

Finding of No Significant Impact

Prepared by
U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management

,

This page intentionally
left blank

Table of Contents

1. Finding of No Significant Impact	1
1.1. [Title of Project]	1
1.2. Context:	1
1.3. Intensity:	1
1.4. Signed:	2

This page intentionally
left blank

Chapter 1. Finding of No Significant Impact

This page intentionally
left blank

1.1. [Title of Project]

NEPA Enter NEPA Number from text entity. Enter here once and will populate a few place; Case File

I have reviewed Environmental Assessment (EA) Enter NEPA Number from text entity. Enter here once and will populate a few place dated [Date of EA]. After consideration of the environmental effects as described in the EA, and incorporated herein, I have determined that the proposed action identified in the EA will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment and that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required to be prepared.

I have the proposed action is in conformance with the approved [RMP Name] Resource Management Plan, and is consistent with applicable plans and policies of county, state, tribal and Federal agencies. This finding and conclusion is based on my consideration of the Council on Environmental Quality's (CEQ) criteria for significance (40 CFR 1508.27), both with regard to the context and the intensity of impacts described in the EA.

1.2. Context:

The lands proposed for [Insert Context]

1.3. Intensity:

1. Impacts that may be both beneficial and adverse.
2. The degree to which the proposed action affects public health or safety.
3. Unique characteristics of the geographic area such as proximity to historic or cultural resources, park lands, prime farmlands, wetlands, wild and scenic rivers, or ecologically critical areas.
4. The degree to which the effects on the quality of the human environment are likely to be controversial.
5. The degree to which the possible effects on the human environment are highly uncertain or involve unique or unknown risks.
6. The degree to which the action may establish a precedent for future actions with significant effects or represents a decision in principle about a future consideration.
7. Whether the action is related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts.
8. The degree to which the action may adversely affect districts, sites, highways, structures, or objects listed in or eligible for listing in the NRHP or may cause loss or destruction of significant scientific, cultural, or historical resources.
9. The degree to which the action may adversely affect an endangered or threatened species or its habitat that has been determined to be critical under the ESA of 1973.

10. Whether the action threatens a violation of Federal, State, or local law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment.

1.4. Signed:

[Name]
[Position]

[Date]