

**Worksheet**  
**Determination of NEPA Adequacy (DNA)**  
**U.S. Department of the Interior**  
**Bureau of Land Management**

---

FIELD OFFICE: Stillwater Field Office, Carson City District

NEPA NUMBER: DOI-BLM-NV-C010-2012-0058-DNA

CASEFILE PROJECT NUMBER: NVN-060686 Geothermal Lease;

PROPOSED ACTION TITLE/TYPE: ORMAT Nevada Inc. Geothermal Drilling Permits 11-17 and 11A-17; ROW for access road construction

LOCATION/LEGAL DESCRIPTION: T22N, R35E, Section 17

APPLICANT: ORMAT Nevada Inc.

**A. Description of the Proposed Action and any applicable mitigation measures**

ORMAT also proposes to drill two observation wells as part of their exploration and development of their Dixie Meadows Geothermal Project located in Dixie Valley approximately 40 miles east-northeast of Fallon, Nevada, in Churchill County. Approximately 2,000 feet of access road, approximately 1,600 feet requiring a ROW, would be constructed to access the drill site. Construction of a pad of up to approximately 350 feet by 350 feet would be required. Both wells would be drilled on the same pad. The proposed drill site is different from those analyzed in the EA, but is within the project area analyzed in the ORMAT Technologies, Inc., Dixie Meadows Geothermal Exploration Project and FONSI/DR signed 1/17/2012. The proposed access road was analyzed in the 2012 EA and FONSI/DR signed 1/17/2012.

**B. Land Use Plan (LUP) Conformance**

LUP Name: **Carson City Field Office Consolidated Resource Management Plan**  
Date Approved: May 9, 2001

The proposed action is consistent with the applicable land use plan because it is clearly consistent with the following land use plan decisions, objectives, terms, conditions:

**Objective 1:** Encourage development of energy and mineral resources in a timely manner to meet national, regional and local needs consistent with the objectives for other public land uses.

**Objective 2:** Oil, gas, and geothermal exploration and production upon BLM land are conducted through leases with the Bureau and are subject to terms and stipulations to comply with all applicable federal and state laws pertaining to various considerations for

sanitation, water quality, wildlife, safety, and reclamation. Stipulations may be site specific and are derived from the environmental analysis process.

**C. Identify applicable National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documents and other related documents that cover the proposed action.**

Carson City District Office – ORMAT Technologies, Inc., Dixie Meadows Geothermal Exploration Project and FONSI/DR signed on 1/17/2012.

**D. NEPA Adequacy Criteria**

**1. Is the new proposed action a feature of, or essentially similar to, an alternative analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)? Is the project within the same analysis area, or if the project location is different, are the geographic and resource conditions sufficiently similar to those analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)? If there are differences, can you explain why they are not substantial?**

The proposed action is within the project area analyzed in the ORMAT Technologies, Inc., Dixie Meadows Geothermal Exploration Project and FONSI/DR signed on January 17, 2012.

**2. Is the range of alternatives analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s) appropriate with respect to the new proposed action, given current environmental concerns, interests, and resource values?**

Yes, environmental concerns, interests and resource values have not changed at all since the completion of the 2012 EA. The range of alternatives in the 2012 EA is still appropriate. The environmental constraints of the geothermal exploration have not changed and the proposed action is identical to that analyzed in ORMAT Technologies, Inc., Dixie Meadows Geothermal Exploration Project and FONSI/DR signed on January 17, 2012.

**3. Is the existing analysis valid in light of any new information or circumstances (such as, range- land health standard assessment, recent endangered species listings, updated lists of BLM-sensitive species)? Can you reasonably conclude that new information and new circumstances would not substantially change the analysis of the new proposed action?**

Anticipated impacts to the resources have not changed and no new information or circumstances have been identified since signing the FONSI/DR on January 17, 2012. The proposed site uses existing access roads to the extent possible. The proposed action will not have any adverse effect on the human health or environment.

**4. Are the direct, indirect, and cumulative effects that would result from implementation of the new proposed action similar (both quantitatively and qualitatively) to those analyzed in the existing NEPA document?**

The analyzed action is not different from the construction of the proposed well pads or exploration drilling analyzed in the 2012 EA.


**5. Are the public involvement and interagency review associated with existing NEPA document(s) adequate for the current proposed action?**

Yes, the geothermal resource exploration operations were analyzed in the 2012 EA which describes the public involvement. Consultation with other agencies and interested parties was conducted for that document. The Fallon Paiute Shoshone Tribe will be notified via letter of the proposed construction of the road and well pad.

**E. Persons/Agencies/BLM Staff Consulted**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Resource/Agency Represented</u>	
Jason Wright	Stillwater Archaeologist	BLM Carson City District	pen 11-28-12
John Wilson	Stillwater Biologist	BLM Carson City District	6-11-12 6-11-12


Note: Refer to the EA/EIS for a complete list of the team members participating in the preparation of the original environmental analysis or planning documents.



Carson City District  
Field Offices  
Location Map





Nevada BLM  
Carson City District  
Location Map




No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management as to the accuracy, reliability, or completeness of these data for individual use or aggregate use with other data. Original data were compiled from various sources. This information may not meet National Map Accuracy Standards. This product was developed through digital means and may be updated without notification.

PROPOSED ACCESS ROAD  
TO PROPOSED WELL 11-17  
CHURCHILL COUNTY, NEVADA




P.O. Box 3299 p. 775.786.5111  
Reno, NV 89505 f. 775.786.5114




**Conclusion**

Based on the review documented above, I conclude that this proposal conforms to the applicable land use plan and that the NEPA documentation fully covers the proposed action and constitutes BLM's compliance with the requirements of the NEPA.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Project Lead



\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of NEPA Coordinator



\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Responsible Official

Date 11/30/2012

Note: The signed Conclusion on this Worksheet is part of an interim step in the BLM's internal decision process and does not constitute an appealable decision. However, the lease, permit, or other authorization based on this DNA is subject to protest or appeal under 43 CFR Part 4 and the program-specific regulations.