

Summary of Analysis*

Area Unique Identifier: UT-C010-118

Summary

Results of analysis: (Note: explain the inventory findings for the entirety of the inventory unit. When wilderness characteristics have been identified in an area that is smaller than the size of the total inventory unit, explain why certain portions of the inventory unit are not included within the lands with wilderness characteristics (e.g. the inventory found that certain parts lacked naturalness).

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements? Yes No
2. Does the area appear to be natural? Yes No N/A
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? Yes No N/A
4. Does the area have supplemental values? Yes No N/A

Check one:

The area, or a portion of the area, has wilderness characteristics and is identified as lands with wilderness characteristics.

The area does not have wilderness characteristics.

Prepared by (team members):

Molly Galbraith (Range Management Specialist)

Sheri Whitfield (Wildlife Biologist)

June 28, 2011

Dave Jacobson, Outdoor Recreation Planner Date: 8-6/20-2013/6-23-2014 Reviewed & Updated

Reviewed by (District or Field Manager):

Name: Clayton R. Berglund Title: Field Manager

Date: 6/30/14

* This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-3.

Summary of Analysis*

Area Unique Identifier: UT-C010-118A, B&C

Summary

Results of analysis: (Note: explain the inventory findings for the entirety of the inventory unit. When wilderness characteristics have been identified in an area that is smaller than the size of the total inventory unit, explain why certain portions of the inventory unit are not included within the lands with wilderness characteristics (e.g. the inventory found that certain parts lacked naturalness).

1. Does the area meet any of the size requirements? Yes No

- UT-C010-118A-692 Acres
- UT-C010-118B-3,212 Acres
- UT-C010-118C-1,023 Acres

2. Does the area appear to be natural? Yes No N/A

3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? Yes No N/A

4. Does the area have supplemental values? Yes No N/A

Check one:

The area, or a portion of the area, has wilderness characteristics and is identified as lands with wilderness characteristics.

The area does not have wilderness characteristics.

Prepared by (team members):

Molly Galbraith (Range Management Specialist)

Sheri Whitfield (Wildlife Biologist) June 28, 2011

Dave Jacobson, Outdoor Recreation Planner Date: 8-6/20-2013/6-23-2014 Reviewed & Updated

(Reviewed by)(District or Field Manager):

Name: Erin L. R. Burdick Title: Field Manager

Date: 6/30/14

* This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-3.

Current Conditions: Presence or Absence of Wilderness Characteristics (Form 2)

Area Unique Identifier: UT-C010-118

Acreage: 8,711

(If the inventory area consists of subunits, list the acreage of each and evaluate each separately).

(1) Is the area of sufficient size? Yes No

Description (describe the boundaries of the area--wilderness inventory roads, property lines, etc.)

The initial inventory boundary was delineated through a GIS exercise based on roads, land ownership and Rights of Way. The road data were digitized by AGRC using ARC/Info from USGS 7.5 Minute quadrangle maps. Roads with codes (1-4, 1 Primary Route, 2 Secondary Route, 3 Primary Road and 4 Secondary Road) were used initially as wilderness inventory roads and field verified during the inventory process to determine if they met the FLPMA definition of a road. The boundary to the north is based on road L105262 and the Buckhorn Bench road. The eastern boundary is based on RT-079187 which was identified as a wilderness boundary road. The southern boundary is based on the Indian Peaks State Game Management Area boundary and RT-077134 which was identified as a wilderness boundary road. The western boundary is based on road L105271 and a state section.

(2) Does the area appear to be natural? Yes No N/A

Description (include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation, and summary of major human uses/activities)

The unit is comprised of BLM land and has two SITLA sections in the middle of it. This unit is located west of the Pine Valley road and is bounded by the Indian Peak road to the south and the Buckhorn Bench road to the east. Indian Peak is located immediately to the north of the unit. The unit is located approximately 36 miles southwest of Milford, Utah. The topography in the eastern half of the unit is relatively flat and does not offer the rugged relief found in the western portion. The area is incised by shallow drainages surrounded by sage-steppe habitat and pinyon-juniper. Many roads are well used and considered good two track roads within the unit. The unit contains a couple state sections, which have been treated in the past to reduce shrubs and pinyon-juniper while increasing perennial grass and forbs species. These treatments create large square patches of discontinuity on the landscape. The unit does not meet the naturalness criteria because of human developments. Motor vehicle routes, fence lines, water developments and tanks are prevalent in the area and are well used. The area does not appear untrammled by man due to intensive livestock grazing management, vegetation treatments and presence of numerous OHV routes. Dispersed camp sites are also common in the area.

(3) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes No N/A

Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for solitude):

(4) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation? Yes No N/A

Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation):

(5) Does the area have supplemental values (ecological, geological, or other features of scientific, educational, scenic or historical value)? Yes No N/A

Description:

Current Conditions: Presence or Absence of Wilderness Characteristics (Form 2)

Area Unique Identifier: UT-C010-118A

Acreage: 692

(If the inventory area consists of subunits, list the acreage of each and evaluate each separately).

(1) Is the area of sufficient size? Yes No

Description (describe the boundaries of the area--wilderness inventory roads, property lines, etc

The initial inventory boundary was delineated through a GIS exercise based on roads, land ownership and Rights of Way. The road data were digitized by AGRC using ARC/Info from USGS 7.5 Minute quadrangle maps. Roads with codes (1-4, 1 Primary Route, 2 Secondary Route, 3 Primary Road and 4 Secondary Road) were used initially as wilderness inventory roads and field verified during the inventory process to determine if they met the FLPMA definition of a road. The N&E boundary is based on RT-077134 which was identified as a wilderness boundary road. The Southern boundary is the Indian Peak State Game management Area. And the western boundary is road L105271 and L105258.

(2) Does the area appear to be natural? Yes No N/A

Description (include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation, and summary of major human uses/activities

(3) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes No N/A

Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for solitude):

(4) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation? Yes No N/A

Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation):

(5) Does the area have supplemental values (ecological, geological, or other features of scientific, educational, scenic or historical value)? Yes No N/A

Description:

Current Conditions: Presence or Absence of Wilderness Characteristics (Form 2)

Area Unique Identifier: UT-C010-118B

Acreage: 3,212

(If the inventory area consists of subunits, list the acreage of each and evaluate each separately).

(1) Is the area of sufficient size? Yes No

Description (describe the boundaries of the area--wilderness inventory roads, property lines, etc

The initial inventory boundary was delineated through a GIS exercise based on roads, land ownership and Rights of Way. The road data were digitized by AGRC using ARC/Info from USGS 7.5 Minute quadrangle maps. Roads with codes (1-4, 1 Primary Route, 2 Secondary Route, 3 Primary Road and 4 Secondary Road) were used initially as wilderness inventory roads and field verified during the inventory process to determine if they met the FLPMA definition of a road. This sub unit was created by RT-079187 which was identified as a wilderness boundary road. The N&E boundary is the Buckhorn Bench road. The Southern boundary is road L105162 and the western boundary is the Indian Peak State management Area.

(2) Does the area appear to be natural? Yes No N/A

Description (include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation, and summary of major human uses/activities

(3) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes No N/A

Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for solitude):

(4) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation? Yes No N/A

Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation):

(5) Does the area have supplemental values (ecological, geological, or other features of scientific, educational, scenic or historical value)? Yes No N/A

Description:

Current Conditions: Presence or Absence of Wilderness Characteristics (Form 2)

Area Unique Identifier: UT-C010-118C

Acreage: 1,023

(If the inventory area consists of subunits, list the acreage of each and evaluate each separately).

(1) Is the area of sufficient size? Yes No

Description (describe the boundaries of the area--wilderness inventory roads, property lines, etc

The initial inventory boundary was delineated through a GIS exercise based on roads, land ownership and Rights of Way. The road data were digitized by AGRC using ARC/Info from USGS 7.5 Minute quadrangle maps. Roads with codes (1-4, 1 Primary Route, 2 Secondary Route, 3 Primary Road and 4 Secondary Road) were used initially as wilderness inventory roads and field verified during the inventory process to determine if they met the FLPMA definition of a road. The northern boundary is based on RT-L105273 which was identified as a wilderness boundary road. The eastern boundary is the Indian Peaks State Game management Area. The southern boundary is private land and the western boundary is road L105271.

(2) Does the area appear to be natural? Yes No N/A

Description (include land ownership, location, topography, vegetation, and summary of major human uses/activities

(3) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for solitude?

Yes No N/A


Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for solitude):

(4) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation? Yes No N/A

Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation):

(5) Does the area have supplemental values (ecological, geological, or other features of scientific, educational, scenic or historical value)? Yes No N/A

Description:



- No Wilderness Characteristics
- Lands With Wilderness Characteristics



Land Status


- Bureau of Land Management
- Indian Reservation
- National Park Service
- Private
- State
- State, County, City, Wildlife & Rec
- US Forest Service
- USFS Wilderness Area

This product may not meet BLM standards for accuracy and content. Different data sources and input scales may cause some misalignment of data layers.

Projection: UTM, Zone 12 North
 Datum: North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83)
 Cedar City Field Office, GIS
 November 27, 2012

Map Scale 1:68,749






- No Wilderness Characteristics
- Lands With Wilderness Characteristics
- Range Improvement
- Range Improvement
- Roads & Routes

- Land Status**
- Bureau of Land Management
 - Indian Reservation
 - National Park Service
 - Private
 - State
 - State, County, City, Wildlife & Rec
 - US Forest Service
 - USFS Wilderness Area

This product may not meet BLM standards for accuracy and content. Different data sources and input scales may cause some misalignment of data layers.

Projection: UTM, Zone 12 North
Datum: North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83)
Cedar City Field Office, GIS
November 27, 2012

Map Scale 1:68,749











**WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY
APPENDIX C – ROUTE ANALYSIS¹**

Factors to consider when determining whether a route is a road² for wilderness characteristics inventory purposes

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: UT-C010-118

Route or Route Segment³ Name and/or Identifier: RT 079187

(Include Transportation Plan Identifier, if known, and include route number supplied by citizen information, when available.)

I. LOCATION: Refer to BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log: 780, 781, 782, 783, 3928, 3929

Describe: Constructed Road

GPS-UTM
(start of route)
N 4247426
E 0255608

GPS-UTM
(End of route)
N 42409196
E 255171

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose⁴ (if any) of Route: (Examples: Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Ranching and recreation

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means:

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked "yes" below)

No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked "no" below)

A.1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: Tree stumps are absent from the vehicle tracks and the route travels through heavily treed areas where stumps would be expected. These stumps were likely removed using mechanical means.

A.2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If "yes": by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers

Other

Describe:

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?):

Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked "yes" below)

No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked "no" below)

B.1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery?

Yes No

If "yes": by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: Route likely maintained by use

B.2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: Maintenance using mechanical methods or hand tools would likely be approved if the route became impassable. Route provides access to interior of unit for livestock permittees, hunters, etc.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).⁶

Describe: Route travels through a heavily treed area. Stumps, trees roots and large rocks are absent from the route. New and old tire tracks present and vegetation is absent from the vehicle tracks as well as the center between wheel tracks.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment⁷ meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road No = Not a road for wilderness inventory purposes

Explanations: This route was not used as boundary of the citizen proposed area (Antelope Wash). The route provides primary access to the area, which is heavily used by hunters, OHV users and livestock permittees.

Evaluator(s): Molly Galbraith

Date: June 28th, 2011

Evaluator(s): Craig Egerton

Date: June 28th, 2011

Evaluator(s): Sheri Whitfield

Date: June 28th, 2011

Evaluator(s): Jack Sathe

Date: June 28th, 2011

¹ This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-3.

² Road: An access route which has been improved and maintained by mechanical means to insure relatively regular and continuous use. A way maintained solely by the passage of vehicles does not constitute a road.

a. Improved and maintained – Actions taken physically by people to keep the road open to vehicle traffic. “Improved” does not necessarily mean formal construction. “Maintained” does not necessarily mean annual maintenance.

b. Mechanical means – Use of hand or power machinery or tools.

c. Relatively regular and continuous use – Vehicular use that has occurred and will continue to occur on a relatively regular basis. Examples are: access roads for equipment to maintain a stock water tank or other established water sources, access roads to maintained recreation sites or facilities, or access roads to mining claims.

3 If a portion of a route is found to meet the wilderness inventory road criteria (see Part III) and the remainder does not meet these criteria (e.g., a cherrystem road with a primitive route continuing beyond a certain point), identify each segment and explain the rationale for the separate findings under pertinent criteria.

4. The purpose of a route is not a deciding factor in determining whether a route is a road for wilderness characteristics inventory purposes. The purpose of a route does provide context for factors on which such a determination may be based, particularly the question of whether maintenance of the route ensures relatively regular and continuous use. The purpose also helps to determine whether maintenance that may so far have been unnecessary to ensure such use would be approved by BLM when the need arises.

5 Good condition would be a condition that ensures regular and continuous use relative to the purposes of the route. Consider whether the route can be clearly followed in the field over its entire course and whether all or any portion of the route contains any impediments to travel.

6 Include estimate of travel rates for the stated purposes, e.g., trips/day or week or month or season or year or even multiple years in some facility maintenance cases.

7 If part of the route meets the wilderness inventory road definition and the remainder does not, describe the segment meeting the definition and any remaining portion not meeting the definition and why.

8 Describe and explain rationale for any discrepancies with citizen proposals.

**WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY
APPENDIX C – ROUTE ANALYSIS¹**

Factors to consider when determining whether a route is a road² for wilderness characteristics inventory purposes

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: UT-C010-118

Route or Route Segment³ Name and/or Identifier: L105773

(Include Transportation Plan Identifier, if known, and include route number supplied by citizen information, when available.)

I. LOCATION: Refer to BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Constructed Road

GPS-UTM
(start of route)
N 4240927
E 248068

GPS-UTM
(End of route)
N 4241055
E 247591

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose⁴ (if any) of Route: (Examples: Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Ranching, recreation and access to private land

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means:

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked "yes" below)

No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked "no" below)

A.1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: Berms on the side of the road.

A.2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If "yes": by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers

Other

Describe:

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?):

Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked "yes" below)

No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked "no" below)

B.1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery?

Yes No

If "yes": by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: Route likely maintained by use and equipment when needed.

B.2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: Maintenance using mechanical methods or hand tools would likely be approved if the route became impassable. Route provides access to interior of unit for livestock permittees, hunters, private land, etc.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).⁶

Describe: Route travels through a heavily treed area. Stumps, trees roots and large rocks are absent from the route. New and old tire tracks present and vegetation is absent from the vehicle tracks as well as the center between wheel tracks.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment⁷ meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road No = Not a road for wilderness inventory purposes

Explanations: This route was used as the southern boundary of the citizen proposed area (Antelope Wash).

Evaluator(s): Dave Jacobson

Date: August 20, 2013

Evaluator(s): Sheri Whitfield

Date: August 20, 2013

¹ This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-3.

² Road: An access route which has been improved and maintained by mechanical means to insure relatively regular and continuous use. A way maintained solely by the passage of vehicles does not constitute a road.

a. Improved and maintained – Actions taken physically by people to keep the road open to vehicle traffic. “Improved” does not necessarily mean formal construction. “Maintained” does not necessarily mean annual maintenance.

b. Mechanical means – Use of hand or power machinery or tools.

c. Relatively regular and continuous use – Vehicular use that has occurred and will continue to occur on a relatively regular basis. Examples are: access roads for equipment to maintain a stock water tank or other established water sources, access roads to maintained recreation sites or facilities, or access roads to mining claims.

3 If a portion of a route is found to meet the wilderness inventory road criteria (see Part III) and the remainder does not meet these criteria (e.g., a cherrystem road with a primitive route continuing beyond a certain point), identify each segment and explain the rationale for the separate findings under pertinent criteria.

4. The purpose of a route is not a deciding factor in determining whether a route is a road for wilderness characteristics inventory purposes. The purpose of a route does provide context for factors on which such a determination may be based, particularly the question of whether maintenance of the route ensures relatively regular and continuous use. The purpose also helps to determine whether maintenance that may so far have been unnecessary to ensure such use would be approved by BLM when the need arises.

5 Good condition would be a condition that ensures regular and continuous use relative to the purposes of the route. Consider whether the route can be clearly followed in the field over its entire course and whether all or any portion of the route contains any impediments to travel.

6 Include estimate of travel rates for the stated purposes, e.g., trips/day or week or month or season or year or even multiple years in some facility maintenance cases.

7 If part of the route meets the wilderness inventory road definition and the remainder does not, describe the segment meeting the definition and any remaining portion not meeting the definition and why.

8 Describe and explain rationale for any discrepancies with citizen proposals.

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY
APPENDIX C – ROUTE ANALYSIS¹

Factors to consider when determining whether a route is a road² for wilderness characteristics inventory purposes

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: UT-C010-118

Route or Route Segment³ Name and/or Identifier: RT077134

(Include Transportation Plan Identifier, if known, and include route number supplied by citizen information, when available.)

I. LOCATION: Refer to BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Constructed Road

GPS-UTM
(start of route)
N 4242270
E 249971

GPS-UTM
(End of route)
N 4243659
E 248565

II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose⁴ (if any) of Route: (Examples: Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Ranching, recreation and access to private and state land

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):

1. Is there a ROW associated with this route?

Yes No Unknown

2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?

3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose?

Yes No Unknown

Explain:

III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means:

Yes (if either A.1 *or* A.2 is checked "yes" below)

No (if both A.1 *and* A.2 are checked "no" below)

A.1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes No

Examples: Paved Bladed Graveled Roadside Berms Cut/Fill Other

Describe: Tree stumps are absent from the vehicle tracks and the route travels through heavily treed areas where stumps would be expected. These stumps were likely removed using mechanical means.

A.2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes No If "yes": by Hand Tools by Machine

Examples: Culverts Hardened Stream Crossings Bridges Drainage Barriers

Other

Describe:

B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure *relatively* regular and continuous use?):

Yes (if either B.1 *or* B.2 is checked "yes" below)

No (if both B.1 *and* B.2 are checked "no" below)

B.1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery?

Yes No

If "yes": by Hand Tools by Machine

Explain: Route likely maintained by use, trees cut out of the route and trees limbed along the route.

B.2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes No

Explain: Maintenance using mechanical methods or hand tools would likely be approved if the route became impassable. Route provides access to interior of unit for livestock permittees, hunters, etc.

C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes No

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).⁶

Describe: Route travels through a heavily treed area. Stumps, trees roots and large rocks are absent from the route. New and old tire tracks present and vegetation is absent from the vehicle tracks as well as the center between wheel tracks.

IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment⁷ meet the definition of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?

Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road No = Not a road for wilderness inventory purposes

Explanations: This route was not used as a boundary for the citizen proposed area (Antelope Wash) though the western side of the route was cherry stemmed by the proposal.

Evaluator(s): Dave Jacobson

Date: August 20, 2013

Evaluator(s): Sheri Whitfield

Date: August 20, 2013

1 This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-3.

2 Road: An access route which has been improved and maintained by mechanical means to insure relatively regular and continuous use. A way maintained solely by the passage of vehicles does not constitute a road.

a. Improved and maintained – Actions taken physically by people to keep the road open to vehicle traffic. “Improved” does not necessarily mean formal construction. “Maintained” does not necessarily mean annual maintenance.

b. Mechanical means – Use of hand or power machinery or tools.

c. Relatively regular and continuous use – Vehicular use that has occurred and will continue to occur on a relatively regular basis. Examples are: access roads for equipment to maintain a stock water tank or other established water sources, access roads to maintained recreation sites or facilities, or access roads to mining claims.

3 If a portion of a route is found to meet the wilderness inventory road criteria (see Part III) and the remainder does not meet these criteria (e.g., a cherrystem road with a primitive route continuing beyond a certain point), identify each segment and explain the rationale for the separate findings under pertinent criteria.

4. The purpose of a route is not a deciding factor in determining whether a route is a road for wilderness characteristics inventory purposes. The purpose of a route does provide context for factors on which such a determination may be based, particularly the question of whether maintenance of the route ensures relatively regular and continuous use. The purpose also helps to determine whether maintenance that may so far have been unnecessary to ensure such use would be approved by BLM when the need arises.

5 Good condition would be a condition that ensures regular and continuous use relative to the purposes of the route. Consider whether the route can be clearly followed in the field over its entire course and whether all or any portion of the route contains any impediments to travel.

6 Include estimate of travel rates for the stated purposes, e.g., trips/day or week or month or season or year or even multiple years in some facility maintenance cases.

7 If part of the route meets the wilderness inventory road definition and the remainder does not, describe the segment meeting the definition and any remaining portion not meeting the definition and why.

8 Describe and explain rationale for any discrepancies with citizen proposals.

FORM 1

Documentation of BLM Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Findings from Previous Inventory on Record

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness characteristics inventory information on all or part of this area?

No (Go to Form 2)

Yes (If yes, and if more than one area is within the area, list the unique identifiers for those areas.): UT-040-118

a) Inventory Source: BLM Utah Initial Wilderness Inventory Proposal April 1979

b) Inventory Area Unique Identifier(s): UT-040-118 (1979) & (UT-C010-118, 118A, 118B & 118C) (2014)

c) Map Name(s)/Number(s):

GisData\ut\cc\projects\Wilderness\Wilderness_Review\layers_final\MasterInventoryUnits_Final.gdb (Updated 2014 Inventory) & Wilderness Inventory State of Utah, US Government Printing Office 1979 678-663

d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Cedar City

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory area is associated with the area, list each area and answer each question individually for each inventory area):

Inventory Source: BLM Utah Initial Wilderness Inventory Proposal April 1979

Area Unique Identifier	Sufficient Size? Yes/No (acres)	Naturalness? Yes/No	Outstanding Solitude? Yes/No	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Yes/No	Supplemental Values? Yes/No
UT-040-118	Yes	No	N/A	N/A	N/A

Inventory Notes: Subunit UT-C010-118C was not included in the 1979 initial inventory