

**Finding of No Significant Impact to Amend
Bureau of Land Management, Boise District, Land Use Plans
to Clarify Language Regarding Lands Eligible for Disposal
Environmental Assessment NEPA# DOI-BLM-ID-B010-2012-0012-EA**

The Boise District is proposing to amend disposal classification language in two Management Framework Plans (MFP) and one Resource Management Plan (RMP) in accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) Section 203 (43 U.S.C. 1713). The amendments would clarify that 88 parcels in the Kuna MFP, 7 parcels in the Bruneau MFP, and 50 parcels in the Cascade RMP, which are currently designated as eligible or potentially eligible for disposal, meet the FLPMA Section 203 sale criteria (EA Section 2.2.2).

I have reviewed the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (CEQ) for significance (40 CFR 1508.27) and the Environmental Assessment (EA) analyzing the proposal to amend Boise District Land Use Plans. Based on my review, I have determined the actions analyzed in Proposed Plan Amendments and Environmental Assessment to Clarify Language Regarding Lands Eligible for Disposal_NEPA# DOI-BLM-ID-B010-2012-0012-EA would not constitute a major federal action that would significantly affect the quality of the human environment; therefore an Environmental Impact Statement is not required. Furthermore, this proposal would not change any designated retention parcels to designated disposal parcels or authorize the disposal of any BLM land. Disposal of any parcel could only occur after a site-specific analysis under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and decision were completed (EA Section 3.2.2).

The context of the action to amend these plans is limited to the previously identified parcels of public land available for disposal within the pertinent land use plan being amended. The intensity of the effect from adding the clarification language would only be realized through the increased clarity to the land use plan reader regarding BLM sale authority.

The action to amend the three land use plans as described within the EA would not have a measurable impact on any natural resources because the clarification only affects people's awareness, understanding, and comprehension of BLM's ability to potentially dispose of these parcels. This proposal would have no on-the-ground effect. This finding was made by considering both the context and intensity of the potential effects, as described in the EA # DOI-BLM-ID-B010-2012-0012-EA.

/s/ Timothy M. Murphy
Timothy M. Murphy
Acting State Director

August 30, 2013