Nevada and Northeastern California
Greater Sage-Grouse Draft Land Use Plan Amendment
and Environmental Impact Statement

Errata Sheet

Dear Reader:

The purpose of this errata sheet is to correct two typographical errors in the Nevada and Northeastern California Greater Sage-Grouse Draft Land Use Plan Amendment (LUPA) and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The LUPA and Final Environmental Impact Statement will incorporate these corrections.

Section 2.8.2, Table 2-5, Description of Alternative Actions

Table 2-5, Description of Alternative Actions, in Section 2.8.2 provides specific management actions by BLM and USFS resource management program area for each of the six proposed alternatives (A, B, C, D, E, and F). A color coding system is used in the table to help the reader distinguish each resource and resource use. Additionally, each management action in the table is given a unique code. For example, “Action C-CTTM 1” is the code given for the first proposed action for Comprehensive Travel and Transportation Management (CTTM) under Alternative C. The matrix of actions beginning with Action A-sss-1 at the start of the table on page 123 through Action F-SD-1 on page 300 is accurately represented. However, due to a document production error, duplicate actions are incorrectly included beginning on page 300. A one line break in the table on page 300 between the row of actions ending with Action F-SD-1 and the subsequent row beginning with Action A-CTTM 1 indicates where the table was intended to end. Accordingly, the reader is asked to disregard the duplicate actions that begin with Action A-CTTM 1 on page 300 and end with Action F-SD 1 on page 354. The footnotes at the end of the duplicated portion of the table on page 354 are correct and applicable.

All Pages
The accurate date of the draft LUPA/EIS document should reflect the actual date of the Notice of Availability in November 2013.