

Extraordinary Circumstances Documentation

[Project Name]

Prepared by
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

,

This page intentionally
left blank

Table of Contents

1. Categorical Exclusion Rationale 1

This page intentionally
left blank

Chapter 1. Categorical Exclusion Rationale

This page intentionally
left blank

CX Number:	DOI-BLM-AK-F030-2014-0053-CX
Date:	9/16/2014
Lease/Case File/ Serial Number:	FF096841 (David Czech) FF096846 (Guy Hillyer)
Regulatory Authority (CFR or Law):	43 CFR 5510

The following block would be inside instruction tags and not be printed or visible in the final document

NEPA Guidance: Answers to the Extraordinary Circumstances questions below will affect the level of NEPA required for this project. If any of the extraordinary circumstances are applicable to the action being considered, either an EA or EIS must be prepared for the action.

Section 1.1 Impacts on Public Health and Safety

1. Does the proposed action have significant impacts on public health and safety?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Tyler Cole, Realty Specialist (For All Team Members)	T. C. 18 Sept 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.2 Impacts on Natural Resources or Unique Geographic Characteristics

2. Does the proposed action have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness or wilderness study areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds (Executive Order 13186); and other ecologically significant or critical areas?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Erin Julianus, Wildlife Biologist	EJ 9/22/14
	X	Kelly Egger, Outdoor Rec Planner	KE 9/18/14
	X	David Esse, Fisheries Biologist	DE 9/18/14
	X	Karen Deatherage, Park Ranger	KD 18 Sept 2014
	X	William Hedman, Archaeologist	WH 9/19/2014
	X	Tyler Cole Realty Specialist	18 Sept 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.3 Level of Controversy

3. Does the proposed action have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Erin Julianus, Wildlife Biologist	EJ 9/22/14
	X	Kelly Egger, Outdoor Rec Planner	KE 9/18/14
	X	David Esse, Fisheries Biologist	DE 9/18/14
	X	Karen Deatherage, Park Ranger	KD 18 Sept 2014
	X	William Hedman, Archaeologist	WH 9/19/2014
	X	Tyler Cole Realty Specialist	18 Sept 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.4 Highly Uncertain or Unique or Unknown Environmental Risks

4. Does the proposed action have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Tyler Cole , Realty Specialist (For All Team Members)	TC 18 Sept 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.5 Precedent Setting

5. Does the proposed action establish a precedent for future action, or represent a decision in principle about future actions, with potentially significant environmental effects?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Erin Julianus, Wildlife Biologist	EJ 9/22/14
	X	Kelly Egger, Outdoor Rec Planner	KE 18 Sept 2014
	X	David Esse, Fisheries Biologist	DE 9/18/14
	X	Karen Deatherage, Park Ranger	KD 18 Sept 2014
	X	Jennifer McMillan, Ecologist	JM 18 Sept 2014
	X	Tyler Cole Realty Specialist	TC 18 Sept 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.6 Cumulatively Significant Effects

6. Does the proposed action have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant, environmental effects?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Tyler Cole , Realty Specialist (For All Team Members)	TC 18 Sept 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.7 Impacts on Cultural Properties

7. Does the proposed action have significant impacts on properties listed or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the Bureau or office?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	William Hedman, Archaeologist	WH 9/19/2014

Rationale:

Section 1.8 Impacts on Federally Listed Species or Critical Habitat

8. Does the proposed action have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Jennifer McMillan	JM 18 Sept 2014

Rationale:

*Chapter 1 Categorical Exclusion Rationale
Section 1.4 Highly Uncertain or Unique or Unknown
Environmental Risks*

Section 1.9 Compliance With Laws

9. Does the proposed action violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Tyler Cole, Realty Specialist (For All Team Members)	TC 18 Sept 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.10 Environmental Justice

10. Does the proposed action have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898)?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Tyler Cole, Realty Specialist (For All Team Members)	TC 18 Sept 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.11 Indian Sacred Sites

11. Does the proposed action limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners, or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007)?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	William Hedman, Archaeologist	9/19/2014

Rationale:

Section 1.12 Noxious and Non-Native Invasive Species

12. Does the proposed action contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area, or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112)?			
YES	NO	REVIEWER/TITLE	
	X	Jennifer McMillan	JM 18 Sept 2014

Rationale:

Section 1.2 Preparer Information

Tyler Cole/Natural Resource Specialist

DATE 18 Sept 2014

TITLE