

or with a water hose. The service vehicles cannot go beyond 10 feet from the edge of the road.

The land use permit stipulations contains certain stipulations which requires Humane Borders to service the water stations every 72 hours, no off road travel, and provide liability insurance for \$2 million dollars. The permit stipulations are attached.

Applicant: Humane Borders, Inc.

PART I: PLAN CONFORMANCE REVIEW. This proposed action is subject to the following land use plan: IFNM Resource Management Plan dated February 2013, and the IFNM Proposed Final Draft dated September 2011. This proposal would be consistent with management direction in the IFNM Resource Management Plan that directs the BLM to authorized land use permits pursuant to federal regulation 43 CFR 2920 on a case by case basis.

The proposed action has been reviewed and determined to be in conformance with this plan (43 CFR 1610.5, BLM MS 1617.3).

<u>/s/ Susan Bernal</u>	<u>4/8/2013</u>
Specialist Signature	Date

PROGRAM CONSULTATION & COORDINATION/CX CHECKLIST
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
 TUCSON FIELD OFFICE

PART II: CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW

NEPA #: DOI-BLM-AZ-G020-2013-0014-CX

ASSIGNMENT AND REVIEW

Subactivity: 1430

Project Name: Permit Renewal Humane Borders Water Stations within the IFNM
 Location (legal description): T. 12 S., R. 8 E., sec. 6, lot 7 and sec. 18, lot 8; T. 14 S., R. 10 E., sec. 3, lot 1.
 NLCS Unit: IFNM
 Quad Name: Silverbell West and Cocoraque Butte
 Project Lead: Susan Bernal, Realty Specialist

Technical Review:

Applies? Yes No	NAME	EXCEPTION	SIGNATURE	DATE
() ()		(1) Have Significant adverse effects on public health or safety?		
() ()		(2) Have adverse effects on such unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources, parks, recreation or refuge lands, wilderness areas, wild or scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers, prime farmlands, wetlands, floodplains or ecologically significant or critical areas including those listed on the Department's National Register of Natural Landmarks.		
() ()		(3) Have highly controversial environmental effects		
() ()		(4) Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks.		
() ()		(5) Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects.		
() ()		(6) Individually Insignificant, but cumulatively significant effects.		
() ()		(7) Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places.		
() ()		(8) Have adverse effects on species listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species.		
() ()		(9) Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.		
() ()		(10) Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations.		
() ()		(11) Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners.		
() ()		(12) Contribute to the introduction, continuation existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species.		

Final Review:

Unit Manager/Supervisor: /s/ Claire Crow Date: 4/8/2013

Environmental Coordinator: /s/ Amy Markstein Date: 4/8/2013

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with 516 DM 6, Appendix 4 E.9: “*Renewal of permits where no additional rights are conveyed beyond those granted by the original authorization.*” It has been reviewed to determine if any of the exceptions described in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply.

This categorical exclusion is appropriate in this situation because there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment.

The action does not have significant adverse effects on public health and safety nor does the action adversely affect such unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources, parks, recreation, or refuge lands, wilderness areas, wild or scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers, prime farmlands, wetlands, floodplains, or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the Department’s National Register of Natural Landmarks. The action does not have highly controversial environmental effects nor have highly uncertain environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risk nor does it adversely affect a species listed or proposed to be listed on the list of endangered or threatened species. It does not establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about a future consideration with significant environmental effects or related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects. The proposed action does not adversely affect properties listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places or threaten to violate a Federal, State, local or tribal law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment or which require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands) or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act.

Mitigation Measures/Stipulations:

SEE ATTACHED PERMIT STIPULATIONS