Paleontology	No impact to paleontological resources, due to lack of surface disturbance and absence of sensitive high-value resources in project area.		
NI	Lands / Access	Filming activities would require vehicle use only on existing roads and trails designated as open to vehicular use. Walking, hiking or horseback riding would occur on existing trails and cross-country. Filming activities would not conflict with use by the public or require closures.		
NI	Fuels / Fire Management	There would be no impact to Fire or Fuels management with the proposed action.		
NI	Socio-economics	Very minor positive socioeconomic impacts may be realized due to this commercial filming activity and the temporary work it may create. There is a very minor potential for increased visitation to the areas that are captured on the resulting commercial film which could have a minor effect on local economies.	Michelle Campeau	06/25/2015
NI	Wild Horses and Burros	Nothing in the proposal would create an impact to Wild Horses in the Cedar City Field Office Area. No impacts beyond the casual use from the public that occurs during big game hunting seasons would take place.		
NI	Wilderness characteristics	This project will not impact any proposed or identified wilderness characteristics.		

**FINAL REVIEW:**

Reviewer Title	Signature	Date	Comments
NEPA / Environmental Coordinator			
Authorized Officer			

## Appendix B: ID TEAM ANALYSIS RECORD CHECKLIST

### INTERDISCIPLINARY TEAM ANALYSIS RECORD CHECKLIST (FFO)

**Project Title:** C4 Outdoors (Jason Carter)

**NEPA Log Number:** DOI-BLM-UT-C010-2015-0054-DNA

**File/Serial Number:** UTU-91241

**Project Leader:** Michelle Campeau (Cedar City Field Office)

**DETERMINATION OF STAFF: (Choose one of the following abbreviated options for the left column)**

NP = not present in the area impacted by the proposed or alternative actions

NI = present, but not affected to a degree that detailed analysis is required

PI = present with potential for significant impact analyzed in detail in the EA; or identified in a DNA as requiring further analysis

NC = (DNAs only) actions and impacts not changed from those disclosed in the existing NEPA documents cited in Section C of the DNA form.

Determination	Resource	Rationale for Determination*	Signature	Date
<b>CRITICAL ELEMENTS</b>				
NC	Air Quality	The nature of the proposed project does not present any foreseeable impacts to Air Quality.		
NC	Areas of Critical Environmental Concern	There are no ACEC's in the proposed project area.		
NC	Cultural Resources	See Attached waiver.		
NC	Environmental Justice	The nature of the proposed project does not present any foreseeable impacts to Environmental Justice.		
NC	Farmlands (Prime or Unique)	The proposal does not introduce any new impacts to prime and unique farmlands over what was analyzed in the previous EA.		
NC	Floodplains	The proposed filming activity would have similar very low to low impacts on floodplains that other hunts operating with a legal hunting license and permit would have. This DNA does not reflect any change from what is discussed about floodplains in the EA.		
NC	Invasive, Non-native Species	See Attachment		
NC	Native American Religious Concerns	See Attached waiver.		
NC	Threatened, Endangered or Candidate Plant Species	No change to the existing EA analysis-no known federally-listed plant species present.		
NC	Threatened, Endangered or Candidate Animal Species	The federally "threatened" Utah Prairie Dog is known to occur within Millard County of the Fillmore Field. Due to the timing of the action, no proposed ground disturbance and the hibernation period of UPDs, a "no effect" determination is concluded for this species and no further analysis is required. See the Fish and Wildlife Biological Evaluation Report for additional stipulations.		

Determination	Resource	Rationale for Determination*	Signature	Date
NC	Wastes (hazardous or solid)	The nature of project there will be no impact to Hazardous or Solid wastes		
NC	Water Quality (drinking/ground)	Per BLM regulations, camping is not allowed within 300 feet of a water source. No impacts to water quality are expected.		
NC	Wetlands/Riparian Zones	The existing NEPA analysis is adequate. Impacts to riparian vegetation and wetlands are not anticipated.		
NC	Wild and Scenic Rivers	There are no wild & scenic rivers identified by PL111.11 for the Fillmore Field Office area.		
NC	Wilderness/WSA's	The King Top and Wah Wah WSA's are within the proposed project area. The proponent shall not use any motorized or mechanized equipment to conduct his hunts within the WSA's.		

**OTHER RESOURCES / CONCERNS\*\***

NC	Rangeland Health Standards and Guidelines	No change over the existing EA analysis on range health. It is anticipated that filming hunts will have little or no additional impacts to existing vegetation over the impacts from the hunts alone.		
NC	Livestock Grazing	No change over the existing EA analysis on livestock grazing. It is anticipated that filming hunts will have little or no additional impacts to existing vegetation over the impacts from the hunts alone.		
NC	Woodland / Forestry	No impact to forestry		
NC	Vegetation including Special Status Plant Species other than FWS candidate or listed species	The existing EA analysis is still valid. No impacts to special status plant species anticipated due to the nature of the proposed filming.		
NC	Fish and Wildlife Including Special Status Species other than FWS candidate or listed species eg. Migratory birds.	<p>BLM special status species that could potentially utilize the environment within the vicinity of the proposed action include golden eagle (<i>Aquila chrysaetos</i>), bald eagle (<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>), burrowing owl (<i>Athene cunicularia</i>), Ferruginous hawk (<i>Buteo regalis</i>), greater sage-grouse (<i>Centrocercus urophasianus</i>), and kit fox (<i>Vulpes macrotis</i>).</p> <p>Migratory birds that may utilize this area include the black-throated gray warbler (<i>dendroica nigrescens</i>), Brewer's sparrow (<i>Spizella breweri</i>), broad-tailed hummingbird (<i>selasphorus platycercus</i>), loggerhead shrike (<i>Lanius ludovicianus</i>) northern harrier (<i>Circus cyaneus</i>), pinyon jay (<i>Gymnorhinus cyanocephalus</i>), prairie falcon (<i>Falco mexicanus</i>), and sage sparrow (<i>amphispiza belli</i>).</p> <p>No negative impacts to these species is anticipated to occur. No further analysis is required.</p> <p>See the Fish and Wildlife Biological Evaluation Report for additional stipulations</p>		

NC	Soils	No impacts to soils are anticipated that would differ from the existing EA analysis.		
NC	Recreation	No impacts to casual recreation within the project area would occur with this action.		
NC	Visual Resources	No impacts to the VRM Class II & III from this project.		
NC	Geology / Mineral Resources/Energy Production	There are no anticipated impacts to geology, mineral resources, or energy production from the proposed hunting and filming activities.		
NC	Paleontology	There are no anticipated impacts to paleontological resources from the proposed hunting and filming activities, which should have no more potential for impacts than any other legitimate hunt.		
NC	Lands / Access	<p>No impacts to lands/access if the following stipulations and mitigation measures are followed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Walking, hiking, and horseback riding on existing roads, trails, and cross country travel.</li> <li>• All vehicle use will be limited to WSA boundary roads and vehicle ways designated in the respective land use plan as open to vehicular use.</li> </ul> <p>Generated trash/debris should be removed from public lands and discarded at an authorized facility.</p>		
NC	Fuels / Fire Management	<p>The project would only have impact if during construction high fire danger were involved. Follow the fire guidelines below during construction.</p> <p>The Holder or its contractors will notify the BLM of any fires and comply with all rules and regulations administered by the BLM concerning the use, prevention and suppression of fires on federal lands, including any fire prevention orders that may be in effect at the time of the permitted activity. The Holder or its contractors may be held liable for the cost of fire suppression, stabilization and rehabilitation. In the event of a fire, personal safety will be the first priority of the Holder or its contractors. The Holder or its contractors will:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Operate all internal and external combustion engines on federally managed lands per 36 CFR 261.52, which requires all such engines to be equipped with a qualified spark arrester that is maintained and not modified.</li> <li>b. Initiate fire suppression actions in the work area to prevent fire spread to or on federally administered lands.</li> <li>c. Carry shovels, water, and fire extinguishers that are rated at a minimum as ABC - 5 pound on all equipment and vehicles. If a fire spreads beyond the suppression capability of workers with these tools, all will cease fire suppression action and leave the area immediately via pre-identified escape routes.</li> </ol> <p>Notify the Richfield Interagency Fire Center (435) 896-8404 (or 911) immediately of the location and status of any escaped fire. Construction personnel will be</p>		

		trained in basic fire control procedures.		
NC	Socio-economics	While this would allow a commercial film to be made the economic impact to the affected area is negligible.		
NC	Wild Horses and Burros	No impacts to the Wild Horses within proposed project area managed by the Fillmore Field Office. The Sulphur HMA, which boundary falls within the Fillmore FO, the horses are managed by the Cedar City FO and any stipulations identified by the Cedar City FO will be followed within Fillmore FO boundaries.		
NC	Wilderness characteristics	No impacts to potential wilderness characteristics should occur from this action.		

**FINAL REVIEW:**

Reviewer Title	Signature	Date	Comments
NEPA / Environmental Coordinator			
Authorized Officer			

DRAFT