

**Nevada and Northeastern California Greater Sage-Grouse Resource
Management Plan Amendment**

PLAN MAINTENANCE

Action #1

BLM Office: Nevada State Office

Proposed Action/Type: Resource Management Plan Amendment Maintenance

Document Title: USDI BLM Nevada and Northeastern California Greater Sage-Grouse Resource Management Plan Amendment and Great Basin Region Record of Decision, September 2015

Existing EIS review:

The Nevada and Northeastern California Greater Sage-Grouse Resource Management Plan Amendment and Great Basin Region Record of Decision, September 2015, are based on the Nevada and Northeastern California Greater Sage-Grouse Resource Management Plan Amendment Final Environmental Impact Statement (June 2015). The proposed action is administrative and is categorically excluded from further review under 43 CFR 46.205, 46.215 (extraordinary circumstances), 46.210 (f) Routine and continuing government business... having limited context and intensity, and 516 DM 11.9 J. (1) Maintaining land use plans in accordance with 43 CFR 1610.5-4.

The proposed action (plan maintenance) has been reviewed for conformance with the Nevada and Northeastern California Greater Sage-Grouse Resource Management Plan Amendment (as per 43 CFR 1610.5 and BLM MS 1601).

The overall goal of the Northeastern California Greater Sage-Grouse Resource Management Plan Amendment /ROD are to conserve, enhance, and restore the sagebrush ecosystem upon which Greater-sage Grouse populations depend in an effort to maintain and/or increase their abundance and distribution in cooperation with other conservation partners. In addition, this plan is based on the principles of adaptive management. Adaptive management is a continuing process of monitoring, research, evaluation and adjusting, as determined necessary, with the objectives of improving the implementation and achieving the goals of the RMPA/ROD. Under the concepts of adaptive management new information is evaluated and a decision is made to determine if adjustments or changes are deemed necessary.

As the BLM offices in the state of Nevada and California implement the plan amendment, it sometimes becomes necessary to make minor changes, refinements, or clarifications of the plan. Potential minor changes, refinements or clarifications in the plan may take the form of maintenance actions. Maintenance actions respond to minor data changes and incorporation of activity plans.

Maintenance actions are not considered a plan amendment and do not require the formal public involvement and interagency coordination process undertaken for plan amendments.

I hereby approve the attached action as a Plan Maintenance Action #1 to the Nevada and Northeastern California Greater Sage-Grouse Resource Management Plan Amendment.



John F. Ruhs, Nevada State Director

22 December 2015

Date



Joseph Stout, Acting California State Director

23 December 2015

Date

Plan Maintenance Action #1 for the
Nevada and Northeastern California Greater Sage-Grouse Approved Resource Management Plan
Amendment (September 2015)

Replace Table 1-3 on page 1-7 with the Table below. The PHMA acreage was inadvertently copied over as the same acreage as GIIMA in the Nevada and Northeastern California Greater Sage-Grouse Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment. The Table below provides the corrected acreage figures for PHMA by County.

**Table 1-3
Acres of GRSG Habitat by County in the Decision Area (BLM-Administered Lands only¹)**

County Name ²	ARMPA			
	PHMA ³	GHMA	OHMA	TOTAL
Churchill	74,900	78,200	171,500	324,600
Elko	3,476,900	995,600	1,000,600	5,473,100
Eureka	635,300	531,400	371,000	1,537,700
Humboldt	1,294,300	661,600	715,200	2,671,100
Lander	765,600	612,500	591,300	1,969,400
Lassen	282,100	278,800	283,700	844,600
Lincoln	141,000	464,000	376,400	981,400
Lyon	-	600	1,400	2,000
Mineral	-	-	5,800	5,800
Modoc	56,900	93,400	64,800	215,100
Nye	310,900	266,800	770,700	1,348,400
Pershing	62,200	168,800	502,200	733,200
Plumas	-	-	1,800	1,800
Sierra	-	300	200	500
Storey	-	300	700	1,000
Washoe	1,214,500	466,500	305,700	1,986,700
White Pine	994,800	1,101,800	713,600	2,810,200
Grand Total	9,309,400	5,720,600	5,876,600	20,906,600

Source: BLM GIS 2015

¹ These figures do not include subsurface split-estate acreage

² The following counties in the planning area do not contain mapped GRSG habitat: Carson City, Douglas, Esmeralda, and Siskiyou.

³ PHMA acres in the proposed plan include 2,797,400 acres in Elko, Humboldt and Washoe Counties associated with SFAs.