

University of Michigan

Introduction

Students and professionals have been conducting research projects in the Toolik Lake area for many years.

Summary

Research students from the University of Michigan propose to collect water samples, up to 10 liters, from streams and lakes on public lands, approximately 30 sites, from June 2015 through August 2025. Access to sites would be by vehicle on existing roads and then by foot on existing trails or by helicopter to the remote sites.

Alternatives Considered

The No Alternative Option is the only alternative considered and was not selected as it would not allow the University of Michigan to conduct their water sampling research.

Decision

I have decided to authorize a right-of-way grant on public lands for the purpose of collecting water samples from approximately 30 sites on public lands from June 2015 through August 2025. Access would be by vehicles on existing roads and then by foot on existing trails; for remote sites access would be by helicopter.

Management Considerations

The Categorical Exclusion and supporting documentation have been prepared consistent with the requirements of various statutes and regulations, including but not limited to:

- Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act of 1980 (ANILCA)
- Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (FLPMA)
- National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)
- National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA)

One BLM land use plan applies to the overall project area, the Utility Corridor Resource Management Plan.

Public Involvement

It was determined that due to the remoteness of the action, there would be no impact to the general public. Additionally, this document was published to the electronic Central Yukon Field Office NEPA Register on March 13, 2015. No comments have been received as of March 31, 2015

Appeal or Protest Opportunities:

This decision may be appealed to the Interior Board of Land Appeals, Office of Hearings and Appeals, in accordance with 43 CFR Part 4 and DOI Form 1842-1. The notice of appeal must be filed in the Bureau of Land Management Central Yukon Field Office, 1150 University Avenue, Fairbanks, Alaska 99709 within 30 days from receipt of this decision. If you decide to file an appeal, you must carefully follow the procedure described on the enclosed form 1842-1. If you

don't file your appeal at the locations specified on the form within 30 days, the Board may dismiss your appeal as untimely without considering its merits. Be sure to send a copy of your notice of appeal to each party named in this decision and to all of the addresses on the enclosed form 1842-1. You may also ask the Board to stay or suspend the effect of this decision while your appeal is pending. If you desire a stay, you must enclose your request for a stay with your notice of appeal. You have the burden of showing a stay is justified. The Board will grant a stay only if you provide sufficient justification based on the following standards:

1. The relative harm to the parties if the Board grants or denies the stay,
2. The likelihood of the success of your appeal on its merits,
3. The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the Board does not grant the stay, and;
4. Whether the public interest favors granting a stay.

Approval from Authorized Official:

Field Office Manager Decision

Having considered a full range of alternatives, associated impacts, and public and agency input, I have decided to adopt and implement the attached Approved Plan in conformance with the Utility Corridor Resource Management Plan.

/s/ Nichelle W. Jacobson
Signature
Nichelle W. Jacobson
Field Manager
Central Yukon Field Office

April 3, 2015
Date

— Appendix A — Essential Fish Habitat

NEPA Document No.: DOI-BLM-AK-F030-2015-0012-CX

Prepared by: Bob Karlen

Date: 3/17/15

Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) Finding: There are no salmon species catalogued by the State of Alaska present in the area to be used or impacted by this action. Based on this finding, it is anticipated that the proposed action will not have an adverse effect on EFH for salmon. Therefore, the proposed action is assigned the EFH determination: *No affect*. EFH consultation with NMFS is not required.

References: Alaska Department of Fish and Game. 2015. Fish distribution database. Internet website at: <http://www.sf.adfg.state.ak.us>.

— Appendix B — Wilderness Characteristics Assessment

NEPA Document No.: DOI-BLM-AK-F030–2015–0012–CX

Serial No.: F-96922

Applicant: University of Michigan

Location: Tps. 9 and 10 S., R. 11, 12 and 13 E., Umiat Meridian, Alaska

Prepared by: Robin Walthour

Date: March 31, 2015

The basis for this evaluation is BLM Manual 6310-Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands, and BLM Manual 6320 - Considering Lands with Wilderness Characteristics in the BLM Land Use Planning Process, which direct offices to conduct and maintain inventories regarding the presence or absence of wilderness characteristics, and to consider identified Lands with Wilderness Characteristics (LWC) in land use plans and when analyzing projects under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

Effects on wilderness characteristics on BLM lands within the Utility Corridor are evaluated according to the Nonwilderness Assessment, a special project approved by the BLM Director and conducted by the BLM along portions of the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System (TAPS) corridor in 1980. This assessment identified lands under BLM administration that were considered lacking in the wilderness characteristics as defined by the Wilderness Act of 1964. The assessment was conducted in a manner that met the requirements of Section 603 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (FLPMA).

The action being considered is located within the Sagavanirktok Segment of the Nonwilderness Assessment, which covered approximately 512,000 acres total in 1980. Portions of this segment meet the 5,000 acre minimum size. However it was determined that the Sagavanirktok Segment did not meet the standards for naturalness due to roads, camps, airfields, pipelines, material sites and associated facilities. These disturbances bisect the entire length of the segment.

FINDING

The proposed action will not occur on lands identified as having wilderness characteristics and therefore will not affect wilderness characteristics.

Type of Assessment/Sources

- U.S. Department of Interior, BLM, 1980. Nonwilderness Assessment: The Alaska Natural Gas Transportation System, Final Decision. Anchorage, Alaska
- U.S.G.S. topographic map Philip Smith Mountains; GIS data; Google Earth images
- Aerial surveys in 2013 and personal knowledge of the area.

Proposed Action

The University of Michigan proposes to collect up to ten (10) liters of water once per month each summer from streams and lakes on public lands (30 sites) as a part of an National Science

Foundation funded study on arctic climate change. All samples would be transported off site for analysis of carbon and nutrients. No improvements or equipment would remain at the sites. Access would be by vehicle on existing roads and then by foot on existing trails or helicopter for the more remote sites. They propose to begin sampling June 2015 and continue through August 2025.

— Appendix C — Section 810 Assessment

NEPA Document No.: DOI-BLM-AK-F030-2015-0012-CX

Applicant: University of Michigan

Serial No.: F-96922

Proposed Action: The University of Michigan proposes to collect up to ten (10) liters of water once per month each summer from streams and lakes on public lands (30 sites) as a part of a National Science Foundation funded study on arctic climate change. All samples would be transported off site for analysis of carbon and nutrients. No improvements or equipment would remain at the sites. Access would be by vehicle on existing roads and then by foot on existing trails or helicopter for the more remote sites. They propose to begin sampling June 2015 and continue through August 2025.

Location: Toolik Field Station MP 285 on the Dalton Highway and surrounding area

Township/Range: Tps. 9 and 10 S., Rs. 11, 12 and 13 E., Umiat Meridian, Alaska.

Evaluation by: Erin Julianus and Bob Karlen

Date: 3/30/15 and 3/17/15

Type of Assessment/Sources:

Effect of the proposal on subsistence uses and needs

Fisheries: Though there are fish present in the surrounding drainages, no subsistence use of fish is documented for residents of Alaska within the permitted area (USDI BLM 1989). Even if there was fish movement and subsequent subsistence use of fish upstream or downstream from the study sites, the proposed action would not significantly reduce harvestable fisheries resources that are available for subsistence use since this activity will not affect fish or their habitat. The proposed action will not alter the distribution, migration or location of harvestable fisheries resources. The proposed action will not create any legal or physical barriers that would limit access by subsistence users of the fisheries resource.

Wildlife: The proposed action is located in Game Management Unit (GMU) 26B. Species of wildlife that are used for subsistence harvest in the area include moose, sheep, bears, furbearers, and small game. These species may temporarily avoid the area when activities and personnel associated with the permitted action are present, but the activity will not permanently impact their distribution in the area. Although subsistence activity occurs throughout the area, the proposed action will not significantly affect subsistence uses and needs.

Other resources: The proposed activity will not significantly impact other resources such as wood, water, or berries. Subsistence activities that target these resources occur in a much broader area than where the proposed action is to take place. Therefore, the proposed action will not significantly restrict subsistence uses and needs.

Expected reduction, if any, in the availability of resources due to alteration in resource distribution, migration, or location: The proposed action will not significantly alter the

distribution, migration or location of harvestable wildlife resources, nor would it create any legal or physical barriers that would limit subsistence harvest and access.

Expected limitation, if any, in the access of subsistence users resulting from the proposal:

None. Access to resources by subsistence users will not be limited by the proposed action.

Availability of other lands, if any, for the purpose sought to be achieved: Other public lands are available for the purposes to be achieved. However, the proposed action is a permitted activity on BLM lands in an area that is designated for research activities, and there is no compelling reason to change the site of operation outside of BLM lands.

Other alternatives, if any, which would reduce or eliminate the use, occupancy, or disposition of public lands needed for subsistence purposes: The only alternative that would reduce or eliminate the use, occupancy, or disposition of public lands needed for subsistence purposes is to not allow or permit any activities that conflict with subsistence uses. However, such an alternative is not viable because the BLM manages public lands for multiple uses.

Finding: The proposed action will not significantly restrict subsistence uses. Access to subsistence resources will not be hampered by the proposed activity. There is no reasonably foreseeable significant decrease in the abundance of harvestable resources and in the distribution of harvestable resources due to the proposed action.

References: USDI Bureau of Land Management. 1989. Utility Corridor Proposed Resource Management Plan and Final Environmental Impact Statement. USDI/Northern Field Office. Fairbanks, Alaska.