

## **APPENDIX U.**

### **CHANGES BETWEEN MOAB DRAFT RMP/EIS AND MOAB PROPOSED RMP/FINAL EIS**

---

This Appendix presents the changes that the BLM has made between the Draft RMP/Draft EIS and Proposed RMP/Final EIS. The BLM has prepared this Appendix to document if changes between the Draft RMP/Draft EIS and the Proposed RMP/Final EIS resulted in a significant change in circumstances or conditions, or if the Proposed RMP/Final EIS contains different information from that which was presented to the public in the Draft RMP/Draft EIS. Finally, the BLM wanted to confirm that all changes made to the Proposed RMP/Final EIS fall within the range of alternatives presented and analyzed in the Draft RMP/Draft EIS.

The regulation controlling whether or not a supplement is required is found at 40 CFR 1502.9(c), which provides:

*Agencies:*

- (1) Shall prepare supplements to either draft or final environmental impact statements if:
  - (i) The agency makes substantial changes in the proposed action that are relevant to environmental concerns; or*
  - (ii) There are significant new circumstances or information relevant to environmental concerns and bearing on the proposed action or its impact.**
- (2) May also prepare supplements when the agency determines that the purposes of the Act will be furthered by doing so.*
- (3) Shall adopt procedures for introducing a supplement into its formal administrative record, if such a record exists.*
- (4) Shall prepare, circulate, and file a supplement to a statement in the same fashion (exclusive of scoping) as a draft and final statement unless alternative procedures are approved by the Council.*

All changes to the Moab Field Office Draft RMP/Draft EIS were made in response to public comment and/or internal review. The majority of the changes were editorial changes made to add clarity to the document. In some cases, alternatives presented in the Draft RMP/Draft EIS were modified in the Proposed RMP to reflect technical corrections and data updates. In other cases, such as in Chapter 3, incorporation of updated information was necessary to refine the analysis in Chapter 4 that was incomplete or needed augmentation.

None of the changes detailed in Appendix U meet the regulatory definition for significance in 40 CFR 1508.27(a) and (b). These regulations require an agency preparing a NEPA document to review the changes for significant new circumstances or information relevant to environmental concerns and bearing on the Proposed Plan or its impacts, using context and intensity as the trigger for significance. BLM has reviewed each substantive change through this regulatory standard and has determined that none of the changes, individually or collectively, require a supplement to this Final EIS.

**Table 1. List of Changes Between Draft RMP/EIS and Proposed RMP/Final EIS Moab Field Office Resource Management Plan**

Description of Changes	Location in DRMP/EIS	Approximate Location in PRMP/FEIS
<b>Table of Contents</b>		
Expand Table of Contents to include listed resources in Table 2.1.	p. iv	~p. iv
<b>Executive Summary</b>		
Correct number of segments and number of rivers recommended as suitable in Wild and Scenic Rivers summary in Executive Summary.	ES-5	~ES-5
Correct mileage in Table ES2 (Designated Routes by Alternative).	ES-6	~ES-6
Correct number of segments and number of rivers recommended as suitable in Wild and Scenic Rivers Table ES4.	ES-6	~ES-6
<b>Changes Made Throughout Document</b>		
Change "deer and elk habitat" to deer and/or elk habitat.	Throughout document	Throughout document
Change "trail" to "route" when referring to motorized travel.	Throughout document	Throughout document
Change "critical" wildlife habitat to "crucial" wildlife habitat where habitat is not for Threatened, Endangered, or Candidate species.	Throughout document	Throughout document
Reword bald eagle references, as bald eagle is now delisted. Bald eagles remain Federally protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (based on U.S. Fish and Wildlife comment).	Throughout document	Throughout document
<b>Chapter 1</b>		
Add "water rights" to valid existing rights.	p. 1-13	~p. 1-13
Update title and date of MOU with U.S. Forest Service regarding oil and gas leasing.	p. 1-16	~p. 1-16
Update references to 2007 Vegetation EIS.	p. 1-17	~p. 1-17
Add section in Chapter 1 summarizing changes to document, including information on UDWR wildlife habitats, and why they differ between 1985 and the present.	p. 1-17	~p. 1-18 to 1-21

**Table 1. List of Changes Between Draft RMP/EIS and Proposed RMP/Final EIS Moab  
Field Office Resource Management Plan**

Description of Changes	Location in DRMP/EIS	Approximate Location in PRMP/FEIS
<b>Chapter 2</b>		
(Changes between the preferred alternative of the DRMP/EIS and the proposed alternative of the PRMP/FEIS)		
<b>Summary of Alternatives:</b> Clarification of types of status for special status species (based on USFWS comment).	p. 2-5	~p. 2-5
<b>Summary of Alternatives:</b> Italicize and asterisk implementation level decisions throughout Chapter 2.	p. 2-6	~p. 2-6
<b>Air Quality:</b> Add five air quality objectives clarifying the BLM's responsibilities, based on coordination of Utah Division of Air Quality.	p. 2-7	~p. 2-7
<b>Cultural Resources:</b> Add decision regarding development of implementation -level cultural management plans within the Labyrinth, Colorado Riverway and South Moab SRMAs.	p. 2-7	~p. 2-8
<b>Cultural Resources:</b> Clarify the definition of a "new route" for the Class III cultural resources inventory requirement.	p. 2-7	~p. 2-7
<b>Cultural Resources:</b> Delete decision concerning placing of sheep camps near eligible cultural sites because the decision is not needed.	p. 2-7	Deleted from p. 2-7
<b>Cultural Resources:</b> Delete decision on cultural resource allocation percentages (scientific, conservation, traditional, public use etc. This deletion is in response to comment from the Hopi Tribe.	p. 2-8	Deleted from p. 2-8
<b>Cultural Resources:</b> Delete decision prioritizing National Register nominations as this decision is unnecessary because all are important.	p. 2-8	Deleted from p. 2-8
<b>Cultural Resources:</b> Delete "scientific" from description of cultural restoration because this term is too restrictive.	p. 2-8	Term deleted from p. 2-8
<b>Lands and Realty:</b> Clarify the decision to merge two utility corridors rather than to eliminate a corridor.	p. 2-11	~p. 2-11
<b>Lands and Realty:</b> Reword decision recognizing working with the State of Utah on Land Tenure Adjustments with wording that more strongly states the priority of SITLA exchanges (based on State of Utah comment).	p. 2-11	~p. 2-11
<b>Lands and Realty:</b> Add decision on providing reasonable access to SITLA lands (Utah v. Andrus). This addition is based on State of Utah comment.	p. 2-11	~p. 2-11
<b>Livestock Grazing:</b> Add Pear Park to the list of allotments not available for livestock grazing in the Proposed Plan (in response to the State of Utah's comment). This allotment has been unavailable for grazing since the time of the 1985 Grand RMP. UDWR wishes to continue its unavailable status to benefit wildlife habitat in the Bookcliffs.	p. 2-12	~p. 2-13

**Table 1. List of Changes Between Draft RMP/EIS and Proposed RMP/Final EIS Moab  
Field Office Resource Management Plan**

Description of Changes	Location in DRMP/EIS	Approximate Location in PRMP/FEIS
<b>Livestock Grazing:</b> Add Ida Gulch to the list of allotments not available for livestock grazing in the Proposed Plan (based on USFWS comment). Over ninety-two percent of this allotment is within Jones cycladenia habitat (a threatened plant).	p. 2-12	~p. 2-13
<b>Livestock Grazing:</b> Clarify the difference between the two grazing allotments named "Spring Creek". These two allotments have been given differing names and described as such.	p. 2-12	~p. 2-13
<b>Livestock Grazing:</b> Delete Day Canyon from the "Grazing in Riparian Areas" list because Day Canyon is currently not grazed. Day Canyon's grazing status remains not grazed under the Proposed Plan.	p. 2-13	Deleted from p. 2-13
<b>Minerals:</b> Add language for implementation for Air Quality control measures from Utah Division of Air Quality (see Appendix V)	p. 2-15	~p. 2-15
<b>Non-WSA Lands with Wilderness Characteristics:</b> Correct minor acreage discrepancies for non-WSA lands with wilderness characteristics in Alternative B.	p. 2-16	~p. 2-16
<b>Recreation:</b> Add decision to manage the newly-federally designated Fisher Towers Trail as a National Recreation Trail.	p. 2-17	~p. 2-17
<b>Recreation:</b> Clarify language regarding types of SRMAs and Focus Area boundaries.	p. 2-18	~p. 2-18
<b>Recreation:</b> Clarify language regarding boating management along the "Daily" section of the Colorado River (Colorado River SRMA). Private use restrictions could be considered if unacceptable resource damage occurs.	p. 2-22	~p. 2-22
<b>Recreation:</b> Change "including guides" to "excluding guides" for determining maximum group size number for permits on the Dolores River (Dolores River Canyons SRMA). This was a typographical error.	p. 2-22	~p. 2-22
<b>Recreation:</b> Clarify authority for potential user fee in the White Wash Sand Dunes Open OHV area (Labyrinth Rims/Gemini Bridges SRMA).	p. 2-25	~p. 2-25
<b>Recreation:</b> Change "including guides" to "excluding guides" for determining maximum group size number for permits on Westwater Canyon (Two Rivers SRMA). This was a typographical error.	p. 2-28	~p. 2-28
<b>Recreation:</b> Clarify definition of "group" for determining group size for Special Recreation Permits.	p. 2-30	~p. 2-30
<b>Riparian:</b> Delete "limit livestock use in Cisco Allotment to 1,149 AUMs" from Riparian section. Forage allocation decisions are made during the permit renewal process.	p. 2-31	Deleted from p. 2-31
<b>Riparian:</b> Correct the inclusion of Bogart and Beaver Creek from streams where livestock grazing would not be authorized in the all action alternatives to be consistent with the Proposed Plan decisions under Livestock Grazing.	p. 2-31	Deleted from p. 2-31

**Table 1. List of Changes Between Draft RMP/EIS and Proposed RMP/Final EIS Moab  
Field Office Resource Management Plan**

Description of Changes	Location in DRMP/EIS	Approximate Location in PRMP/FEIS
<b>Riparian:</b> Under grazing actions within the Proposed Plan, delete Day Canyon from priority list for riparian evaluation because Day Canyon is not currently grazed.	p. 2-31	Deleted from p. 2-31
<b>Soil and Water:</b> Add exception language to the soils decision to allow no new OHV routes in saline soils. New motorcycle routes would be considered in saline soils within the Utah Rims SRMA and the Dee Pass Motorized Focus Area.	p. 2-32	~p. 2-32
<b>Special Designations – Areas of Critical Environmental Concern:</b> Delete decision on voluntary relinquishment of grazing within the Ten Mile Wash ACEC, which is designated in the Proposed Plan. Livestock relinquishment is managed under current policy.	p. 2-37	Deleted from p. 2-37
<b>Special Designations – Wild and Scenic Rivers:</b> Add objectives on Wild and Scenic Rivers regarding working with cooperating agencies for future recommendations to Congress.	p. 2-39	~p. 2-40
<b>Special Designations – Wild and Scenic Rivers:</b> Language added to clarify management of the Salt Wash segment until a suitability determination is made by Arches National Park.	p. 2-40	~p. 2-44
<b>Special Designations – Wild and Scenic Rivers:</b> For each suitable Wild and Scenic River segment, insert oil and gas leasing category, OHV designation, and VRM class for that segment of river to display management easily.	p. 2-40 to 2-42	~p. 2-40 to 2-45
<b>Special Designations – Wild and Scenic Rivers:</b> In the Proposed Plan, change classification of Segment 2 of the Colorado River from “Recreational” to “Scenic” to be consistent with the decision made by the Monticello Field Office for the opposite side of the river.	p. 2-40	~p. 2-41
<b>Special Designations – Wild and Scenic Rivers:</b> In the Proposed Plan, change classification of Segment 1 of the Green River from “Scenic” to “Wild” and change segment 2 of the Green River from “Scenic” to “Recreational” to be consistent with the decision made by the Price Field Office for the opposite side of the river	p. 2-41	~p. 2-42
<b>Special Designations – Wilderness and Wilderness Study Areas:</b> Reword language to clarify the decision to require a plan amendment should Congress release a WSA.	p. 2-43	~p. 2-45
<b>Special Designations – Wilderness and Wilderness Study Areas:</b> Delete 1.4 miles of inventoried route within the Westwater WSA for the Proposed Plan.	p. 2-44	Deleted from p. 2-45
<b>Special Status Species:</b> Add reference to Conservation Measures from the Biological Opinion for the Utah BLM Land use Plan Amendments and Fire Management Plans	p. 2-44	~p. 2-46
<b>Special Status Species:</b> Clarify that mitigation of unavoidable habitat loss for Special Status Species is necessary when required by policy or law.	p. 2-44	~p. 2-46

**Table 1. List of Changes Between Draft RMP/EIS and Proposed RMP/Final EIS Moab  
Field Office Resource Management Plan**

Description of Changes	Location in DRMP/EIS	Approximate Location in PRMP/FEIS
<b>Special Status Species:</b> Add and/or clarify management actions to protect the California Condor and Jones <i>Cycladenia</i> .	p. 2- 46	~p. 2-48
<b>Special Status Species:</b> Change lek buffer in the Proposed Plan from 0.5 miles to 2.0 miles for greater sage grouse. Changes in analysis also made in Chapter 4.	p. 2-46	~p. 2-48
<b>Special Status Species:</b> Change lek buffer in the Proposed Plan from 0.6 miles to 2.0 miles for Gunnison sage grouse. Changes in analysis also made in Chapter 4.	p. 2-46	~p. 2-48
<b>Special Status Species:</b> Add that sagebrush habitat reclamation is a recommendation from BLM, not a requirement.	p. 2-46, 2-51	~p. 2-48
<b>Special Status Species:</b> Remove reclamation ratios for Gunnison and Greater Sage-grouse to be in conformance with current policy.. Change avoidance area of leks from 6 miles to 4 miles. Changes in analysis also made in Chapter 4.	p. 2-47	~p. 2-49
<b>Special Status Species:</b> Correct Gunnison prairie dog colony buffer: number should be 660 feet, not 1,300 feet.	p. 2-48	~p. 2-50
<b>Travel Management:</b> In the Travel Management section, add wording stating that 1) designated routes would be available regardless of other management actions, 2) public disclosure regarding new closures and restrictions, and 3) the availability of managed open areas for SRP use.	p. 2-48	~p. 2-50
<b>Travel Management:</b> Add decision to all alternatives citing regulations to be followed in implementation and preparation of travel management plans.	p. 2-48	~p. 2-50
<b>Travel Management:</b> Adjust acreage of open area in the White Wash Sand Dunes (adding approximately 20 acres) to allow for additional dispersed camping in this area. Remove less than 10 acres to provide buffer for private land owner.	p. 2-48	~p. 2-50
<b>Travel Management:</b> Correct miles of motorcycle route in each of the alternatives, including the Proposed Plan. Add Mel's Loop motorcycle route (approximately 20 miles) to the Proposed Plan.	p. 2-48	~p. 2-50
<b>Travel Management:</b> Clarify language regarding adding routes to the mountain bike only route system. Add that NEPA analysis would be done to determine if routes unavailable for motorized travel would be converted to bike routes.	p. 2-49	~p. 2-51
<b>Vegetation:</b> Update reference to Vegetation EIS	p. 2-50	~p. 2-52
<b>Vegetation:</b> Remove ratios for mitigation in accordance with current policy.	p. 2-51	~p. 2-53
<b>Wildlife and Fisheries:</b> Replace decision on off-site mitigation to be consistent with current policy.	p. 2-53	~p. 2-55
<b>Wildlife and Fisheries:</b> Add clarification about accommodation of minor UDWR crucial habitat adjustments through plan maintenance.	p. 2-53	~p. 2-55

**Table 1. List of Changes Between Draft RMP/EIS and Proposed RMP/Final EIS Moab  
Field Office Resource Management Plan**

Description of Changes	Location in DRMP/EIS	Approximate Location in PRMP/FEIS
<b>Impacts Summary Table – Cultural Resources:</b> Clarify language that acreage within the SRMA would result in a reduction in long term impacts.	p. 2-60	~p. 2-62
<b>Impacts Summary Table – Minerals Resources:</b> Clarify language to clearly label the types of stipulations being discussed.	p. 2-65	~p. 2-57
<b>Impacts Summary Table – Non-WSA Lands with Wilderness Characteristics:</b> Clarify the values of "wilderness characteristics".	p. 2-67	~p. 2-69
<b>Impacts Summary Table – Socioeconomics:</b> Add and update information on severance and property taxes. Add information on impacts of Lands and Realty. Correct acreage of ACECs excluded from oil and gas development; correct conclusions regarding Alt B (Wild and Scenic Rivers) on socioeconomics. Include travel management decisions and their effect on socioeconomics.	p. 2-79	~p. 2-81
<b>Impacts Summary Table – Special Designations - Areas of Critical Environmental Concern:</b> The potential impacts to all ACECs not included in the Proposed Plan is changed to state that the relevant and important values would largely be protected by other proposed management actions.	p. 2-83	~p. 2-84
<b>Impacts Summary Table – Special Designations - Areas of Critical Environmental Concern:</b> Correct white-tailed prairie dog buffer (660 feet, not 600 feet).	p. 2-84	~p. 2-86
<b>Impacts Summary Table – Special Status Species:</b> Correct reference to another alternative.	p. 2-95, 2-96	~p. 2-99
<b>Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Analysis:</b> Add "Livestock Grazing Adjustments Alternative" in response to public comment.	p. 2-107	~p. 2-109
<b>Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Analysis:</b> Add "No Leasing Alternative" in response to public comment.	p. 2-107	~p. 2-109
<b>Chapter 3</b>		
<b>Air Quality:</b> Updated air quality section.	throughout section	throughout section
<b>Air Quality:</b> Add information on global climate change to Chapter 3.	p. 3-2	~p. 3-2
<b>Air Quality:</b> Add and update ozone data from the Island in the Sky District of Canyonlands National Park to Chapter 3.	p. 3-5: Table 3.2	~p. 3-6
<b>Cultural Resources:</b> Add information from the Analysis of Management Situation regarding adverse impacts to cultural resources from a variety of actions.	p. 3-19	~p. 3-20
<b>Lands and Realty:</b> Add information on SITLA lands to Chapter 3.	p. 3-28	~p. 3-30
<b>Lands and Realty:</b> Add "fulfillment of State of Utah selections" to disposal criteria in Chapter 3.	p. 3-29	~p. 3-31

**Table 1. List of Changes Between Draft RMP/EIS and Proposed RMP/Final EIS Moab  
Field Office Resource Management Plan**

Description of Changes	Location in DRMP/EIS	Approximate Location in PRMP/FEIS
<b>Non-WSA Lands with Wilderness Characteristics:</b> Add information on road set-backs along boundaries of non-WSA lands with wilderness characteristics. Road set-backs were specified in drawing boundaries of non-WSA lands with wilderness characteristics. Added clarification regarding 5,000-acre guideline.	p. 3-65	~p. 3-69
<b>Paleontological Resources:</b> Add statement that increased access can result in increased theft of paleontological resources.	p. 3-69	~p. 3-73
<b>Recreation:</b> Add source of information regarding recreation user conflicts listed in Chapter 3.	p. 3-86	~p. 3-90
<b>Socioeconomics:</b> Add Utah State University social survey results for Grand County to social and economic description of Grand County in Chapter 3.	p. 3-98	~p. 3-102
<b>Socioeconomics:</b> Add information on Grand County jobs in the recreation job sector to Social and Economic description of Grand County in Chapter 3.	p. 3-105	~p. 3-110
<b>Socioeconomics:</b> Update oil and gas production figures in Chapter 3 to include the years 2000 to 2007. Change name of figure to reflect update. Add reference to source of information.	p. 3-113	~p. 3-118
<b>Soil and Water:</b> Correct factual information on watersheds in Chapter 3.	p. 3-117	~p. 3-122 – p. 127
<b>Soil and Water:</b> Expand Municipal Watershed heading to include “Sole Source Aquifers”.	p. 3-117	~p. 3-122
<b>Soil and Water:</b> Correct listing of water systems by municipality.	p. 3-117	~p. 3-122
<b>Soil and Water:</b> Expand descriptions of types of soils in the planning area.	p. 3-119, 3-120	~p. 3-124
<b>Soil and Water:</b> Expand descriptions of surface water in the planning area.	p. 3-121, 3-122	~p. 3-122
<b>Special Designation – Areas of Critical Environmental Concern:</b> Remove erroneous reference to the presence of the clay reed mustard in the Bookcliffs Potential ACEC.	p. 3-125	Deleted from p. 3-130
<b>Special Designation – Areas of Critical Environmental Concern:</b> Correct sensitive species plant list in Colorado River Potential ACEC.	p. 3-127	~p. 3-132
<b>Special Designation – Areas of Critical Environmental Concern:</b> Reword the paragraph on the relevance of the Cottonwood-Diamond Potential ACEC for clarity of understanding.	p. 3-128	~p. 3-133
<b>Special Status Species –</b> Added information on the California Condor		~p. 3-149 and ~3-151
<b>Wildlife and Fisheries:</b> Update UDWR Wildlife Management Goals and Current Estimates for Mule Deer, Rocky Mountain Elk, Bighorn Sheep, and Pronghorn, using current UDWR data (Tables 3.53, 3.56, 3.57, 3.59 and 3.60).	p. 3-170, 3-171, 3-172, 3-175, 3- 176	~p. 3-175, 3- 176, 3-177, 3-180, 3-181

**Table 1. List of Changes Between Draft RMP/EIS and Proposed RMP/Final EIS Moab  
Field Office Resource Management Plan**

Description of Changes	Location in DRMP/EIS	Approximate Location in PRMP/FEIS
<b>Chapter 4</b>		
<b>Throughout Chapter 4:</b> Add miles of route designated or not designated for motorized travel due to identified resource conflicts, by alternative, and by resource.	Cultural: 4-37, 4-41, 4-46, Table 4.14 Recreation: 4-234, 4-235, 4- 236 Riparian: 4-245, 4-246 Soils: 4-294, 4-295 Wildlife: 4-472, 4-473	Cultural: ~p.4-37, 4- 41. 4-46 Table 4.14 Recreation: ~4-235, 4- 236, 4-237 Riparian: ~4-247, 4- 248 Soils: ~4-299, 4- 300 Wildlife: ~4-478, 4- 479
<b>Throughout Chapter 4:</b> Change wording to reflect that limited new OHV routes would be allowed on saline soils rather than no routes.	p. 4-139, 4-298, 4-421	~p. 4-139, 4- 303, 4-426
<b>Assumptions and Methodology for Minerals Development Impacts:</b> Change analytical assumption in Chapter 4 to state that non-BLM lands could be indirectly or cumulatively impacted by BLM decisions.	p. 4-3	~p. 4-3
<b>Assumptions and Methodology for Minerals Development Impacts:</b> Move text explaining Table 4.2 to correct location (following Table 4.2 rather than following Table 4.3).	p. 4-5, 4-7	~p. 4-5, 4-6
<b>Air Quality:</b> Updated air quality analysis	throughout section	throughout section
<b>Air Quality:</b> Add impacts to global warming.	p. 4-10	~p. 4-10
<b>Cultural Resources:</b> Add statement that Sec. 106 cultural consultation will be completed prior to ROD signature, in that BLM forwarded a "No Adverse Effects" determination to SHPO.	p. 4-29	~p. 4-29
<b>Cultural Resources:</b> Clarify development of cultural analysis model by professional BLM Archaeologist.	p. 4-30	~p. 4-30
<b>Cultural Resources:</b> Delete reference to a Lands and Realty impact from the Cultural section; utility corridors are being merged, not eliminated.	p. 4-34	Deleted from p. 4-34
<b>Lands and Realty:</b> Clarify that access to State Lands is an exception for reasonable access on lands otherwise excluded from new rights of way.	p. 4-64	~p. 4-64
<b>Lands and Realty:</b> Clarify wording regarding the merging of two utility corridors, rather than the elimination of the corridor currently in the No Action Alternative.	p. 4-65	~p. 4-65

**Table 1. List of Changes Between Draft RMP/EIS and Proposed RMP/Final EIS Moab  
Field Office Resource Management Plan**

Description of Changes	Location in DRMP/EIS	Approximate Location in PRMP/FEIS
<b>Livestock Grazing:</b> Correct AUMs and acreage not available for grazing under the Proposed Plan (with addition of Ida Gulch and Pear Park).	p. 4-77	~p. 4-77
<b>Minerals:</b> Clarify wording in impacts of non-WSA Lands with Wilderness Characteristics on Minerals to state that there would be no additional closures of BLM lands to salable and leasable minerals in Alternative A (No Action).	p. 4-93	~p. 4-93
<b>Non-WSA Lands with Wilderness Characteristics:</b> Change “non-motorized” to “non-mechanized” for vehicular use in the Bookcliffs SRMA.	p. 4-135	~p. 4-135
<b>Non-WSA Lands with Wilderness Characteristics:</b> Delete phrase “pending future suitability studies” to clarify sentence regarding the impacts of Wild and Scenic Rivers on non-WSA lands with wilderness characteristics under Alternative A.	p. 4-140	Deleted from p. 4-140
<b>Non-WSA Lands with Wilderness Characteristics:</b> Correct reference to another alternative (change C to B).	p. 4-143	~p. 4-143
<b>Non-WSA Lands with Wilderness Characteristics:</b> Correct acreage of area that is limited to designated routes in the Lost Spring Canyon WC Area.	p. 4-147: Table 4.54	~p.4-147: Table 4.54
<b>Non-WSA Lands with Wilderness Characteristics:</b> Change “existing” to “designated” in discussion of OHV use in non-WSA lands with wilderness characteristics. Clarified and augmented analysis on non-WSA lands with wilderness characteristics.	p. 4-149 to 4-151	~p. 4-149 to 4-151
<b>Non-WSA Lands with Wilderness Characteristics:</b> Make corrections in VRM acreage in Alternative B in non-WSA lands with wilderness characteristics.	p. 4-164: Table 4.58	~p. 4-164: Table 4.58
<b>Recreation:</b> Adjust acreage not available for grazing under impacts of livestock grazing on recreation	p. 4-199	~p. 4-199
<b>Recreation:</b> Correct Cameo Cliffs SRMA acres under Alternative A.	p. 4-204: Table 4.69	~p. 4-204: Table 4.69
<b>Recreation:</b> Clarify daily launch limit in Westwater Canyon (within the Two Rivers SRMA).	p. 4-207: Table 4.69	~p. 4-207: Table 4.69
<b>Recreation:</b> Add impacts of soil and riparian decisions on motorized users.	p. 4-229	~p. 4-230
<b>Riparian:</b> Correct percentages of riparian lands unavailable for grazing and subsequent interpretive text.	p. 4-242, 4-243	~p. 4-243, 4-244
<b>Riparian:</b> Correct riparian calculations in acres of riparian area by OHV designation. Also correct subsequent text.	p. 4-242, 4-254	~p. 4-243, 4-244
<b>Riparian/Soils/Vegetation/Wildlife:</b> Add text referencing Appendix G for additional research on the impacts of OHV use on a variety of resources.	p. 4-244, 4-267, 4-292, 4-428, 4- 471	~p. 4-245, 4- 270, 4-298, 4-4-433, 4- 477

**Table 1. List of Changes Between Draft RMP/EIS and Proposed RMP/Final EIS Moab  
Field Office Resource Management Plan**

Description of Changes	Location in DRMP/EIS	Approximate Location in PRMP/FEIS
<b>Socioeconomics:</b> Add impacts of exclusion areas in Alternative B and the Proposed Plan to socioeconomics.	p. 4-259	~p.4-261
<b>Socioeconomics:</b> Correct information about copper mine in Lisbon Valley.	p. 4-261	~p. 4-263
<b>Socioeconomics:</b> Add severance tax impacts and fiscal impacts to SITLA lands from BLM decisions restricting mineral development, by alternative. Add footnote to table defining “open” to oil and gas development.	p. 4-262	~p. 4-264 to 4-267
<b>Socioeconomics:</b> Add social impacts of restrictions on dispersed camping. Add “Travel Management” to Recreation in list of resources affecting socioeconomics.	p. 4-267	~p. 4-270
<b>Soil and Water:</b> Change “open” to “available” and “closed” to “unavailable” in Table 4.80 explaining the impacts of livestock grazing to soils.	p. 4-283	~p. 4-287
<b>Special Designations – Areas of Critical Environmental Concern:</b> Clarify that prairie-dog habitat is important for other sensitive species	p. 4-314	~p. 4-319
<b>Special Designations – Areas of Critical Environmental Concern:</b> Clarify that oil and gas development includes ancillary facilities such as roads and pipelines.	p. 4-315	~p. 4-319
<b>Special Designations – Areas of Critical Environmental Concern:</b> Correct the buffer for white tailed prairie dog colonies: change 600 ft. to 660 ft.	p. 4-316	~p. 4-320
<b>Special Designations – Wilderness and Wilderness Study Areas:</b> Correct listing of WSA acreages in Table 4.103.	p. 4-350	~p. 4-356
<b>Special Designations – Wilderness and Wilderness Study Areas:</b> Delete 1.4 miles of designated route in Westwater Canyon WSA in Table 4.105 for the Proposed Plan.	p. 4-351	~p. 4-356
<b>Special Status Species:</b> Add scientific names to special status plant species in Table 4.106, as requested by the USFWS.	p. 4-355	~p. 4-360
<b>Special Status Species:</b> Add information regarding impacts from utilities and communication infrastructure to special status species.	p. 4-365	~p. 4-370
<b>Special Status Species:</b> Add that allotments unavailable for grazing provide a positive impact to special status species.	p. 4-367	~p. 4-372
<b>Special Status Species:</b> Correct acreage excluded from grazing on Special Status Species habitat types.	p. 4-367	~p. 4-367
<b>Special Status Species:</b> Add more detail on additional impacts from mineral exploration activities to special status species.	p. 4-370 to 4-372	~p. 4-375 to 4-378
<b>Special Status Species:</b> Correct information on Mexican Spotted Owl habitat types.	p. 4-375	~p. 4-380

**Table 1. List of Changes Between Draft RMP/EIS and Proposed RMP/Final EIS Moab  
Field Office Resource Management Plan**

Description of Changes	Location in DRMP/EIS	Approximate Location in PRMP/FEIS
<b>Special Status Species:</b> Added information on the California Condor.		~p. 4-365, ~4-361, and ~4.636
<b>Special Status Species:</b> Correct acreages and name of special species plant in Table 4.116.	p. 4-376	~p. 4-381
<b>Special Status Species:</b> Based on consultation with the USFWS, add impacts to SSS from Cultural Resources and Paleontological Resources. Also, add more detail regarding the impacts to SSS from all management actions in the Proposed Plan.	Throughout Chapter 4	Throughout Chapter 4
<b>Travel Management:</b> Correct footnote notations on Table 4.126.	p. 4-409	~p. 4-414
<b>Travel Management:</b> Add impacts to motorized users from bicycle only restriction in Bartlett slickrock bicycle Focus Area.	p. 4-409	~p. 4-415
<b>Travel Management:</b> Correct motorcycle route inventory mileage in Alternative A.	p. 4-410	~p. 4-415
<b>Vegetation:</b> Correction to acreages unavailable for grazing in Proposed Plan.	p. 4-423	~p. 4-423
<b>Wildlife and Fisheries:</b> Add wildlife associations to habitat types in Tables 4.138 and 4.139.	p. 4-442, 4-447	~p. 4-447 to 4-448; ~p. 4-452
<b>Wildlife and Fisheries:</b> Correct allotments unavailable for grazing in Proposed Plan and their impacts on wildlife.	Throughout section	Throughout section
<b>Irreversible and Irretrievable Impacts:</b> Clarify and augment irreversible and irretrievable impacts, by resource. List those resources with no irreversible and irretrievable impacts.	p. 4-496	~p. 4-500
<b>Cumulative Impacts:</b> Add reasonably foreseeable non-BLM and BLM actions to those decisions affecting cumulative impact analysis.	p. 4-500	~p. 4-506
<b>Cumulative Impacts:</b> Clarify and augment cumulative impacts; add the incremental contribution of the alternatives to the overall cumulative impacts.	p. 4-500 to end of section	~p. 4-506 to end of section
<b>References</b>		
<b>Add new references on air quality and add reference to Programmatic EIS for Vegetation Treatments.</b>	Throughout	Throughout
<b>Add the following references:</b> U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), 2007. Environmental Effects of Off-Highway Vehicles on Bureau of Land Management Lands: A Literature Synthesis, Annotated Bibliographies, Extensive Bibliographies and Internet Resources. University of Utah, 2008. The Structure and Economic Impact of Utah's Oil and Gas Exploration Industry Phase III – Grand County. Bureau of Economic and Business Research.	p. X-20	~p. X-20

**Table 1. List of Changes Between Draft RMP/EIS and Proposed RMP/Final EIS Moab  
Field Office Resource Management Plan**

Description of Changes	Location in DRMP/EIS	Approximate Location in PRMP/FEIS
<b>Add the following reference:</b> UDOGM. 2008. Data Research Center [online database]. Utah Division of Oil Gas and Mining. Available at <a href="http://oilgas.ogm.utah.gov/Data_Center/DataCenter.cfm#production">http://oilgas.ogm.utah.gov/Data_Center/DataCenter.cfm#production</a>	p. X-20	~p. X-20
UDWR, 2007. Aerial Survey Counts (Pronghorn). Utah Division of Wildlife Resources. Salt Lake City. UDWR, 2007. Utah Bighorn Sheep State-wide Management Plan. Utah Division of Wildlife Resources. Salt Lake City. UDWR, 2008. 2008 Antlerless Deer Permit Summary and Recommendations. Utah Division of Wildlife Resources. Salt Lake City. UDWR, 2008. 2008 Antlerless Elk Permit Summary and Recommendations. Utah Division of Wildlife Resources. Salt Lake City.	p. X-21	~p. X-20
<b>Appendices</b>		
<b>Appendix A – Land Tenure Adjustment and Withdrawal Criteria:</b> Simplify Disposal Criteria #9 by deleting reference to a specific acreage limitation (based on State of Utah comment).	p. A-1	~p. A-1
<b>Appendix C – Stipulations and Environmental Best Practices Application to Oil and Gas Leasing and Other Surface Disturbing Activities:</b> Add “and Federally Protected” to title of section dealing with Special Status Species in (based on USFWS comment).	p. C-31	~p. C-31
<b>Appendix C – Stipulations and Environmental Best Practices Application to Oil and Gas Leasing and Other Surface Disturbing Activities:</b> Replace “Special Status Species” with “Federally Protected Species” (based on USFWS comment).	p. C-33, C-35	~p. C-33, C-35
<b>Appendix C – Stipulations and Environmental Best Practices Application to Oil and Gas Leasing and Other Surface Disturbing Activities:</b> Add standard terms and conditions to protect the California Condor and Jones <i>Cycladenia</i> in Table C.4.		~p. C-34
<b>Appendix D – Lands Identified for Disposal in Revised Moab RMP:</b> Delete Parcel R-11 as land identified for disposal at the request of UDWR and The Nature Conservancy.	p. D-3	Deleted from p. D-3
<b>Appendix G – Travel Plan Development:</b> Correct information in Table 4 on motorcycle route mileage in Alternatives C and D.	p. G-5	~p. G-3
<b>Appendix G – Travel Plan Development:</b> Add discussion of relevant research on impacts of OHV use on BLM lands based on recent U.S. Geological Survey publication that summarizes the environmental effect of OHVs on BLM lands.	p. G-24	~p.G-21 to G-23
<b>Appendix G – Travel Plan Development:</b> Add Table 10 summarizing designated or not designated route mileage due to resource conflicts identified.	p. G-26	~p. G-25 to G-26

**Table 1. List of Changes Between Draft RMP/EIS and Proposed RMP/Final EIS Moab  
Field Office Resource Management Plan**

Description of Changes	Location in DRMP/EIS	Approximate Location in PRMP/FEIS
<b>Appendix G – Travel Plan Development:</b> Add road definitions from State of Utah Highway Code which defines A, B and D roads.	p. G-32	~p. G-33
<b>Relevance and Importance Evaluations of Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) Nominations:</b> Correct number of ACEC nominations (37 nominations vs. 35).	p. I-1, I-6, I-22	~p. I-1, I-6, I-22
<b>Appendix I – Relevance and Importance Evaluations of Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) Nominations:</b> Delete the phrase “Two threatened plants do not occur in the area” in the Bookcliffs Potential ACEC.	p. I-8	Deleted from p. I-8
<b>Appendix I – Relevance and Importance Evaluations of Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) Nominations:</b> Add the “Highway 313” ACEC nomination received during the public comment period, including description, size, relevance criteria, importance criteria, and finding.	p. I-14	~p. I-15
<b>Appendix I – Relevance and Importance Evaluations of Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) Nominations:</b> Add the “Upper Labyrinth” ACEC nomination received during the public comment period, including description, size, relevance criteria, importance criteria, and finding.	p. I-18	~p. I-19
<b>Appendix I – Relevance and Importance Evaluations of Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) Nominations:</b> Add the Highway 313 and Upper Labyrinth ACEC nominations to the list at the end of Appendix I.	p. I-23	~p. I-25 to I-26
<b>Appendix I – Relevance and Importance Evaluations of Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) Nominations:</b> Add “List of Threats to Potential ACECs”.	p. I-24	~p. I-26 to I-27
<b>Appendix I – Relevance and Importance Evaluations of Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) Nominations:</b> Add “Rationale for Designating or Not Designating Potential ACECs”.	p. I-24	~p. I-28 to I-30
<b>Appendix J – Wild and Scenic Rivers Study Process:</b> Insert sections on suitability of the Green River for Wild and Scenic River status from the Price FO analysis.	p. J-81	~p. J-83 to J-87
<b>Appendix K – Conservation Measures for T&amp; E Species:</b> Replace Appendix K with updated Conservation Measures from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Added conservation measures from Utah Fire Management Plan.	Appendix K	Appendix K
<b>Appendix T – Utah State University Study Results:</b> Add new Appendix (T), summarizing results of Utah State University social survey of Grand County.	Appendix T	Appendix T
<b>Appendix V – State of Utah Air Quality Mitigation Measures:</b> Add new appendix	Appendix V	Appendix V

**Table 1. List of Changes Between Draft RMP/EIS and Proposed RMP/Final EIS Moab Field Office Resource Management Plan**

Description of Changes	Location in DRMP/EIS	Approximate Location in PRMP/FEIS
<b>Glossary</b>		
Add AML (Abandoned Mine Lands) to the List of Acronyms.	List of Acronyms	List of Acronyms
Add definition for “route”	X-39	~p. X-39
Add definition for “wilderness characteristics” to Glossary.	X-41	~p. X-42
Add definition for “undertaking” to Glossary.	X-42	~p. X-41
<b>Maps</b>		
<b>Map 2-3 – Lands Identified for Disposal:</b> Remove parcel R-11.	Map 2-3	Map 2-3
<b>Map 2-4 – Grazing Allotments in the Moab Field Office:</b> Correct confusion concerning two allotments named “Spring Creek”.	Map 2-4	Map 2-4
<b>Map 2-4-C – Areas Not Available for Livestock Grazing - Alternative C (Proposed):</b> Add Pear Park and Ida Gulch to map of allotments not available for livestock grazing in Alternative C.	Map 2-4-C	Map 2-4-C
<b>Maps 2-5 – Oil and Gas Leasing Stipulations:</b> Remove area in Arches National Park as erroneously shown as available for leasing.	Maps 2-5-B, C and D	Maps 2-5-B, C and D
<b>Map 2-9-C – Recreation Focus Areas - Alternative C (Proposed):</b> Adjust acreage of White Wash Sand Dunes Open OHV Area on map of Recreation Focus Areas.	Map 2-9-C	Map 2-9-C
<b>Map 2-10-C – Off Highway Vehicle Categories - Alternative C:</b> Adjust acreage of area open to cross country OHV on map of OHV designations.	Map 2-10-C	Map 2-10-C
<b>Map 2-11-A – Inventoried Routes - Alternative A (No Action):</b> Add map for Alternative A showing inventoried routes.	Map 2-11-A	Map 2-11-A
<b>Maps 2-11 – Designated Routes:</b> Remove all roads in Arches National Park; add two routes on Colorado border to the maps of designated routes, by alternative.	Maps 2-11-B, C and D	Maps 2-11-B, C and D
<b>Maps 2-11-E – Designated Motorcycle Routes:</b> 1) add Alternatives A and B maps for motorcycle routes; 2) add Slickrock Trail to Alternative C; 3) distinguish which motorcycle routes are also available for ATV’s in Alternative C; 4) add Thompson-Colorado BLM Alternative C route to Alt C map; 5) add Mel’s Loop to the proposed alternative (Alternative C).	Map 2-11E-A, B, and C	Map 2-11E-A, B, and C
<b>Maps 2-24-B – Areas with Wilderness Characteristics:</b> Add names to non-WSA lands with wilderness characteristics; adjust acreage in Alternative B, clarify title of map.	Map 2-24-B	Map 2-24-B
<b>Maps 2-24-C – Areas with Wilderness Characteristics:</b> Add names of non-WSA lands with wilderness characteristics, clarify title of map.	Map 2-24-C	Map 2-24-C
<b>Maps 2-27 – Deer and/or Elk Habitat:</b> Change names of maps and legend from “Deer and Elk Habitat” to “Deer and/or Elk Habitat”.	Map 2-27 A, B, and C/D	Map 2-27 A, B, and C/D

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**