

Sunrise Boundary Adjustment and RMP Amendment

Compliance

The proposed action is in conformance with the Las Vegas Resource Management Plan (RMP). The proposed action is also in compliance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act, Migratory Bird Treaty Act, Endangered Species Act, and National Historic Preservation Act.

Selected Action

I have determined that the proposed action as described in Environmental Assessment (EA) # DOI-BLM-NV-SOIO-2012-0018-EA, will not have any significant detrimental effects on the human environment, and thus does not require the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement. The proposed action as described in the Sunrise Boundary Adjustment and RMP Amendment EA, in its entirety, is selected and approved.

Compliance with NEPA:

Consistent with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the proposed action was analyzed in EA # DOI-BLM-NVSOIO-2012-0024-EA and it was determined that there would be no significant impact as referenced in the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI).

Public Involvement:

The proposed action was scoped internally through BLM resource specialists. There was a 30 day comment period after the public availability of the Draft EA. There were no comments received during the 30 day comment period.

Rationale:

The proposed action was selected because it meets the purpose and need outlined in the EA. The proposed action does not result in any undue or unnecessary environmental degradation.

Appeal or Protest Opportunities:

This decision may be appealed to the Interior Board of Land Appeals (IBLA), Office of the Secretary, in accordance with the regulations contained in 43 CFR Part 4 and Form 1842-1. If an appeal is taken, your notice of appeal must be filed in this office within 30 days of the decision. The appellant has the burden of showing that the decision appealed from is in error. If you wish to file a petition pursuant to regulation 43 CFR 2801.10 or 43 CFR 2881.10, for a stay of the effectiveness of this decision during the time that your appeal is being reviewed by IBLA, the petition for a stay must accompany your notice of appeal. A petition for a stay is required to show sufficient justification based on the standards listed below. Copies of the notice of appeal and petition for a stay must also be submitted to each party named in this decision and to the IBLA and the appropriate office of the Solicitor (see 43 CFR 4.413) at the same time the original documents are filed with this office. If you request a stay, you have the burden of proof in demonstrating that a stay should be granted.

Standards for obtaining a stay, except as otherwise provided for by law or other pertinent regulations

A petition for a stay of a decision pending appeal shall show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

1. The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied,
2. The likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits,
3. The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted, and
4. Whether the public interest favors granting a stay.

Authorizing Official:

Raul Morales /s/ Raul Morales 7/31/13

for Amy L. Lueders Date
State Director, Nevada

Contact Person

For additional information concerning this Finding, contact.

Gayle Marrs-Smith, Field Manager (Acting)
Las Vegas Field Office
4701 N. Torrey Pines Dr
Las Vegas, Nevada 89130
702-515-5000