

# Visual Resource Inventory



Bureau of Land  
Management Cedar City  
Field Office



September, 2010

# Visual Resource Inventory

Prepared for the  
U.S. Department of the Interior  
Bureau of Land Management  
Cedar City Field Office  
Cedar City, Utah

September, 2010

Prepared by  
Otak, Inc.



Table Butte

### **Mission Statement**

It is the mission of the Bureau of Land Management to sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the public lands for the use and enjoyment of present and future generations.

---

# Table of Contents

<b>I. Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
Visual Resource Inventory Overview .....	2
Visual Resource Inventory Classes.....	2
Visual Resource Management Classes and Objectives.....	2
Inventory Lands .....	4
Map 1-1. Field Office Location .....	5
Map 1-2. Surface Management Status .....	6
Map 1- . Special Management Areas.....	7
<b>2. Scenic Quality Evaluation</b> .....	<b>8</b>
Delineating Scenic Quality Rating Units .....	9
Scenic Quality Evaluation Process .....	10
Scenic Quality Rating Summary.....	12
Map 2-1. Scenic Quality Rating Units .....	16
Map 2-2. Inventory Observation Points .....	17
Map 2-3. Scenic Quality Rating—Landform .....	18
Map 2-5. Scenic Quality Rating—Water .....	20
Map 2-6. Scenic Quality Rating—Color .....	21
Map 2-7. Scenic Quality Rating—Adjacent Scenery.....	22
Map 2-8. Scenic Quality Rating—Scarcity .....	23
Map 2-9. Scenic Quality—Cultural Modification .....	24
Map 2-10. Scenic Quality Classifications .....	25
<b>3. Sensitivity Level Analysis</b> .....	<b>26</b>
Sensitivity Level Rating Sheet Instruction Chart.....	28
Sensitivity Level Rating Summary.....	29
Map 3-1. Field Sensitivity Level Rating Units.....	34
Map 3-2. Final Sensitivity Level Rating Units.....	35
Map 3-3. Sensitivity Levels .....	36
<b>4. Distance Zones</b> .....	<b>37</b>
Map 4-1. Travel Network .....	39
Map 4-2. Distance Zones .....	40
<b>5. Visual Resource Inventory Classes</b> .....	<b>41</b>
Table 5-1. Visual Resource Inventory Summary .....	42
Map 5-1. Visual Resource Inventory Classes .....	45

---

## Appendices

<b>Appendix A. Scenic Quality Ratings</b> .....	A-1
ScenicQualityRatingUnitSheets.....	A-3
<b>Appendix B. Sensitivity Level Ratings</b> .....	B-1
SensitivityLevelRatingUnitSheets.....	B-2
<b>Appendix C. Visual Resource Inventory Methodology</b> .....	C-1



## Acknowledgements

### ***Cedar City Field Office***

176 East D.L. Sargent Drive  
Cedar City, UT 84721  
435-586-2401

Randy Trujillo  
Assistant Field Office Manager

Elizabeth Burghard  
Assistant Field Office Manager

Sherry Roché Recreation  
Technician

Sheri Whitfield  
Wildlife Biologist

Andrew Dubrasky GIS  
Specialist

### ***Fillmore Field Office***

35 East 500 North  
Fillmore, UT 84631  
435-743-3100

Steve Bonar  
Outdoor Recreation Planner

Teresa Frampton  
Realty Specialist

Kari McNight  
Assistant Field Office Manager

Jim Priest Wildlife  
Biologist

### ***Special Thanks***

Rob Sweeten  
Landscape Architect, Utah State  
Office

### ***Otak, Inc.***

36 North 4th Street  
Carbondale, CO  
81623  
970-963-1971

Kate Schwarzler  
Project Manager, Landscape  
Architect

Lindsey Utter  
Team Leader, Landscape Designer/  
Environmental Planner

Geoff Brady  
Landscape Architect and GIS  
Specialist

Steve Dixon Landscape  
Architect

Curtis LaPierre  
Landscape Architect

Stewart Thompson  
Architect

Linda Schuemaker  
Project & Public Involvement  
Specialist

Jennifer Michaud

---

Administrative  
Assistant

---

## Index of Scenic Quality Rating Units in Numerical Order

No.	SQRU Name	Page	No.	SQRU Name	Page
01	Hamlin Valley	A-3	41	Bumble Bee	A-144
02	Mountain Home	A-6	42	Spring Creek	A-147
03	Pine Valley	A-10			
04	Wah Wah Mountains	A-13			
05	Wah Wah Valley	A-17			
06	Frisco Mountains	A-20			
07	Big Wash	A-24			
08	Beaver Lake	A-28			
09	Escalante Desert	A-31			
10	Mineral Mountains	A-36			
11	Horse Flats	A-40			
12	Wildcat	A-44			
13	Shauntie Hills	A-48			
14	Blue Mountain	A-52			
15	Mountain Spring	A-55			
16	Rustlers Draw	A-58			
17	Indian Peak	A-61			
18	Paradise Mountains	A-64			
19	Steamboat Mountain	A-67			
20	Black Mountains	A-71			
21	Bald Hills North	A-75			
22	Jack Henry Knoll	A-78			
23	Coyote Bench	A-82			
24	Buckskin Valley	A-86			
25	Parowan Valley	A-89			
26	Red Hills	A-92			
27	Long Hollow	A-96			
28	Table Butte	A-100			
29	Government Well	A-103			
30	Haystack Mountain	A-106			
31	Bull Valley South	A-109			
32	Bull Valley North	A-112			
33	Shoal Creek	A-115			
34	Antelope Mountain	A-118			
35	Desert Mound	A-122			
36	Three Peaks	A-125			
37	Little Bald Hills	A-129			
38	Cedar Valley	A-133			
39	Hurricane Cliffs	A-137			
40	Red Cliffs	A-141			

---

## Index of Scenic Quality Rating Units in Alphabetical Order

No.	SQRU Name	Page	No.	SQRU Name	Page
34	Antelope Mountain	A-118	05	Wah Wah Valley	A-17
21	Bald Hills North	A-75	12	Wildcat	A-44
08	Beaver Lake	A-28			
07	Big Wash	A-24			
20	Black Mountains	A-71			
14	Blue Mountain	A-52			
24	Buckskin Valley	A-86			
32	Bull Valley North	A-112			
31	Bull Valley South	A-109			
41	Bumble Bee	A-144			
38	Cedar Valley	A-133			
23	Coyote Bench	A-82			
35	Desert Mound	A-122			
09	Escalante Desert	A-31			
06	Frisco Mountains	A-20			
29	Government Well	A-103			
01	Hamlin Valley	A-3			
30	Haystack Mountain	A-106			
11	Horse Flats	A-40			
39	Hurricane Cliffs	A-137			
17	Indian Peak	A-61			
22	Jack Henry Knoll	A-78			
37	Little Bald Hills	A-129			
27	Long Hollow	A-96			
10	Mineral Mountains	A-36			
02	Mountain Home	A-6			
15	Mountain Spring	A-55			
18	Paradise Mountains	A-64			
25	Parowan Valley	A-89			
03	Pine Valley	A-10			
40	Red Cliffs	A-141			
26	Red Hills	A-92			
16	Rustlers Draw	A-58			
13	Shauntie Hills	A-48			
33	Shoal Creek	A-115			
42	Spring Creek	A-147			
19	Steamboat Mountain	A-67			
28	Table Butte	A-100			
36	Three Peaks	A-125			
04	Wah Wah Mountains	A-13			

No.	SQRU Name	Rating	Page	No.	SQRU Name	Rating	Page
02	Mountain Home	A	A-6	37	Little Bald Hills	C	A-129
10	Mineral Mountains	A	A-36	38	CedarValley	C	A-133
39	Hurricane Cliffs	A	A-137				
42	Spring Creek	A	A-147				
01	HamlinValley	B	A-3				
04	WahWah Mountains	B	A-13				
06	Frisco Mountains	B	A-20				
11	Horse Flats	B	A-40				
12	Wildcat	B	A-44				
13	Shauntie Hills	B	A-48				
14	Blue Mountain	B	A-52				
17	Indian Peak	B	A-61				
18	Paradise Mountains	B	A-64				
19	Steamboat Mountain	B	A-67				
20	Black Mountains	B	A-71				
21	Bald Hills North	B	A-75				
23	Coyote Bench	B	A-82				
24	BuckskinValley	B	A-86				
25	ParowanValley	B	A-89				
26	Red Hills	B	A-92				
28	Table Butte	B	A-100				
30	Haystack Mountain	B	A-106				
33	Shoal Creek	B	A-115				
34	Antelope Mountain	B	A-118				
36	Three Peaks	B	A-125				
40	Red Cliffs	B	A-141				
41	Bumble Bee	B	A-144				
03	PineValley	C	A-10				
05	WahWahValley	C	A-17				
07	BigWash	C	A-24				
08	Beaver Lake	C	A-28				
09	Escalante Desert	C	A-31				
15	Mountain Spring	C	A-55				
16	Rustlers Draw	C	A-58				
22	Jack Henry Knoll	C	A-78				
27	Long Hollow	C	A-96				
29	Government Well	C	A-103				
31	BullValley South	C	A-109				
32	BullValley North	C	A-112				
35	Desert Mound	C	A-122				

This page intentionally left blank.

---

# I. Introduction

The Cedar City Field Office (CCFO) lies in southwestern Utah's Great Basin area, which includes Beaver and Iron Counties. A part of the western-most edge of the Field Office lies in Lincoln County, Nevada. The planning area encompasses approximately 3.75 million acres of federal, state, and private lands. The CCFO is responsible for managing approximately 2.2 million acres of public lands within the planning area.

Notable areas within the Field Office boundary include:

- Parowan Gap, a narrow passage through the Red Hills west of Parowan, Utah that contains Fremont-era petroglyphs etched onto the canyon walls;
- Cedar Breaks National Monument, a visually spectacular, amphitheater-like canyon located within the Dixie National Forest;
- a small portion of the Kolob Canyon area of Zion National Park;
- the Old Spanish National Historic Trail; and
- the ruins of the mining-era towns of Frisco and Old Iron Town, which are located in the north and south portions of the Field Office, respectively.

The Dixie National Forest borders the Field Office on the southeast and south and occupies portions of the planning area. The Fishlake National Forest borders the Field Office and occupies the northeastern portion of the planning area.

Major cities situated within the Field Office boundary include Cedar City, Beaver, Minersville, and Milford. Interstate Highway 15 runs north–south through the eastern portion of the Field Office, connecting Cedar City to Salt Lake City and St. George and serving as a major tourist route. State Highway 56 runs west through the study area and continues into Nevada. State Highway 21 runs west from Beaver, through Minersville

and Milford and continues into Nevada.

---

Numerous Scenic Byways and Backways cross the eastern portion of the Field Office, including: Beaver Canyon, Cedar Breaks, Dry Lakes Summit Canyon, Kolob Reservoir, and the Markagunt Scenic Byway.

The Field Office falls into two physiographic provinces: the Colorado plateau, and Basin and Range. The former is characterized by the western edge of the Colorado Plateau and lies east of Cedar City and Interstate 15 in the eastern portion of the Field Office. The latter describes the character for most of the Field Office: a series of wide basins separated by a mountain range.

The topography within the CCFO is varied and ranges from valley floor elevations of approximately 5,000 feet above sea level to mountain elevations of over 9,000 feet in the western portion and 10,000 feet in the eastern portion.

The principal mountain ranges in the Field Office are the Mineral, Wah Wah, and Indian Peak Ranges. These mountains are for the most part uplifted fault blocks with steep fronts and gentle backslopes. In many cases erosion has exposed the colored banding of different geologic layers, to great visual effect.



Mineral Mountains

---

The broad valleys of the basin and range landscape trend generally north-south and can extend for more than 50 miles along this axis. With a typical width of 10 miles, these valleys afford panoramic vistas of the adjacent mountain ranges. Prominent visible geologic features include Frisco Peak, Granite Peak, Steamboat Mountain, Indian Peak, Haystack Mountain, Table Butte, and the Mineral Mountains.

Vegetation types range from the sagebrush/grassland community of the valley floors, to transition zones of sagebrush, juniper and pinyon pine situated in alluvial fans at the base of mountain ranges, to areas of aspen, fir and pine on higher elevation mountain slopes.

The BLM has basic stewardship responsibilities to identify and protect visual values on all public lands. In order to accomplish this, the BLM is directed to prepare and maintain an inventory of visual values on a continuing basis. This document provides complete visual resource inventory information.

## Visual Resource Inventory Overview

The Visual Resource Inventory is a process to determine visual (scenic) values within the Field Office at a specific point in time. Visual Resource Inventories are conducted according to the guidelines in BLM Manual Handbook H-8410-1 – Visual Resource Inventory.

There are three primary components to a visual resource inventory.

- Scenic Quality Evaluation
- Sensitivity Level Analysis
- Delineation of Distance Zones

Based on these three components, BLM-administered lands are placed into one of four Visual Resource Inventory Classes which represent the relative value of the visual resources. Classes I and II are the most valued, Class III represents a moderate value, and Class IV represents the least value.



Near the Hurricane Cliffs

Class I is assigned to areas where a management decision has been made to maintain a natural landscape and is generally assigned to special areas such as national wilderness and other congressionally and administratively designated areas where decisions have been made to preserve a natural landscape. Without the special area designation, it is not possible for lands to rate as Class I through the inventory process.

## Visual Resource Inventory Classes

Visual resource *inventory* classes are assigned through the inventory process. They are informational in nature and provide the basis for considering visual values in the Resource Management Plan (RMP) process. They do not establish management direction and should not be used as a basis for constraining or encouraging surface-disturbing activities. They are considered the baseline data for existing conditions.

## Visual Resource Management Classes and Objectives

Visual resource *management* classes are assigned for all BLM-administered lands through the RMP process. The assignment of visual management classes is ultimately based on the management decisions made in RMPs, which must take into consideration the value of visual resources. During the RMP process, inventory class boundaries can be adjusted as

---

necessary to reflect resource allocation decisions made in RMPs.

For example, a landscape may be rated as Class III during the inventory process, but may be designated as Class IV through the RMP process to provide for development activities which require major modification of the existing character of the landscape.

The following Visual Resource Management Objectives have been established for each class in the BLM Manual Handbook H-8410-1 – Visual Resource Inventory:

- **Class I**—The objective of this class is to preserve the existing character of the landscape. This class provides for natural ecological changes; however, it does not preclude very limited management activity. The level of change to the characteristic landscape should be very low and must not attract attention.
- **Class II**—The objective of this class is to retain the existing character of the landscape. The level of change to the characteristic landscape

should be low. Management activities may be seen, but should not attract the attention of the casual observer. Any changes must repeat the basic elements of form, line, color, and texture found in the predominant natural features of the characteristic landscape.

- **Class III**—The objective of this class is to partially retain the existing character of the landscape. The level of change to the characteristic landscape should be moderate. Management activities may attract attention but should not dominate the view of the casual observer. Changes should repeat the basic elements found in the predominant natural features of the characteristic landscape.
- **Class IV**—The objective of this class is to provide for management activities which require major modification of the existing character of the landscape. The level of change to the characteristic landscape can be high. These management activities may dominate the view and be the major focus of viewer attention. However, every attempt should be made to minimize the impact of these activities through careful location, minimal disturbance, and repeating the basic elements.



---

Mountain Home

---

## Inventory Lands

BLM-administered public lands often occur in areas with mixed land-ownership patterns. The CCFO administers areas with mixed ownership that may include BLM, state, private, Forest Service, Bureau of Reclamation, National Park Service (NPS), and Tribal lands among others. Split-estate lands that have private surface ownership and federal subsurface minerals management are included in the inventory because the BLM may administer the mineral rights.

Designated Wilderness Areas are automatically assigned to Class I and were not included in the inventory process. However, Wilderness Study Areas (WSAs) are under consideration for suitability for designation as wilderness areas and are rated because WSA status is temporary and may be changed with Congressional action.

Some areas in the CCFO were not included in this inventory and are labeled as NR or “Not Rated” on the inventory maps. These include the Fishlake National Forest, Dixie National Forest, Cedar Breaks National Monument, Zion National Park, and the Piute Indian Reservation.

In addition, large areas of private surface and private minerals are not inventoried because the BLM does not have jurisdiction over these lands.


While the inventory is done on a landscape basis, the inventory results and the subsequent Visual Resource Management Objectives established in the RMP apply only to BLM-administered lands.




Unusual rock formations near Steamboat Mountain

Map I-5  
Field Office Location

NEVADA




-  Field Office Boundary
-  Historic Trail
-  Major Roads
-  Bureau of Land Management
-  National Park Service
-  Indian Reservation
-  US Forest Service
-  US Fish & Wildlife
-  State
-  Private




ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CITY FO AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.

## Map 1-2 Surface Management Status




-  Field Office Boundary
-  Bureau of Land Management
-  National Park Service
-  Indian Reservation
-  US Forest Service
-  US Fish & Wildlife
-  State
-  Private




ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CITY FO AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.

# Map I-7 Special Management Areas



- Field Office Boundary
- Historic Trail
- SRMA
- Wilderness Study Areas
- NR Not Rated



ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR BREAKS NATIONAL MONUMENT FIELD OFFICE AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.

---

## 2. Scenic Quality Evaluation

Scenic Quality Evaluation measures the visual appeal of a landscape. Public lands are rated as Class A (19 points or more), Class B (12 to 18 points), or Class C (11 points or less) based on the apparent scenic quality. Lands are reviewed and rated using seven key factors, and the total score determines the rating. BLM Handbook Manual H-8410-1 – Visual Resource Inventory, provides the following information about each of the seven factors:

**Landform**—Topography becomes more interesting as it gets steeper or more massive, or more severely or universally sculptured. Outstanding landforms may be monumental, as the Grand Canyon, the Sawtooth Mountain Range in Idaho, the Wrangell Mountain Range in Alaska, or they may be exceedingly artistic and subtle as certain badlands, pinnacles, arches, and other extraordinary formations.

**Vegetation**—Give primary consideration to the variety of patterns, forms, and textures created by plant life. Consider short-lived displays when they are known to be recurring or spectacular. Consider also smaller-scale vegetational features which add striking and intriguing detail elements to the landscape (e.g., gnarled or wind-beaten trees, and joshua trees).

**Water**—That ingredient which adds movement or serenity to a scene. The degree to which water dominates the scene is the primary consideration in selecting the rating score.

**Color**—Consider the overall color(s) of the basic components of the landscape (e.g., soil, rock, vegetation, etc.) as they appear during seasons or periods of high use. Key factors to use when rating “color” are variety, contrast, and harmony.

**Influence of Adjacent Scenery**—Degree to which scenery outside the scenery unit being rated enhances the



overall impression of the scenery within the rating unit. The distance at which adjacent scenery

---

## Soldier Pass

will influence scenery within the rating unit will normally range from 0–5 miles, depending upon the characteristics of the topography, the vegetative cover, and other such factors. This factor is generally applied to units which would normally rate very low in score, but the influence of the adjacent unit would enhance the visual quality and raise the score.

**Scarcity**—This factor provides an opportunity to give added importance to one or all of the scenic features that appear to be relatively unique or rare within one physiographic region. There may also be cases where a separate evaluation of each of the key factors does not give a true picture of the overall scenic quality of an area. Often it is a number of not-so-spectacular elements in the proper combination that produces the most pleasing and memorable scenery—the scarcity factor can be used to recognize this type of area and give it the added emphasis it needs.

**Cultural Modifications**—Cultural modifications in the landform/water, vegetation, and addition of structures should be considered and may detract from the scenery in the form of a negative intrusion or complement, or improve the scenic quality of a unit.

---





The Wah Wah Mountains near Pierson Cove

Each of the seven factors is rated on a comparative basis against similar features within the physiographic province in which the inventory area is located. For example, scenery in the Colorado Plateau is compared to scenery in the Colorado Plateau, not the Wyoming Basin or Southern Rocky Mountains, which means features within a Field Office will be compared to a larger region that extends beyond the Field Office boundary. In some cases this means that a feature that may be unique to the Field Office can be common within the physiographic province and therefore not receive as high a rating as may be expected by the Field Office. For the CCFO, the physiographic provinces are the Colorado Plateau and Basin and Range.

The Scenic Quality Field Inventory sheet uses the characteristics of form, line, color, and texture to describe the seven elements of the landscape. These characteristics are briefly defined as follows:

**Form**—The mass or shape of an object or objects which appear unified, such as a vegetative opening in a forest, a cliff formation, or a water tank.

**Line**—The path, real or imagined, that the eye follows when perceiving abrupt differences in form, color, or texture. Within landscapes, lines may be found as ridges, skylines, structures, changes in vegetative types, or individual trees and branches.

**Color**—The property of reflecting light of a particular intensity and wavelength (or mixture of wavelengths), to which the eye is sensitive. It is the major visual property of surfaces.

**Texture**—The visual manifestations of the interplay of light and shadow created by the variations in the surface of an object or landscape.

All public lands have scenic value, but areas with the most variety and harmonious composition have the greatest scenic value. Evaluation of scenic quality is also done in relationship to the natural landscape, which does not mean that man-made features within a landscape necessarily detract from scenic value. Man-made features that complement the natural landscape may enhance the scenic value, and evaluations should avoid bias against man-made modifications to the natural landscape.

Maps 2-3 through 2-9 show the ratings of the seven factors for each unit. Map 2-10 shows the final scenic quality rating based on the combination of the seven factors.

## Delineating Scenic Quality Rating Units

The CCFO was divided into preliminary Scenic Quality Rating Units (SQRUs) based on like physiographic characteristics such as geology, vegetation, hydrology, texture, color, variety, and topography (Map 2-1).

Preliminary units were drawn prior to conducting field work using high-quality aerial photographs and terrain models available on Google Earth and Google Maps. Additional tools used for this process include 1:100,000 scale topographic maps and a 25m Digital Elevation Model (DEM) provided by the BLM. These maps, aeri-als, and data clearly show the topographic

---

and visual features of the landscape which enabled the inventory team to divide the area into preliminary SQRUs. These units were then adjusted as necessary after consulting with BLM staff and verified in the field to provide an accurate boundary.

These maps were used in the field for navigational purposes, for ground-truthing the SQRU boundaries, and for recording notes and IOP locations.

The size of SQRU varies, but cannot be less than 100 acres in order to maintain managerial significance. The CCFO was divided into a total of 42 SQRUs and the sizes of the SQRUs range from 427 acres to 308,869 acres.

### Scenic Quality Evaluation Process

The inventory team drove through each SQRU, stopping at Inventory Observation Points (IOPs) at multiple locations within the unit to evaluate scenic quality from several viewpoints. An IOP is a critical viewpoint that is located along designated public travel routes or other observation points. IOPs are selected in the field based on providing representative views of the landscape character of a SQRU. Photographs and GPS coordinates are recorded at each IOP for further analysis, mapping, and report documentation. A total of 198 stops were made throughout the CCFO.

Some units did not have legal access through all areas which in some cases limited the extent to which the inventory team could cover the unit. However, thorough coverage of every unit was conducted to the extent practical.

All fieldwork personnel were trained in the BLM Visual Resource Inventory process. In addition, CCFO personnel accompanied the inventory teams for 8 of the 12 fieldwork days and participated in the rating efforts. The ratings were completed as a team, not by an individual person, and reflect the team's collective impression of a unit. The rating units were documented in the field using the standardized Scenic Quality Field Inventory Sheet.

Once the inventory was complete, the SQRUs were reviewed by the inventory team for final adjustment before the information was digitized into GIS. Appendix A provides the following information for each SQRU:

- Scenic Quality Field Inventory sheet describing the visual characteristics of the SQRU
- Locator map showing the location of each SQRU within the Field Office and the IOPs within the SQRU
- Photos documenting the views at each IOP



Three Peaks (left foreground) with Long Hollow (right middleground) and Little Bald Hills (background)

## Scenic Quality Inventory and Evaluation Chart

Key Factors	Rating Criteria and Score		
Landform	High vertical relief as expressed in prominent cliffs, spires, or massive rock outcrops, or severe surface variation or highly eroded formations including major badlands or dune systems; or detail features dominant and exceptionally striking and intriguing such as glaciers.	Steep canyons, mesas, buttes, cinder cones, and drumlins; or interesting erosional patterns or variety in size and shape of landforms; or detail features which are interesting though not dominant or exceptional.	Low, rolling hills, foothills, or flat valley bottoms; or few or no interesting landscape features.
	3		1
Vegetation	A variety of vegetative types as expressed in interesting forms, textures, and patterns.	Some variety of vegetation, but only one or two major types.	Little or no variety or contrast in vegetation.
	3		1
Water	Clear and clean-appearing, still, or cascading whitewater, any of which are a dominant factor in the landscape.	Flowing, or still, but not dominant in the landscape.	Absent, or present, but not noticeable.
			0
Color	Rich color combinations, variety or vivid color; or pleasing contrasts in the soil, rock, vegetation, water, or snow fields.	Some intensity or variety in colors and contrast of the soil, rock, and vegetation, but not a dominant scenic element.	Subtle color variations, contrast, or interest; generally muted tones.
	5	3	1
Influence of Adjacent Scenery	Adjacent scenery greatly enhances visual quality.	Adjacent scenery moderately influences overall visual quality.	Adjacent scenery has little or no influence on overall visual quality.
	5	3	0
Scarcity	One of a kind; or unusually memorable, or very rare within region. Consistent chance for exceptional wildlife or wildflower viewing, etc.	Distinctive, though somewhat similar to others within the region.	Interesting within its setting, but fairly common in the region.
		3	
Cultural Modifications	Modifications add favorably to visual variety while promoting visual harmony.	Modifications add little or no visual variety to the area, and introduce no discordant elements.	Modifications add variety but are very discordant and promote strong disharmony.
	2	0	-4

\* A rating of greater than 5 can be given but must be supported by written justification.

Source: Visual Resource Inventory – BLM Manual Handbook 8410-1

# Scenic Quality Rating Summary

Form 8400-5										
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT SCENIC QUALITY RATING SUMMARY								Date: November, 2009		
								District: Color Country		
								Field Office: Cedar City		
1. Evaluators: S. Bonar, E. Burghard, T. Frampton, K. McNight, J. Priest, R. S. Roché, R. Sweeten, S. Whitfield (BLM);										
G. Brady, S. Dixon, C. LaPierre, K. Schwarzler, S. Thompson, L. Utter (Otak)										
SCENIC QUALITY RATING UNITS	Landform	Vegetation	Water	Color	Adjacent Scenery	Scarcity	Cultural Modification	Total Score	Scenic Quality Rating	EXPLANATION
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
01	1.5	2	0	2	4	2.5	0	12	B	Broad basin with rolling hills and sage community. Juniper and surrounding mountains provide visual contrast.
02	4	4	1	3.5	3.5	3	0	19	A	Mountainous with large cliff face; varied topography, vegetation, and color
03	1.5	2	0.5	1.5	4.5	1	0	11	C	Expansive valley; rolling landforms surrounded by mountains. Seasonal washes, muted colors.
04	4	2.5	0	3.5	3	3.5	0	16.5	B	Prominent landform, varied forms and texture creates distinct edge to valley. Juniper is dominant.
05	1	1	0	1	4	1	-1	7	C	Atypical basin and rangeland landscape; dusty and overgrazed with little variety in color, vegetation.
06	4	4	0	3.5	3	3.5	-1	17	B	Dramatic west face, subtle color changes. Patchy pinyon/juniper separated by jagged outcrops; aspen, Ponderosa pine. Unique in local context.
07	2	1	0	1.5	3	1	0	8.5	C	Little variety in topography, vegetation, or color; mountain views surround the unit.
08	3	2.5	0.5	2	3	2.5	-2.5	11	C	Distinct, but not unique, pyramidal mountains with sparse vegetation and little color contrast. Mining activity is visually prominent.
09	1	2	0	2	3.5	1	-1	8.5	C	Uniform overall with some variety in vegetation and muted colors. Adjacent scenery adds interest.
10	5	4	0	4	2	5	0	20	A	Massive, unique, highly eroded mountains, varied vegetation and high seasonal color contrast.
11	3	2	0	2.5	3.5	1.5	0	12.5	B	Rangeland with exposed lava, interesting volcanic features. Views of adjacent mountains.
12	1	2.5	2.5	2	3.5	1	0	12.5	B	Flat, primarily urban valley with agriculture and rangeland. Mountain views to east and west.

## Scenic Quality Rating Summary, continued

SCENIC QUALITY RATING UNITS	Landform	Vegetation	Water	Color	Adjacent Scenery	Scarcity	Cultural Modification	Total Score	Scenic Quality Rating	EXPLANATION
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
13	2.5	3	0	2.5	3	2	0	13	B	Beautiful cinder cones and interesting volcanic features; mountain views.
14	3	3	0.5	2.5	2	1	0	12	B	Interesting mountain blends with surroundings. Typical pinyon/juniper with muted colors.
15	3	2.5	0.5	3	0	1.5	-1	9.5	C	Rounded mountains with rock outcrops. Seasonal water/springs and pockets of color. Open-pit gravel mining detracts.
16	2	2.5	1	2	1	1.5	0	10	C	Distinct rolling hills and landform pattern with minor changes in vegetation and color.
17	4	4	2	3	1.5	2	0	16.5	B	Interesting, mountainous topography with dense forest and vegetation communities. Some variety in color; seasonal springs.
18	3.5	4	1	3	2	1	1	15.5	B	Varied outcroppings and canyons with multiple species of riparian and higher-elevation vegetation. Historic mining adds to cultural character.
19	3.5	3	0	2.5	1.5	2	0	12.5	B	Mountain topography common for area; mostly pinyon/juniper with little variety in color.
20	3.5	3	0	3	1	1.5	0.5	12.5	B	Varied, scenic landscape with good variety of vegetation and color for region. Enclosed landscape.
21	3.5	3	0	3	3.5	1.5	0.5	15	B	Interesting basalt outcrops; vegetation limited to juniper, grasses. Good color contrast and views to surrounding mountains.
22	2.5	1.5	0	2	2	3	0	11	C	Complex rounded hills with some color contrast in basalt outcrops; mostly grasses and forbs.
23	3.5	2	0	2.5	4.5	1	0	13.5	B	Exceptional mountain views surround interesting terrain; simple colors and vegetation.
24	1.5	3	1	2	4.5	1	0	13	B	Mountainous scenery, flat topography with little seasonal color and variety in vegetation.
25	1	2.5	0	3	4	1	0	11.5	B	Views of Hurricane Cliffs and Red Hills. Irrigated agriculture adds variety.
26	2.5	2.5	0	3	3	3	0	14	B	Rolling with some interesting details and bright rock colors. Mountain views and Parowan Gap add interest.


## Scenic Quality Rating Summary, continued

SCENIC QUALITY RATING UNITS	Landform	Vegetation	Water	Color	Adjacent Scenery	Scarcity	Cultural Modification	Total Score	Scenic Quality Rating	EXPLANATION
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
27	1	1	0	1	3	1	0	7	C	Very common rangeland, but important. Views of adjacent Red Hills add interest.
28	3.5	2	0	2	3	3	0	13.5	B	A unique, prominent landmark. Uniform color with some variety in vegetation.
29	2.5	3	0	2	2	1	0	10.5	C	Juniper and sage-covered rolling hills with some interesting features; subtle colors.
30	3	3	0	3	1	2.5	0	12.5	B	Unique landform with rock outcrops, juniper and sage. Minor variety in rock color.
31	2	3	0	2	1	1	0	9	C	Juniper and sage provide contrast; some washes and rolling hills. Fairly common.
32	2	2	0	1.5	1	1	-0.5	7	C	Rolling hills with little variety in color. Sparse juniper.
33	2	3	1.5	2	2.5	2	1	14	B	No outstanding features; riparian vegetation adds some variety. Surrounding hills and pinyon/ juniper create a sense of enclosure.
34	4	2.5	1.5	2	2	2	-0.5	13.5	B	Varied geology with monotypic vegetation. Reservoir site does not fit well in the otherwise natural environment.
35	2	1	0	2	2	1	-1.5	6.5	C	A common landscape with subtly contrasting colors. Views of surrounding mountains, valleys.
36	3.5	2	0	3	3	2	-1	12.5	B	Interesting rock formations with some contrasting color in rock and vegetation. Mines and development detract.
37	2	1	0	1.5	2.5	1	0	8	C	Interesting but common landform with dry washes and monochromatic color. Interior areas provide geologic interest.
38	1	2	0	2	5	1	0	11	C	High-quality views of adjacent mountains from mostly flat unit with little variety in color or vegetation.
39	5	4.5	2	5	1	1	0	19	A	Remarkable geology and color with varied vegetation (aspen, scrub oak, pine, fir). Gateway to Cedar Breaks National Monument.
40	4	3.5	3	4	1	2.5	0	17.5	B	Scenic rock faces and vertical red-rock geology. Some riparian vegetation, perennial creeks.


This page intentionally left blank.

SCENIC QUALITY RATING UNITS	Landform	Vegetation	Water	Color	Adjacent Scenery	Scarcity	Cultural Modification	Total Score	Scenic Quality Rating	EXPLANATION
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
41	3	3	0	3.5	4	1.5	-0.5	14.5	B	Complex landform, vegetation, and seasonal color with excellent views of important scenic areas. Residential development detracts.
42	5	4	5	5	1.5	2	0	22.5	A	Exceptional slot canyons with diverse vegetation and highly colored red sandstone canyon walls. Perennial streams and some cascading water.

## Map 2 -1 Scenic Quality Rating Units




<b>Field Office Boundary</b>	
<b>NR Not Rated</b>	
001 Hamlin Valley	022 Jack Henry Knoll
002 Mountain Home	023 Coyote Bench
003 Pine Valley	024 Buckskin Valley
004 Wah Wah Mountains	025 Parowan Valley
005 Wah Wah Valley	026 Red Hills
006 Frisco Mountains	027 Long Hollow
007 Big Wash	028 Table Butte
008 Beaver Lake	029 Government Well
009 Escalante Desert	030 Haystack Mountain
010 Mineral Mountains	031 Bull Valley South
011 Horse Flats	032 Bull Valley North
012 Wildcat	033 Shoal Creek
013 Shauntie Hills	034 Antelope Mountain
014 Blue Mountain	035 Desert Mound
015 Mountain Spring	036 Three Peaks
016 Rustlers Draw	037 Little Bald Hills
017 Indian Peak	038 Cedar Valley
018 Paradise Mountains	039 Hurricane Cliffs
019 Steamboat Mountain	040 Red Cliffs
020 Black Mountains	041 Bumble Bee
021 Bald Hills North	042 Spring Creek




ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CITY FO AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.

## Map 2- Inventory Observation Points




- Field Office Boundary Inventory
- Observation Points VRI Scenic
- Quality Rating Unit Polygons
- Not Rated

001 Hamlin Valley	022 Jack Henry Knoll
002 Mountain Home	023 Coyote Bench
003 Pine Valley	024 Buckskin Valley
004 Wah Wah Mountains	025 Parowan Valley
005 Wah Wah Valley	026 Red Hills
006 Frisco Mountains	027 Long Hollow
007 Big Wash	028 Table Butte
008 Beaver Lake	029 Government Well
009 Escalante Desert	030 Haystack Mountain
010 Mineral Mountains	031 Bull Valley South
011 Horse Flats	032 Bull Valley North
012 Wildcat	033 Shoal Creek
013 Shauntie Hills	034 Antelope Mountain
014 Blue Mountain	035 Desert Mound
015 Mountain Spring	036 Three Peaks
016 Rustlers Draw	037 Little Bald Hills
017 Indian Peak	038 Cedar Valley
018 Paradise Mountains	039 Hurricane Cliffs
019 Steamboat Mountain	040 Red Cliffs
020 Black Mountains	041 Bumble Bee
021 Bald Hills North	042 Spring Creek



ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CITY FO AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.

Map 2-3  
Scenic Quality Rating—Landform




**Field Office Boundary**

1 Low  
1.5  
2  
2.5  
3  
3.5  
4  
5 High  
Not Rated


Note: Possible rating score ranges from 1 to 5

- |                        |                       |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 001 Hamlin Valley      | 022 Jack Henry Knoll  |
| 002 Mountain Home      | 023 Coyote Bench      |
| 003 Pine Valley        | 024 Buckskin Valley   |
| 004 Wah Wah Mountains  | 025 Parowan Valley    |
| 005 Wah Wah Valley     | 026 Red Hills         |
| 006 Frisco Mountains   | 027 Long Hollow       |
| 007 Big Wash           | 028 Table Butte       |
| 008 Beaver Lake        | 029 Government Well   |
| 009 Escalante Desert   | 030 Haystack Mountain |
| 010 Mineral Mountains  | 031 Bull Valley South |
| 011 Horse Flats        | 032 Bull Valley North |
| 012 Wildcat            | 033 Shoal Creek       |
| 013 Shauntie Hills     | 034 Antelope Mountain |
| 014 Blue Mountain      | 035 Desert Mound      |
| 015 Mountain Spring    | 036 Three Peaks       |
| 016 Rustlers Draw      | 037 Little Bald Hills |
| 017 Indian Peak        | 038 Cedar Valley      |
| 018 Paradise Mountains | 039 Hurricane Cliffs  |
| 019 Steamboat Mountain | 040 Red Cliffs        |
| 020 Black Mountains    | 041 Bumble Bee        |
| 021 Bald Hills North   | 042 Spring Creek      |




ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CTIY FO AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.

## Map 2- Scenic Quality Rating—Vegetation



### Field Office Boundary




Note: Possible rating score ranges from 1 to 5

001	Hamlin Valley	022	Jack Henry Knoll
002	Mountain Home	023	Coyote Bench
003	Pine Valley	024	Buckskin Valley
004	Wah Wah Mountains	025	Parowan Valley
005	Wah Wah Valley	026	Red Hills
006	Frisco Mountains	027	Long Hollow
007	Big Wash	028	Table Butte
008	Beaver Lake	029	Government Well
009	Escalante Desert	030	Haystack Mountain
010	Mineral Mountains	031	Bull Valley South
011	Horse Flats	032	Bull Valley North
012	Wildcat	033	Shoal Creek
013	Shauntie Hills	034	Antelope Mountain
014	Blue Mountain	035	Desert Mound
015	Mountain Spring	036	Three Peaks
016	Rustlers Draw	037	Little Bald Hills
017	Indian Peak	038	Cedar Valley
018	Paradise Mountains	039	Hurricane Cliffs
019	Steamboat Mountain	040	Red Cliffs
020	Black Mountains	041	Bumble Bee
021	Bald Hills North	042	Spring Creek



ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CITY FO AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.

## Map 2-5 Scenic Quality Rating—Water




**Field Office Boundary**

0 Low  
0.5  
1  
1.5  
2  
2.5  
3  
5 High  
Not Rated



Note: Possible rating score ranges from 0 to 5

- |                        |                       |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 001 Hamlin Valley      | 022 Jack Henry Knoll  |
| 002 Mountain Home      | 023 Coyote Bench      |
| 003 Pine Valley        | 024 Buckskin Valley   |
| 004 Wah Wah Mountains  | 025 Parowan Valley    |
| 005 Wah Wah Valley     | 026 Red Hills         |
| 006 Frisco Mountains   | 027 Long Hollow       |
| 007 Big Wash           | 028 Table Butte       |
| 008 Beaver Lake        | 029 Government Well   |
| 009 Escalante Desert   | 030 Haystack Mountain |
| 010 Mineral Mountains  | 031 Bull Valley South |
| 011 Horse Flats        | 032 Bull Valley North |
| 012 Wildcat            | 033 Shoal Creek       |
| 013 Shauntie Hills     | 034 Antelope Mountain |
| 014 Blue Mountain      | 035 Desert Mound      |
| 015 Mountain Spring    | 036 Three Peaks       |
| 016 Rustlers Draw      | 037 Little Bald Hills |
| 017 Indian Peak        | 038 Cedar Valley      |
| 018 Paradise Mountains | 039 Hurricane Cliffs  |
| 019 Steamboat Mountain | 040 Red Cliffs        |
| 020 Black Mountains    | 041 Bumble Bee        |
| 021 Bald Hills North   | 042 Spring Creek      |




ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CTIY FO AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.

## Map 2-6 Scenic Quality Rating—Color





001 Hamlin Valley	022 Jack Henry Knoll
002 Mountain Home	023 Coyote Bench
003 Pine Valley	024 Buckskin Valley
004 Wah Wah Mountains	025 Parowan Valley
005 Wah Wah Valley	026 Red Hills
006 Frisco Mountains	027 Long Hollow
007 Big Wash	028 Table Butte
008 Beaver Lake	029 Government Well
009 Escalante Desert	030 Haystack Mountain
010 Mineral Mountains	031 Bull Valley South
011 Horse Flats	032 Bull Valley North
012 Wildcat	033 Shoal Creek
013 Shauntie Hills	034 Antelope Mountain
014 Blue Mountain	035 Desert Mound
015 Mountain Spring	036 Three Peaks
016 Rustlers Draw	037 Little Bald Hills
017 Indian Peak	038 Cedar Valley
018 Paradise Mountains	039 Hurricane Cliffs
019 Steamboat Mountain	040 Red Cliffs
020 Black Mountains	041 Bumble Bee
021 Bald Hills North	042 Spring Creek




ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CITY FO AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.

## Map 2-7 Scenic Quality Rating—Adjacent Scenery





001 Hamlin Valley	022 Jack Henry Knoll
002 Mountain Home	023 Coyote Bench
003 Pine Valley	024 Buckskin Valley
004 Wah Wah Mountains	025 Parowan Valley
005 Wah Wah Valley	026 Red Hills
006 Frisco Mountains	027 Long Hollow
007 Big Wash	028 Table Butte
008 Beaver Lake	029 Government Well
009 Escalante Desert	030 Haystack Mountain
010 Mineral Mountains	031 Bull Valley South
011 Horse Flats	032 Bull Valley North
012 Wildcat	033 Shoal Creek
013 Shauntie Hills	034 Antelope Mountain
014 Blue Mountain	035 Desert Mound
015 Mountain Spring	036 Three Peaks
016 Rustlers Draw	037 Little Bald Hills
017 Indian Peak	038 Cedar Valley
018 Paradise Mountains	039 Hurricane Cliffs
019 Steamboat Mountain	040 Red Cliffs
020 Black Mountains	041 Bumble Bee
021 Bald Hills North	042 Spring Creek




ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CITY FO AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.

## Map 2-23 Scenic Quality Rating—Scarcity





- |                        |                       |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 001 Hamlin Valley      | 022 Jack Henry Knoll  |
| 002 Mountain Home      | 023 Coyote Bench      |
| 003 Pine Valley        | 024 Buckskin Valley   |
| 004 Wah Wah Mountains  | 025 Parowan Valley    |
| 005 Wah Wah Valley     | 026 Red Hills         |
| 006 Frisco Mountains   | 027 Long Hollow       |
| 007 Big Wash           | 028 Table Butte       |
| 008 Beaver Lake        | 029 Government Well   |
| 009 Escalante Desert   | 030 Haystack Mountain |
| 010 Mineral Mountains  | 031 Bull Valley South |
| 011 Horse Flats        | 032 Bull Valley North |
| 012 Wildcat            | 033 Shoal Creek       |
| 013 Shauntie Hills     | 034 Antelope Mountain |
| 014 Blue Mountain      | 035 Desert Mound      |
| 015 Mountain Spring    | 036 Three Peaks       |
| 016 Rustlers Draw      | 037 Little Bald Hills |
| 017 Indian Peak        | 038 Cedar Valley      |
| 018 Paradise Mountains | 039 Hurricane Cliffs  |
| 019 Steamboat Mountain | 040 Red Cliffs        |
| 020 Black Mountains    | 041 Bumble Bee        |
| 021 Bald Hills North   | 042 Spring Creek      |




ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CTIY FO AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.

## Map 2-9 Scenic Quality Rating— Cultural Modification




001 Hamlin Valley	022 Jack Henry Knoll
002 Mountain Home	023 Coyote Bench
003 Pine Valley	024 Buckskin Valley
004 Wah Wah Mountains	025 Parowan Valley
005 Wah Wah Valley	026 Red Hills
006 Frisco Mountains	027 Long Hollow
007 Big Wash	028 Table Butte
008 Beaver Lake	029 Government Well
009 Escalante Desert	030 Haystack Mountain
010 Mineral Mountains	031 Bull Valley South
011 Horse Flats	032 Bull Valley North
012 Wildcat	033 Shoal Creek
013 Shauntie Hills	034 Antelope Mountain
014 Blue Mountain	035 Desert Mound
015 Mountain Spring	036 Three Peaks
016 Rustlers Draw	037 Little Bald Hills
017 Indian Peak	038 Cedar Valley
018 Paradise Mountains	039 Hurricane Cliffs
019 Steamboat Mountain	040 Red Cliffs
020 Black Mountains	041 Bumble Bee
021 Bald Hills North	042 Spring Creek



ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CITY FO AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.


## Map 2-25 Scenic Quality Classifications



- Field Office Boundary
- A - 19 or More  
Total Score for Scenic Quality
- B - 12 to 18  
Total Score for Scenic Quality
- C - 11 or Less  
Total Score for Scenic Quality
- NR Not Rated

Note: Acreage includes all BLM and non-BLM administered lands

001 Hamlin Valley	022 Jack Henry Knoll
002 Mountain Home	023 Coyote Bench
003 Pine Valley	024 Buckskin Valley
004 Wah Wah Mountains	025 Parowan Valley
005 Wah Wah Valley	026 Red Hills
006 Frisco Mountains	027 Long Hollow
007 Big Wash	028 Table Butte
008 Beaver Lake	029 Government Well
009 Escalante Desert	030 Haystack Mountain
010 Mineral Mountains	031 Bull Valley South
011 Horse Flats	032 Bull Valley North
012 Wildcat	033 Shoal Creek
013 Shauntie Hills	034 Antelope Mountain
014 Blue Mountain	035 Desert Mound
015 Mountain Spring	036 Three Peaks
016 Rustlers Draw	037 Little Bald Hills
017 Indian Peak	038 Cedar Valley
018 Paradise Mountains	039 Hurricane Cliffs
019 Steamboat Mountain	040 Red Cliffs
020 Black Mountains	041 Bumble Bee
021 Bald Hills North	042 Spring Creek



ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CTIY FO AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.


---

### 3. Sensitivity Level Analysis

Sensitivity Levels (Map 3-3) are a measure of public concern for scenic quality. Public land areas are assigned high, medium, or low sensitivity levels based on consideration of the following factors:

- **Types of Users**—Visual sensitivity will vary with the type of users. Recreation sightseers may be highly sensitive to any changes in visual quality, whereas workers who pass through the area on a regular basis may not be as sensitive to change.
- **Amount of Use**—Areas seen and used by large numbers of people are potentially more sensitive. Protection of visual values usually becomes more important as the number of viewers increases.
- **Public Interest**—The visual quality of an area may be of concern to local, state, or national groups. Indicators of this concern are usually expressed in public meetings, letters, newspaper or magazine articles, newsletters, land-use plans, etc.

Hurricane Cliffs



---

Public controversy created in response to proposed activities that would change the landscape character should also be considered.

- **Adjacent Land Uses**—The interrelationship with land uses in adjacent lands can affect the visual sensitivity of an area. For example, an area within the viewshed of a residential area may be very sensitive, whereas an area surrounded by commercially developed lands may not be visually sensitive.
- **Special Areas**—Management objectives for special areas such as Natural Areas, Wilderness Areas or Wilderness Study Areas, Wild and Scenic Rivers, Scenic Areas, Scenic Roads or Trails, and Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC), frequently require special consideration for the protection of visual values. This does not necessarily mean that these areas are scenic, but rather that one of the management objectives may be to preserve the natural landscape setting. The management objectives for these areas may be used as a basis for assigning sensitivity levels.
- **Other Factors**—Consider any other information such as research or studies that includes indicators for visual sensitivity.

Scenic Quality Rating Units (SLRUs) often have the same boundaries as Scenic Quality Rating Units (SQRUs). However, the boundaries may be different as they are subject to the factor(s) that determine visual sensitivity, which differ from the factors that determine scenic quality. For example, a special management area and surrounding lands of similar character may be located within one SQRU. However, the unit may be broken into two separate SLRUs: one unit for the special management area which would have a higher sensitivity level, and a second unit for the surrounding lands which have a lower sensitivity level.

---



---

Examples of SQRUs that have been split into separate SLRUs include:

- Spring Creek, which is divided into the Spring Creek SLRU and the Spring Creek Wilderness Study Area SLRU
- Three Peaks, which is divided into the Three Peaks SLRU and Three Peaks Special Recreation Management Area SLRU
- Mineral Mountains, which is divided into Mineral Mountains Upper and Mineral Mountains Lower SLRUs

SLRUs may also be delineated by using viewshed analyses from designated IOPs, including overlooks, travel corridors, or viewpoints identified in the field. The area that is visible from the viewshed analyses helps to delineate the boundary for the SLRU. An example of a travel corridor delineated as a SLRU is the Dry Lakes Summit Canyon Scenic Backway.

While conducting fieldwork, the CCFO was initially divided into 41 Field Sensitivity SLRUs (Map 3-1) and evaluated using the Rating Sheet Instructions Chart on page 28. During post-fieldwork review, 16 SLRUs were added to document and analyze sensitivity for specific viewshed corridors and special management areas.

In effect, these added sensitivity viewsheds override the underlying sensitivity level analyses of units evaluated during fieldwork, resulting in the classification of a portion of the Field Office as high sensitivity. A total of 58 Final SLRUs are shown on Map 3-2.

In addition, a segment of the Old Spanish National Historic Trail runs through the Field Office.<sup>1</sup> At this time the Cedar City Field Office is proposing



Spring Creek

to locate and inventory this important trail to help determine the limits of the proposed 15-mile buffer. When this inventory is complete, the Cedar City Field Office will more accurately display the viewshed sensitivity of this historic resource.

A 15-mile “offset” is shown on the sensitivity maps. While this offset does not influence the underlying sensitivity rating, this may be an important consideration for review during the RMP process when developing Visual Resource Management Classes.

---

<sup>1</sup> National Scenic and Historic Trail GIS data provided by Deb Salt, BLM National Trails Permanent Lead for the Division of the National Landscape Conservation System; January, 2010.

## Sensitivity Level Ratings

The sensitivity level rating sheets for the entire Field Office are included in Appendix B, which also shows which units are entirely or partially covered by the added viewsheds. However, the individual ratings are not reflected in the GIS data. This should be an important consideration for review during the RMP process when developing visual resource management classes.

Sensitivity ratings are also completed as a team and reflect the overall impression of a unit. It is especially important to get input from BLM staff familiar with the area being evaluated. User groups and special interest groups are also valuable resources for understanding the sensitivity rating of a unit.

Each SLRU was documented in the field using the standardized Sensitivity Level Rating Sheet. Ratings are summarized beginning on page 29.



Escalante Desert

## Rating Sheet Instructions Chart

1. Divide the inventory area into logical sensitivity rating units.
2. Analyze the factors which indicate visual sensitivity.
3. For each rating, rate each factor as high, moderate, or low using the following outline as a general guide:
  - a. *Type of Users.* Maintenance of visual quality is:
    - a major concern for most users ..... High
    - a moderate concern for most users ..... Moderate
    - a low concern for most users ..... Low
  - b. *Amount of use.* Maintenance of visual quality becomes more important as the level of use increases (see table below):
    - high level of use ..... High
    - moderate level of use ..... Moderate
    - low level of use ..... Low
  - c. *Public Interest.* Maintenance of visual quality is:
    - a major public issue ..... High
    - a moderate public issue ..... Moderate
    - a minor public issue ..... Low
  - d. *Adjacent Land Uses.* Maintenance of visual quality to sustain adjacent land use objectives is:
    - very important ..... High
    - moderately important ..... Moderate
    - slightly important ..... Low
  - e. *Special Area.* Maintenance of visual quality to sustain Special Area management objectives is:
    - very important ..... High
    - moderately important ..... Moderate
    - slightly important ..... Low

# Sensitivity Level Rating Summary

Form 8400-6		UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT SCENIC QUALITY RATING SUMMARY							Date: November, 2009
									District: Color Country
									Field Office: Cedar City
1. Evaluators: R. Sweeten, S. Roché (BLM); G. Brady, S. Dixon, C. LaPierre, K. Schwarzler, S. Thompson, L. Utter (Otak)									
SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING UNITS (1)		Type of User	Amount of Use	Public Interest	Adjacent Land Uses	Special Areas	Other Factors	Overall Rating	EXPLANATION
No.	Name	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1	Hamlin Valley	M	M	M	M	NP	M	M	Multiple uses and good example of basin.
2	Mountain Home Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Area	M	L	H	M	L	NP	H	Citizen interest, scenic quality.
3	Mountain Home	M	L	M	M	L	NP	M	Wild horses and recreation activities plus scenic quality.
4	Pine Valley	L	L	L	H	NP	M	M	Concern from specific groups and adjacent units.
5	Wah Wah WSA	L	L	H	L	H	M	H	Wilderness Study Area is the main factor.
6	Wah Wah Mountains	L	L	H	H	NP	NP	H	Links to National Parks.
7	Wah Wah Valley	L	L	L	M	NP	NP	L	Overall sensitivity in area is low. Some dispersed recreation, but low (motorized, hunting). In viewshed of a Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Area. Not very productive land for ranchers.
8	Frisco Mountains	H	M	H	L	NP	H	H	Multiple uses; visual predominant; historic value.
9	Big Wash	L	L	L	L	NP	NP	L	Low use and concern for scenic quality.
10	Beaver Lake	L	M	L	L	NP	L	L	Mining has disturbed area.
11	Escalante Desert	L	L	L	L	NP	NP	L	Sensitivity to area is low. Some small-game and antelope hunting.
12	Mineral Mountains Lower	M	M	M	M	NP	NP	M	Lower elevations visible from populated areas and roads. General awareness and appreciation of recreational and natural areas.
13	Horse Flats	M	M	M	M	NP	NP	M	Not a scenic destination, although receives use.
14	I-15 Corridor	M	H	L	M	NP	M	M	Major corridor carrying travelers.
15	Sulphurdale	H	L	L	M	NP	NP	M	Historic site with low visitation. Buildings are private.
16	Wildcat	M	H	M	L	NP	NP	M	Rural residential area with urban centers.

SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING UNITS (1)		Type of User	Amount of Use	Public Interest	Adjacent Land Uses	Special Areas	Other Factors	Overall Rating	EXPLANATION
No.	Name	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
17	Mineral Mountains Upper	H	M	H	M	NP	H	H	Recreation and unique geological features. A unique geologic feature in the basin and range physiographic region.
18	Thermo Hot Springs	H	L	M	L	H	NP	M	A historic trail. The Escalante Expedition of 1776, a failed attempt to establish a trail from Santa Fe to Monterey, recorded Thermo Hot Springs. It is significant because approximately 30 miles to the northeast, winter weather forced the expedition to return to Santa Fe.
19	Shauntie Hills	L	L	L	M	NP	NP	L	Low use and interest in scenic quality.
20	Mountain Spring	M	H	M	L	NP	M	M	Various uses; wild horses.
21	Rustlers Draw	L	M	M	L	NP	M	M	Multiple uses, interests.
22	Indian Peak	H	H	H	M	NP	M	H	High use and recreation.
23	White Rock WSA	L	M	H	L	H	M	H	Wilderness Study Area bumps up rating.
24	Paradise Mountains	H	H	H	M	NP	M	H	Public interest, sensitivity is higher than other areas.
25	Steamboat Mountain	M	L	H	L	NP	NP	M	Low use and accessibility.
26	Blue Mountain	L	L	L	L	NP	NP	L	Low.
27	Black Mountains	L	L	M	L	NP	NP	L	Low use.
28	Bald Hills North	M	L	L	M	NP	NP	M	Within viewshed of valley floor, I-15.
29	Coyote Bench	M	M	L	M	NP	NP	M	Backdrop for community.
30	Jack Henry Knoll	L	L	L	L	NP	NP	L	Mainly a ranching area.
31	Fremont/Old Spanish Trail	H	L	H	L	H	NP	H	A historic trail. Most of this trail was traveled by prehistoric Native Americans and today is paved highway or dirt road. Fremont traveled this route during successful expeditions from California in 1843 and 1853.
32	Gold Springs Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Area	L	L	M	L	NP	NP	M	Citizen interest in the area.
33	Government Well	L	L	L	L	NP	NP	L	Area does not receive much use or activity.
34	Haystack Mountain	L	L	M	L	NP	NP	M	Local landmark raises awareness.
35	Bull Valley South	L	L	H	L	NP	NP	M	Fairly common with no outstanding uses or sensitivity.

## Sensitivity Level Summary, continued


SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING UNITS (1)		Type of User	Amount of Use	Public Interest	Adjacent Land Uses	Special Areas	Other Factors	Overall Rating	EXPLANATION
No.	Name	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
36	Shoal Creek	M	M	M	M	NP	NP	M	Nice area; public would care about change.
37	Bumble Bee	H	M	H	H	NP	H	H	Highly visible.
38	Zion National Park	H	M	H	H	NP	NP	H	National Park viewed.
39	Hurricane Cliffs	H	H	H	H	NP	H	H	Important scenic amenity.
40	Kolob Reservoir Scenic Backway	H	H	H	H	H	M	H	Important scenic route; up to two-mile offset.
41	Cedar Breaks National Monument	H	H	H	H	NP	NP	H	National Monument viewed.
42	Markagunt Scenic Byway	H	H	H	L	H	NP	H	Byway designated for scenic value.
43	Antelope Mountain	M	M	M	L	NP	NP	M	This unit has a Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Area. It has a proposed high desert trail for OHV use.
44	Buckskin Valley	M	M	M	M	NP	M	M	Bear Creek is one of the best riparian features in the Cedar City Field Office.
45	Bull Valley North	L	L	L	L	NP	NP	L	Fairly common other than nice rolling hills.
46	Cedar Breaks Scenic Byway	H	H	H	L	H	NP	H	Byway designated for scenic value.
47	Cedar Valley	M	H	M	L	NP	NP	M	Residential agricultural valley.
48	Desert Mound	L	L	L	L	NP	NP	L	Low concern about scenic quality.
49	Dry Lakes Summit Canyon Scenic Backway	H	H	H	L	H	NP	H	Backway designated for scenic value.
50	Little Bald Hills	L	M	L	M	NP	NP	L	Not much public interest. Not a unique area for scenic quality but visited for viewing of wildlife, especially sage-grouse and prairie-dog habitat for pygmy rabbit (a threatened and endangered species).
51	Long Hollow	M	M	L	L	NP	NP	L	Not a unique area for scenic quality but visited for viewing of wildlife, especially sage-grouse and prairie-dog habitat for pygmy rabbit (a threatened and endangered species).
52	Parowan Gap	H	H	H	M	H	H	H	Important site culturally and historically
53	Parowan Valley	M	H	M	L	NP	NP	M	Rural residential valley. Backdrop for populated areas in the Parowan Valley visible from the highway. Travel through the unit is common.

SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING UNITS (1)		Type of User	Amount of Use	Public Interest	Adjacent Land Uses	Special Areas	Other Factors	Overall Rating	EXPLANATION
No.	Name	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
54	Red Cliffs	M	H	M	H	NP	NP	M	A popular area.
55	Red Hills	H	H	H	M	NP	NP	H	Lots of public interest. Not including Parowan Gap.
56	Spring Creek	H	M	H	M	NP	H	H	Integral to Wilderness Study Area.
57	Spring Creek WSA	H	M	H	M	H	NP	H	Wilderness Study Area.
58	Table Butte	L	L	M	M	NP	M	M	Landmark, habitat, and butte formation.
59	Three Peaks	M	M	M	M	NP	NP	M	Recreation and proximity to SRMA.
60	Three Peaks SRMA	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	A number of organized groups participate in planning of facilities.

---

This page intentionally left blank.


# Map 3- Field Sensitivity Level Units



- Field Office Boundary**
- NR Not Rated**
- |                             |                       |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| 001 Hamlin Valley           | 029 Coyote Bench      |
| 003 Mountain Home           | 030 Jack Henry Knoll  |
| 004 Pine Valley             | 033 Government Well   |
| 006 Wah Wah Mountains       | 034 Haystack Mountain |
| 007 Wah Wah Valley          | 035 Bull Valley South |
| 008 Frisco Mountains        | 036 Shoal Creek       |
| 009 Big Wash                | 037 Bumble Bee        |
| 010 Beaver Lake             | 039 Hurricane Cliffs  |
| 011 Escalante Desert        | 043 Antelope Mountain |
| 012 Mineral Mountains Lower | 044 Buckskin Valley   |
| 013 Horse Flats             | 045 Bull Valley North |
| 016 Wildcat                 | 047 Cedar Valley      |
| 019 Shauntie Hills          | 048 Desert Mound      |
| 020 Mountain Spring         | 050 Little Bald Hills |
| 021 Rustlers Draw           | 051 Long Hollow       |
| 022 Indian Peak             | 053 Parowan Valley    |
| 024 Paradise Mountains      | 054 Red Cliffs        |
| 025 Steamboat Mountain      | 055 Red Hills         |
| 026 Blue Mountain           | 056 Spring Creek      |
| 027 Black Mountains         | 058 Table Butte       |
| 028 Bald Hills North        | 059 Three Peaks       |




ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CITY FO AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.



**Field Office Boundary**  
**NR Not Rated**

- |                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| 001 Hamlin Valley           | 033 Government Well                        |
| 002 Mountain Home           | 034 Haystack Mountain                      |
| 003 Mountain Home           | 035 Bull Valley South                      |
| 004 Pine Valley             | 036 Shoal Creek                            |
| 005 Wah Wah WSA             | 037 Bumble Bee                             |
| 006 Wah Wah Mountains       | 038 Zion National Park                     |
| 007 Wah Wah Valley          | 039 Hurricane Cliffs                       |
| 008 Frisco Mountains        | 040 Kolob Reservoir                        |
| 009 Big Wash                | 041 Cedar Breaks National Monument         |
| 010 Beaver Lake             | 042 Markagunt Scenic Byway                 |
| 011 Escalante Desert        | 043 Antelope Mountain                      |
| 012 Mineral Mountains Lower | 044 Buckskin Valley                        |
| 013 Horse Flats             | 045 Bull Valley North                      |
| 014 I-15 Corridor           | 046 Cedar Breaks Scenic Byway              |
| 015 Sulphurdale             | 047 Cedar Valley                           |
| 016 Wildcat                 | 048 Desert Mound                           |
| 017 Mineral Mountains Upper | 049 Dry Lakes Summit Canyon Scenic Backway |
| 018 Thermo Hot Springs      | 050 Little Bald Hills                      |
| 019 Shauntie Hills          | 051 Long Hollow                            |
| 020 Mountain Spring         | 052 Parowan Gap                            |
| 021 Rustlers Draw           | 053 Parowan Valley                         |
| 022 Indian Peak             | 054 Red Cliffs                             |
| 023 White Rock WSA          | 055 Red Hills                              |
| 024 Paradise Mountains      | 058 Table Butte                            |
| 025 Steamboat Mountain      | 059 Three Peaks                            |
| 026 Blue Mountain           | 060 Three Peaks SRMA                       |
| 027 Black Mountains         |  |
| 028 Bald Hills North        |  |
| 029 Coyote Bench            |  |
| 030 Jack Henry Knoll        |  |
| 032 Government Well CWPA    |  |




ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CITY OFFICE AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED.

**Final Sensitivity Level Units**






# Map 3- Sensitivity Levels



- Field Office Boundary
- Maintenance of Visual Quality has High Value
- Maintenance of Visual Quality has Moderate Value
- Maintenance of Visual Quality has Low Value
- NR Not Rated

Note: Acreage includes all BLM and non-BLM administered lands

001 Hamlin Valley	033 Government Well
002 Mountain Home CWPA	034 Haystack Mountain
003 Mountain Home	035 Bull Valley South
004 Pine Valley	036 Shoal Creek
005 Wah Wah WSA	037 Bumble Bee
006 Wah Wah Mountains	038 Zion National Park
007 Wah Wah Valley	039 Hurricane Cliffs
008 Frisco Mountains	040 Kolob Reservoir Scenic Backway
009 Big Wash	041 Cedar Breaks National Monument
010 Beaver Lake	042 Markagunt Scenic Byway
011 Escalante Desert	043 Antelope Mountain
012 Mineral Mountains Lower	044 Buckskin Valley
013 Horse Flats	045 Bull Valley North
014 I-15 Corridor	046 Cedar Breaks Scenic Byway
015 Sulphurdale	047 Cedar Valley
016 Wildcat	048 Desert Mound
017 Mineral Mountains Upper	049 Dry Lakes Summit Canyon Scenic Backway
018 Thermo Hot Springs	050 Little Bald Hills
019 Shauntie Hills	051 Long Hollow
020 Mountain Spring	052 Parowan Gap
021 Rustlers Draw	053 Parowan Valley
022 Indian Peak	054 Red Cliffs
023 White Rock WSA	055 Red Hills
024 Paradise Mountains	058 Table Butte
025 Steamboat Mountain	059 Three Peaks
026 Blue Mountain	060 Three Peaks SRMA
027 Black Mountains	
028 Bald Hills North	
029 Coyote Bench	
030 Jack Henry Knoll	
032 Government Well CWPA	



ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CTIY FO AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.

---

## 4. Distance Zones

The third component of the Visual Resource Inventory process is the delineation of Distance Zones (Map 4-1). Landscapes are subdivided into three distance zones based on relative visibility from travel routes or from IOPs. The three distance zones are defined as follows:

- **Foreground-Middleground Zone**—This is the area that can be seen from each travel route for a distance of 3 to 5 miles where management activities might be viewed in detail. The outer boundary of this distance zone is defined as the point where the texture and form of individual plants are no longer apparent in the landscape. In some areas, atmospheric conditions can reduce visibility and shorten the distance normally covered by each zone.
- **Background Zone**—This is the remaining area which can be seen from each travel route to approximately 15 miles. This does not include areas in the background which are so far distant that the only thing discernible is the form or outline. In order to be included within this distance zone, vegetation should be visible at least as patterns of light and dark.
- **Seldom Seen Zone**—These are areas that are not visible within the foreground-middleground and background zones and areas beyond the background zones.

Road and travel networks in the CCFO include highways, paved and gravel county roads, dirt roads (two-tracks), and trails and rivers. A five-mile offset was run from all major roads within the CCFO, as well as from all secondary roads (Map 4-2) to encompass areas of the foreground/middleground. The map shows that the majority of lands are located within 5 miles of major travel routes (red hatching), and the remainder are located within five miles of



Hamlin Valley

established secondary roads. Even in the roughest and most topographically diverse parts of the CCFO, roads and trails penetrate virtually all areas. In addition, areas that are not easily accessed are still visible.


Therefore, for the purpose of determining final Visual Resource Inventory Classes, only the Foreground-Middleground distance zone was used for the entire CCFO (Map 4-1).

---


This page intentionally left blank.

BLM  
Cedar  
City  
Office •  
Visual  
Resource  
Inventory

Map 4-1  
Distance Zones




-  Field Office Boundary
-  Major Roads
-  Major Roads  
Foreground/Midground
-  Secondary Roads
-  Secondary Road  
Foreground/Midground
-  NR Not Rated




ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CITY FO AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.

Map 4-2  
Travel Network



Field Office Boundary  
 Foreground-Middleground  
 (3,372,623 acres)  
 NR Not Rated  
 Note: Acreages include all BLM and non-BLM administered lands.



ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CITY FO AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.



## 5. Visual Resource Inventory Classes

The following matrix shows how Scenic Quality Evaluation, Sensitivity Level Determination, and Delineation of Distance Zones are combined to develop VRM Inventory Classes (Map 5-1).

As a general rule, lands with high scenic quality where the landscape is of concern to the public, and visible from less than five miles, are rated higher than lands with low scenic quality and for which there is little public concern for maintenance of scenic quality.

The final Visual Resource Inventory Class distribution (by acreage) for the CCFO is as follows:

	Total Acres
Class I .....	0
Class II .....	874,315
Class III .....	820,501
Class IV .....	1,677,797
Not Rated .....	380,155
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>3,752,768</b>

Basis for Determining  
Visual Resource Inventory Classes

		Visual Sensitivity Levels					
		High			Medium		Low
	Special Areas	I	I	I	I	I	I
Scenic Quality	A	II	II	II	II	II	II
	B	II	III	III / IIV*	III	IV	IV
	C	III	IV	IV	IV	IV	IV
		f/	b	s/s	f/m	b	s/s
		Distance Zones					

f/m = Foreground/Midground b  
= Background  
s/s = Seldom Seen

\* If adjacent area is Class I, II, or III, assign Class III; if Class IV, assign Class IV. Source:

Visual Resource Inventory – BLM Manual Handbook 8410-1

Table 5-1. Visual Resource Inventory Summary

Scenic Quality Rating Units			
No.	SQRU Name	Rating	Acres*
01	Hamlin Valley	B	98,534
02	Mountain Home	A	71,247
03	Pine Valley	C	159,715
04	Wah Wah Mountains	B	124,805
05	Wah Wah Valley	C	90,991
06	Frisco Mountains	B	32,706
07	Big Wash	C	65,754
08	Beaver Lake	C	10,715
09	Escalante Desert	C	855,384
10	Mineral Mountains	A	133,041
11	Horse Flats	B	22,745
12	Wildcat	B	120,088
13	Shauntie Hills	B	76,909
14	Blue Mountain	B	17,404
15	Mountain Spring	C	116,379
16	Rustlers Draw	C	73,747
17	Indian Peak	B	112,622
18	Paradise Mountains	B	58,964
19	Steamboat Mountain	B	98,459
20	Black Mountains	B	90,970
21	Bald Hills North	B	104,229
22	Jack Henry Knoll	C	22,496
23	Coyote Bench	B	75,703
24	Buckskin Valley	B	14,009
25	Parowan Valley	B	97,881
26	Red Hills	B	30,972
27	Long Hollow	C	73,530
28	Table Butte	B	2,962
29	Government Well	C	27,346
30	Haystack Mountain	B	13,691
31	Bull Valley South	C	38,230
32	Bull Valley North	C	18,443
33	Shoal Creek	B	8,509
34	Antelope Mountain	B	56,329
35	Desert Mound	C	13,164
36	Three Peaks	B	30,357
37	Little Bald Hills	C	5,752
38	Cedar Valley	C	105,145
39	Hurricane Cliffs	A	133,147

Scenic Quality Rating Units			
No.	SQRU Name	Rating	Acres*
40	Red Cliffs	B	26,865
41	Bumble Bee	B	21,591
42	Spring Creek	A	21,094

\*Includes all BLM and non-BLM administered land

Sensitivity Level Rating Units			
No.	Name	Rating	Acres*
01	Government Well	L	79,002
02	Mountain Home	M	65,023
03	Mineral Mountains Upper	H	19,474
04	Pine Valley	M	143,801
05	Wah Wah WSA	H	105,508
06	Wah Wah Mountains	H	37,372
07	Wah Wah Valley	L	81,949
08	Escalante Trail	H	39,579
09	Big Wash	L	63,388
10	Beaver Lake	L	10,715
11	Escalante Desert	L	807,359
12	Markagunt Scenic Byway	H	93,142
13	Haystack Mountain	M	19,557
14	Hurricane Cliffs	H	210,114
15	Sulphurdale	M	260
16	Wildcat	L	80,076
17	Mineral Mountains Lower	M	18,259
18	Thermo Hot Springs	M	49,823
19	Shauntie Hills	L	75,898
20	Mountain Spring	M	116,379
21	Rustlers Draw	M	73,747
22	I-15 Corridor	M	112,617
23	White Rock WSA	H	6,457
24	Paradise Mountains	H	60,119
25	Steamboat Mountain	L	102,014
26	Blue Mountain	L	17,404
27	Black Mountains	L	87,138
28	Bald Hills North	M	91,135
29	Coyote Bench	M	62,920
30	Indian Peak	H	22,496
32	Frisco Mountains	H	15,131
33	Fremont/Old Spanish Trail	H	12,217
34	Hamlin Valley	M	12,883
35	Bull Valley South	L	39,622
36	Shoal Creek	M	8,509
37	Bumble Bee	H	13,580
38	Zion National Park	H	43,326
39	Horse Flats	L	41,796
40	Jack Henry Knoll	L	27,310


Sensitivity Level Rating Units			
No.	Name	Rating	Acres*
41	California Trail Route	H	18,471
42	Long Hollow	L	6,044
43	Antelope Mountain	M	56,329
44	Buckskin Valley	M	14,009
45	Bull Valley North	L	18,387
46	Cedar Breaks Scenic Byway	H	9,691
47	Cedar Valley	M	59,119
48	Desert Mound	L	13,164
49	Dry Lakes Summit Canyon Scenic Backway	H	16,531
50	Kolob Reservoir Scenic Backway	H	5,318
51	Little Bald Hills	L	62,061
52	Parowan Gap	H	27,725
53	Parowan Valley	M	31,020
54	Red Cliffs	M	20,328
55	Red Hills	H	13,542
58	Table Butte	M	2,962
59	Three Peaks	M	24,177
60	Three Peaks SRMA	H	6,633

\*Includes all BLM and non-BLM administered land

---

This page intentionally left blank.


# Map 5-1 Visual Resource Inventory Classes



- Field Office Boundary**
- VRI Class II (874,315 Acres)**
- VRI Class III (820,501 Acres)**
- VRI Class IV (1,677,797 Acres)**
- Not Rated (380,155 Acres)**

Note: Acreage includes all BLM and non-BLM administered lands

001 Hamlin Valley	022 Jack Henry Knoll
002 Mountain Home	023 Coyote Bench
003 Pine Valley	024 Buckskin Valley
004 Wah Wah Mountains	025 Parowan Valley
005 Wah Wah Valley	026 Red Hills
006 Frisco Mountains	027 Long Hollow
007 Big Wash	028 Table Butte
008 Beaver Lake	029 Government Well
009 Escalante Desert	030 Haystack Mountain
010 Mineral Mountains	031 Bull Valley South
011 Horse Flats	032 Bull Valley North
012 Wildcat	033 Shoal Creek
013 Shauntie Hills	034 Antelope Mountain
014 Blue Mountain	035 Desert Mound
015 Mountain Spring	036 Three Peaks
016 Rustlers Draw	037 Little Bald Hills
017 Indian Peak	038 Cedar Valley
018 Paradise Mountains	039 Hurricane Cliffs
019 Steamboat Mountain	040 Red Cliffs
020 Black Mountains	041 Bumble Bee
021 Bald Hills North	042 Spring Creek



ALL DATA ON THIS MAP IS DERIVED FROM BLM CEDAR CITY FO AND ALL ITS JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THEIR BOUNDARIES. THIS INFORMATION WAS DEVELOPED AT MULTIPLE SCALES AND ACCURACIES. THE MAP WAS CREATED FOR DISPLAY AND ASSESSMENT PURPOSES ONLY. NO WARRANTY IS MADE BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR USE OF THE DATA FOR PURPOSES NOT INTENDED BY BLM.

---

## Scenic Quality Rating Units

No.	SQRU Name	Page	No.	SQRU Name	Page
01	Hamlin Valley	A-3	40	Red Cliffs	A-141
02	Mountain Home	A-6	41	Bumble Bee	A-144
03	Pine Valley	A-10	42	Spring Creek	A-147
04	Wah Wah Mountains	A-13			
05	Wah Wah Valley	A-17			
06	Frisco Mountains	A-20			
07	Big Wash	A-24			
08	Beaver Lake	A-28			
09	Escalante Desert	A-31			
10	Mineral Mountains	A-36			
11	Horse Flats	A-40			
12	Wildcat	A-44			
13	Shauntie Hills	A-48			
14	Blue Mountain	A-52			
15	Mountain Spring	A-55			
16	Rustlers Draw	A-58			
17	Indian Peak	A-61			
18	Paradise Mountains	A-64			
19	Steamboat Mountain	A-67			
20	Black Mountains	A-71			
21	Bald Hills North	A-75			
22	Jack Henry Knoll	A-78			
23	Coyote Bench	A-82			
24	Buckskin Valley	A-86			
25	Parowan Valley	A-89			
26	Red Hills	A-92			
27	Long Hollow	A-96			
28	Table Butte	A-100			
29	Government Well	A-103			
30	Haystack Mountain	A-106			
31	Bull Valley South	A-109			
32	Bull Valley North	A-112			
33	Shoal Creek	A-115			
34	Antelope Mountain	A-118			
35	Desert Mound	A-122			
36	Three Peaks	A-125			
37	Little Bald Hills	A-129			
38	Cedar Valley	A-133			
39	Hurricane Cliffs	A-137			

---

This page intentionally left blank.




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Hamlin Valley**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	1.5	Rolling hills provide interest	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	2	Some variety	
c. Water	0	Ephemeral washes	
d. Color	2	Juniper trees provide contrast	
e. Adjacent Scenery	4	Surrounding mountains provide visual relief	
f. Scarcity	2.5	Fairly common	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
g. Cultural Modification	0	No unusual structures that add or detract	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>12</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 35. Willow Creek, looking southwest (IOPUTC010000060)

23\_SW\_HamlinValley\_0060.jpg



IOP 69. Start of the valley, looking northeast (IOPUTC010000048)

20\_NE\_HamlinValley\_0048.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Mountain Home**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	4	Large cliff face; varied topography	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	4	Many varieties and species	
c. Water	1	Multiple visible springs present	
d. Color	3.5	Small variations in rock give diverse elements	
e. Adjacent Scenery	3.5	Nice areas within unit; outstanding view from summit	
f. Scarcity	3	Fairly typical mountain environment in region	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
g. Cultural Modification	0	Not many modifications	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>19</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 1. Looking east with Pine Valley beyond (IOPUTC010000073)

29\_E\_MountainHome\_0073.jpg



IOP 2. Mountain Home seeding area, looking north (IOPUTC010000070)

28\_N\_MountainHome\_0070.jpg



IOP 30. North of intersection, looking northwest (IOPUTC010000134)

45\_NW\_MountainHome\_0134.jpg



IOP 32. Section 25, looking northeast at Sawtooth Peak (IOPUTC010000069)

27\_NE\_MountainHome\_0069.jpg





**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Pine Valley**

4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	1.5	Rolling landforms add interest	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	2	Little variety	
c. Water	0.5	Significant washes have seasonal presence	
d. Color	1.5	Generally muted tones	
e. Adjacent Scenery	4.5	Creates context and greatly contributes to experience	
f. Scarcity	2.5	Common, but nice example of Great Basin landscape	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
g. Cultural Modification	0	Nothing noticeable	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>12.5</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator

• IOP Location



IOP 30. North of intersection, looking south (IOPUTC010000142)

45\_S\_PineValley\_0142.jpg



IOP 31. State parcel, looking southeast (IOPUTC010000131)

44\_SE\_PineValley\_0131.jpg



IOP 36. T intersection, looking southeast (IOPUTC010000120)

42\_SE\_PineValley\_0120.jpg






**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Wah Wah Mountains**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	4	Variety in rock formations	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	2.5	Juniper is dominant species	
c. Water	0	Present but not visible	
d. Color	3.5	Banding in mountain	
e. Adjacent Scenery	3	Frisco Mountains and valley floors give contrast	
f. Scarcity	3.5	Unique in region; Wilderness Study Area raises score	
g. Cultural Modification	0	Minor influence; no impact	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>16.5</b>		

**Comments:**


None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 3. Pierson Cove, looking southeast (IOPUTC010000154)

49\_SE\_WahWahMountains\_0154.jpg



IOP 4. Mid-unit, looking north (IOPUTC010000156)

50\_N\_WahWahMountains\_0156.jpg



IOP 30. North of intersection, looking east (IOPUTC010000138)

45\_E\_WahWahMountains\_0138.jpg



IOP 37. End of road, looking northwest (IOPUTC010000170)

55\_NW\_WahWahMountains\_0170.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Wah Wah Valley**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	1	Very flat	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more <input type="checkbox"/> B – 12 – 18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	1	Little or no variety	
c. Water	0	None present or noticeable	
d. Color	1	Very little variation	
e. Adjacent Scenery	4	Surrounded by mountains	
f. Scarcity	1	Common	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
g. Cultural Modification	-1	Overgrazing	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>7</b>		

**Comments:**

Range conditions need rehabilitation.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 27. Hitching Post, looking west (IOPUTC010000187)

60\_W\_WahWahValley\_0187.jpg



IOP 130. South of intersection, looking southeast (IOPUTC010000184)

59\_SE\_WahWahValley\_0184.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Frisco Mountains**

**4. SCORE**


	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	<b>SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION</b> (check one)	
a. Landform	4	West face more dramatic		<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	4	Ponderosa pine, pinyon/juniper, aspen, mountain mahogany		
c. Water	0	Not visible		
d. Color	3.5	Plum outcrops and beige with dark green		
e. Adjacent Scenery	3	Contributes in context and contrast		
f. Scarcity	3.5	Unique in local context	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab	
g. Cultural Modification	-1	Mines on west and communication tower; historic mining adds interest	<input type="checkbox"/> Special Area	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>17</b>			

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 6. Top of Frisco Mountain, looking south (IOPUTC010000215)

66\_S\_FriscoMountains\_0215.jpg




IOP 7. Section 31, looking west (IOPUTC010000212)

65\_W\_FriscoMountains\_0212.jpg



IOP 24. Looking northwest (IOPUTC010000209)

64\_NW\_FriscoMountains\_0209.jpg



IOP 29. Cattle guard, looking northeast (IOPUTC010000201)

62\_NE\_FriscoMountains\_0201.jpg





**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Big Wash**

**4. SCORE**

	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	<b>SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION</b> (check one)	
a. Landform	2	Very little topographic variety		<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	1	Little variation		
c. Water	0	None		
d. Color	1.5	Very muted monotone		
e. Adjacent Scenery	3	Mountain views		
f. Scarcity	1	Common	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab	
g. Cultural Modification	0	Gravel roads	<input type="checkbox"/> Special Area	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>8.5</b>			


**Comments:**

None.



● IOP Location

SQRU Locator



IOP 9. Looking northwest (IOPUTC010009203)

102\_NW\_BigWash\_9203.jpg



IOP 10. Looking southeast (IOPUTC010009199)

101\_SE\_BigWash\_9199.jpg



IOP 11. Looking south (IOPUTC010009205)

103\_S\_BigWash\_9205.jpg



IOP 25. Looking north (IOPUTC010009211)

107\_N\_BigWash\_9211.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Beaver Lake**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	3	Distinct but not unique	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	2.5	Little variety; sparse	
c. Water	0.5	None present	
d. Color	2	Little contrast except for mining	
e. Adjacent Scenery	3	Frisco Mountains, Mineral Mountains	
f. Scarcity	2.5	Not unique but somewhat distinct	
g. Cultural Modification	-2.5	Mining creates discord	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>11</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 5. Cutoff road, looking east (IOPUTC010000217)

67\_E\_BeaverLake\_0217.jpg



IOP 8. V intersection, looking northeast (IOPUTC010000222)

69\_NE\_BeaverLake\_0222.jpg



IOP 23. V intersection, looking northeast toward mining operations (IOPUTC010000224)

70\_NE\_BeaverLake\_0224.jpg





Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Escalante Desert

4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	1	Little variety	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more <input type="checkbox"/> B – 12 – 18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	2	Some variety	
c. Water	0	Not present	
d. Color	2	Generally muted tones	
e. Adjacent Scenery	3.5	Contributes and influences	
f. Scarcity	1	Common	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
g. Cultural Modification	-1	Some impacts	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>8.5</b>		

Comments:

None.



● IOP Location

SQRU Locator



IOP 40. Looking southwest (IOPUTC010009218)

111\_SW\_EscalanteDesert\_9218.jpg



IOP 131. Looking southeast (IOPUTC010006021)

6005\_SE\_EscalanteDesert\_6021.JPG



IOP 132. Looking north near Latimer (IOPUTC0100096014)

6004\_NE\_EscalanteDesert\_6014.JPG



IOP 134. Looking southwest toward edge of Table Butte (IOPUTC010006009)

6002\_SW\_EscalanteDesert\_6009.JPG



IOP 135. Mountain Spring Wash, looking northwest toward Antelope range (IOPUTC010006004)

6001\_NW\_EscalanteDesert\_6004.JPG



IOP 135. Looking southeast toward Bald Mountains (IOPUTC0100096007)

6001\_SE\_EscalanteDesert\_6007.JPG



IOP 136. Looking northeast near Modena (IOPUTC010006042)

6013\_NE\_EscalanteDesert\_6042.JPG




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Mineral Mountains**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	5	Massive, highly eroded, unique mountains	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>A – 19 or more</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>B – 12 – 18</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>C – 11 or less</b>
b. Vegetation	4	Grass, sage, pinyon/juniper, oak, fir	
c. Water	0	Some (few) perennial creeks; not evident	
d. Color	4	High seasonal color; high contrast	
e. Adjacent Scenery	2	Flat valleys with mountains in distance	
f. Scarcity	5	Highly unique for physiographic region	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Rehab</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Special Area</b>
g. Cultural Modification	0	Not evident except for gravel roads	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>20</b>		

**Comments:**

Much of the area was burned several years ago.



SQRU Locator




• IOP Location



IOP 18. Looking east (IOPUTC010009043)

18\_E\_MineralMountains\_9043.jpg



IOP 19. Rock Corral Recreation Area, looking north (IOPUTC010009042)

17\_N\_MineralMountains\_9042.jpg



IOP 20. Looking northeast (IOPUTC010009039)

16\_NE\_MineralMountains\_9039.jpg



IOP 21. Highway 257, looking east (IOPUTC010009047)

20\_E\_MineralMountains\_9047.jpg





**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Horse Flats**

4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	3	Exposed lava, volcanic features	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	2	Primarily grasses; sage and juniper	
c. Water	0	Only dry creeks	
d. Color	2.5	Contrast with black lava	
e. Adjacent Scenery	3.5	Adjacent mountains south and east	
f. Scarcity	1.5	Interesting but fairly common geology	
g. Cultural Modification	0	Primarily roads and fences	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>12.5</b>		

**Comments:**

Area includes interesting volcanic geology. Some modification from post-burn work includes chainings.



• IOP Location

SQRU Locator



IOP 12. Cinder cones, looking north (IOPUTC010009014)

07\_N\_HorseFlats\_9014.jpg



IOP 12. Cinder cones, looking east (IOPUTC010009016)

07\_E\_HorseFlats\_9016.jpg



IOP 13. Lava flows, looking northwest (IOPUTC010009017)

08\_NW\_HorseFlats\_9017.jpg



IOP 13. Lava flows, looking east (IOPUTC010009018)

08\_E\_HorseFlats\_9018.jpg





**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Wildcat**

4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	1	Flat valley bottom	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	2.5	One or two major types	
c. Water	2.5	No water through most of unit; Minersville State Recreation Area	
d. Color	2	Muted tones	
e. Adjacent Scenery	3.5	Bounded on east and west by mountains	
f. Scarcity	1	Common	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
g. Cultural Modification	0	I-15 is a historic travel route with small towns	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>12.5</b>		

**Comments:**

Primarily urban with agriculture and rangeland.



• IOP Location

SQRU Locator



IOP 14. Sulphurdale exit north, looking southeast (IOPUTC010009012)

06\_SE\_Wildcat\_9012.jpg



IOP 15. Sulphurdale, looking northwest (IOPUTC010009180)

86\_NW\_Wildcat\_9180.jpg




IOP 16. Highway 15, looking northwest (IOPUTC010009179)

85\_NW\_Wildcat\_9179.jpg



IOP 17. Looking east (IOPUTC010009027)

12\_E\_Wildcat\_9027.jpg



IOP 43. Looking northeast (IOPUTC010009057)

27\_NE\_Wildcat\_9057.jpg





**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Shauntie Hills**

4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	2.5	Interesting volcanic features	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	3	Mostly sage and juniper	
c. Water	0	None present	
d. Color	2.5	Some contrasting rock color	
e. Adjacent Scenery	3	Mountain views	
f. Scarcity	2	Beautiful cinder cones	
g. Cultural Modification	0	Not evident or detrimental	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>13</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



• IOP Location

SQRU Locator



IOP 22. Looking southwest (IOPUTC010009196)

100\_SW\_ShauntieHills\_9196.jpg



IOP 28. Looking southeast (IOPUTC010009206)

104\_SE\_ShauntieHills\_9206.jpg





IOP 26. Looking southeast (IOPUTC010009210)

106\_SE\_ShauntieHills\_9210.jp  
g



IOP 41. Looking northwest (IOPUTC010009215)

109\_NW\_ShauntieHills\_9215.jpg






**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Blue Mountain**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	3	Interesting but blends with surroundings	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	3	Typical pinyon/juniper area	
c. Water	0.5	Not present; seasonal	
d. Color	2.5	Muted tones similar to area	
e. Adjacent Scenery	2	Escalante and Mountain Springs do not enhance much	
f. Scarcity	1	Blends in, looks typical	
g. Cultural Modification	0	None outstanding	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>12</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 38. T intersection, looking south (IOPUTC010000176)

58\_S\_BlueMountain\_0176.jpg



IOP 60. Lund Road, looking north (IOPUTC010000161)

51\_N\_BlueMountain\_0161.jpg



IOP 39. Pig farm, looking southwest (IOPUTC010000233)

74\_SW\_BlueMountain\_0233.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Mountain Spring**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	3	Pockets of variety	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	2.5	Not much variety	
c. Water	0.5	Seasonal and springs	
d. Color	3	Pockets of color	
e. Adjacent Scenery	0	Properly enclosed	
f. Scarcity	1.5	Common mountain types	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
g. Cultural Modification	-1	Open pit and gravel	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>9.5</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 38. T intersection, looking west (IOPUTC010000178)

58\_W\_MountainSpring\_0178.jpg



IOP 62. Cattle guard, looking northeast (IOPUTC010000091)

35\_NE\_MountainSpring\_0091.jpg



IOP 63. Mountain Spring, unit boundary, looking northeast (IOPUTC010000096)

36\_NE\_MountainSpring\_0096.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Rustlers Draw**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	2	Rolling hills and interesting landform pattern	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more <input type="checkbox"/> B – 12 – 18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	2.5	Minor changes in vegetation	
c. Water	1	Seasonally present	
d. Color	2	Minor variety in vegetation and land	
e. Adjacent Scenery	1	Minor influence	
f. Scarcity	1.5	Common in region	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
g. Cultural Modification	0	Minor instances	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 66. Top of knoll, looking northwest (IOPUTC010000102)

37\_NW\_RustlersDraw\_0102.jpg



IOP 66. South of Jackson Wash, looking northeast (IOPUTC010000108)

39\_NE\_RustlersDraw\_0108.jpg



IOP 67. New Arrowhead Mine, looking northeast (IOPUTC010000111)

40\_NE\_RustlersDraw\_0111.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Indian Peak**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	4	Interesting variety in landform	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	4	Pinyon/juniper forest with mixture of vegetation communities	
c. Water	2	Multiple springs, seasonal variation	
d. Color	3	Some variety	
e. Adjacent Scenery	1.5	Valley on both sides plus mountains	
f. Scarcity	2	Fairly common mountain topography	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
g. Cultural Modification	0	Nothing noticeable	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>16.5</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 33. Ryan Spring, looking southwest (IOPUTC01000068)

26\_SW\_IndianPeak\_0068.jpg



IOP 65. Cattle guard bypass, looking west (IOPUTC010000107)

38\_W\_IndianPeak\_00107.jpg



IOP 36. T intersection, looking northwest (IOPUTC010000122)

42\_NW\_IndianPeak\_0122.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Paradise Mountains**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	3.5	Various outcroppings and canyons	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	4	Multiple species in canyons and high elevations	
c. Water	1	Subtle presence	
d. Color	3	Some variety but dominant	
e. Adjacent Scenery	2	Adds variety	
f. Scarcity	1	Fairly common in region	
g. Cultural Modification	1	Historic mining adds character	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>15.5</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 34. View of Red Ridge on Paradise Mountain, looking southwest (IOPUTC010000063)

24\_SW\_ParadiseMountains\_0063.jpg



IOP 70. End of road, looking east (IOPUTC010000030)

11\_E\_ParadiseMountains\_0030.jpg



IOP 68. T intersection, looking southwest (IOPUTC010000051)

21\_SW\_ParadiseMountains\_0051.jpg





**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Steamboat Mountain**

4. SCORE			<b>SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION</b> (check one)  <input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less  <input type="checkbox"/> Rehab  <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	3.5	Common around area; less variety	
b. Vegetation	3	Mostly pinyon/juniper	
c. Water	0	None present	
d. Color	2.5	Tans, beige; lacks variety	
e. Adjacent Scenery	1.5	Valleys on both sides and mountains	
f. Scarcity	2	Common in area for mountains	
g. Cultural Modification	0	Minor, nothing noticeable	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>12.5</b>		

**Comments:**

A landmark feature.



• IOP Location

SQRU Locator



IOP 72. Typical unit topography, looking northeast (IOPUTC010000045)

18\_NE\_SteamboatMountain\_0045.jpg



IOP 76. Modena intersection, looking northeast (IOPUTC010000043)

17\_NE\_SteamboatMountain\_0043.jpg



IOP 61. View of south face of mountains, looking northwest (IOPUTC010000162)

52\_NW\_SteamboatMountain\_0162.jpg



IOP 64. Top of knoll, looking southwest (IOPUTC010000099)

37\_SW\_SteamboatMountain\_0099.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Black Mountains**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	3.5	Varied scenic landscape	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	3	Good variety for region	
c. Water	0	None visible	
d. Color	3	Good color variation	
e. Adjacent Scenery	1	Most of SQRU has limited viewshed (enclosed areas)	
f. Scarcity	1.5	Interesting but common regionally	
g. Cultural Modification	0.5	Communication towers intrude on scenic quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>12.5</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 42. Looking southwest (IOPUTC010009073)

32\_SW\_BlackMountains\_9073.jpg



IOP 56. Looking west (IOPUTC010009075)

33\_W\_BlackMountains\_9075.jpg



IOP 58. Looking northwest (IOPUTC010009078)

35\_NW\_BlackMountains\_9078.jpg



IOP 59. Looking southwest (IOPUTC010009085)

37\_SW\_BlackMountains\_9085.jpg






**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Bald Hills North**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	3.5	Interesting basalt outcrop	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	3	Limited to juniper and grasses	
c. Water	0	Not present	
d. Color	3	Good contrast with rock and vegetation	
e. Adjacent Scenery	3.5	Views to Mineral Mountains, other mountains	
f. Scarcity	1.5	Common mountain feature	
g. Cultural Modification	0.5	Chainings present over much of unit	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>15</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 43. Looking southwest (IOPUTC010009061)

27\_SW\_BaldHillsNorth\_9061.jpg



IOP 44. Looking east (IOPUTC010009064)

28\_E\_BaldHillsNorth\_9064.jpg



IOP 54. Rest stop, looking northwest (IOPUTC010009056)

26\_NW\_BaldHillsNorth\_9056.jpg





**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Jack Henry Knoll**

4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	2.5	Complex, rounded hills	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	1.5	Primarily grasses, forbs, occasional junipers	
c. Water	0	Dry creeks only	
d. Color	2	Some color contrast with basalt outcrops	
e. Adjacent Scenery	2	Limited but interesting viewshed	
f. Scarcity	3	Somewhat unique hills	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
g. Cultural Modification	0	Roads, cattle tanks	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>11</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



• IOP Location

SQRU Locator



IOP 45. Brown's Canyon, looking west (IOPUTC010009071)

31\_W\_JackHenryKnoll\_9071.jpg



IOP 46. East Horse Flats, looking southwest (IOPUTC010009067)

29\_SW\_JackHenryKnoll\_9067.jpg



IOP 47. Looking north (IOPUTC010009069)

30\_N\_JackHenryKnoll\_9069.jpg



IOP 57. Looking northeast (IOPUTC010009077)

34\_NE\_JackHenryKnoll\_9077.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Coyote Bench**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	3.5	Interesting terracing	
b. Vegetation	2	Simple	
c. Water	0	None visible	
d. Color	2.5	Simple	
e. Adjacent Scenery	4.5	Mountain views	
f. Scarcity	1	Common	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab
g. Cultural Modification	0	Not relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>13.5</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 48. Looking north (IOPUTC010009184)

90\_N\_CoyoteBench\_9184.jpg



IOP 49. Looking west (IOPUTC010000185)

91\_W\_CoyoteBench\_9185.jpg



IOP 51. Looking west (IOPUTC010009189)

95\_W\_CoyoteBench\_9189.jpg



IOP 53. Highway 15, looking east (IOPUTC010009178)

84\_E\_CoyoteBench\_9178.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Buckskin Valley**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	1.5	Fairly flat	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	3	Not much variety	
c. Water	1	Not visible from main road	
d. Color	2	Little seasonal change	
e. Adjacent Scenery	4.5	Mountainous scenery	
f. Scarcity	1	Common	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
g. Cultural Modification	0	Not much impact	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>13</b>		

**Comments:**

Bear Creek in Bear Valley



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 50. Looking southwest (IOPUTC010009186)

92\_SW\_BuckskinValley\_9186.jpg



IOP 52. Looking southeast (IOPUTC010009187)

93\_SE\_BuckskinValley\_9187.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Parowan Valley**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	1	Not much variation of topography	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	2.5	Irrigated and rangeland	
c. Water	0	None obvious	
d. Color	3	Seasonal color contrast	
e. Adjacent Scenery	4	Hurricane Cliffs, Red Hills, Parowan Gap	
f. Scarcity	1	Common	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
g. Cultural Modification	0	Not a negative factor	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>11.5</b>		

**Comments:**

Raptor viewing activity. Irrigated agriculture adds variety.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 96. Looking north (IOPUTC010009155)

70\_N\_ParowanValley\_9155.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Red Hills**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	2.5	Generally rolling (Parowan Gap) with a few interesting details	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	2.5	Fairly uniform	
c. Water	0	Not present	
d. Color	3	Red and bright tan rock color	
e. Adjacent Scenery	3	Mountain views	
f. Scarcity	3	Gap	
g. Cultural Modification	0	No cultural modifications	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>14</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator




• IOP Location



IOP 93. Parowan Gap, looking east (IOPUTC010009100)

42\_E\_RedHills\_9100.jpg



IOP 94. Looking southwest (IOPUTC010009158)

72\_SW\_RedHills\_9158.jpg



IOP 95. Looking southwest (IOPUTC010009157)

71\_SW\_RedHills\_9157.jpg



IOP 100. Looking west (IOPUTC010009154)

69\_W\_RedHills\_9154.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Long Hollow**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	1	Flat	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	1	Mostly sage	
c. Water	0	Not present	
d. Color	1	Mostly gray	
e. Adjacent Scenery	3	Red Hills	
f. Scarcity	1	Common	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
g. Cultural Modification	0	Limited	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>7</b>		

**Comments:**

Very common rangeland, but important



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 55. Looking north (IOPUTC010009098)

41\_N\_LongHollow\_9098.jpg



IOP 91. Looking north (IOPUTC010009089)

39\_N\_LongHollow\_9089.jpg



IOP 92. Looking east (IOPUTC010009092)

40\_E\_LongHollow\_9092.jpg



IOP 92. Looking southeast (IOPUTC010009093)

40\_SE\_LongHollow\_9093.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Table Butte**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	3.5	Unique in its context	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	2	Similar vegetation of surrounding area	
c. Water	0	None present	
d. Color	2	Unique in context but uniform in color	
e. Adjacent Scenery	3	Context adds to value	
f. Scarcity	3	Common in region	
g. Cultural Modification	0	Not noticeable	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>13.5</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 88. Well, looking east (IOPUTC010000085)

34\_E\_TableButte\_0085.jpg



IOP 89. Saddle, looking southwest (IOPUTC010000084)

33\_SW\_TableButte\_0084.jpg



IOP 90. Watering hole, looking southwest (IOPUTC010000081)

32\_SW\_TableButte\_0081.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Government Well**

**4. SCORE**


	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	<b>SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION</b> (check one)
a. Landform	2.5	Rolling hills; some interesting features	
b. Vegetation	3	Juniper/sage	
c. Water	0	None present	
d. Color	2	Subtle with some variety	
e. Adjacent Scenery	2	Paradise Mountains, Haystack Mountain, Indian Peak Range	
f. Scarcity	1	Fairly common	
g. Cultural Modification	0	Few structures	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>10.5</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less  <input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 71. State land, looking southeast (IOPUTC01000033)

13\_SE\_GovernmentWell\_0033.jpg



IOP 73. State land, looking west (IOPUTC01000037)

15\_W\_GovernmentWell\_0037.jpg



IOP 74. Section 6 near Highway 56, looking south (IOPUTC01000038)

16\_S\_GovernmentWell\_0038.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Haystack Mountain**


4. SCORE			<b>SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION</b> (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	3	Unique, detailed landscape form in sagebrush plains	
b. Vegetation	3	Juniper/sage	
c. Water	0	None present	
d. Color	3	Minor variety with rock color	
e. Adjacent Scenery	1	Surrounding sagebrush plain raises value	
f. Scarcity	2.5	Landmark and rock outcropping different in local area	
g. Cultural Modification	0	Nothing outstanding	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>12.5</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less  <input type="checkbox"/> Rehab  <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 75. Modena, looking southwest (IOPUTC01000027)

10\_SW\_HaystackMountain\_0027.jpg



IOP 77. Utah/Nevada state line, looking east (IOPUTC01000023)

09\_E\_HaystackMountain\_0023.jpg



IOP 78. Road to Lund, looking northwest (IOPUTC01000022)

08\_NW\_HaystackMountain\_0022.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Bull Valley South**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	2	Some washes and rolling hills	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	3	Two major types: juniper and sage community	
c. Water	0	Not noticeable	
d. Color	2	Juniper provides contrast with sage	
e. Adjacent Scenery	1	Nothing special or little influence	
f. Scarcity	1	Fairly common in area	
g. Cultural Modification	0	Small presence but no impact either way	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>9</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 80. Escalante Mine, looking south (IOPUTC010000014)

05\_S\_BullValleySouth\_0014.jpg



IOP 83. Mid-unit, looking north (IOPUTC010000016)

06\_N\_BullValleySouth\_0016.jpg



IOP 82. Hebron, looking east (IOPUTC010000007)

02\_E\_BullValleySouth\_0007.jpg





**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Bull Valley North**

**4. SCORE**

	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
a. Landform	2	Rolling hills with little variety	
b. Vegetation	2	Little or no variety	
c. Water	0	Not noticeable	
d. Color	1.5	Sparse junipers add minor variety	
e. Adjacent Scenery	1	Nothing notable	
f. Scarcity	1	Very common	
g. Cultural Modification	-0.5	Not noticeable other than mining facility	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>7</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area

**Comments:**

Very common; little variety.



• IOP Location

SQRU Locator



IOP 137. Looking north (IOPUTC010000018)

07\_N\_BullValleyNorth\_0018.jpg



IOP 78. Road to Lund, looking north (IOPUTC010000021)

08\_N\_BullValleyNorth\_0021.jpg



IOP 79. South of Highway 56, looking west (IOPUTC010000012)

04\_W\_BullValleyNorth\_0012.jpg





**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Shoal Creek**

4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	2	Valley bottoms with rolling hills	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	3	Riparian adds to variety	
c. Water	1.5	Creek at least seasonally present but not a major element	
d. Color	2	Some variety but generally muted	
e. Adjacent Scenery	2.5	Pinyon/juniper and surrounding hills create a sense of enclosure	
f. Scarcity	2	Rather common but unique to greater area	
g. Cultural Modification	1	Small dwellings add somewhat to aesthetic values	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>14</b>		

**Comments:**

Area has some variety but no outstanding features. A pleasant and friendly valley experience.



• IOP Location

SQRU Locator



IOP 81. Near Wide Hollow, looking west (IOPUTC010000001)

01\_W\_ShoolCreek\_0001.jpg



IOP 82. Hebron, looking west (IOPUTC010000005)

02\_W\_ShoolCreek\_0005.jpg



IOP 84. Enterprise, looking west (IOPUTC010000010)

03\_W\_ShoolCreek\_0010.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Antelope Mountain**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	4	Lots of geological variation	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	2.5	Monotypic	
c. Water	1.5	Limited to man-made reservoir	
d. Color	2	Mostly in the geology	
e. Adjacent Scenery	2	Mountains adjacent	
f. Scarcity	2	Common	
g. Cultural Modification	-0.5	Reservoir, power lines, communication towers	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>13.5</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area

**Comments:**

Reservoir site does not fit well in the otherwise natural environment.



SQRU Locator




• IOP Location



IOP 85. Looking north (IOPUTC010009129)

57\_N\_AntelopeMountains\_9129.jpg



IOP 86. Looking northeast (IOPUTC010009131)

58\_NE\_AntelopeMountains\_9131.jpg



IOP 87. Looking north (IOPUTC010009126)

56\_N\_AntelopeMountains\_9126.jpg



IOP 115, Looking west (IOPUTC010009122)

53\_W\_AntelopeMountains\_9122.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Desert Mound**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE		
a. Landform	2	Common	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B
b. Vegetation	1	One to two types	<input type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18
c. Water	0	None present	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
d. Color	2	Subtle, some contrast	
e. Adjacent Scenery	2	Mountain and valley views	
f. Scarcity	1	Common	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab
g. Cultural Modification	-1.5	Mines; large, open-pit excavations and tailings piles	<input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>6.5</b>		

**Comments:**

Could benefit from rehab but would not raise it to a Class B.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 110. Looking south (IOPUTC010009143)

62\_S\_DesertMound\_9143.jpg



IOP 113. Looking north (IOPUTC010009118)

51\_N\_DesertMound\_9118.jpg



IOP 114. Looking north (IOPUTC010009120)

52\_N\_DesertMound\_9120.jpg





**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Three Peaks**

4. SCORE			<b>SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION</b> (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	3.5	Interesting rock formations	
b. Vegetation	2	Mostly uniform	
c. Water	0	None	
d. Color	3	Some contrast with rock and juniper	
e. Adjacent Scenery	3	Views of Cedar Breaks National Monument to the east	
f. Scarcity	2	Not uncommon	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
g. Cultural Modification	-1	Mines, water tank, some ranch buildings	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>12.5</b>		

**Comments:**

Three Peaks is a focal point and landmark for the valley within viewshed of populated areas.



• IOP Location

SQRU Locator



IOP 107. Looking southeast (IOPUTC010009136)

61\_SE\_ThreePeaks\_9136.jpg



IOP 107. Looking east (IOPUTC010009140)

61\_E\_ThreePeaks\_9140.jpg



IOP 109. Looking north (IOPUTC010009146)

64\_N\_ThreePeaks\_9146.jpg



IOP 111. Looking east (IOPUTC010009144)

63\_N\_ThreePeaks\_9144.jpg



IOP 112. Looking north (IOPUTC010009116)

50\_N\_ThreePeaks\_9116.jpg



IOP 118. C-Trail overlook, looking northwest (IOPUTC010009005)

03\_NW\_ThreePeaks\_9005.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Little Bald Hills**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	2	Interesting but common by comparison	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	1	Monotypic (sage)	
c. Water	0	Some dry washes interior to unit	
d. Color	1.5	Monochromatic sage green with rock outcrop	
e. Adjacent Scenery	2.5	Escalante and Long Hollow detract, Three Peaks adds	
f. Scarcity	1	Common within region	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
g. Cultural Modification	0	Roads, gravel and two-track fences	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>8</b>		

**Comments:**

The unit has good quality interior places that provide enclosure and geologic interest.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 102. Looking west (IOPUTC010009160)

73\_W\_LittleBaldHills\_9160.jpg



IOP 103. Looking northeast (IOPUTC010009161)

74\_NE\_LittleBaldHills\_9161.jpg



IOP 104. Looking northwest (IOPUTC010009164)

75\_NW\_LittleBaldHills\_9164.jpg



IOP 105. Looking northwest (IOPUTC010009148)

65\_NW\_LittleBaldHills\_9148.jpg





**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Cedar Valley**

4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	1	Mostly flat	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	2	Not generally contributing to scenic quality	
c. Water	0	Some periodic creeks and lakes (infrequent)	
d. Color	2	Not contributing to scenic quality except seasonally and in irrigated agricultural areas	
e. Adjacent Scenery	5	Mountains with high scenic quality (National Park)	
f. Scarcity	1	Common	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
g. Cultural Modification	0	Dense urban development and transportation	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>11</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator

• IOP Location



IOP 101. C-Trail overlook, looking west (IOPUTC010009006)

03\_W\_CedarValley\_9006.jpg



IOP 106. Looking east (IOPUTC010009151)

67\_E\_CedarValley\_9151.jpg



IOP 108. Looking east (IOPUTC010009153)

68\_E\_CedarValley\_9153.jpg



IOP 125. Looking northwest (IOPUTC010009171)

79\_NW\_CedarValley\_9171.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Hurricane Cliffs**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	5	Remarkable geology	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	4.5	Aspen to scrub oak, pines, firs	
c. Water	2	Some intermittent and perennial streams	
d. Color	5	Some of the best color in this region	
e. Adjacent Scenery	1	Valley and distant mountain views	
f. Scarcity	1	Not scarce for Colorado Plateau	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
g. Cultural Modification	0	Roads fit well with land; residential barely detracts	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>18.5</b>		

**Comments:**

Gateway to Cedar Breaks National Monument.



SQRU Locator




• IOP Location



IOP 117. Looking northwest (IOPUTC010009174)

82\_NW\_HurricaneCliffs\_9174.jpg



IOP 119. Right Hand Canyon, looking east (IOPUTC010009002)

02\_E\_HurricaneCliffs\_9002.jpg



IOP 120. Looking east (IOPUTC010009176)

83\_NW\_HurricaneCliffs\_9176.jpg



IOP 121. Looking east (IOPUTC010009172)

80\_E\_HurricaneCliffs\_9172.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Red Cliffs**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	4	Scenic rock faces; vertical geology	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	3.5	Some riparian vegetation included	
c. Water	3	Perennial creeks	
d. Color	4	Red rocks	
e. Adjacent Scenery	1	Not visible from most of unit	
f. Scarcity	2.5	Example of Colorado Plateau on the Field Office	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
g. Cultural Modification	0	Not very evident throughout most of unit	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>19</b>		

**Comments:**

None.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location



IOP 97. Looking east (IOPUTC010009052)

24\_E\_RedCliffs\_9052.jpg



IOP 98. Looking east (IOPUTC010009191)

97\_E\_RedCliffs\_9191.jpg



IOP 99. Looking east (IOPUTC010009190)

96\_E\_RedCliffs\_9190.jpg




**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Bumble Bee**


4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	3	Complexity of landform	<input type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more B <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> – 12 – 18 <input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
b. Vegetation	3	Fairly complex, interesting	
c. Water	0	None	
d. Color	3.5	Seasonal color good	
e. Adjacent Scenery	4	Excellent views to important scenic areas	
f. Scarcity	1.5	Similar to other areas	
g. Cultural Modification	-0.5	Residential	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>14.5</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab <input type="checkbox"/> Special Area

**Comments:**

Lots of variety but no obvious water or exposed rock outcrops or cliffs. Some residential detracts from scenic quality.



SQRU Locator



• IOP Location




IOP 116: Looking west (IOPUTC010009102)

43\_W\_BumbleBee\_9102.jpg




IOP 123 Looking west (IOPUTC010009106)

45\_W\_BumbleBee\_9106.jpg



IOP 122. Looking west (IOPUTC010009104)

44\_W\_BumbleBee\_9104.jpg



IOP 124. Looking northwest (IOPUTC010009107)

46\_NW\_BumbleBee\_9107.jpg





**Scenic Quality Rating Unit: Spring Creek**

4. SCORE			SCENIC QUALITY CLASSIFICATION (check one)
	Rating	EXPLANATION OR RATIONALE	
a. Landform	5	Exceptional slot canyons, rugged rock formations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A – 19 or more
b. Vegetation	4	Very diverse	<input type="checkbox"/> B – 12 – 18
c. Water	5	Perennial streams; some cascading water	<input type="checkbox"/> C – 11 or less
d. Color	5	Exceptional vegetation and rock color	
e. Adjacent Scenery	1.5	Zion National Park to the south; Bumble Bee Unit	
f. Scarcity	2	Slot canyons, book canyons	<input type="checkbox"/> Rehab
g. Cultural Modification	0	Few; do not detract from scenic quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Special Area
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>22.5</b>		

**Comments:**

The unit includes slot canyons with a diversity of vegetation and highly colored red sandstone canyon walls.



• IOP Location

SQRU Locator



IOP 126. Looking east (IOPUTC010009170)

79\_E\_SpringCreek\_9170.jpg



IOP 127. Looking east (IOPUTC010009166)

77\_E\_SpringCreek\_9166.jpg



IOP 128. Looking east (IOPUTC010009167)

78\_E\_SpringCreek\_9167.jpg



IOP 129. Looking northeast (IOPUTC010009165)

76\_NE\_SpringCreek\_9165.jpg

## Sensitivity Level Rating Units

No.	SLRU Name	Page	No.	SLRU Name	Page
1	Hamlin Valley	B-2	40	Kolob Reservoir Scenic Backway <sup>1</sup>	B-41
2	Mountain Home CPWA	B-3	41	Cedar Breaks National Monument <sup>1</sup>	B-42
3	Mountain Home	B-4	42	Markagunt Scenic Byway <sup>1</sup>	B-43
4	Pine Valley	B-5	43	Antelope Mountain <sup>2</sup>	B-44
5	Wah Wah WSA	B-6	44	Buckskin Valley <sup>2</sup>	B-45
6	Wah Wah Mountains	B-7	45	Bull Valley North <sup>2</sup>	B-46
7	Wah Wah Valley	B-8	46	Cedar Breaks Scenic Byway <sup>2</sup>	B-47
8	Frisco Mountains	B-9	47	Cedar Valley <sup>3</sup>	B-48
9	Big Wash	B-10	48	Desert Mound <sup>2</sup>	B-49
10	Beaver Lake	B-11	49	Dry Lakes Summit Canyon Scenic Backway <sup>2</sup>	B-50
11	Escalante Desert <sup>1</sup>	B-12	50	Little Bald Hills <sup>2</sup>	B-51
12	Mineral Mountains Lower	B-13	51	Long Hollow <sup>2</sup>	B-52
13	Horse Flats	B-14	52	Parowan Gap <sup>2</sup>	B-53
14	I-15 Corridor <sup>1</sup>	B-15	53	Parowan Valley <sup>2</sup>	B-54
15	Sulphurdale	B-16	54	Red Cliffs <sup>2</sup>	B-55
16	Wildcat	B-17	55	Red Hills <sup>2</sup>	B-56
17	Mineral Mountains Upper	B-18	56	Spring Creek <sup>3</sup>	B-57
18	Thermo Hot Springs	B-19	57	Spring Creek WSA <sup>3</sup>	B-58
19	Shauntie Hills	B-20	58	Table Butte <sup>2</sup>	B-59
20	Mountain Spring	B-21	59	Three Peaks <sup>2</sup>	B-60
21	Rustlers Draw	B-22	60	Three Peaks SRMA <sup>2</sup>	B-61
22	Indian Peak	B-23			
23	White Rock WSA	B-24			
24	Paradise Mountains	B-25			
25	Steamboat Mountain	B-26			
26	Blue Mountain	B-27	<sup>1</sup>	Partially encompassed by the Old Spanish Trail 15-mile offset	
27	Black Mountains <sup>1</sup>	B-28	<sup>2</sup>	Totally encompassed by the Old Spanish Trail 15-mile offset	
28	Bald Hills North <sup>1</sup>	B-29	<sup>3</sup>	Totally encompassed by the Old Spanish Trail 15-mile offset and Zion National Park offset	
29	Coyote Bench <sup>1</sup>	B-30			
30	Jack Henry Knoll <sup>1</sup>	B-31			
31	Fremont/Old Spanish Trail	B-32			
32	Gold Springs CPWA	B-33			
33	Government Well	B-34			
34	Haystack Mountain	B-35			
35	Bull Valley South	B-36			
36	Shoal Creek	B-37			
37	Bumble Bee <sup>1</sup>	B-38			
38	Zion National Park	B-39			
39	Hurricane Cliffs <sup>1</sup>	B-40			

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/6/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler EBurghard

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Hamlin Valley

**Unit Number:** 1

**Type of Area:** Open range, hunting

**Predominant Types of Users:** Hunters, ranchers, OHV users, residents, commuters

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	M	Active ranching
<b>Amount of Use</b>	M	Activity suggests moderate uses; roads are used frequently
<b>Public Interest</b>	M	Various groups and activities bump rating; Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Area
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	M	Hunting, recreation, tree harvest
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	M	Sensitive species, big game, wild horses
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	Multiple uses and good example of basin

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 2/1/2010

Evaluators: KSchwarzler

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Mountain Home Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Area

**Unit Number:** 2

**Type of Area:** Hunting, wildlife

**Predominant Types of Users:** Hunters, recreation

**H/M/L**

**Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)**

	<b>H/M/L</b>	<b>Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)</b>
<b>Type of Use</b>	<b>M</b>	Multiple uses and variety
<b>Amount of Use</b>	<b>L</b>	Primarily seasonal uses
<b>Public Interest</b>	<b>H</b>	Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Area
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	<b>M</b>	Corridor to Great Basin National Park
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	<b>L</b>	Wild horse area
<b>Other Factors</b>	<b>NP</b>	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>H</b>	Citizen interest, scenic quality

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/7/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Mountain Home

**Unit Number:** 3

**Type of Area:** Hunting, wildlife, grazing, pinyon/juniper woodland

**Predominant Types of Users:** Hunters, recreationists, grazing, wood cutters, pine-nut harvesters

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	M	Multiple uses and variety
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Primarily seasonal uses
<b>Public Interest</b>	M	Recreation, wild horse enthusiasts
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	M	Corridor to Great Basin National Park
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	L	Wild horse Herd Management Area
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	Wild horses and recreation activities plus scenic quality

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/6/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Pine Valley

**Unit Number:** 4

**Type of Area:** Open range, hunting, recreation

**Predominant Types of Users:** Ranchers, hunters

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Low variety of users
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Scattered use; some seasonal
<b>Public Interest</b>	L	Generally low interest
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	H	Extensive views into unit
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	M	Special Recreation Permit (Red Cliffs ascent)
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	Concern from specific groups and adjacent units

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/7/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler SRoche

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Wah Wah WSA

**Unit Number:** 5

**Type of Area:** Wilderness Study Area

**Predominant Types of Users:** Recreationists (no mechanized use), hunters

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Remote location, limited access
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Limited access
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	Wilderness Study Area
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Views of Wah Wah unit only
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	H	Wilderness Study Area
<b>Other Factors</b>	M	Smaller part of larger WSA in adjacent Filmore Field Office
<b>Overall Rating</b>	H	Wilderness Study Area is the main factor

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/9/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler SRoche

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Wah Wah Mountains

**Unit Number:** 6

**Type of Area:** Grazing, hunting, mining, recreation, travel corridor

**Predominant Types of Users:** Ranchers, miners, hunters, OHV users, tourists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Limited access restricts use
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Limited access
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Area characteristics; area in review and analysis
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	H	Wilderness Study Area is adjacent
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	H	Links to National Parks

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/10/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler SROche

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Wah Wah Valley

**Unit Number:** 7

**Type of Area:** Rangeland

**Predominant Types of Users:** Ranchers, dispersed recreationists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Predominant ranching use is dispersed
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Use is dispersed
<b>Public Interest</b>	L	SLIWA has commented because it is in a Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Area
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	M	Adjacent to Wah Wah Mountains
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	L	Overall sensitivity in area is low

**Narrative:**

Some dispersed recreation, but low (motorized, hunting). In viewshed of a Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Area. Not very productive land for ranchers.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 10/14/2009

Evaluators: SDixon KSchwarzler SRoche

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Frisco Mountains

**Unit Number:** 8

**Type of Area:** Mining, research, recreational, communications, travel corridor

**Predominant Types of Users:** Miners, hunters, travelers, university professors/students, technicians

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	H	Varied uses including OHV, hang gliding
<b>Amount of Use</b>	M	Access is difficult; moderate travel on road
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	Communication towers, historic area, observatory; travel landmark from towns; Citizen's Proposal
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Ranching predominant
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	H	Historic mining; great view from the top
<b>Overall Rating</b>	H	Multiple uses; visual predominant; historic value

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/10/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler SRoche

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Big Wash

**Unit Number:** 9

**Type of Area:** Rangeland

**Predominant Types of Users:** Ranchers, hunters, OHV users

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Ranching, some recreation
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Not many visitors
<b>Public Interest</b>	L	None known
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Only partially viewed from the highway
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	L	Low use and concern for scenic quality

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/10/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Beaver Lake

**Unit Number:** 10

**Type of Area:** Mining

**Predominant Types of Users:** Miners

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Mining only
<b>Amount of Use</b>	M	Moderate mining
<b>Public Interest</b>	L	Mine has lower value
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Industrial in Escalante Valley
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	L	Historic mining
<b>Overall Rating</b>	L	Mining has disturbed area

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/6/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter RSweeten

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Escalante Desert

**Unit Number:** 11

**Type of Area:** Rangeland

**Predominant Types of Users:** Ranchers, dispersed recreationists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Area predominantly used for dispersed ranching
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Area receives little use
<b>Public Interest</b>	L	Area already has impacts
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	No impact from adjacent land
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Dominguez-Escalante Trail runs near or through the area.
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	L	Sensitivity to area is low

**Narrative:**

Some small-game and antelope hunting.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 10/13/2009

Evaluators: SDixon KSchwarzler SRoche RSweeten

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Mineral Mountains Lower

**Unit Number:** 12

**Type of Area:** Grazing

**Predominant Types of Users:** Hunting, ranchers, OHV users

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	M	Hunters would be sensitive to change
<b>Amount of Use</b>	M	Multiple access and number of users
<b>Public Interest</b>	M	Portions visible from populated areas
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	M	Adjacent is agricultural and rural residential
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	Lower elevations visible from populated areas and roads

**Narrative:**

General awareness and appreciation of recreational and natural areas.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/5/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter SROche RSweeten

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Horse Flats

**Unit Number:** 13

**Type of Area:** Rangeland

**Predominant Types of Users:** Ranchers, hunters, OHV users

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	M	Some sensitivity to change
<b>Amount of Use</b>	M	Hunting, ranching, multiple access
<b>Public Interest</b>	M	Portions are visible
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	M	Some interest from surrounding uses
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	Not a scenic destination, although receives use

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/6/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre Kschwarzler Sthompson Lutter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** I-15 Corridor

**Unit Number:** 14

**Type of Area:** Travel Corridor

**Predominant Types of Users:** Tourists and local residents

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	M	Everyday local and through traffic
<b>Amount of Use</b>	H	Highway corridor
<b>Public Interest</b>	L	Existing precedent of commercial highway signage
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	M	Scope and size of projects
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	None present
<b>Other Factors</b>	M	Major transit corridor north and south to National Parks
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	Major corridor carrying travelers

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/5/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SROche Kschwarzler Lutter Sroche

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Sulphurdale

**Unit Number:** 15

**Type of Area:** Historic

**Predominant Types of Users:** Recreationists, historical sightseers

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	H	Sightseers
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Not advertised
<b>Public Interest</b>	L	Not well known
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	M	Proximity to other significant cultural and historic sites
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	Historic site with low visitation

**Narrative:**

Buildings are private.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/5/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter SRoche

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Wildcat

**Unit Number:** 16

**Type of Area:** Rural residential, agricultural, rangeland

**Predominant Types of Users:** Residents, hunters

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	<b>M</b>	Users would be sensitive to change
<b>Amount of Use</b>	<b>H</b>	Local use
<b>Public Interest</b>	<b>M</b>	Not of regional concern, but residents likely averse to change
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	<b>L</b>	Not much adjacent use
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	<b>NP</b>	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	<b>NP</b>	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>M</b>	Medium

**Narrative:**

Rural residential area with urban centers.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/10/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Mineral Mountains Upper

**Unit Number:** 17

**Type of Area:** Grazing at lower elevations, recreation

**Predominant Types of Users:** Recreationists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	H	Recreationists
<b>Amount of Use</b>	M	Not near large population areas; limited
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	Locally known and important
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	M	Primarily agricultural with rural residential (moderate because of distance)
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	None present
<b>Other Factors</b>	H	A designated recreation site
<b>Overall Rating</b>	H	Recreation and unique geological features

**Narrative:**

A unique geologic feature in the basin and range physiographic region.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/5/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter SRoche RSweeten

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Thermo Hot Springs

**Unit Number:** 18

**Type of Area:** Historic trail

**Predominant Types of Users:** Historians, trail enthusiasts

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	<b>H</b>	Historic trail groups
<b>Amount of Use</b>	<b>L</b>	Surrounded by rangeland; not well known
<b>Public Interest</b>	<b>M</b>	Historic value; public interest regionally
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	<b>L</b>	Rangeland
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	<b>H</b>	National Historic Trail
<b>Other Factors</b>	<b>NP</b>	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>M</b>	A historic trail

**Narrative:**

The Escalante Expedition of 1776, a failed attempt to establish a trail from Santa Fe to Monterey, recorded Thermo Hot Springs. It is significant because approximately 30 miles to the northeast, winter weather forced the expedition to return to Santa Fe.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/9/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler SRoche

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Shauntie Hills

**Unit Number:** 19

**Type of Area:** Rangeland

**Predominant Types of Users:** Cattle ranchers, possibly hunters, OHV users

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Users are not there for scenic quality; mostly ranching use
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Few users
<b>Public Interest</b>	L	West and south portions not viewed by many people
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	M	Can be seen on east side from two small towns
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	L	Low use and interest in scenic quality

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/10/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Mountain Spring

**Unit Number:** 20

**Type of Area:** Mining, grazing, hunting

**Predominant Types of Users:** Miners, ranchers, recreationists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	<b>M</b>	High hunting and mining use
<b>Amount of Use</b>	<b>H</b>	Mining is very apparent
<b>Public Interest</b>	<b>M</b>	Hunting is high use; small overlap with Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Area
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	<b>L</b>	No accessible areas adjacent
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	<b>NP</b>	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	<b>M</b>	Wild horses in Blawn Wash
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>M</b>	Various uses; wild horses

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/7/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Rustlers Draw

**Unit Number:** 21

**Type of Area:** Grazing, hunting, recreation

**Predominant Types of Users:** Ranchers, hunters, OHV users, camping, equestrian

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Not many uses; remote
<b>Amount of Use</b>	M	Heavy seasonal hunting
<b>Public Interest</b>	M	Hunting access; small overlap with Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Area
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Access difficult
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	M	Red Cliffs Ascent requires special use permit
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	Multiple uses, interests

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/9/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Indian Peak

**Unit Number:** 22

**Type of Area:** Mining, hunting, OHV, recreation

**Predominant Types of Users:** Hunters, miners, recreationists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	<b>H</b>	Multiple high uses
<b>Amount of Use</b>	<b>H</b>	Many people recreate and hunt
<b>Public Interest</b>	<b>H</b>	Many private areas and natural springs; Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Area
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	<b>M</b>	Extensive views from the unit
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	<b>NP</b>	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	<b>M</b>	Indian Peak State Game Management Unit
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>H</b>	High use and recreation

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/6/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler EBurghard TFrampton KMcKnight

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** White Rock WSA

**Unit Number:** 23

**Type of Area:** Wilderness Study Area

**Predominant Types of Users:** Recreationists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Limited access
<b>Amount of Use</b>	M	Bow hunting, seasonal
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	Wilderness Study Area
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Wilderness Study Area is co-managed with the Ely, Nevada Field Office
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	H	Wilderness Study Area
<b>Other Factors</b>	M	Ely, Nevada Field Office manages adjacent land using a wilderness designation
<b>Overall Rating</b>	H	Wilderness Study Area bumps up rating

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/10/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler SRoche

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Paradise Mountains

**Unit Number:** 24

**Type of Area:** Grazing, recreational, minor residential

**Predominant Types of Users:** Hunters, ranchers, recreationists, residents

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	<b>M</b>	Higher usage of types boost rating: hunting, ranching, OHV use, residential
<b>Amount of Use</b>	<b>M</b>	High use for hunting
<b>Public Interest</b>	<b>H</b>	Interest in Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Areas in the unit, maintaining range
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	<b>M</b>	Adjacent uses give area a higher rating
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	<b>NP</b>	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	<b>M</b>	Wild horses, historic mining
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>M</b>	Public interest, sensitivity is higher than other areas

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/10/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler SROche

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Steamboat Mountain

**Unit Number:** 25

**Type of Area:** Hunting, range, minor recreation, wilderness

**Predominant Types of Users:** Hunters, ranchers, OHV users

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	M	Uses are fewer than others in the area
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Few roads prohibit use
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	Eight-Mile Bench is a valley landmark; Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Area
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Minor impact
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	Low use and accessibility

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/7/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Blue Mountain

**Unit Number:** 26

**Type of Area:** Hunting, OHV, wildlife

**Predominant Types of Users:** Hunters, recreationists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Low motorized use due to access
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Access prevents use
<b>Public Interest</b>	L	Inaccessible, undeveloped
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Pig farms
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	L	Low

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/6/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Black Mountains

**Unit Number:** 27

**Type of Area:** Ranching

**Predominant Types of Users:** Ranchers; some recreation (hunters, OHV users)

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Limited users; mainly ranchers
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Limited access
<b>Public Interest</b>	M	General interest but likely to be limited
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Limited views from travel routes and urban areas
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	L	Low use

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/6/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Bald Hills North

**Unit Number:** 28

**Type of Area:** Rangeland

**Predominant Types of Users:** Recreationists (hunters), ranchers

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	<b>M</b>	Hunters would be concerned
<b>Amount of Use</b>	<b>L</b>	Low; limited access
<b>Public Interest</b>	<b>L</b>	Fire modifications
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	<b>M</b>	Viewsheds from City of Beaver
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	<b>NP</b>	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	<b>NP</b>	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>M</b>	Viewshed significance

**Narrative:**

Within viewshed of valley floor, I-15.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/6/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter RSweeten

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Coyote Bench

**Unit Number:** 29

**Type of Area:** Rangeland, recreational

**Predominant Types of Users:** Ranchers, recreationists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
Type of Use	M	Hunters, recreationists, OHV users
Amount of Use	M	Many visitors from population centers
Public Interest	L	Not a lot of concern from local groups
Adjacent Land Uses	M	Backdrop of Beaver
Special Area Sensitivity	NP	Not present
Other Factors	NP	Not present
Overall Rating	M	Backdrop for community

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/9/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Jack Henry Knoll

**Unit Number:** 30

**Type of Area:** High rangeland, partial burn

**Predominant Types of Users:** Ranchers, hunters

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Limited access
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Mainly a ranching area
<b>Public Interest</b>	L	Not a scenic destination
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Limited views into unit
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	L	Mainly a ranching area

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 2/1/2010

Evaluators: KSchwarzler

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Fremont/Old Spanish Trail

**Unit Number:** 31

**Type of Area:** Historic trail

**Predominant Types of Users:** Historians, trail enthusiasts

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	H	Historic trail groups
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Surrounded by rangeland; not well known
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	Historic value; public interest nationally
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Rangeland
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	H	National Historic Trail
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	H	A historic trail

**Narrative:**

Most of this trail was traveled by prehistoric Native Americans and today is paved highway or dirt road. Fremont traveled this route during successful expeditions from California in 1843 and 1853.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/10/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Gold Springs Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Area

**Unit Number:** 32

**Type of Area:** Wild horses, historic mining

**Predominant Types of Users:** Hunters

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Few types of use
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Not much use; dispersed
<b>Public Interest</b>	M	Part of a Citizen's Proposed Wilderness Area for Paradise Mountains
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Not impactful
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	Citizen interest in the area

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/5/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler SBonar JPriest SWhitfield

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Government Well

**Unit Number:** 33

**Type of Area:** Wild horse, historic mining

**Predominant Types of Users:** Hunters, OHV users, grazing

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Few examples of uses
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Other than hunting, low use
<b>Public Interest</b>	L	Not much activity
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Not impactful
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	L	Area does not receive much use or activity

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/5/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler SBonar JPriest SWhitfield

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Haystack Mountain

**Unit Number:** 34

**Type of Area:** Remote, limited access; minor ranching, travel corridor

**Predominant Types of Users:** Ranchers, travelers

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Limited access
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	No major activities present
<b>Public Interest</b>	M	Local landmark
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Livestock, wildlife, minor residential
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	Local landmark raises awareness

**Narrative:**

None. /

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/5/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler SBonar JPriest SWhitfield

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Bull Valley South

**Unit Number:** 35

**Type of Area:** Primarily range, hunting, and wildlife

**Predominant Types of Users:** Local residents, ranchers

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Not a lot of identifiable presence
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Little evidence of uses
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	Local residents may be more concerned, but outsiders may be less so; Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Area.
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Nothing significant
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	Fairly common with no outstanding uses or sensitivity

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/7/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter SRoche RSweeten

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Shoal Creek

**Unit Number:** 36

**Type of Area:** Agricultural, grazing, residential, minor travel

**Predominant Types of Users:** Agricultural, residents, travelers

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	M	Moderate amount of traffic and residential use
<b>Amount of Use</b>	M	Travel corridor
<b>Public Interest</b>	M	Town of Enterprise, travel corridor
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	M	National Forest encloses the area
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	Nice area; public would care about change

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/9/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Bumble Bee

**Unit Number:** 37

**Type of Area:** Residential, recreational

**Predominant Types of Users:** Residents, hunters

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	H	Residential
<b>Amount of Use</b>	M	Residential limited to lower slopes on large lots
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	Demonstrated by current reaction to wind farm proposal
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	H	Viewshed for valley population and travel routes
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	H	Along corridor of travel to Zion National Park
<b>Overall Rating</b>	H	Highly visible

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/6/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Zion National Park

**Unit Number:** 38

**Type of Area:** Viewshed from National Park

**Predominant Types of Users:** Tourists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	H	Views from National Park
<b>Amount of Use</b>	M	The north side of the park has a slightly lower visitation rate
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	Area adjacent to National Park
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	H	Scenic and sensitive area in the community
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	H	National Park viewshed

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/9/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Hurricane Cliffs

**Unit Number:** 39

**Type of Area:** Recreational, residential, grazing

**Predominant Types of Users:** Residents, recreationists (especially sightseeing)

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	H	Residences built for scenic quality
<b>Amount of Use</b>	H	Good access; close to population area
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	Within viewshed of Cedar City
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	H	Cedar Breaks National Monument
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	H	Important amenity for Cedar City
<b>Overall Rating</b>	H	Important scenic amenity

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/9/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Kolob Reservoir Scenic Backway

**Unit Number:** 40

**Type of Area:** Recreational, rural residential, grazing, reservoir

**Predominant Types of Users:** Residents, hikers, sightseers

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	H	Residential access, recreation, tourist route
<b>Amount of Use</b>	H	Good paved road near population center
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	Expectation of preservation of scenic quality
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	H	Within viewshed of Cedar City and valley areas
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	H	Scenic Backway designation
<b>Other Factors</b>	M	Structures do not generally detract
<b>Overall Rating</b>	H	Important scenic route

**Narrative:**

Up to two-mile buffer.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/8/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Cedar Breaks National Monument

**Unit Number:** 41

**Type of Area:** Viewshed from National Monument

**Predominant Types of Users:** Tourists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	H	National Monument visitors
<b>Amount of Use</b>	H	Main visitor stops
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	Area adjacent to National Monument
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	H	A very scenic and sensitive area in the community
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	H	National Monument viewshed

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/9/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Markagunt Scenic Byway

**Unit Number:** 42

**Type of Area:** Scenic Byway route

**Predominant Types of Users:** Tourists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	<b>H</b>	Tourists there for scenery
<b>Amount of Use</b>	<b>H</b>	Scenic byway; access to Cedar Breaks National Monument
<b>Public Interest</b>	<b>H</b>	Designated scenic travel route
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	<b>L</b>	Narrow canyon
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	<b>H</b>	Scenic Byway
<b>Other Factors</b>	<b>NP</b>	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>H</b>	Byway designated for scenic value

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/8/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Antelope Mountain

**Unit Number:** 43

**Type of Area:** Recreation, firewood gathering

**Predominant Types of Users:** Firewood gatherers, anglers, OHV users, hunters

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	M	Fishing limited to enclosed reservoir with limited views
<b>Amount of Use</b>	M	Limited use and access
<b>Public Interest</b>	M	Limited
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Valley is agricultural and rural residential
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	See narrative

**Narrative:**

This unit has a Citizens' Proposed Wilderness Area. It has a proposed high desert trail for OHV use.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/7/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter SRoche

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Buckskin Valley

**Unit Number:** 44

**Type of Area:** Rangeland, some agricultural

**Predominant Types of Users:** Ranchers, hunters, OHV users

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	M	Hunters, OHV users
<b>Amount of Use</b>	M	A popular recreation area
<b>Public Interest</b>	M	Moderate to potentially high interest
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	M	Moderate amount of use
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	M	Route 20 runs through the unit to Bryce Canyon National Park
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	See narrative

**Narrative:**

Bear Creek is one of the best riparian features in the Cedar City Field Office.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/8/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Bull Valley North

**Unit Number:** 45

**Type of Area:** Grazing, wildlife, minor mining

**Predominant Types of Users:** Ranchers, miners

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Little or no distinct uses
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Nothing that indicates high use
<b>Public Interest</b>	L	Little or no interest other than mining or ranching
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Interstate highway, agricultural
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	L	Fairly common other than nice rolling hills

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/5/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Cedar Breaks Scenic Byway

**Unit Number:** 46

**Type of Area:** Scenic Byway route

**Predominant Types of Users:** Tourists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	H	Tourists there for scenery
<b>Amount of Use</b>	H	Scenic Byway; access to Cedar Breaks National Monument
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	Designated scenic travel route
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Narrow canyon
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	H	Scenic Byway
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	H	Byway designated for scenic value

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/7/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter SRoche

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Cedar Valley

**Unit Number:** 47

**Type of Area:** Urban, rural residential, agricultural

**Predominant Types of Users:** Residents, agriculture-related, corridor users

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	M	Residential sensitivity
<b>Amount of Use</b>	H	Population center
<b>Public Interest</b>	M	The valley is also a viewshed for many residences
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Within viewshed of recreation uses
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	Residential agricultural valley

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/7/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter SRoche

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Desert Mound

**Unit Number:** 48

**Type of Area:** Mine sites

**Predominant Types of Users:** OHV users, ranchers, former miners

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Very low
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Very little
<b>Public Interest</b>	L	Not concerned about scenic quality of unit
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Not important to scenic values of adjacent lands
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	L	Low concern about scenic quality

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/7/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter SRoche

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Dry Lakes Summit Canyon Scenic Backway

**Unit Number:** 49

**Type of Area:** Scenic Backway route

**Predominant Types of Users:** Tourists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	H	Tourists there for scenery
<b>Amount of Use</b>	H	Scenic Backway; access to Cedar Breaks National Monument
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	Designated scenic travel route
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Narrow canyon
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	H	Scenic Backway
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	H	Backway designated for scenic value

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/8/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Little Bald Hills

**Unit Number:** 50

**Type of Area:** Rangeland, power lines

**Predominant Types of Users:** Ranchers, utility workers, recreationists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Limited uses including equestrian and OHV
<b>Amount of Use</b>	M	Limited access but close to population area
<b>Public Interest</b>	L	Not a known area
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	M	Portions of Cedar City valley have views into the unit
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	L	Not much public interest

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/8/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter SRoche

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Long Hollow

**Unit Number:** 51

**Type of Area:** Rangeland

**Predominant Types of Users:** Cattlemen, agricultural industry, commuters

**H/M/L**

**Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)**

<b>Type of Use</b>	<b>M</b>	Users not there for scenic quality, but for wildlife viewing
<b>Amount of Use</b>	<b>M</b>	Rural
<b>Public Interest</b>	<b>L</b>	Limited interest
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	<b>L</b>	Low use
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	<b>NP</b>	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	<b>NP</b>	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>L</b>	See narrative

**Narrative:**

Not a unique area for scenic quality but visited for viewing of wildlife, especially sage-grouse and prairie-dog habitat for pygmy rabbit (a threatened and endangered species).

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/6/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter SRoche RSweeten

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Parowan Gap

**Unit Number:** 52

**Type of Area:** Transportation route

**Predominant Types of Users:** Recreation, OHV use, sheep grazing

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	<b>H</b>	Important cultural archaeological site
<b>Amount of Use</b>	<b>H</b>	Multiple uses including recreational archaeology (petroglyph viewing), rock climbing, and some OHV use plus sheep grazing
<b>Public Interest</b>	<b>H</b>	Important, well-known site
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	<b>M</b>	High desert trail passes through unit
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	<b>H</b>	Native American cultural site
<b>Other Factors</b>	<b>H</b>	Especially interesting geology historic route
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>H</b>	Important site culturally and historically

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/8/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Parowan Valley

**Unit Number:** 53

**Type of Area:** Range, agricultural, rural, commercial, residential

**Predominant Types of Users:** Ranchers, residents

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	M	Residential could be sensitive but area is highly modified
<b>Amount of Use</b>	H	Within population areas and I-15
<b>Public Interest</b>	M	Valley is highly modified
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	L	Some views from National Forest but probably low expectation
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	Rural residential valley

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/7/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Red Cliffs

**Unit Number:** 54

**Type of Area:** Mountainous rangeland, recreational

**Predominant Types of Users:** Hikers, hunters, OHV users

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	<b>M</b>	Mostly recreational use
<b>Amount of Use</b>	<b>H</b>	Access to areas of interest
<b>Public Interest</b>	<b>M</b>	Used frequently by locals
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	<b>H</b>	Within Parowan viewshed
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	<b>NP</b>	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	<b>NP</b>	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>M</b>	A popular area

**Narrative:**

Backdrop for populated areas in the Parowan Valley visible from the highway. Travel through the unit is common.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/8/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Red Hills

**Unit Number:** 55

**Type of Area:** Mountainous range, recreation land

**Predominant Types of Users:** Local OHV users, hunters

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	H	Recreation, ranching, hunting
<b>Amount of Use</b>	H	Popular
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	Proximity to Cedar City
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	M	Not much interest
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Overall Rating</b>	H	Lots of public interest

**Narrative:**

Not including Parowan Gap.

UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/6/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter RSweeten

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Spring Creek

**Unit Number:** 56

**Type of Area:** Recreation

**Predominant Types of Users:** Equestrians, hikers (locals and tourists)

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	H	Scenic quality is integral to type of use
<b>Amount of Use</b>	M	Moderate visitation
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	An important area for recreation without the crowding of nearby National Parks
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	M	Valley is mostly agricultural and rural residential with scenic quality
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	H	Adjacent to Wilderness Study Area
<b>Overall Rating</b>	H	Integral to Wilderness Study Area

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/7/2009

Evaluators: GBrady SDixon KSchwarzler

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Spring Creek WSA

**Unit Number:** 57

**Type of Area:** Wilderness Study Area

**Predominant Types of Users:** Recreationists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
Type of Use	H	Users are visiting for scenery
Amount of Use	M	Moderate visitation
Public Interest	H	Wilderness Study Area and thoughts of expansion
Adjacent Land Uses	M	Valley is rural residential
Special Area Sensitivity	H	Wilderness Study Area
Other Factors	NP	Not present
Overall Rating	H	Wilderness Study Area

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/9/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Table Butte

**Unit Number:** 58

**Type of Area:** Recreation, hunting, wildlife

**Predominant Types of Users:** Hunters, recreationists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	L	Not much evidence of use
<b>Amount of Use</b>	L	Minor evidence of use
<b>Public Interest</b>	M	Landmark, wildlife area
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	M	Expansive view out to valley
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	NP	Not present
<b>Other Factors</b>	M	BLM staff indicated raptor habitat
<b>Overall Rating</b>	M	Landmark, habitat, and butte formation

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/7/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter SRoche

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Three Peaks

**Unit Number:** 59

**Type of Area:** Ranching, recreation (hunting, OHV use)

**Predominant Types of Users:** Ranchers, OHV users, hunters

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
Type of Use	M	Recreation
Amount of Use	M	Low use
Public Interest	M	Not of particular interest
Adjacent Land Uses	M	Three Peaks Special Recreation Management Area; Cedar City
Special Area Sensitivity	NP	Not present
Other Factors	NP	Not present
Overall Rating	M	Recreation and proximity to SRMA

**Narrative:**

None.

UNITED STATES  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

**SENSITIVITY LEVEL RATING SHEET**

Field Office: Cedar City

Date: 11/7/2009

Evaluators: CLaPierre SThompson LUtter SRoche

**Sensitivity Level Rating Unit:** Three Peaks SRMA

**Unit Number:** 60

**Type of Area:** Recreational, educational (nature)

**Predominant Types of Users:** Recreationists

	H/M/L	Explanation of Rating (Mandatory)
<b>Type of Use</b>	H	Many use types: hiking, OHV, shooting range, equestrian, remote-controlled aircraft, rock-crawling, camping, mountain biking
<b>Amount of Use</b>	H	High visitation
<b>Public Interest</b>	H	Close proximity to local population
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	H	In viewshed of Cedar City
<b>Special Area Sensitivity</b>	H	Special Recreation Management Area (SRMA)
<b>Other Factors</b>	H	County has recreational facilities within the SRMA
<b>Overall Rating</b>	H	Number and variety of interested user groups

**Narrative:**

Organized groups participate in planning of facilities.

---

This page intentionally left blank.

---

## Appendix C. Visual Resource Inventory Methodology

The BLM Visual Resource Inventory Manual H—8410-1, BLM Technical Note 407, and the BLM Visual Resource Management Course Manual were sources used for the CCFO Visual Resource Inventory.

### Inventory

Preliminary units were drawn prior to conducting field work using high-quality aerial photographs and terrain models available on Google Earth and Google Maps. Additional tools used for this process include 1:100,000 scale topographic maps and a 25m Digital Elevation Model (DEM) provided by the BLM. These maps, aerials, and data clearly show the topographic and visual features of the landscape which enabled the inventory team to divide the area into preliminary SQRUs. These units were then adjusted as necessary after consulting with BLM staff and verified in the field to provide an accurate boundary.

These maps were used in the field for navigational purposes, for ground-truthing the SQRU boundaries, and for recording notes and IOP locations.

Field work for the VRI was conducted October 13–15 and November 4–11, 2009 (a total of 12 days by two field teams). Each SQRU was accessed by vehicle. The inventory team drove through each SQRU, stopping on multiple occasions to evaluate scenic quality.

Notes and photographs were taken at each IOP to document the landscape character (as discussed in Section 2 – Scenic Quality Inventory Factors). A total of 198 stops were made throughout the ECFO. The photographs, latitude/longitude, and heading for each IOP were recorded using a Ricoh Caplio 500SE 8 MP GPS camera. The IOPs were also drawn onto the 1:100,000 scale topographic maps for tracking purposes to ensure that each SQRU was thoroughly documented. A photograph log was used to document the number of photos per SQRU.

All SQRUs were named in the field based on a significant feature, drainage, or area. Numbers were added later when all the SQRUs were finalized to ensure that the reader could easily find specific units.

### GIS

All VRI GIS data was created in ArcView 9.3. The SQRUs drawn on the 1:100,000 scale topographic paper maps were made into a digital vector version by heads-up digitizing. Raster images were used as the background data and include: digital copies of the 1:100,000 scale topographic maps provided by the CCFO as well as Digital Raster Graphics (DRGS) and aerial imagery {National Agriculture Imagery Program (NAIP)} downloaded from the USDA Geospatial Data Gateway. Topology was validated by using the following ET GeoWizard functions: Clean Polygons, Clean Gaps, and Eliminate.

### Visual Resource Inventory Classes

To determine the final Visual Resource Inventory Classes, the Scenic Quality, Sensitivity Level and Distance Zone GIS layers are combined as per Visual Resource Inventory Manual H—8410-1 BLM Technical Note 407, with some modification. Each Layer is converted into a raster layer and then is reclassified as follows:

Scenic Quality Rating	Assigned Value
• A	500
• B	300
• C	100
• NR or Not Rated	0

---

Sensitivity Level Analysis	Assigned Value
• High	50
• Medium	30
• Low	10
• NR or Not Rated	0

Distance Zone	Assigned Value
• Foreground/midground	5
• NR or Not Rated	0

Special Areas	Assigned Value
Wilderness Areas	1,000
NR or Not Rated	0

The four raster layers are then combined and their values added.

- Values greater than or equal to 1,000 = Class I.
- Values greater than or equal to 355 but less than 1,000 = Class II.
- Values of 155, 355, and 353 = Class III.
- The value of 351 is Class III if it is adjacent to Class III, II, or I. If adjacent to Class IV, it is Class IV.
- All other values = Class IV.