

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of pete Arnold
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 11:28 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 3, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

pete Arnold
PO Box 1846
Yucca Valley, CA 92286-1846

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of DEBORAH SMITH
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 11:28 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 3, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

WE NEED BETTER CONSERVATION!!!! ACTIONS!!!!

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

DEBORAH SMITH
3044 NW 30th St
Oklahoma City, OK 73112-6908

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Mary Detrick
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 11:28 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 3, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Mary Detrick
2304 Cumberland Cir
Apt 201
Clearwater, FL 33763-1047

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Urmila Padmanabhan
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:58 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Urmila Padmanabhan
42629 Queens Park Ct
Fremont, CA 94538-3946

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Scott Korman
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:28 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Scott Korman
20 Linford Rd
Great Neck, NY 11021-4929

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of John Seider
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:58 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

John Seider
21 Grand St
Oneonta, NY 13820-2623

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Mary Ann Smith
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:28 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Smith
1071 Kenyon Dr
Fort Washington, PA 19034-1625

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Isolde Cahill
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:28 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Isolde Cahill
14641 Mustang Trl
Southwest Ranches, FL 33330-3528

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Susan Kelly Ambler
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:28 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to strongly urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Susan Kelly Ambler
1328 Vine St
Denver, CO 80206-2012

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Michelle Hoff
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:28 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Michelle Hoff
2840 Dewalt St
Allentown, PA 18103-7704

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of steve yakoban
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:58 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

steve yakoban
240 e p[alisade ave
englewood, NJ 07631

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Anne del Prado
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:58 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Anne del Prado
115 Jolly Boat Ln
Freeport, TX 77541-9690

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Matthew Pecci
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:58 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Matthew Pecci
84 Sheffield Rd
Melrose, MA 02176-3420

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Peter Schultz
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:58 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Peter Schultz
501 Andrus Rd
Downers Grove, IL 60516-5128

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Susan Norton
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:58 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Protect and Save the Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

Please do the right thing.

All eyes are upon you.

As we save the wild animals, we ourselves are saved.

Thank you!

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Susan Norton
1814 E Shore Dr
Ithaca, NY 14850-9705

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Hannah Beadman
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:58 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Hannah Beadman
844 Laveta Ter
Los Angeles, CA 90026-4320

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Mark Glasser
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:58 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Mark Glasser
3660 Barry Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90066-3202

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Meagen Kresge
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:58 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Meagen Kresge
2037 W 38th St
Cleveland, OH 44113-3830

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Ruth Anne Dayton
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:28 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Ruth Anne Dayton
3836 Sunview Drt.
Pgh,, PA 15227

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Barbara Robbin
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 5:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Barbara Robbin
11201 Dona Lola Dr
Studio City, CA 91604-4318

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Doame Verna
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 5:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Doame Verna
1110 Alta North Rd
Alta, WY 83414-4585

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of DR. Ebert HINOS
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 5:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

ANY BREAK IN THE LINKS OF LIVE IS A DETRIMENT TO ALL, INCLUDING MANKIND.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

DR. Ebert HINOS
PO Box 412
Cypress, CA 90630-0412

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of charles anderson
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 5:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

charles anderson
PO Box 1804
San Marcos, TX 78667-1804

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of tim tarbell
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 5:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Inter mountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

tim tarbell
3765 Mayfair Dr
Los Angeles, CA 90065-3208

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Susan Babbitt
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 5:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Susan Babbitt
319 S 10th St
Apt 133
Philadelphia, PA 19107-6146

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Dinorah Hall
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 4:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Dinorah Hall
PO Box 71288
Albany, GA 31708-1288

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Joyce Wood
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 4:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Joyce Wood
179 Lake View Dr
Bayfield, CO 81122-9436

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Kristyn MacPhail
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 4:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Kristyn MacPhail
9236 W Euclid Ave
Littleton, CO 80123-3101

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Shelley Czeizler
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 4:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Shelley Czeizler
6671 Wealthy St
Clarkston, MI 48346-2178

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Debbie Koundry
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 3:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Debbie Koundry
61 Oakley Ln
Waltham, MA 02452-5613

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Sandra McCarthy
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 3:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Sandra McCarthy
15396 E 108th Ave
Commerce City, CO 80022-8894

Erin Jones

From: Fred C. Julander
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 3:41 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Cc: 'Lindley, Laura'; 'Fred Julander'; 'Fred C. Julander'; Brett Julander
Subject: FW: Draft - Northwest Colorado Greater Sage-Grouse Draft Resource Management Amendment and Environmental Impact Comment

Email: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov

December 2nd, 2013

Bureau of Land Management –
Routt National Forest
Northwest Colorado Greater Sage-Grouse Draft Resource Management
Amendment and Environmental Impact Group

**RE: Northwest Colorado Greater Sage-Grouse Draft Resource Management
Amendment and Environmental Impact Comment**

Dear All BLM & Routt National Forest Personnel

We wish to comment on the Northwest Colorado Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS.

1. Julander Energy wishes to express our concern that some of the alternatives presented in this document, particularly B & C, thankfully not D, close areas to fluid minerals leasing in which we hold, and have held, substantial federal acreage positions for many years. In particular, these are Township 12 North, Range 98 West, Township 11 North, Range 98 West, Township 10 North, Range 98 West, and Township 10 North, Range 99 West.
2. Since 2007 we have been delayed in this area from releasing leases that we used to hold that made the area a solid block. First, we were delayed by an RMP that took until late 2011. We have been delayed since then by the drafting of this Northwest Colorado Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS.
3. We have drilled, or caused to be drilled, expensive wildcat wells that, although probably not productive, have given us valuable information to guide further drilling once the unleased lands we used to hold are put up for sale.
4. We believe these leases and this area hold substantial oil and gas resources and reserves.
5. Historically, this area has not been a top priority for sage-grouse as the adjacent lands to the east have been.

6. Any decision that would limit the ability for this area to be developed would be very harmful, if not fatal, to our efforts and investment. Our efforts have been diligent, sensitive, long-term, and very expensive for our small company. We have more than \$2 million invested in this area.

We respectfully request that you consider our comments and the information we have provided. Please contact me by phone at (303) 506-1113 or email at fred.julander@julanderenergy.com with any questions or for any assistance I may provide.

Respectfully,

Fred. C. Julander
President
Julander Energy Company

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Apryl Mefford-Hemauer
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 3:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Apryl Mefford-Hemauer
2524 5th St
Santa Monica, CA 90405-3760

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Ellen Sanford
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 3:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Ellen Sanford
1718 Tammany St
Anaconda, MT 59711-1713

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Susan Thompson
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 2:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Susan Thompson
133 Sycamore St
Cary, NC 27513-4229

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Matthew Franck
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 2:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Matthew Franck
119 Livingston Ave Apt 5g
New Brunswick, NJ 08901-2456

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Suzanne Hodges
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 2:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Hodges
F Street
Sacramento, CA 95819

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Judy Fairless
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 2:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Judy Fairless
76 Liberty Corner Rd
Warren, NJ 07059-6708

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Cindy Grimes
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 2:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Cindy Grimes
PO Box 196
Websterville, VT 05678-0196

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Barbara King
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 2:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Barbara King
PO Box 29448
Los Angeles, CA 90029-0448

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Shirley White
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 2:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: My Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to please adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

For the Greater Sage-Grouse, thank you for your time, consideration and positive actions in this urgent matter.

Sincerely,

Shirley White
357 8th St
Springfield, OR 97477-4748

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of elana eli
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 1:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

elana eli
20500 W Country Club Dr
Apt 215
Aventura, FL 33180-1617

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Margery Race
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 1:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Margery Race
6008 Club Ter
Austin, TX 78741-3302

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of lorraine foster
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 1:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

lorraine foster
8205 SE 9th Ave
Portland, OR 97202-6533

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of John Lawson
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 1:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

John Lawson
Fairview Rd
Narberth, PA 19072

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Shirley Smith
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 1:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: The Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Thank you for your time and sincere consideration in this urgent matter.

Sincerely,

Shirley Smith
25115 E Broadway Ave
Apt 3
Veneta, OR 97487-9717

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Kathryn Melton
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 1:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Melton
3209 Brookmeade Ct
Deer Park, TX 77536-4750

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Michael Tat
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 1:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Michael Tat
115 W Allendale Ave
Allendale, NJ 07401-1734

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Karen L. Rubb
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 1:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Karen L. Rubb
434 Oliver Rd
Sewickley, PA 15143-1034

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Mina Donato
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Mina Donato
77 S Gallatin Ave
Uniontown, PA 15401-3540

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of anette stauske
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

anette stauske
1087 wayson aay
davidsonville, MD 21035 7532

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of rob rondanini
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

rob rondanini
PO Box 1441
Roseville, CA 95678-8441

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Bobbi Beck
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Bobbi Beck
11118 Forest Edge Dr
Reston, VA 20190-4023

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Kate Buttles
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Kate Buttles
PO Box 3055
Sedona, AZ 86340-3055

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Vince Mendieta
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Vince Mendieta
6005 Cherry Creek Dr
Austin, TX 78745-3421

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Roland Gauvin
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Roland Gauvin
2208 Mendon Rd
Cumberland, RI 02864-3806

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of P Atterbury
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

P Atterbury
185 Topkie Dr
Sedona, AZ 86336-3632

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of willy aenlle
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:57 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

willy aenlle
573 Alameda St
Altadena, CA 91001-3055

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Sheila Silan
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Sheila Silan
6600 Summerhill Rd
Somerset, CA 95684-9280

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Juliet Pearson
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Juliet Pearson
18734 Bambi Ct
Grass Valley, CA 95949-8921

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Antonia & Andrew Chianis
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Antonia & Andrew Chianis
PO Box 836
Blue Jay, CA 92317-0836

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Garrit Voggesser
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Garrit Voggesser
2931 Hudson Dr
Loveland, CO 80538-4954

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Keith Turner
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Keith Turner
30815 N 47th Pl
Cave Creek, AZ 85331-3876

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of darynne jessler
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

darynne jessler
4408 Gentry Ave
Valley Village, CA 91607-4115

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Kathy Hopkins
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:27 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Kathy Hopkins
487 E Evans St
San Bernardino, CA 92404-5303

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Florence Morris
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 11:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Florence Morris
955 Culver Rd
Rochester, NY 14609-7155

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Theodore Mertig
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 11:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Theodore Mertig
348 Higley Cir
Horizon City, TX 79928-6700

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Kathy Gibbs
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 11:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Kathy Gibbs
2319 Echoing Oak
New Braunfels, TX 78132-3660

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Stephanie Schubert
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 11:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Schubert
3960 W 127th Ave
Broomfield, CO 80020-5365

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Connie Newman
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 11:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Connie Newman
PO Box 324
St Paul Isle, AK 99660-0324

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of danielle boucher
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 11:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

danielle boucher
850 McLaughlin St
Richmond, CA 94805-1404

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Anna Stoudemire
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 11:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Anna Stoudemire
329 Brookberry Cir
Chapel Hill, NC 27517-8697

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of The U. family
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 11:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

The U. family
bethwp
Beth, PA 18020

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Emily Gross
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 11:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Emily Gross
411 W Gaywood Dr
Houston, TX 77079-7213

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Richard L. Kroger
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 11:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Richard L. Kroger
609 N Road 11
Worland, WY 82401-3139

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Antoinette Daab
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 11:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Antoinette Daab
1067 Atlantic Ave
Baldwin, NY 11510-4210

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Georgia Braithwaite
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 11:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Georgia Braithwaite
2145 S Hogan Ln
Cottonwood, AZ 86326-6108

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Erika Whitton
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Erika Whitton
2235 Watermarke Pl
Irvine, CA 92612-7692

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Naomi Weinstein
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Naomi Weinstein
136 W 24th St
New York, NY 10011-1908

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Donna Crane
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Donna Crane
1576 Beebe Ln
Eugene, OR 97404-2906

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of kx bx
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

kx bx
432 E Lingard St
Lancaster, CA 93535-3025

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Maggie Mandzuk
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Maggie Mandzuk
622 E 20th St
New York, NY 10009-1410

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Nancy Kosnar Hartman
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Nancy Kosnar Hartman
2514 Evans Ave
Louisville, CO 80027-1215

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Tyler Harrington
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Tyler Harrington
275 Ore Bed Rd
Schuyler Falls, NY 12985-2324

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Sarah Johnson
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Sarah Johnson
86 N Midland Ave
Nyack, NY 10960-2529

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Olivia Wong
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Olivia Wong
552 Riverside Dr Apt 2b
New York, NY 10027-3224

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Joseph Vincent
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Joseph Vincent
509 3rd Ave
Harvey, LA 70058-2727

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Steven Tempelman
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Steven Tempelman
9612 Aspen Hill Cir
Lone Tree, CO 80124-5493

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Alfred Bahl
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Alfred Bahl
1338 Howland Wilson Rd NE
Warren, OH 44484-1657

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Gregory Esteve
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Gregory Esteve
3655 N Scenic Hwy
Lake Wales, FL 33898-6608

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Carol Weger
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Carol Weger
205 W Hamilton Dr
Palatine, IL 60067-8836

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Eric Polczynski
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Eric Polczynski
PO Box 3483
Pagosa Springs, CO 81147-3483

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of John Blaha
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

John Blaha
3908 Michael John Dr
Swansea, IL 62226-1026

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Marta Merchan
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Marta Merchan
C/ Mar Negro, 2.
Madrid, None 28231

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Paula Propst
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Paula Propst
PO Box 72
Steward, IL 60553-0072

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Tina Grider
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 10:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Tina Grider
5004 12-1/2 Mile Road
Burlington, MI 49029

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Dom Canavarro
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Dom Canavarro
1024 Washington St
Raleigh, NC 27605-1258

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Mary Cook
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Mary Cook
1112 W 2nd St N
Wichita, KS 67203-6011

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Karen Kindel
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Karen Kindel
5921 Freitag St NW
Canton, OH 44718-1123

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Judith Hazelton
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Judith Hazelton
1617 US Route 7 S
Bennington, VT 05201-9384

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of David Nickel
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

David Nickel
648 N Main St Apt 1
Spearfish, SD 57783-2431

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Chris Roche
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Chris Roche
4811 Saint George St
Reading, PA 19606-3370

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Hope French
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Hope French
140 Linden Ln
Apt 6
Thiensville, WI 53092-1257

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of george craciun
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

george craciun
12811 Flint Creek Rd
Thonotosassa, FL 33592-2660

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Shannon Saldana
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Shannon Saldana
2735 E Robidoux Rd
Sandy, UT 84093-1134

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Cheryl Rigby
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to respectfully urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Thank you for your consideration and for accepting these comments.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Rigby
10 Ivy Ln
Ashland, MA 01721-1020

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Richard Han
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Richard Han
1795 W Stadium Blvd
Ann Arbor, MI 48103-5290

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of ray Rossi
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

ray Rossi
10763 Keith St
Santee, CA 92071-1161

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of martyn bassett
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

martyn bassett
1 feltham road
mitcham
london, IL 60004-1284

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Stephen Gliva
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Stephen Gliva
713 Mulford St
Unit 1a
Evanston, IL 60202-3411

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Doug Schoch
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Doug Schoch
19846 E Garden Dr
Centennial, CO 80015-5828

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Meredith Needham
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Meredith Needham
815 Burg St
Granville, OH 43023-1079

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of mike hlat
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

mike hlat
11 Sand St
Buffalo, NY 14218-2336

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Danielle LaChusa
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Danielle LaChusa
2220 E 11th St
National City, CA 91950-3824

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of mary Rossi
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

mary Rossi
10763 Keith St
Santee, CA 92071-1161

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Mark Tolson
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Mark Tolson
29982 Running Deer Ln
Laguna Niguel, CA 92677-2031

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Michael Terry
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

As an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains, the greater sage-grouse is an ambassador for this region to the entire country and indeed to the world. Over the last 100 years, however, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. Sadly, the greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the minuscule 0.25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and therefore need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are rigorously restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed. It is time to protect the sage-grouse before this national treasure is squandered away for private profit.

Sincerely,

Michael Terry
503 W Rustic Rd
Santa Monica, CA 90402-1115

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Lori Kegler
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Lori Kegler
810 W 27th St
San Pedro, CA 90731-6213

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of William Dawkins
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 9:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

William Dawkins
919 Warren St
Thermopolis, WY 82443-2627

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Chuck Clarke
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Chuck Clarke
4604 Battleground Rd
Unit 7
Waitsfield, VT 05673-7400

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Ann Reilly
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Ann Reilly
721 Victoria Dr
Stevensville, MD 21666-2787

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of michael riley
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

michael riley
20 Canton Rd
Quincy, MA 02171-3013

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Gianna Siddens
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Gianna Siddens
2501 Lema Rd SE
Rio Rancho, NM 87124-2373

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of craig etchison
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

craig etchison
PO Box 691
Fort Ashby, WV 26719-0691

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Kathleen Ruiz
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Ruiz
PO Box 2361
Sun City, CA 92586-1361

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Ellen Zapf
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Ellen Zapf
12476 County Route 155
Adams Center, NY 13606-3106

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Wayne Rhodus
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Wayne Rhodus
17714 168th St
Bonner Springs, KS 66012-7291

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Bob Gendron
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Bob Gendron
6134 N Maplewood Ave
Chicago, IL 60659-2804

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of sharon chang
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed. You people are all useless animal haters and a waste of tax dollars! Shame on you for your lack of compassion and your stupidity when it comes to animals! May Christ send you all to hell when you die!

Sincerely,

sharon chang
228 Sunrise Dr
Marrero, LA 70072-5740

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Cheri Grubbs
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Cheri Grubbs
216 Connellsville St
Dunbar, PA 15431-1610

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Kris Leeseekamp
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Kris Leeseekamp
1651 29th St NE
Cedar Rapids, IA 52402-4052

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Angie Agapetus
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

Please adopt a new plan to protect the greater sage-grouse, a plan that manages the federal public lands in northwest Colorado.

The survival of the greater sage-grouse is at risk in the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Both the population and range over the last 100 years have declined significantly. The grouse should fall under protected status of the Endangered Species Act. More effective conservation is needed immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Angie Agapetus
10274 Hammerly Blvd
Houston, TX 77043-2101

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of d stone
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

d stone
425 Hall St
Manchester, NH 03103-4234

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Christopher Day
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Christopher Day
PO Box 408
Branchville, NJ 07826-0408

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of d stone
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

d stone
425 Hall St
Manchester, NH 03103-4234

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Jackie Demarais
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Jackie Demarais
2704 Casas Del Sur Ct
Granbury, TX 76049-1465

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Michael Burmester
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Michael Burmester
625 S Cornell Ave
Villa Park, IL 60181-3042

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of keith arnold
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

keith arnold
207 Peachtree St
Anderson, SC 29621-4726

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Joan Poor
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Joan Poor
1002 10th Ave N
Edmonds, WA 98020-2608

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Susan Brown
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Susan Brown
PO Box 1425
Evergreen, CO 80437-1425

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Elke Passarge
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Elke Passarge
17 E Locust Ave
Colonia, NJ 07067-1408

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Doris Presgraves
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Doris Presgraves
101 Masters Dr
Kearneysville, WV 25430-2636

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of James Rizzolo
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:57 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

James Rizzolo
910 NW 11th Ter
Stuart, FL 34994-9531

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of kathy leek
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

kathy leek
205 a wilson avenue
norwalk, CT 06854

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Chantal Buslot
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Chantal Buslot
Meybroekstraat 46
Hasselt, None 3510

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of wendy whyko
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

wendy whyko
10 Somers Ln
Oxford, CT 06478-1333

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Suzanne Pentek
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Pentek
155 N Harbor Dr
Chicago, IL 60601-7364

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Robert Stillson
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Robert Stillson
PO Box 341
Bolivar, NY 14715-0341

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Wendy Beyda
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Wendy Beyda
6 Meadow Ln
Marlboro, NJ 07746-1687

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Uta Cortimilia
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Uta Cortimilia
5105 Sundale Ln NE
Fort Payne, AL 35967-7705

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Linda Jennings
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 7:27 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Linda Jennings
1398 Middle Gulf Dr
Unit B
Sanibel, FL 33957-4626

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Christopher Kirchwey
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Christopher Kirchwey
457 Franklin St
Cambridge, MA 02139-3168

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Kathleen Medina
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Medina
PO Box 1944
Lenox, MA 01240-4944

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of r.a. szumal
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

r.a. szumal
7730 Kedvale Ave
Skokie, IL 60076-3602

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Dane Crook
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Dane Crook
610 Moores Mill Rd Apt D
Bel Air, MD 21014-2720

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Pamyllé Greinke
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Pamyllé Greinke
PO Box 456
Peconic, NY 11958-0456

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Charmaine White
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Charmaine White
127 Kulp Rd
Catawissa, PA 17820-7915

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Mary Matton
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Mary Matton
308 Addison Pointe Dr
Unit D
Greensboro, NC 27409-3425

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Susan Thompson
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Susan Thompson
23516 Shannondell Dr
Audubon, PA 19403-5675

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Avelino Maestas
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Avelino Maestas
3012 Saint Paul St Apt 3r
Baltimore, MD 21218-3233

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Rodney Martin
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Rodney Martin
143 Laurie Ln
Lititz, PA 17543-8132

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Elaine Sorensen
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Elaine Sorensen
10001 N Stones Ln
Evanston, IN 47531-8110

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Deborah Spencer
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Deborah Spencer
12 Newport Dr
Billerica, MA 01821-1401

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Marlene Schwarz
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 6:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Marlene Schwarz
161 Islington Rd
Auburndale, MA 02466-1023

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Stephan Donovan
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 5:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Stephan Donovan
4851 N Bernard St
Chicago, IL 60625-5107

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Sue Parsell
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 5:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Sue Parsell
2995 Redwood Ave
Ann Arbor, MI 48108-1814

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Laus Jacques
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 5:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Laus Jacques
rue d'Horrues 154
Westfield, NJ 07090-0000

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of A Mathews
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 5:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

A Mathews
1671 Highway 36 E
Milner, GA 30257-3613

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Judith Konig
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 5:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Judith Konig
2916 Louise Ave
Baltimore, MD 21214-1239

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of steve lucas
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 5:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

steve lucas
2706 Del Curto Rd
Austin, TX 78704-4826

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Donna Pemberton
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 5:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Donna Pemberton
2512 Coconut Dr
Cocoa, FL 32926-4309

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Catherine Wood
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 5:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Catherine Wood
2336 SR 42
Millville, PA 17846-9017

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Anne Roberts
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 5:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Anne Roberts
10/5 St James Road
Melbourne, None 31421

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Steve Aydelott
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 5:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Steve Aydelott
20782 Liberty Ln
Bend, OR 97701-8064

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Laura Ellenwood
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 4:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Laura Ellenwood
1898 Hog Hollow Rd
Montpelier, VT 05602-9634

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Lynn c
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 4:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Lynn c
24 Stowaway Pk
West Milford, NJ 07480

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Janet Moser
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 4:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Janet Moser
45 Kildare Rd
Island Park, NY 11558-1025

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Carmen Elisa Bonilla-Jones
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 4:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Carmen Elisa Bonilla-Jones
545 Yale Rd
Venice, FL 34293-6463

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Helen Carter
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 4:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Helen Carter
2 Rose Dr
Jackson, NJ 08527-3802

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Robert W. Rhodes, III
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 3:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Robert W. Rhodes, III
PO Box 355
Mercersburg, PA 17236-0355

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Julie Slater-Giglioli
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 3:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Julie Slater-Giglioli
7553 Norton Ave Apt 2
West Hollywood, CA 90046-5500

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Evan Roman
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 3:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Evan Roman
4676 Greene St
San Diego, CA 92107-1420

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Carol McGeehan
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 3:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Carol McGeehan
568 W 31st St
Holland, MI 49423-7218

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Michelle Murphy
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 3:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Michelle Murphy
334 Maddock Ave
Hamilton, NJ 08610-3225

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Venus Chaffins
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 2:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Venus Chaffins
4403 E Thompson Rd
Indianapolis, IN 46237-1803

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Carrie Asmar-O'Guin
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 2:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Carrie Asmar-O'Guin
3658 French Ave
Saint Louis, MO 63116-4043

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Shalia Washington
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 2:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Shalia Washington
203 P St NW
Washington, DC 20001-1984

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of James Mulcare
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 2:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

James Mulcare
1110 Benjamin St
Clarkston, WA 99403-2576

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of DAVID LAIRD
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 1:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

DAVID LAIRD
1130 W 9th St
Alton, IL 62002-2320

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Christopher Evans
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 1:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Christopher Evans
Lower Woodhouse
Herefordshire
Near Byton Hand, None HR6 9NL

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Derek Gendvil
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 1:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed. Thanks!

Sincerely,
Derek Gendvil
Las Vegas

Sincerely,

Derek Gendvil
9030 W Sahara Ave # 360
Las Vegas, NV 89117-5744

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of kim westlake
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 1:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

kim westlake
1414 e 24th ave
Denver, CO 80204

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Suzanne Marcella Camarillo
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 1:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Marcella Camarillo
941 N Orlando Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90069-4205

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Anita Das
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Protect Greater Sage-Grouse -- Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Anita Das
9522 49th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-2628

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of karen steele
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

karen steele
PO Box 3620
Landers, CA 92285-0620

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Elaine Balgemann
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:56 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Elaine Balgemann
2255 E Balsam Cir
Mesa, AZ 85204-1429

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Edmund Swiger
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Edmund Swiger
5850 Meridian Rd Apt 502c
Gibsonia, PA 15044-9693

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Daniel SOULAS
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Daniel SOULAS
115 Rue Godard
BORDEAUX FRANCE, None 33200

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Letitia Noel
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 12:26 AM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Letitia Noel
55 W Goethe St
Chicago, IL 60610-7406

Erin Jones

From: National Wildlife Federation on behalf of Maya Kurtz
Sent: Sunday, December 01, 2013 11:56 PM
To: blm_co_nw_sage_grouse@blm.gov
Subject: Greater Sage-Grouse Draft RMP& EIS Comments

Dec 2, 2013

Grand Junction BLM Field Office
2815 H Road
Grand Junction, CO 81506

Please act now to protect the sage grouse. This is an important and unique species. This issue is very important to me. I am a citizen of Garfield County.

I am writing to urge you to adopt a new plan for managing the federal public lands in northwest Colorado that will protect greater sage-grouse and their habitat.

The greater sage-grouse is an iconic species of the Intermountain West and northern Great Plains. Over the last 100 years, both the population and range of the species have declined significantly. The greater sage-grouse has now been found warranted for listing under the federal Endangered Species Act. The disappearance of greater sage-grouse habitat and the decline of greater sage-grouse populations indicate the need for more effective conservation immediately. Because the majority of remaining greater sage-grouse habitat overlaps with land managed by the federal Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service, the long-term survival of the species rests largely on management prescriptions established by these agencies. Development pressure is expected to increase on these lands.

For that reason, I am disappointed to see the agencies put forth as their "Preferred Alternative" (Alternative D) in the draft environmental impact statement for amending their land use management plans in northwest Colorado a strategy that continues to rely on measures that have proven ineffective in preventing the decline of greater sage-grouse. The continued reliance on some management "prescriptions" that are not supported by current science such as the .25-mile buffer for leks and the ability to waive protections and allow development in sage-grouse habitat will not be sufficient to ensure that sage-grouse are recovered and need not be listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The agencies need to develop a more protective plan that incorporates elements of Alternatives B and C. The final plan must require that oil and gas drilling as well as roads, transmission lines, wind turbines and over-grazing are restricted in priority sage-grouse habitat, that migration corridors are protected, and that damaged habitat is reclaimed.

Sincerely,

Maya Kurtz
336 Park Dr
Glenwood Springs, CO 81601-4164