

**United States Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management**

**Decision Document
Categorical Exclusion Not Established By Statute
DOI-BOM-UT-C020-2015-0034-CX**

June, 2015

**INTERPOL PICTURES, LLC
FILM PERMIT
LUP UTU-91201**

Location: Wayne County, Utah

T. 28 S., R. 9 E.,
sec. 3, S1/2SW1/4;
sec. 4, lots 3 and 4, SE1/4SW1/4, and SW1/4SE1/4;
sec. 9, lots 1-3 and 5-7, W1/2NE1/4, E1/2NW1/4, NE1/4SW1/4, and NW1/4SE1/4;
sec. 10;
sec. 11, SW1/4 and SW1/4SE1/4;
sec. 14, NW1/4NE1/4, S1/2NE1/4, and E1/2;
sec. 15;
sec. 22, N1/2 and NE1/4SW1/4;
sec. 23, NW1/4NE1/4 and N1/2NW1/4.

Applicant/Address: **Interpol Pictures, LLC**
Production Managers: **Stacy M. Manzanet**
Judd Flemming
10390 Santa Monica Blvd. #250
Los Angeles, CA 90025

Richfield Field Office
150 East 900 North
Richfield, Utah 84701
Phone: (435) 896-1500



DECISION DOCUMENT
NOT ESTABLISHED BY STATUTE
DECISION DOCUMENT
DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0034-CX

Decision

It is my decision to implement the action described in Categorical Exclusion **DOI-BLM-UT-C020-2015-0034-CX**.

Interpol Pictures, LLC will be issued a land use permit (LUP) for June 8 through June 15, 2015. The permit gives Interpol Pictures, LLC the right to commercially film motorcycle riding activities in the Swing Arm City Open Area within the given legal description.

Since the proposed action is consistent with existing land use decisions and with Bureau of Land Management policies, regulations, and decisions, it is considered to be in conformance with the Richfield Field Office Resource Management Plan.

Rationale for the Decision

I have reviewed the categorical exclusion documentation, including plan conformance, NEPA compliance, and potential extraordinary circumstances, and have determined that the action involves no significant impact to the human environment and no further analysis is required.

The Proposed Action is categorically excluded from further documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with 516 DM 11.9 E (19). This reference states, "Issuance of short-term (3 years or less) rights-of-way or land use authorizations for such uses as storage sites, apiary sites, and construction sites where the proposal includes rehabilitation to restore the land to its natural or original condition".

This categorical exclusion is appropriate because there are no extraordinary circumstances that may significantly affect the environment. None of the extraordinary circumstances described in 43 CFR Part 46.215 applies.

Administrative Review and Appeal

In accordance with 43 CFR 2804.1(a), this decision may be appealed to the Interior Board of Land Appeals, Office of the Secretary, in accordance with the regulations contained in 43 CFR Part 4. If an appeal is taken, your notice of appeal must be filed with the Bureau of Land Management, Utah Field Office, 150 East 900 North, Richfield, Utah 84701, within 30 days from receipt of this decision. The appellant has the burden of showing that the decision appealed from is in error.

If you wish to file a petition pursuant to regulation 43 CFR 4.21 (58 FR 4939, January 19, 1993) for a stay of the effectiveness of this decision during the time that your appeal is being reviewed by the Board, the petition for a stay must accompany your notice of appeal. A petition for a stay

is required to show sufficient justification based on the standards listed below. Copies of the notice of appeal and petition for a stay **must** also be submitted to each party named in this decision and to the Interior Board of Land Appeals (801 North Quincy St., Suite 300, Arlington, Virginia, 22203) and to the appropriate office of the Solicitor (Field Solicitor, U.S. Department of the Interior, 6201 Federal Building, 125 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84138-1180 (see 43 CFR 4.413) at the same time the original documents are filed with this office. If you request a stay, you have the burden of proof to demonstrate that a stay should be granted.

The petition for stay should accompany your notice of appeal and shall show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

- (1) The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied,
- (2) The likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits,
- (3) The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted, and
- (4) Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

Authorizing Official

Authorizing Official: Wayne A. Wetzel Date: 9 Jun 2015
Wayne A. Wetzel
Field Office Manager