

**Bureau of Land Management
Winnemucca District Office
HRFO (W010)**

Categorical Exclusion Form

CX#: DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013- 0008-CX

Date: 10/16/2012

Lease / Case File / Serial #: N/A

Regulatory Authority (CFR or Law): FLPMA

BLM Manual: BLM Manual 1745

Subject Function Code: 6500

Is the project located within a Preliminary Priority Habitat? Yes No

Is the project located within a Preliminary General Priority Habitat? Yes No

Is the project located within a National Landscape Conservation System feature (NCA, Wilderness, WSA, ISA, Scenic or Historic Trails)? Yes No

1. BLM District Office: Winnemucca District Office

2. Name of Project Lead: Mandy DeForest

3. Project Title: Peterman Canyon Bighorn Sheep Release

4. Applicant: NDOW

5. Project Description: (briefly describe who, what, when, where, why, how)

Nevada Department of Wildlife plans to augment the California bighorn sheep population in the Santa Rosa Range with 20-25 animals on or around January 2013 as a continuing effort to ensure adequate numbers exist for a thriving population. These sheep are being acquired through capture efforts outside of the BLM's Winnemucca District and would be transported to the release site via pickup truck and trailer. The sheep would be released from the trailer off of the existing two track roads at the mouth of Peterman Canyon. The sheep would be released on BLM lands, however there are United States Forest Service lands in the higher elevations of the canyon. The animals would be hauled by trailer to an appropriate location in Peterman Canyon for the release.

Project dimensions (length, width, height, depth): N/A

Total Acres: 1

BLM Acres: 1

Will the project result in new surface disturbance? Yes No

CX#: DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013-0008-CX

Applicant: NDOW

Project Title: Peterman Canyon Bighorn Sheep Release

Has the project area been previously disturbed? Yes No N/A

If yes, what percent of the project area has been disturbed? % . If only part of the project area has been disturbed, indicate disturbed area on map. Describe disturbance (and attach photo of disturbed area if you have one):

6. Legal Description: T.41__ N., R.37__ E., sec.13__, SE__ 1/4 SE__ 1/4

USGS 24k Quad name: Andorno Ranch

100k map name: Osgood

Land Status: BLM Private Other_____.

Part I: Plan Conformance Review

The Proposed Action is subject to the:

- Paradise-Denio Management Framework Plan
- Sonoma-Gerlach Management Framework Plan
- Black Rock Desert-High Rock Canyon Emigrant Trails NCA and Associated Wilderness and Other Contiguous Lands in Nevada RMP

The proposed action is in conformance with the applicable Land Use Plan (LUP) because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decision(s):

The proposed action is in conformance with the LUP, even though it is not specifically provided for, because it is clearly consistent with the following LUP decision(s) (objectives, terms, and conditions):

WL 1.1 identifies the allotments along the western side of the Santa Rosa Range as potential bighorn sheep range. (43 CFR 1610.5, BLM Manual 1617.3).

Part II: NEPA Review

Categorical Exclusion Review: This Proposed Action qualifies as a categorical exclusion under:

- 43 CFR 46.210 DOI Implementation of NEPA of 1969, Listing of Departmental Categorical Exclusions (*formerly 516 DM2 Appendix 1*)
- 516 DM 11.9, (BLM) A. Fish and Wildlife (5): “Routine augmentations, such as fish stocking, providing no new species are introduced”

ESA and BLM Sensitive Status Species

Evaluation Criteria	Yes	No
1. Are species listed under the Endangered Species Act likely to occur in the project area? If yes, list the species in Table 1 below. Verify with USFWS or use approved list.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Are BLM NV Sensitive Species, based upon the current IM, likely to occur in the project area? If yes, list the species in the Table 1 below.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Could the proposed action result in “take” under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act? If yes, attach appropriate mitigation measures.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Table 1. Special Status Species that may occur in the project area:

ESA	BLM	Common (<i>Scientific</i>) Name	May Be Affected?	Mitigation for BLM Sensitive Species (<i>Attach ESA Section 7 Compliance to Form</i>)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	California Bighorn Sheep	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	None needed. This action is to augment the existing population.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Greater Sage-grouse	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pygmy Rabbits	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

Table 2 Migratory Bird Treaty Act Consideration

Potential MBTA Species w/in the Project Area Common (<i>Scientific</i>) Name	May Be Affected?	Recommended Mitigation
black-throated sparrow (<i>Amphispiza bilineata</i>), Brewer’s blackbird (<i>Euphagus cyanocephalus</i>), Brewer’s sparrow (<i>Spizella breweri</i>), canyon wren (<i>Catherpes mexicanus</i>), gray flycatcher (<i>Empidonax wrightii</i>), green-tailed towhee (<i>Pipilo chlorurus</i>), rock wren (<i>Salpinctes obsoletus</i>), sage sparrow (<i>Amphispiza belli</i>), sage thrasher (<i>Oreoscoptes montanus</i>), western	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

CX#: DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013-0008-CX

Applicant: NDOW

Project Title: Peterman Canyon Bighorn Sheep Release

meadowlark (<i>Sturnella neglecta</i>), and vesper sparrow (<i>Pooecetes gramineus</i>)		
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> No	

Mitigation Measures/Remarks:

The Proposed Action has been reviewed to determine if any exceptions described in 43 CFR 46.215 Categorical Exclusions: Extraordinary Circumstances apply. (See attached page)

43 CFR 46.215

Categorical Exclusions: Extraordinary Circumstances

CX#: DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013-0008-CX

- | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u> | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | (a) Have significant impacts on public health or safety |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | (b) Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | (c) Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)]. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | (d) Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | (e) Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | (f) Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | (g) Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by the bureau. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | (h) Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | (i) Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | (j) Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898). |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | (k) Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007). |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | (l) Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112). |

All of the above questions must be answered negatively before the Categorical Exclusion may be approved. This checklist is taken from 43 CFR 46.215

Prepared By: /S/ Mandy DeForest Date 1/15/13

**Bureau of Land Management
Winnemucca District Office**

Field Office(s) : HRFO BRFO

**Categorical Exclusion
Team Review**

Applicant: NDOW
Proposal: Peterman Canyon Bighorn Sheep Release
CX#: DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013-0008-CX

Prepared By: **Date:**

Name /Title	Resource/Agency Represented	Signature/Date	Comments (Attach if more room is needed)
Patrick Haynal, Archaeologist	Cultural Resources	/S/ Patrick Haynal 1/15/13	
Mark Hall , Native American Coordinator	Native American Consultation	/S/ Mark E. Hall 1/15/13	
Mandy DeForest, AFM – Natural Resources	T&E (plants and animals)	Mandy DeForest 1/15/13	

Part III: DECISION:

I have reviewed this plan conformance and NEPA compliance record and have determined that the proposed project is in conformance with the approved land use plan and that no other environmental analysis is required.

Project authorization is subject to mitigation measures identified above. (This is a NEPA Decision. A separate program implementation decision is necessary.)

Based on Section 102. [43 U.S.C. 1701] (a)(8), it is my decision to allow for implementation of the project, as described, with the mitigation measures identified above and attached as stipulations, conditions of approval, terms of conditions, etc. This is a combined NEPA and program implementation decision.

Section 102. [43 U.S.C. 1701] (a)(8) "The Congress declares that it is the policy of the United States that the public lands be managed in a manner that will protect the quality of scientific, scenic, historical, ecological, environmental, air and atmospheric, water resource, and archeological values; that, where appropriate, will preserve and protect certain public lands in their natural condition; that will provide food and habitat for fish and wildlife and domestic animals; and that will provide for outdoor recreation and human occupancy and use."

Authorized Official /S/ Edward Seum Date: 1/15/13
(Signature)

Administrative Review or Appeal Opportunities

A person who wishes to appeal to the Interior Board of Land Appeals must do so under 43 CFR 4.411 and must file in the office of the officer who made the decision (not the board), in writing to Edward Seum, Field Manager, Humboldt River Field Office, 5100 East Winnemucca Boulevard, Winnemucca, Nevada 89445. A person served with the decision being appealed must transmit the notice of appeal in time to be filed in the office where it is required to be filed within thirty (30) days after the date of service.

The notice of appeal must give the serial number or other identification of the case and may include a statement of reasons for the appeal, a statement of standing if required by § 4.412(b), and any arguments the appellant wishes to make. Form 1842-1 provides additional information regarding filing an appeal.

No extension of time will be granted for filing a notice of appeal. If a notice of appeal is filed after the grace period provided in §4.401(a), the notice of appeal will not be considered and the case will be closed by the officer from whose decision the appeal is taken. If the appeal is filed during the grace period provided in §4.401(a) and the delay in filing is not waived, as provided in that section, the notice of appeal will not be considered and the appeal will be dismissed by the Board.

The appellant shall serve a copy of the notice of appeal and any statements of reason, written arguments, or briefs under §4.413 on each adverse party named in the decision from which the appeal is taken and on the Office of the Solicitor, Pacific Southwest Regional Solicitor, U.S. Department of the Interior, 2800 Cottage Way, Room E-2753, Sacramento, California 95825-

1890. Service must be accompanied by personally serving a copy to the party or by sending the document by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, to the address of record in the bureau, no later than 15 days after filing the document.

In addition, within thirty (30) days of receipt of this decision you have the right to file a petition for a stay together with your appeal in accordance with the regulations at 43 CFR 4.21. The petition must be served upon the same parties specified above.


Pursuant to 43 CFR 4.471(c), a petition for stay, if filed, must show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

- (1) The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied;
- (2) The likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits;
- (3) The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted; and,
- (4) Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

43 CFR 4.471 (d) provides that the appellant requesting a stay bears the burden of proof to demonstrate that a stay should be granted.

At the conclusion of any document that a party must serve, the party or its representative must sign a written statement certifying that service has been or will be made in accordance with the applicable rules and specifying the date and manner of such service (43 CFR 4.422(c)(2)).

Peterman Creek Bighorn Sheep Release 2013



Legend

Andorno Ranch, NV
USGS 7.5' Quadrangles
T.41 N., R.37 E., sec. 13

Winnemucca District Office
Bureau of Land Management
5100 E. Winnemucca Blvd
Winnemucca, NV. 89445

NDOT Roads

TYPE

- Interstate
- US Highway
- State Route
- Peterman Creek Bighorn Sheep Release

Land Status

Agency

- Bureau of Indian Affairs
- Bureau of Land Management
- Bureau of Reclamation
- Department of Defense
- Department of Energy
- Forest Service

- Fish and Wildlife Service
- National Park Service
- Nevada State Lands
- Park
- Private
- Water

0 0.15 0.3 0.6 Miles

1:27,415

10/19/2012

No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management as to the accuracy, reliability, or completeness of these data for individual use or aggregate use with other data. Original data were compiled from various sources. This information may not meet National Map Accuracy Standards. This product was developed through digital means and may be updated without notification.