



United States Department of the Interior



BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
Winnemucca District Office
5100 East Winnemucca Boulevard
Winnemucca, Nevada 89445
Phone: (775) 623-1500 Fax: (775) 623-1503
Email: wfoweb@blm.gov
www.blm.gov/nv/st/en/fo/wfo.html

In Reply Refer to:
5003.1 (NV024.09)

Decision Record **Effective Immediately**

DOI-BLM-W010-2013-0077-DNA

Introduction

During the summer of 2013 the Coyote Fire burned 89 acres of BLM managed public lands within the William Stock Allotment, which is within the jurisdiction of the Humboldt River Field Office (HRFO). After an interdisciplinary review, it was determined that emergency stabilization and rehabilitation was needed to maintain and/or restore important resources affected by the fire. These resources include such components as vegetation structure, vegetative composition, wildlife habitat, and forage for wildlife and livestock.

Background

The Coyote Fire was ignited by lightning on July 1, 2013 and contained on July 2, 2013. The Coyote Fire area consumed 27 acres of Greater Sage Grouse Preliminary Priority Habitat (PPH) and 62 acres of Greater Sage Grouse Preliminary General Habitat (PGH) as defined by the Bureau of Land Management. The fire area is 100% within mule deer winter range and pronghorn summer range.

An emergency stabilization and rehabilitation (ESR) plan was developed to identify the treatments needed to maintain and/or restore resources within the burned area and to accelerate establishment of a healthy, stable ecosystem. Planned actions are to broadcast seed and subsequently harrow 89 acres (the entirety of the burned area) with Mountain sagebrush seed and potentially with other site-adapted native plants if allowed by funding and availability. Also planned would be up to 5 acres annually of invasive plant species management through 2016.

Decision:

Given the emergency nature of stabilization treatments, this is a "Full Force and Effect" decision authorizing implementation of treatments immediately under 43 CFR 5003.1.

It is my decision to approve the Coyote Fire ESR Plan as proposed in the Determination of NEPA Adequacy (DNA), DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013-0077-DNA, including all environmental protection measures which are attached to this decision, and monitoring subject to standard operating procedures identified in the Normal Year Fire Rehabilitation Plan and Environmental Assessment #NV-020-04-21, which are hereby incorporated into this decision.

Rationale:

The BLM has made the determination that as a result of the Coyote Fire, affected vegetative communities, particularly sagebrush communities within Greater Sage Grouse PPH and PGH, are at risk from long term habitat degradation due to the presence of invasive annual plant species within the fire disturbance. Without management action in the form of sagebrush seeding, Greater Sage Grouse habitat values affected by the Coyote Fire are unlikely to recover at an acceptable rate. Soil resources are at risk from combined wind and water erosion, wildlife habitat values have been unacceptably compromised with limited potential for recovery, and other resources on the public lands are at immediate risk of erosion or other damage.

The Winnemucca District has established the ESR program, which was analyzed in the Normal Year Fire Rehabilitation Plan Environmental Assessment 2004 and which has eight principle objectives:

- 1) To promptly stabilize and prevent further degradation to affected resources on lands within a fire perimeter.
- 2) To repair damages caused by fire suppression operations in accordance with approved land management plans, regulations, policies, and all relevant federal, state, and local laws.
- 3) Prevent losses of private structures and property on public lands.
- 4) To prescribe cost effective post-fire stabilization measures necessary to protect human life, property, and critical cultural and natural resources.
- 5) To repair or improve lands damaged directly by the wildland fire and unlikely to recover naturally from severe fire damage by emulating historic or pre-fire ecosystem structure, function, diversity, and dynamics.
- 6) To restore and/or establish healthy, stable ecosystems in the burned area, even if these ecosystems cannot fully emulate historic or pre-fire condition.
- 7) To restore sagebrush habitats that fall within sage grouse/sagebrush obligate species use areas.
- 8) Deter the establishment and spread of invasive and/or noxious weeds.

The use of Mountain sagebrush at this site would allow for the re-establishment of shrub structure, and would also allow for the long-term seedling recruitment as a result of the seeded shrubs successfully reproducing. The use of Mountain sagebrush would provide habitat

resources during the time period in which Low sagebrush seedlings would be recruited through natural succession. If available, Sandberg's bluegrass is known to be an aggressive competitor with annual invasive plants.

Monitoring will be oriented toward addressing the following questions: 1) Have the desirable species been successfully established, and do they provide sufficient cover to adequately protect the site from soil erosion? 2) Is there evidence that a self-sustaining community has established? 3) Are vegetative reproduction and establishment of the desirable species occurring? When possible, all monitoring sites will have adjacent, non-treated reference sites established to compare results of treatments. Density and cover measurements will be utilized to determine the effectiveness of the seeding treatment.

ESR treatment efficacy objectives are defined as follows:

For ground seeded areas:

1. Obtain an average of 0.5 sagebrush plant per square meter.
2. Obtain 50% or greater perennial cover of the low potential perennial plant cover for the appropriate ecological site.
3. The ground seeding will result in a higher abundance of desirable perennial plant species than the unseeded control areas (if controls are available)
4. Seeded species (sagebrush) are well-established.
5. Obtain the above results by the end of the third year after fire containment, which occurred on 07/02/2013.

For invasive species management:

1. Thoroughly inventory and document areas infested by noxious weeds within the Coyote Fire perimeter.
2. Prohibit noxious weed infestations from expanding beyond their current size, and reduce or eliminate infestations where possible, with critical resource areas being prioritized for control efforts.

The actions identified in this decision have received National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review in a Determination of NEPA Adequacy, DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013-0077-DNA. Hardcopies of the DNA are available upon request. On the basis of the information contained in the DNA, it is my determination that implementation of the management actions will not have environmental impacts beyond those already addressed in the NEPA documents identified in the DNA.

- The proposed action is in conformance with and is consistent with the Paradise-Denio Management Framework Plan (MFP).
- Based on the environmental analysis, it is determined that the proposed action will not result in any undue or unnecessary environmental degradation of the public lands and is

consistent to the maximum extent possible with federal law and Federal Land Policy and Management (FLPMA), state, and local laws, regulations, policy, and plans.

- The proposed action will not adversely impact any threatened or endangered species or significant scientific, cultural, or historical resources.
- Based on the Presidents National Energy Policy and Executive Order 13212, the Proposed Action will not generate any adverse energy impacts or limit energy production and distribution. Therefore, no "Statement of Adverse: Energy Impact" is required per WO 1M No 2002-053 and NV 1M 2002-049.

Conformance:

The actions proposed are in conformance with the following documents:

Land Use Plan: Paradise-Denio Management Framework Plan (MFP)
Date Approved: 1982

Other documents:

Winnemucca District Fire Management Plan
Date Approved: September 2004

The Winnemucca District Normal Year Fire Rehab Plan
Date Approved: 2004

Applicable National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documents and other related documents that cover the proposed action:

- Vegetation Treatment on BLM Lands in Thirteen Western States Environmental Impact Statement Record of Decision 1991.
- Normal Year Fire Rehabilitation Plan Environmental Assessment EA# NV-020-04-21, Decision Record and Finding of No Significant Impact 8/19/04.
- Vegetation Treatment Using Herbicides on BLM Lands in Seventeen Western States Programmatic Final Environmental Impact Statement, Record of Decision 9/29/07.
- Integrated Weed Management Environmental Assessment NV-020-02-19, Decision Record and Finding of No Significant Impact 8/27/02.
- USFWS Biological Opinion for the Normal Year Fire Rehabilitation Plan (August 2004)
- IM 2012-043 Greater Sage Grouse Interim Management Policies & Procedures/A Report on National Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation Measures. Produced by: Sage-grouse National Technical Team, 12/21/2011 (pp 27)
- IM 2012-044 BLM National Greater Sage-Grouse Land Use Plan Strategy.

Authority:

This wildfire management decision is issued under **43 CFR 5003.1** and is effective immediately.

Consultation and Coordination in Development of the DNA:

Coordination with Nevada Department of Wildlife and regarding the Coyote Fire ESR plan has occurred during a meetings at the Winnemucca District Office on 10/30/2013. Coordination with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service in the form of phone and email correspondence, occurring on 11/12/2013. Coordination with the affected livestock permittee regarding the Coyote Fire ESR Plan has occurred during a phone conversation on 11/15/2013 by the Winnemucca District Range Management staff.

Administrative Review or Appeal Opportunities.

The BLM has made the determination that vegetation, soil, or other resources on the public lands are at immediate risk of erosion or other damage due to wildfire. Thus, notwithstanding the provisions of 43 CFR 4.21(a)(1), filing a notice of appeal under 43 CFR Part 4 does not automatically suspend the effect of the decision. Appeal of this decision may be made to the Interior Board of Land Appeals in accordance with 43 CFR 4.410. The Interior Board of Land Appeals must decide an appeal of this decision within 60 days after all pleadings have been filed, and within 180 days after the appeal was filed.

A person who wishes to appeal to the Interior Board of Land Appeals must do so under 43 CFR 4.411 and must file in the office of the officer who made the decision (not the board), in writing to Derek Messmer, Humboldt River Field Office, 5100 East Winnemucca Boulevard, Winnemucca, Nevada 89445. A person served with the decision being appealed must transmit the notice of appeal in time to be filed in the office where it is required to be filed within thirty (30) days after the date of service.

The notice of appeal must give the serial number or other identification of the case and may include a statement of reasons for the appeal, a statement of standing if required by § 4.412(b), and any arguments the appellant wishes to make. Form 1842-1 provides additional information regarding filing an appeal.

No extension of time will be granted for filing a notice of appeal. If a notice of appeal is filed after the grace period provided in §4.401(a), the notice of appeal will not be considered and the case will be closed by the officer from whose decision the appeal is taken. If the appeal is filed during the grace period provided in §4.401(a) and the delay in filing is not waived, as provided in that section, the notice of appeal will not be considered and the appeal will be dismissed by the Board.

The appellant shall serve a copy of the notice of appeal and any statements of reason, written arguments, or briefs under §4.413 on each adverse party named in the decision from which the appeal is taken and on the Office of the Solicitor, Pacific Southwest Regional Solicitor, U.S. Department of the Interior, 2800 Cottage Way, Room E-2753, Sacramento, California 95825-1890. Service must be accompanied by personally serving a copy to the party or by sending the

document by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, to the address of record in the bureau, no later than 15 days after filing the document.

In addition, within thirty (30) days of receipt of this decision you have the right to file a petition for a stay together with your appeal in accordance with the regulations at 43 CFR 4.21. The petition must be served upon the same parties specified above.

Pursuant to 43 CFR 4.471(c), a petition for stay, if filed, must show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

- (1) The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied;
- (2) The likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits;
- (3) The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted; and,
- (4) Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

43 CFR 4.471 (d) provides that the appellant requesting a stay bears the burden of proof to demonstrate that a stay should be granted.

At the conclusion of any document that a party must serve, the party or its representative must sign a written statement certifying that service has been or will be made in accordance with the applicable rules and specifying the date and manner of such service (43 CFR 4.422(c)(2)).

Authorized Officer Signature

/s Derek Messmer _____ Date 11/21/2013
Derek Messmer, Field Manager, Humboldt River Field Office

Attachment