

**Decision Record -
Memorandum: DOI-BLM-
NV-S030-2012-0006-EA**

This page intentionally
left blank

Table of Contents

1. Covering Amargosa Illegal Landfill	1
1.1. Compliance	1
1.2. Selected Action	1
1.3. Compliance with NEPA:	1
1.4. Public Involvement:	1
1.5. Rationale:	1
1.6. Appeal or Protest Opportunities:	2
1.7. Authorizing Official:	2
1.8. Contact Person	2

This page intentionally
left blank

Chapter 1. Covering Amargosa Illegal Landfill

This page intentionally
left blank

1.6. Appeal or Protest Opportunities:

The decision may be appealed to the Interior Board of Land Appeals, Office of the Secretary, in accordance with the regulations contained in 43 C.F.R., part 4, and the enclosed Form 1842-1. If an appeal is taken, your notice of appeal must be filed in this office (at the above address) within 30 days from receipt of this decision. The appellant has the burden of showing the decision appealed from is in error. If you wish to file a petition pursuant to regulation 43 C.F.R. 2801.10 or 43 C.F.R. 2881.10, for a stay of the effectiveness of this decision during the time that your appeal is being reviewed by IBLA, the petition for a stay must accompany your notice of appeal. A petition for a stay is required to show sufficient justification based on the standards listed below. Copies of the notice of appeal and petition for a stay must also be submitted to each party named in this decision and to the Interior Board of Land Appeals and to the appropriate office of the solicitor (see 43 C.F.R. 4.413) at the same time the original documents are filed with this office. If you request a stay you have the burden of proof in demonstrating that a stay should be granted.

STANDARD FOR OBTAINING A STAY

Except as otherwise provided for by law or other pertinent regulations, a petition for a stay of a decision pending appeal shall show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

1. The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied,
2. The likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits,
3. The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted, and
4. Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

1.7. Authorizing Official:


 Mark R. Spencer
 Field Office Manager, Pahrump Field Office, SNDO

6-13-2012
 Date

1.8. Contact Person

For additional information concerning this Finding, contact.

Melissa Sanders, Desert Clean Up Coordinator

Las Vegas Field Office

4701 North Torrey Pines

Las Vegas, NV 89130

702-515-5000

1.1. Compliance

The proposed action described in Environmental Assessment DOI-BLM-NV-S030-2012-0006-EA is in conformance with the Las Vegas Resource Management Plan (RMP) and complies with the following objectives and management directions:

VG-2-a — Rehabilitate, reclaim, or re-vegetate areas subjected to surface disturbing activities, where feasible. When rehabilitating disturbed areas, manage for optimum species diversity by seeding native species, except where non-native species are appropriate.

AR-1-b — Permit only those activities on BLM-administered lands that are consistent with Federal, State, and local air quality standards and regulations. Require that all appropriate air quality permits are obtained before BLM approval of an action is granted. Where applicable, demonstrate how proposed management actions comply with local, state, tribal and Federal air quality laws, regulations and standards, (Conformity; per 40 CFR 93.100 et seq).

The proposed action is in compliance with the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, National Historic Preservation Act, the Clean Water Act, and is consistent with the applicable plans and policies of county, state, tribal and Federal agencies.

1.2. Selected Action

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Pahrump Field Office has determined that authorization to cover the illegal landfill in Amargosa would not result in significant impacts based on Environmental Assessment DOI-BLM-NV-S030-2012-0006-EA and the corresponding Finding of No Significant Impact. It is the decision of the Pahrump Field Manager, to authorize the installation of the post and cable fence at the described locations.

1.3. Compliance with NEPA:

The proposed action, described in the attached Environmental Assessment DOI-BLM-NV-S030-2012-0006-EA, incorporated herein by this reference, will not have a significant impact on the human environment and the action does not require the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.

1.4. Public Involvement:

Applicable stakeholders were consulted and had no issues with the proposed action. The public will be able to view Environmental Assessment DOI-BLM-NV-S030-2012-0006-EA on the NEPA registry webpage.

1.5. Rationale:

The proposed action is in conformance with the Las Vegas Resource Management Plan as well as local regulations. The proposed action will provide an overall benefit to the environment by improving the landscape by removing illegally discarded debris from public lands.